

**STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
BOARD OF NURSING**

**IN RE: KYRA LANICE-GALATIS CAREY
 LICENSE NO. 075.0136836**

DOCKET NO. 2022-53

Appearances:

Prosecutor: Rachel Heath, Esq.
Respondent: Appeared, *pro se*

Hearing Officer: Wesley M. Lawrence

ORDER ON MOTION FOR DEFAULT

Based on the evidence before me, I find as follows:

1. At all relevant times, Respondent Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey ("Respondent") was licensed in Vermont as a Licensed Nursing Assistant, License Number 075.0136836.
2. Respondent's license has been under a summary suspension since April 18, 2022.
3. I held a Default Hearing in the above matter on October 23, 2023 pursuant to 3 V.S.A. §129(f) and 3 V.S.A. §809(d). This public hearing was held electronically via Microsoft Teams pursuant to a Notice of Hearing.
4. On August 30, 2023, the State filed its Specification of Charges in this matter; and, on September 25, 2023, the State moved for Respondent to be found in default because she has not responded to the Specification of Charges. I find that notice of the Specification of Charges and Motion for Default were proper because Respondent acknowledged receipt of both at the Hearing.
5. Respondent did not file an answer or acknowledged in any way the Specification of Charges prior to the Default Hearing.
6. At the Default Hearing, Respondent declined the opportunity for ten (10) additional days to respond to the Specification of Charges. She indicated, under oath, that she did not intend to contest the charges, does not contest the charges, and agreed with a revocation of her license because she did not intend to pursue future work as an LNA.

7. Under the Administrative Rules of the Office of Professional Regulation, Rule 3.4, a default may be entered when an answer is not filed within the required time period.
8. Given the foregoing, and notwithstanding Respondent's appearance at the Default Hearing, I shall treat the factual allegations in the Specification of Charges as proven, and its contents shall be incorporated into and made a part of this decision. *See* O.P.R. Rule 3.4.
9. Specifically, I find that Respondent violated 26 V.S.A. §1582(a)(3) (Engaging in conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public).
10. Although Respondent agreed that a revocation of her license is appropriate, the State recommended the following sanctions:

1. **Indefinite Suspension.** Respondent must petition for reinstatement. No sooner than forty-five (45) days prior to requesting reinstatement, Respondent must, at her own cost:

- a. Obtain an independent evaluation report by a pre-approved licensed professional specializing in substance abuse treatment ("Independent Evaluator") who has verified receipt and review of the Board's Default Order, Specification of Charges, and Summary Suspension Order in the above-referenced docket number. The results of the Independent Evaluation must indicate that Respondent does not present a risk to the safety, health or welfare of Respondent's potential patients. The evaluation shall meet all Independent Evaluation Requirements and shall include any recommendations that would ensure Respondent is safe to practice. The evaluation must be submitted to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager.
- b. If recommended by the Independent Evaluator, enter into a treating professional agreement and substance abuse treatment plan with a pre-approved treating professional(s) and follow all recommendations. If treatment is recommended, Respondent must submit a treating professional agreement to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager before a reinstatement request will be considered.
- c. Submit to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager the results of a minimum of three (3) random drug and alcohol tests:
 - i. When Respondent is ready to petition for reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Enforcement Unit Case Manager to set-up the drug and alcohol testing process and receive instructions. Once registered with the pre-approved entity, Respondent will have 45 days to submit a minimum of three (3) random drug and alcohol tests. The process by which Respondent learns whether she is selected to submit to a test on

any given day will be governed by the policy of the pre-approved entity conducting the testing at that time.

- ii. The drug and alcohol tests must be collected in an observed setting; administered by a pre-approved person or entity; and analyzed by a pre-approved lab qualified to analyze samples for forensic purposes, the results of which shall be negative.
- iii. Failure to appear for, refusal to provide, adulteration of, dilution of, or tampering with a sample for any test in any way shall cause that test to be presumed positive; and
- c. (sic) If reinstated, Respondent's license **SHALL BE CONDITIONED** pursuant to whatever terms are reasonable at the time of Respondent's reinstatement request, taking into account the independent evaluation, drug screen results, the Default Order, and any other relevant factors at that time.

11. I find that this sanction, rather than a revocation, is more appropriate, as these conditions will better protect public safety than an outright revocation should Respondent pursue professional licensure in the future.

12. Accordingly, the Board of Nursing may find, based on the foregoing, that Respondent's license may be sanctioned consistent with the above.

PROPOSED ORDER

Consistent with the above, and the recommendation of the State, the Board of Nursing hereby **ORDERS** that the Respondent's license be **SUSPENDED INDEFINITELY**, and that Respondent must petition for reinstatement. Within forty-five (45) days of requesting reinstatement, Respondent must, at her own cost:

- a. Obtain an independent evaluation report by a pre-approved licensed professional specializing in substance abuse treatment ("Independent Evaluator") who has verified receipt and review of the Board's Default Order, Specification of Charges, and Summary Suspension Order in the above-referenced docket number. The results of the Independent Evaluation must indicate that Respondent does not present a risk to the safety, health or welfare of Respondent's potential patients. The evaluation shall meet all Independent Evaluation Requirements and shall include any recommendations that would ensure Respondent is safe to practice. The evaluation must be submitted to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager.

- b. If recommended by the Independent Evaluator, enter into a treating professional agreement and substance abuse treatment plan with a pre-approved treating professional(s) and follow all recommendations. If treatment is recommended, Respondent must submit a treating professional agreement to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager before a reinstatement request will be considered.
- c. Submit to the Enforcement Unit Case Manager the results of a minimum of three (3) random drug and alcohol tests:
 - i. When Respondent is ready to petition for reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Enforcement Unit Case Manager to set-up the drug and alcohol testing process and receive instructions. Once registered with the pre-approved entity, Respondent will have 45 days to submit a minimum of three (3) random drug and alcohol tests. The process by which Respondent learns whether she is selected to submit to a test on any given day will be governed by the policy of the pre-approved entity conducting the testing at that time.
 - ii. The drug and alcohol tests must be collected in an observed setting; administered by a pre-approved person or entity; and analyzed by a pre-approved lab qualified to analyze samples for forensic purposes, the results of which shall be negative.
 - iii. Failure to appear for, refusal to provide, adulteration of, dilution of, or tampering with a sample for any test in anyway shall cause that test to be presumed positive; and
- d. If reinstated, Respondent's license **SHALL BE CONDITIONED** pursuant to whatever terms are reasonable at the time of Respondent's reinstatement request, taking into account the independent evaluation, drug screen results, the Default Order, and any other relevant factors at that time.

I hereby forward the above Proposed Order to the Board of Nursing with the recommendation that the Board approve it.

DATED at Montpelier, Vermont this 24th day of October 2023.



Wesley M. Lawrence
Hearing Officer, Vermont Board of Nursing


The Vermont Board of Nursing, or the Director of the Office of Professional Regulation, considered the Proposed Order and its basis, above, on November 13, 2023.

After considering the Proposed Order and its basis, above, the Board of Nursing takes the following action:

- ☐ Rejects the Hearing Officer's recommendation, and schedules the matter for hearing.
- ☐ Schedules the matter for additional evidence.
- ☒ Accepts the Hearing Officer's recommendation and orders the recommended discipline as set forth in the Proposed Order above.

SO ORDERED,

Vermont Board of Nursing or Director of the Office of Professional Regulation.

DocuSigned by:

EB1744016710477...
Vermont Board of Nursing

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION DATE OF ENTRY: 11/14/23

APPEAL RIGHTS

This is a final administrative determination by the Vermont Board of Nursing. A party aggrieved by a final decision of a board or hearing authority may appeal this decision by filing a written Notice of Appeal with the Director of the Office of Professional Regulation, Vermont Secretary of State, 89 Main Street, Floor 3, Montpelier, VT 05620-3402 within 30 days of the entry of this order.

If an appeal is filed, the Director of the office of Professional Regulation shall assign the case to an appellate officer. The review shall be conducted on the basis of the record created before the Board. In cases of alleged irregularities in procedure before the board, not shown in the record, proof on that issue may be taken by the appellate officer. 3 V.S.A. §§129(d) and 130a.

**STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
BOARD OF NURSING**

IN RE:	)	
Kyra Carey	)	Docket No. 2022-53
License No. 075.0136836	)	

MOTION FOR DEFAULT

NOW COMES the State of Vermont, by and through Prosecuting Attorney Rachel Health, and pursuant to Rule 3.3 of the Administrative Rules of Practice for the Office of Professional Regulation (“ARPOPR”), hereby moves that the Board of Nursing (the “Board”) find Respondent Kyra Carey (“Respondent”) in default for the reasons stated in the following Memorandum of Law.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Rule 3.3 of ARPOPR requires a respondent to file an answer to a specification of charges “within 20 days of the date on which the notice of charges was mailed by the Director.” Under the Rules, if a respondent fails to file a timely answer, a default hearing shall be conducted. ARPOPR 3.4. At the default hearing, “the allegations of the charges will be treated as proven and disciplinary action will be taken.” *Id.*

In this matter, Respondent has failed to file a response to the charges and is, therefore, in default. The State filed the Specification of Charges against Respondent on August 30, 2023. Respondent was notified of the charges by letter from the Docket Clerk of the Office of Professional Regulation, sent by certified and first class mail and email, on August 30, 2023. *See* ARPOPR 3.2. Respondent’s answer was due on or before September 19, 2023. *See* ARPOPR 3.4. As of this date, Respondent has failed to submit an answer.

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

WHEREFORE, in accordance with ARPOPR 3.3, et seq., the State respectfully requests that the Board schedule a default hearing and find Respondent in default.

DATED at Vermont this 25th day of September 2023.

STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE

By: /s/ Rachel Heath
Rachel Heath
Prosecuting Attorney
Rachel.heath@vermont.gov

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

**STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
BOARD OF NURSING**

IN RE:)
Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey) Docket No. 2022- 53
License No. 075.0136836)

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

NOW COMES the State of Vermont and hereby makes the following charges against Respondent Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey as follows:

Board Authority

1. The Vermont State Board of Nursing (the "Board") has jurisdiction to investigate and adjudicate allegations of unprofessional conduct committed by Licensed Nursing Assistants pursuant to 3 V.S.A. § 129(a); 3 V.S.A. § 129a; 3 V.S.A. § 814(d); 26 V.S.A. Chapter 28; the Administrative Rules of the Board of Nursing (the "ARBN"); and the Rules of the Office of Professional Regulation ("OPR").

Statement of Facts

2. Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey ("Respondent") of Lyndonville, Vermont is licensed by the State of Vermont as a Licensed Nursing Assistant ("LNA") under license number 075.0136836. This license was originally issued on September 11, 2020 and expired on November 30, 2022.

Incident 1

3. On or about April 17, 2021, law enforcement officers responded to a call concerning Respondent having a mental health crisis. During her interaction with law enforcement officers, Respondent bit an officer's hand when he placed her into protective custody.
4. The officer transported her to the hospital for a mental health evaluation, where she engaged in violent and tumultuous behavior, was loud and disruptive, and used abusive and obscene language.
5. As a result, on or about May 20, 2021, Respondent was criminally charged with simple assault on a protected professional and disorderly conduct-abusive or obscene language, both misdemeanors. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Incident 2

6. In May 2021, Respondent communicated on multiple occasions with an individual who was being held in a Vermont correctional facility. This individual requested

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

that Respondent lie in a court hearing to help him persuade the court to release him. In exchange, he would pay her and take responsibility for other criminal charges pending against her.

7. On or about July 1, 2021, Respondent was lawfully required to testify in a criminal court proceeding. Respondent was sworn in and testified in accordance with what the incarcerated individual had asked her to say. When an attorney asked her if any offers or promises had been made to her, she falsely answered no.
8. As a result, on or about July 29, 2021, Respondent was criminally charged with perjury, a felony. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Incident 3

9. On or about May 1, 2021 to July 7, 2021 Respondent secreted a dog, owned by another, away from the owner and concealed the dog's identity, denied that she had the dog to law enforcement officers, and fabricated a story as to how she came into possession of the dog with the intention of concealing the identity of its owner.
10. As a result, on or about July 14, 2021, Respondent was criminally charged with interference with domestic animals, a misdemeanor. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Incident 4

11. On or before July 3, 2021, a police officer called Respondent concerning the stolen dog in her possession. During their conversation, Respondent lied to the law enforcement officer about her whereabouts and about having the stolen dog in her possession.
12. As a result, on July 30, 2021, Respondent was criminally charged with knowingly giving false information to a law enforcement officer, a misdemeanor. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Incident 5

13. On or about July 18, 2021, Respondent was driving a car, which she backed into a parked vehicle. Respondent caused damage to the vehicle and left the scene without stopping to provide her information to the owner of the vehicle.
14. As a result, on July 30, 2021, Respondent was criminally charged with leaving the scene of a crash with resulting property damage, a misdemeanor. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

Incident 6

15. On or about April 27, 2021, Respondent was a passenger in a vehicle that a law enforcement officer stopped. The driver of the vehicle was arrested, and the vehicle was searched pursuant to a warrant.
16. In the search of the vehicle, police officers located crack cocaine, fentanyl, buprenorphine.
17. As a passenger in the vehicle, under 18 V.S.A. § 4221(b)¹, Respondent was presumed to knowingly possess the regulated drugs found in the vehicle.
18. Respondent also acknowledged to the officer that she permitted a person under 21 years of age to smoke her marijuana bong in the vehicle.
19. As a result, on September 9, 2021, Respondent was charged with multiple misdemeanors: possessing cocaine, possessing narcotic drugs (buprenorphine and fentanyl), and dispensing marijuana to a person under 21 years of age. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Incident 7

20. On or about January 22, 2022, Respondent followed a vehicle on a public road. Respondent drove and had a passenger in her vehicle. The other vehicle had three occupants.
21. When the other vehicle pulled into a driveway, Respondent stayed on the public roadway, which ran parallel to the driveway. While Respondent was driving, the passenger of Respondent's vehicle reached across Respondent and discharged a gun several times out of the driver-side window at the other vehicle.
22. As a result, on February 1, 2022, Respondent was criminally charged with aiding in the attempt to cause bodily injury to another with a deadly weapon, a felony. The case is pending in the criminal division of the Caledonia Superior Court.

Summary Suspension

23. On April 1, 2022, the State filed a request for summary suspension in the above captioned matter. On April 11, 2022, the matter came before the Board, which granted the State's request as memorialized in the Board's order dated April 18, 2022.

¹ "The presence of a regulated drug in an automobile, other than a public omnibus, is presumptive evidence of knowing possession thereof by each and every person in the automobile at the time such drug was found..."

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

Violation One: 26 V.S.A. § 1582(a)(3) Engaging in conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public.

24. The State re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 3 through 23 above.
25. As an LNA, Respondent is required to refrain from engaging in conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public.
26. Paragraphs 3 through 23 demonstrate that Respondent engaged in conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public when she bit a police officer; used loud and abusive language and tumultuous behavior in a hospital; lied under oath in a criminal proceeding; secreted a domestic animal from its owner; provided false information to a law enforcement officer; left the scene of an accident where she caused property damage; was a passenger in a vehicle where crack cocaine, fentanyl, and buprenorphine were present and provided marijuana to a person under 21 years old; and drove a vehicle while a passenger shot at an occupied vehicle.
27. The act(s), omission(s), and/or circumstance(s) described above constitute grounds for discipline because Respondent committed unprofessional conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public in violation of 26 V.S.A. § 1582(a)(3).

Relief Requested

WHEREFORE, the license of Kyra Carey should be warned, reprimanded, suspended, revoked, limited, conditioned, or otherwise disciplined.

DATED this 30th day of August 2023.

STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE

By: /s/ Rachel Heath
Rachel Heath
Prosecuting Attorney
(802) 828-0041
Rachel.heath@vermont.gov

STATE OF VERMONT



Prosecuting Attorney
Office of
Professional Regulation
89 Main Street
3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT
05620-3402

**STATE OF VERMONT
SECRETARY OF STATE
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
BOARD OF NURSING**

**In re: Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey
License No. 075.0136836**

Docket No. 2022-53

**DECISION ON REQUEST FOR
SUMMARY SUSPENSION ORDER**

Board of Nursing

Jennifer Laurent, APRN, Chair
Krystal Bernier, LPN
Matthew Choate, RN
William Floyd, RN
Ginger Gillette-Kent, APRN
Thomas Harvey, RN
Kelly Sinclair, LNA
Deb Belcher, public member (*ad hoc*)

Appearances

Rachel Heath, Esq., Prosecuting Attorney
Kyra Lanice-Galatis Carey Respondent, appeared for herself

Presiding Officer

Michael S. Kupersmith, Esq., Administrative Law Officer

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Criminal information and affidavit filed 5/20/21¹
Exhibit 2: Criminal information and affidavit filed 7/29/21
Exhibit 3: Criminal information and affidavit filed 7/30/21
Exhibit 4: Criminal information and affidavit filed 7/30/21
Exhibit 5: Criminal information and affidavit filed 9/9/21
Exhibit 6: Criminal information and affidavit filed 2/1/22

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

Introduction

This matter came before the Board of Nursing on the State's Request for Summary Suspension. The hearing was held on April 11, 2022 and was conducted remotely pursuant to the Emergency Rules for Remote Hearings adopted by the

¹ The filing dates are the dates the documents were filed in the Vermont Superior Court.

Office of Professional Regulation. Rachel Heath, Esq., represented the Office of Professional Regulation (OPR). Respondent Kyra Carey appeared and represented herself. The Board of Nursing has authority to summarily suspend a license pending further action if the Board determines that public health, safety, or welfare imperatively require emergency action. See 3 V.S.A. § 814(c).

Findings of Fact

The Board finds the State has proved the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence.

1. The Respondent, Kyra Carey, is licensed by the State of Vermont as a Licensed Nursing Assistant under license number 075.0136836. This license was originally issued on September 11, 2020 and expires on November 30, 2022.
2. Between May 20, 2021 and February 1, 2022 Respondent was charged with multiple criminal offenses, all of which are still pending. Evidence of the criminal charges was introduced into evidence as exhibits 1 through 6.
3. The criminal information in exhibit 1 charges Respondent with simple assault on a protected professional performing a lawful duty and disorderly conduct. The supporting affidavit relates that on April 17, 2021, Respondent appeared to be having a mental health crisis and was transported to a hospital for evaluation. At the hospital she assaulted a law enforcement officer by biting his hand.
4. The criminal information in exhibit 2 charges Respondent with perjury. The supporting affidavit relates that Respondent lied under oath before the Superior Court on May 25, 2021.
5. The criminal information in exhibit 3 charges Respondent with giving false information to a law enforcement officer. The supporting affidavit relates that on July 3, 2021 Respondent falsely told a police officer that she had not taken a stolen dog from her parents' home.
6. The criminal information in exhibit 4 charges Respondent with leaving the scene of an accident. The supporting affidavit relates that on July 18, 2021 a vehicle driven by the Respondent struck another vehicle and that Respondent drove away without stopping and without giving the information required by statute to the owner of the other vehicle.
7. The criminal information in exhibit 5 contains four charges: (1) possession of cocaine; (2) possession of buprenorphine; (3) possession of fentanyl; and (4)

dispensing marijuana to a person under 21. The supporting affidavit relates that on April 27, 2021 Respondent was a passenger in a vehicle in which cocaine, buprenorphine, and fentanyl were discovered. Respondent admitted that she had allowed a person under 21 to smoke her marijuana bong.

8. The criminal information in exhibit 6 charges the Respondent with aiding an attempt to cause bodily injury to another with a deadly weapon. The supporting affidavit relates that on January 22, 2022 Respondent was operating a motor vehicle when a person in the passenger seat reached across her and fired a gun into a nearby vehicle.
9. Although all of the charges are still pending in the Vermont Superior Court, the Board finds that each of the supporting affidavits establishes the Respondent committed the related criminal charge(s) by a preponderance of the evidence.

Conclusions of Law

The Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Summary Suspension Order are for the purpose of deciding whether *at this time* there is an imperative need to take emergency action. See 3 V.S.A. § 814(c). The Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law herein are for the purposes of this Order only.

In its Request for Summary Suspension, the State alleged that the conduct of the Respondent charged in the various criminal informations, when taken collectively, if not individually, constitutes conduct of a character which is likely to deceive or to harm the public in violation of 26 V.S.A. § 1582(a)(3).

In connection with the offenses charged in exhibits 1 and 4, actual harm was caused to members of the public. In the first instance, Respondent bit a police officer who was trying to restrain her. The in latter incident, she damaged a vehicle belonging to another and left the scene without stopping to relay the required information.

In connection with the offenses contained in exhibits 2 and 3, Respondent acted intentionally to deceive members of the public. Exhibit 2 reveals that Respondent lied while testifying in court. Exhibit 3 relates that Respondent lied to a police officer by denying that she had taken possession of a stolen dog.

As related in exhibit 6, Respondent participated in an act that could have resulted in the death of another person. Respondent positioned her vehicle next to another vehicle and permitted her passenger to reach across her and to discharge a gun into the other vehicle. Though apparently no physical harm resulted, it is self-

evident that her conduct could have caused serious bodily injury or death to another. The potential for harm is manifest.

Lastly, as related in exhibit 5, Respondent was found by police in a vehicle in which regulated drugs, cocaine, fentanyl, and buprenorphine were also found. Under 18 V.S.A. § 4221(b), "The presence of a regulated drug in an automobile, other than a public omnibus, is presumptive evidence of knowing possession thereof by each and every person in the automobile at the time such drug was found" (with certain exceptions not applicable here). Further, Respondent admitted that she had permitted an underage person to smoke marijuana.

Of the various charges, it is those in exhibit 5 that directly impact Respondent's fitness to practice nursing. The exhibit 5 offenses suggest that Respondent either uses regulated drugs or traffics in them. Since the practice of nursing frequently involves proximity to, and often access to controlled substances, the Board cannot permit a licensee to practice who has the potential to divert regulated substances.

Practitioners of nursing must be both competent and ethical. The public and, in particular, a nurse's patients must have confidence that those to whom they entrust their care are honest and straight-forward in their relationships with them and with all others. The criminal charges filed against the Respondent indicate a pattern of dishonest and deceptive conduct that would lead an objective observer to conclude that Respondent cannot be trusted with the medical care of another person.

Most alarmingly, exhibit 6 demonstrates the Respondent's willingness to participate in a scheme that could easily have resulted in the death of another person.

There is an imperative need to take emergency action because the health and safety of the public so require. The Board cannot allow the Respondent to practice when she can have access to controlled drugs. The Board has no confidence that Respondent can deal honestly and forthrightly with patients and co-workers. In addition, Respondent's participation in an act of extreme violence indicates reckless disregard for the value of human life. As such, Respondent cannot be trusted with the medical care of others. The Board must act immediately to protect the public from exposure to the danger posed by the Respondent.

Vermont law requires that unprofessional charges be filed *expeditiously* with Respondent being afforded a prompt hearing. At any merits hearing in this matter, the prosecutor will bear the burden of proving unprofessional conduct. The Findings and Conclusions in the summary suspension phase will not absolve the prosecution or Respondent from producing or challenging relevant evidence at a

merits hearing. The Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law at a contested hearing will be based exclusively on the evidence admitted at that hearing.

Order

The Request for Summary Suspension is *granted*. Respondent's license is hereby summarily suspended pending proceedings for revocation or other action. These proceedings shall be promptly instituted and determined. 3 V.S.A. § 814(c).

It is SO ORDERED this ____ day of April, 2022

Jennifer
Laurent

Digitally signed by
Jennifer Laurent
Date: 2022.04.15
16:42:34 -04'00'

Board of Nursing

By: Jennifer Laurent, Chair

Date of Entry 4/18/22