Λ	٠	Λ	7
v	•	v	,

Bang. The recording has started. Alicia, can I I don't believe I've met

0:14

you, but I see that you have an Al notetaker, and we've been advised by ads

0:20

to not have that um in our meetings. So unless um you need it need it, I'd ask

0:27

that you not um use it because we are recording this meeting and the recording

0:33

will be publicly available. Um but AI notetakers apparently

0:39

take our data into other places. So um I would appreciate if you could turn that

0:45

off.

0:50

Um okay. Um welcome everybody to Act 133's uh working group. Um

0:59

I am happy that you all are here and um we do have some new people. Um, and so I

1:07

think we should do um introductions first and I'll do um introductions from

1:14

our online folks uh first since I normally do introductions from our

1:19

in-person folks. So um Tim, can you go first please and introduce yourself?

1:25

Good morning. Uh Tim Arseno, uh assistant town clerk in Vernon and a

27year town moderator. Thank you. Um Kate uh Pearlberg. Uh BAM.

1:43

Got it. You got it. I'm Kate. Um and I am newly hired as the director of

1:50

community engagement for the Human Rights Commission. Um and I'm just attending the meetings uh just to orient

1:58

myself to the work the commission is doing. Caitlyn Rose is is still our design in these meetings. Um,

2:04

great tapping in. Thanks. Thank you, Kate LaRose.

2:11

Good morning, everyone. Kate LaRose. She her. I live in the Northeast Kingdom and I work with the Vermont Center for

2:17

Independent Living. And um, one of our key uh, missions is to make sure that

2:24

the civil rights of people with disabilities are met around the state, including the fundamental civil right to

2:30

vote in all elections. Thank you, Laura.

2:38

Good morning. Um, I'm Laura Kushman from Disability Rights Vermont. Um,

2:47

I guess that's pretty much all that's all there is. Um, Alicia

Alicia, are you able to get yourself off mute to introduce yourself?

3:11

Okay, I think Alicia might be having technical problems, so we'll move on to Sean. Alicia, if you can get off mute

3:17

and if you can hear us, please just um chime in to introduce yourself to this group at any moment. Um Sean,

3:24

I'm Sean. I'm the uh elections director here at the Secretary of State's office.

3:30

I'm Jenny Proser, the general counsel and director of municipal assistance at the Secretary of State's office.

3:36

I'm Lauren Hibbert. I'm the deputy secretary of state. I'm Susan Clark. I live in Middle Sex uh

3:42

and um uh am also a town moderator and um am uh trained on uh community

3:50

engagement and um I'm uh representing the Vermont League of Cities and Towns on this group.

3:56

One of them, Kelly Pyella. I am the assistant for the municipal assistance.

4:06

Um I'm Frank Nelson. I'm from Paul. I'm on the planning commission. I'm energy

4:11

coordinator. I'm also the leazison to the retrans

rail trail um advisory council and I've been 4:24 following over meeting laws since 2018 when I was hammering the legislature to 4:32 do essentially what we're doing here with the covering the basis for uh um 4:39 val attendees having um um an experience 4:44 where they can go to a meeting and and be included. 4:50 Thank you. Um Jenny, do you mind sharing the agenda so we all can see it on 4:57 screen? 5:05 And I have an agenda edit question when that is permitted. Sure, 5:15 please do while I find it. Thanks. Thank you. Um, so this is a small reframe but a really imperative and 5:21 important one. The act 133 wording is um studying investigating uh topics named 5:29 in act 133. They call them resources. So things like hearing devices and translators are resources. In the 5:35

agenda, it is labeled as incentives. And that's just I really just want to make sure that that is

5:41

edited appropriately

because assist of technology and reasonable accommodations are not incentives.

5:50

I don't have anything but Kelly's email. So I'm trying to find the um

5:56

the PDF original on the website. It's on our website. Got it. That link in the email. Get it here.

6:06

I don't uh Kate, your suggestion for um I was waiting for the agenda to come up

6:12

is uh well uh well heard and I don't think there's any

6:18

problem with saying resources and incentives because I think there's we're doing both in that um in that section.

6:26

So um we can definitely do that and I agree with you. Um hearing devices and

6:33

other related things are not uh incentives

6:53

before. Let me add the link.

7:06

see if you can pull it cuz mine's got a blank space where or it's got a dead

7:11

spot where the pulling up spot is. If you go into her email, there's a link to it that's not working for you.

7:19

copied the link, but I can't get Teams is not allowing me to

access it from the meeting. Well, the first thing on our agenda, I'm 7:30 going to move forward while we're waiting for it to come up on the screen, but the first thing on our agenda is followup from um our hybrid town meeting 7:39 um discussion um that included uh remote 7:44 voting. Um I know that conversation um was cut a little short. Um and so uh the 7:51 first topic there was um to brainstorm about the ideal hybrid town meeting. And 7:58 I don't know Susan if if you want to lead that discussion. Um 8:05 you can. You don't have to. You don't have to. No, I mean I I think that we we covered 8:11 a lot of ground last time in terms of we we covered um four I think it was case 8:17 studies each of them sort of addressing the question of remote town meeting participation in a different way kind of 8:26 gradual graduated way um and I feel like we are seeking um maybe as a group or 8:34 maybe we're seeking from the attorneys um clarity on which of those if any 8:41

hopefully is legal. Uh you know what do we um can can towns go ahead and do

these now? Um or uh is is there a legal um tweak that needs to happen? Um,

8:55

basically what can what can towns what can towns do today to accommodate um uh

9:02

uh requests um to for accommodation requests and what would need to change

9:08

in order for towns to do accommodation requests while uh also maintaining that that balance with with um you know

9:15

terrific meetings. You know that it's like it's worth being involved. It's worth requesting zooming into this

9:21

meeting or whatever. Um, and so we talked about a bunch of those and this final one was basically to catch any

9:29

ideas. It was sort of like, well, what's our ideal hybrid town meeting um, participation? I'm not sure we need to

9:35

go through everything, but are there things that weren't captured either by

9:40

the Cambridge model or the Middle Sex model or the Jericho model or the Wayland Massachusetts model which has a

9:46

whole uh technology aspect to it um that we want to make sure uh get gets into

9:53

our considerations um in terms of in terms of legal but also in terms of technical uh what resources would be

needed uh training u things like that. So I guess I guess this is sort of a little bit of a

10:09

c a catch all but yes a catch all without I don't think that it need we need to go through all of the things

10:14

that we already said about the other about the other ones you know because there's so much more to do

10:22

and I we do have um gosh math um we have 35 minutes uh for

10:31

this topic So, um, do people have points on an ideal hybrid, um, that weren't

10:39

made last time? Um, and I think we can incorporate what resources would be

10:44

necessary, um, into this discussion now. So, do people have um, points that they

10:51

wanted to talk about in our last meeting that didn't get made or thoughts that

10:56

percolated after we last met? I think the technology

11:03

needs to be standardized and approved before use in towns by the by somebody

11:09

who's doing an improving body. Um I heard of a new one where there was a a

11:14

guy was said in an email where guy down the street who does this stuff. Um he

was at the graduation of his son and he saw a lot of people around with hearing

11:27

aids. And they were very frustrated because they couldn't hear what's going on. Background knows my mother used to

11:32

hate go never went to town meeting because of that she had hearing aids and she couldn't hear stuff because of all

11:38

the stuff going on. But he said they they can run a wire around the perimeter of

11:45

this place and it somehow it will give everybody with a hearing aids clear

11:50

hearing of the what's going on with the with the event. And I thought that was fascinating and I

11:56

never heard of that before. So there is some technologies out there that

12:02

we haven't really dug into researching if and have somebody come forward to speak on. So that's what I was sent out

12:09

that email and he would still be available come in this way or he come

12:15

here to talk about what they do uh in that capacity. Some of it's done with

12:21

Homeland Security and stuff. So they know, you know, the government

12:26

requirements in different spaces and invite others in to see cuz that's

really we we likely don't have time to add additional people to come in and talk,

12:38

but we definitely can investigate some of this as we're writing the report and recommend that people do. I mean, what

12:46

what he's talking about is a highly uh technical thing. Um, so we we could we

12:54

can explore that as we're writing the report for sure. Right. And if the legislature wants to have demonstrations

13:01

in committee, they can have demonstrations of various um Texas, you know, speech to text, uh,

13:09

various types of devices that act as aids for the, uh, hearing impaired and

13:16

and anything else that we can come up with. Absolutely. And I want to acknowledge that we have several experts who are

13:23

part of our working group on this too. So um you know part of our agenda is to talk about next steps and I just want to

13:29

forecast that next steps will include us uh reaching out to subject matter

13:34

experts who have been part of this group to talk about some things more in details as we're writing the report. And

13:40

I fully anticipate uh Kate and Laura that we will be calling on your expertise

um in that process. Um, Kate LaRose,

13:51

thanks. Yeah, so responding to the question about ideal hybrid town meeting brainstorm things to consider. Um, I

13:57

have I think it's three. We'll see how it goes as I enumerate. Um, the first is that the onus should never be on the

14:02

most marginalized to be asking for or moving forward the hybrid town meeting. Um so we saw that last uh meeting with

14:10

Jericho and the DEI committee members who were the ones doing the hard work for three years to make that possible.

14:17

Um also that when hybrid town meeting happens in any particular municipality

14:23

or town that it becomes universally available to all. So we saw that in the Cambridge model um there was one person

14:29

who had requested an ADA request. It was permitted um and then they were the only voter in the whole town who was offered

14:36

that. Um so universally available to all the onus should not be on the most marginalized as like for example

14:42

fighting for an ADA request. Um also um as we saw in Jericho um really best

practice is that you know only the what we've seen where this has moved forward um for at least not um for at least the

14:56

meeting portion of it not the voting portion um is that currently only the people allowed and able to be in the

15:02

room are able to make those changes through voting. So a best practice approach would be that either everyone

15:09

can have access to making that change or that that change is systemic um so that it automatically is available and

15:16

instituted um everywhere as universal design. Um and then my very last point

15:21

so I guess this is three and a half um is that this also should apply and be available to those in congregate care

15:28

including those who are incarcerated. So, if it requires a special um Zoom

15:34

account or Teams account or or anything like that, it just it needs to be it

15:40

needs to provide it needs to provide access in a way that would be compatible with people who are incarcerated so that

15:46

they too can be able to um to exercise their state and federal rights.

15:53

Thank you, Kate. Uh Tim, thank you. I'm very sensitive to many of

the things that Kate said and I just want to stress that universal access will mean universal access to everybody.

16:08

It will mean uh additional cost and it will mean additional training and we

16:13

can't back away because it might be difficult for some. I will say that we

16:18

need to make sure that the needs of the heart of hearing are also taken care of as a separate group from the needs of

16:25

our deaf community in Vermont. uh as the stepparent of a deaf adult, I am

16:32

continually uh hit upon with the u the reality that uh ASL is a separate and

16:41

distinct language from English and many of uh the deaf in Vermont are not uh

16:49

reading at a high enough level to be able to follow uh text from a meeting.

16:56

So, we need to make sure that we take care of both groups and I look forward to seeing what this group uh comes up

17:03

with. So, thank you very much.

17:08

Thank you. Um I'm just seeing the note that we still

17:14

have an AI assistant and II would ask that that be removed from our meeting

again. Um Laura 17:25 Um, see, sorry. I've got all these popups coming 17:30 up about I'm Are you talking to me or the other Laura? Sorry. I was talking to the other Laura, but 17:36 I'm happy to see you. Laura Seagull. Actually, Laura's hand might have been 17:42 up before mine. Laura's hand up. 17:48 Why don't we go Laura Kushman and then Laura Seagull? Oh, I was just going to ask Kate for clarification on her point 17:54 three that she made uh about everyone having access. I I didn't quite catch 17:59 what she said there and I I wanted some clarification. Yeah. No, thank you. Um so, let's see 18:07 I can go to the page number where it was uh Clark memo. Um, so if um, uh, in Susan's memo that she shared for the 18:15

last meeting, it had the case study of Cambridge, Vermont. Um, and I believe

18:21

it's on, you can look at page four. So, um, with that particular case study, um,

18:28

one voter requested an ADA accommodation. However, it was known

that there were other voters who also needed and would have benefited from that accommodation. But that

18:39

accommodation was only offered to that one voter on the day of voting.

18:44

I think I'm talking about the next point that you made. That was your

18:49

that was your universal for all point that you made, I think. But I was talking about you saying everyone having

18:57

access to making the changes and that's what I was confused about. So, for example, like in

19:05

Jericho, um the way the only way, my understanding, and the room full of attorneys can can totally correct me if

19:11

I'm wrong on this, the only way that town meeting that currently

19:17

um that uh places that do town meeting with the three different options of

19:22

voting, public, uh officers, and then budget. Um the only way those can be

19:28

changed to Australian ballot which right now is the only way to create inclusive town meetings um and elections. The only

19:35

way that can change is if the people who are there doing the floor votes on town meeting day vote for it to be so for the

following year. So for the with the example of of um of Jericho, what they had to do I keep

19:49

saying Jericho. Is it Jericho or Richmond? It's Jericho, right? Jericho. Yeah. Y um so like in Jericho what they had to

19:55

do is essentially the DEI committee including individuals with disabilities who had not been receiving full and

20:01

equal access um had to launch a public um a public awareness and um attitude

20:08

change campaign for like 3 years to be able to get the voters in the room to be like, "Okay, you're right. We want to

20:14

make sure that everybody has the right to vote." And it was pretty intensive.

20:20

Thank you. Um, I just also want to point out, I don't know if this has happened to other people, but I keep getting

20:27

these popups of people who want to be included in the chat. Uh, and I'm Is

20:33

this Alicia Weiss? Um, the AI uh translator or that we're

20:41

trying to keep out of the meeting. I I'm not sure what's happening.

20:48

So I I think Alicia Weiss joined our meeting. Is that correct? Do you want to

introduce yourself? Alicia or did she leave? Okay, she left.

20:58

Okay, she left. Okay, so that we should try to I was I was thinking the noteaker was associated with a person who might

21:03

need to use that and I wanted to talk to her first, but it appears that question but it was the noteaker that was here

21:10

and she wasn't and then she just came in. What you saw was Alicia dot dot was actually the noteaker. Sorry, she keeps

21:16

requesting to be let back into the meeting and now I've been denying her to

21:22

re-entry into the meeting on my end because I keep getting these popups

21:27

asking if she should be coming into the meeting and I thought maybe that was

21:34

Laura. Do you mind not denying anybody? and Jenny and um Kelly will try and

21:39

manage who's in the meeting just because she keeps trying to join and I

21:46

Yeah. Well, I let her in. I did let her in and then there was the note about AI

21:52

assistance in the meeting and I thought, uh oh, maybe that's Alicia Weiss is the AI that people are

21:59

saying not to let in the meeting. And so when it came back up, I denied it twice.

So, just so you know, I I'm getting I don't know why I'm getting the popups,

22:10

but um I don't know why either. Okay. So, I think when when you had

22:17

asked for her to introduce herself, all that was in the meeting was the noteaker because it was Alyssa Alicia dot dot

22:23

and I could see on here that it was Alicia's noteaker. Since then, she has tried to come and go. Okay.

22:28

Um I think she is not here and we have the noteaker currently. So, there would be no reason for us to have the

22:33

noteaker. and that she is here. But if she is here, we might want to talk to her about why we're doing that. Great. Yeah.

22:41

And Laura Seagull, um I was not sure if you wanted to add something to the

22:47

conversation. What we're talking about right now is ideal hybrid um town

22:52

meeting. Well, um, so yes, yesterday I just

23:01

uploaded a more up to date document on my website about how to make have your

23:06

meeting more accessible. But the thing is,

this is why I I thought I could be an hour late. I went to a meeting and that meeting was very inaccessible using the

23:19

Zoom platform. And so I left and then I got a bunch of people text me, why did you leave? Why did you leave? And I

23:24

think because the medium is so inaccessible that it's like what's the point of me staying in that meeting?

23:30

The problem is if you're not seeing it through the lens of someone who's deaf heart of hearing death blind, it's

23:36

really hard for you as a hearing able person to take notice of things that is

23:42

considered inac. If that makes sense.

23:48

That makes a lot of sense and um I'm sorry that that happened. I mean, I'll give you an example. Yeah. Yeah. It's

23:56

never end. II like I said, it's it's about providing appropriate technical

24:01

guidance. Like I remember four years ago before I started my position with the state, a community member expressed

24:08

concern for her friend who's heard of hearing but not comfortable to advocate

24:13

for themselves. And it was regarding a town meeting that happened in the Northeast Kingdom area and what was

going on. and they were using the goto meat platform and unfortunately at the time I wasn't familiar with it but now

24:27

that I've seen it and been exposed to it I can see why she tried to advocate for

24:32

her friend but at the same time it's about like like for example right now for example everyone's videos everyone

24:39

video really need to be on not really especially when you have 80

24:45

100 people why you need all those video on and if you're speaking And it's

24:51

common currency to turn on your video and make sure you're facing straight at the camera because number one, you don't

24:58

know if someone rely on lip reading. Number two, sometime people want to look

25:03

out for the visual cues or body language even body language to

25:09

feel some level of inclusion so they feel more comfortable to participate in

25:14

any meeting that's being hosted to the public.

25:20

I agree with you. Oh, sorry.

No. Who Who said that? Who said that? Lauren. Lauren Hibbert. I interrupted you and I apologized.

25:33

No, no, no. That's okay. But I hope that that's helpful. Does that make sense? Yes. And what I was saying is that I

25:41

agree with you 100%. Um, I am not deaf or heart of hearing,

25:46

but I do rely significantly on people's body language to communicate. I think

25:51

the majority of us do. Um, and it really is very hard to connect with people when

25:57

people are off camera. So, I think that's a great example of universal design where it benefits everybody. Um,

26:04

but I do hear how it is particularly important if you are heart of hearing uh

26:10

or deaf. If you're blind, it doesn't it doesn't help. But um but if you are hard

26:16

of hearing or deaf, it definitely would. even if they're blind hearing or have any kind of hearing loss. Like for

26:22

example, if I knew ahead of time someone in this room had any kind of visual impairment, I would automatically say,

26:29

"Hi, this is I'm a white woman in my 40s with long brown hair, purple glass, and

wearing a black top with a blue a green background just to like feel some equal

26:41

level of inclusion." I mean, I wouldn't I wouldn't necessarily say that you would be the same thing in the room,

26:48

but on a hybrid format, it makes sense. You know what I mean?

26:56

That's a really helpful reminder, Laura. Thank you. Welcome.

27:04

Um, AJ Weiss, I believe you've joined and you are not the same as Alys as

27:14

Alicia's notetaker. Is that correct? Or are you using the AI?

27:22

Oh, we can't hear you. Can you hear me now?

27:28

Yes. Okay. Sorry. Uh, I apologize about the four. Um, I don't even have Otter. I'm

27:35

not sure why it started. It is used was used last night for an accessibility

27:40

committee meeting I heard and it may have just paired. So, I I am Alicia

27:45

Weiss. I'm the same person. I apologize about the uh Otter AI previously. It

27:52

wasn't my intention. So, I'm signed in from another account. That's the only way I could get in without loading

Otter. I don't know what's going on, but I'm going to talk to my town clerk to solve it.

28:05

Okay. Well, thank you very much, and I'm sorry uh for the confusion on letting you back in, and we're happy to have you

28:10

here. Thank you. Okay. Um we have about 10 more minutes

28:17

to talk about hybrid town meeting. And Frank, I see your hand is up.

28:22

Yeah. in the in the general theme of things because we've heard a lot of

28:28

people repeating the same message is that I think the way to start out the report is as as an educational piece on

28:36

uh universal design and then meld in the concerns of everybody uh on the elements

28:46

of element of the universal design that the legislature needs to pay attention

28:51

to And you can summarize that from the from all the meetings we've had. Obviously,

28:56

I hear your suggestion. I don't know what shape the the report's going to, but that might make sense because it might make sense. I just don't know.

29:03

We haven't put pen to paper or or fingers to keys yet. So, how many of the legislative people know

29:09

universal dyn.

So that would be a good start maybe to start off with an educational thing and then later in the examples

29:22

that's just a suggestion brainstorm. I accept your suggestion and I take it to heart.

29:31

Um and acknowledging Laura's this is Sean. I'm just acknowledging Laura's suggestion here. Uh for those of us in

29:38

the conference room to identify ourselves before speaking as like Lee Small on the Kim

29:46

Um this is Lauren Hibbert. Are there any more suggestions related to um ideal

29:54

hybrid town meeting including um training equipment and funding all very

30:00

important.

30:07

I have one um Oh, sorry Lauria. You can go.

30:14

No, no, no, no. Just can I can go? Okay. So, like the hybrid meeting,

30:19

that's the other thing I think I mentioned in the last meeting. I've been learning in the past two weeks. I've

30:25

been trying to gather more information about different public places that like

have meeting rooms or conference room, but do they have any kind of like an addictive hearing um I'm sorry,

30:37

assistive listening system or device? And what I'm finding is not many places

30:43

have it. So people keep asking me for the funding and I'm beside I have no money

30:49

and I'm not even sure where to direct people to get the money to have that kind of accommodation implemented into

30:56

wherever they located. That's when I first met you guys in the beginning. I was asking if you had a

31:02

budget to work with and you so it makes me wonder. We have a large percentage of

31:08

people living in our state who are hard of hearing. They don't they're not always open about it. But I would love

31:13

to see you know it increase somehow and so people can be more I don't know

31:19

like out and open about their hearing loss so they don't come across as being difficult. And this is the pattern I'm

31:26

hearing. Like for example yesterday somebody called me up and they said we're having a conference in Clinton but

31:32

none of the rooms have a m a microphone. And I was like, "But why don't you just flush that person into the room that

21	1.38
J	

does have a microphone to accommodate them?" And they're like, "I don't know." Common sense.

31:44

Yeah. This is um this is Lauren Hibbert again.

31:49

Um, I think that and I I need to uh say that when we redid um our office of

31:58

professional regulations boardroom, it is has uh hearing aid compatibility in

32:04

it. Um we probably should have been having this meeting in that that board

32:09

meeting room this whole time. Um and even when you have it, you can forget

32:15

it. So um and I did that. Um, so but I

32:20

do know that we made that decision intentionally when we were redoing that room and we hired an expert to help us

32:27

with that and it did have an expense and um I would have to go back in our

32:33

invoices to understand that expense but um well worth it I think because we have

32:40

many board members in our professions that and attendees to our board meetings

32:45

that are hard of hearing. Um but um the funding is a big piece that

we're going to have to weave into this report in multiple places because um the

32:57

ideal or um best practices can't happen without funding and the funding needs to

33:05

come from somewhere and that can be choices made at the municipal level or it can be funding from the state level.

33:12

Um, but if it's made at the municipal level, and I think we talked about this a little bit, um, maybe last meeting or

33:19

the meeting before, um, these are not really negotiable, like these are

33:26

essential spending as opposed to non-essential, but that's being made at a very, uh, town by town basis and can

33:35

be made incorrectly. Um and there's not a lot of guidance from anybody around those decision-m. So um the funding will

33:45

have to be a big piece of the report, Laura. And maybe we can move the needle a little bit on the funding for these um

33:52

really appropriate resources um to be better incorporated throughout the

33:58

state. Um AJ, you had your hand up next. Okay. Thank you. Um, I apologize if

34:05

someone said this because I did join late for which I apologize. I think what Laura was speaking to was not having a a

2/	١.	1	2
2	٠.		J

hearing loop in every facility, which would be ideal. That is the that is the

34:19

best practice and would really be universal design in the sense that it

34:26

would obiate the need for people to make individual requests. uh it assumes

34:32

disability is a is a natural part of the human condition and that there will be people who are hearing impaired. Uh

34:39

correct me if I'm wrong, Laura, but I think what she was saying is that often in meetings there are not even

34:45

microphones that are being passed around to speakers. Uh I see that a lot. I just

34:51

brought this up once again. Uh we had an important town meeting uh that was

34:56

hosted by a very well-meaning, intentioned and

35:02

um inclusivityminded nonprofit in Vermont. But once they got

35:10

to and that they had mics that were passed around and people were reminded to use them in responding in a large

35:18

meeting, but once they got to we got to breakout rooms, it was assumed that

35:23

people could hear and I know people who had to leave and left in frustration and

this was um you know a meeting about the future of the town. So really uh aside

35:34

from the need for loops and when there are not loops um auxiliary devices which

35:41

are part of you know which should be able to be requested as an ADA accommodation uh in other words um

35:49

assisted listening devices al but other you know portable ones um may not be as

35:57

great as an inclusive as a loop but that's a backup but just reminding

36:02

people that even in small what they believe are small rooms when everyone

36:08

can hear and even if you talk loud we hear that a lot. I well I talk loud everybody I know everybody can hear me

36:15

well not maybe not so um to remind speakers to identify themselves

36:23

and uh for visually impaired for people who have cognitive issues where they may have even if you spoke five minutes ago

36:30

may not remember your name just standard practice and everybody uses the mic no

36:37

exceptions there's perhaps someone at the table speaker's table, but there is one or two mobile mics regardless of the

size of the room that go around. Thank you. Thank you, Hate.

36:53

Thank you. Um, so I want to push back on the framing that this is needs to be a

37:00

clear request to the legislature for funding. And there are two reasons why.

37:05

Um, of course funding is always nice, but globally, um, this is a kick the can

37:11

down the road, wrong pocket issue that we're that we're framing it as. And I don't believe that to be the case. We do

37:16

not tell the legislature that towns require funding from them for other fundamental operational expenses such as

37:23

snowplowing, electricity, or heat. Um, we say that is a cost of doing business.

37:29

elections including elections and meetings that are inclusive and accessible to all is a cost of doing

37:36

business. The more that we continue to frame this as something new or different

37:41

um actually harms all of us. So this is uh fundamental cost of doing business.

37:48

There is no reasons operationally that a town should look at their budgets any other way with this than they do with

37:54

any other fundamental cost. The second is that requirements under state and

federal laws relating to meeting the needs of people who've been historically and currently marginalized, including

38:04

people with disabilities, those living in poverty without transportation, and those for whom English is not their

38:10

first language. We are told time and time and time again that we don't we

38:15

will not have our needs met because it is an undue burden. We are told that there is no time, we are told that there

38:21

is no money when in reality that's actually not a legitimate excuse but

38:26

it's an excuse that towns will use as shorthand. Um so I just I really want to

38:32

push back on this framing from this committee and from the secretary of

38:37

state's office that this is a funding issue when fundamentally I believe is an access and an attitudinal issue.

38:43

I hear you Kate and I just want to say that I think um I'm being misunderstood

38:49

a little bit because what I was saying is I'm I feel like there has been historically putting those at the

38:56

municipal level there's too much discretion involved and that towns have

not made the choices that you are saying. So I don't know what the solution is. that what I was saying is I

39:07

don't know what the solution is, but the current practice of putting that at the

39:12

town level without any statewide requirements is not working. And I've heard that from you very clearly. So, I

39:18

just want to make sure that you understand that. I wasn't saying that the legislature has to be the hero and

39:24

provide funding to every town. I don't I don't think that's going to be possible quite frankly. And I agree with you.

39:29

It's kicking the can down the road. But I do think that we need to grapple as a state of what do towns need to do and

39:37

there needs to be something more concrete so that these decisions become less discretionary because right now

39:43

they're too discretionary and that is creating inequity. So that that is what

39:49

I was trying to say. Um Frank I think emphasizing is not discre on the

39:56

discretionary thing. No. No. Okay. But if you attach a carrot to it, which is a

grant application, by the way, to qualify, you have to fill out this grant application. If the money's available,

40:09

we're we'll assist you with all your needs. If it's not available, then you get in in a line. And that's budgeting

40:16

responsibility to legislature. If they weren't fully funded around one option of those applications, then there is no

40:24

excuse because the highway departments, the paving of the roads, everything is

40:29

done through these grant processes and the towns don't have the money and they and it comes down through from the

40:35

state. There's there's lots of ways to solve this and that towns do to to cover

40:42

their budgets on whole and towns make a wide variety of choices to fund one

40:47

thing over another thing. There's a lot of competing priorities. There's a one pot of money. But I think what Kate's

40:54

been saying and um is that there are basic and Kate, correct me if I'm wrong,

41:00

but and because I want to make sure that I'm hearing you and understanding you and reflecting your position. I think

41:06

what Kate's been saying is there's some things that are non-negotiable that towns just have to fund and the

41	:1	4
	•	•

accessibility features that would allow everyone in the community to participate in open meeting um conversations and

41:22

meetings um and not just the meeting but the the breakout conversations

41:28

um and elections need to be a need to be part of the standardbased operation for

41:35

a But I think um I still think and and this is something that I really want to

41:41

work with you Laura on is what is that what do we need to ask towns to to

41:48

provide because I've heard a lot of um I think I think of the world very much in

41:54

good better best um and I think we need to figure out what is good what's better

42:01

and what's best so that we can help towns prepare so that someone and again

42:07

picking up a thread of what Kate said so that someone doesn't have to make specific requests. Towns are ready for

42:14

everybody. But I still don't have a clear picture of how a town can be ready

42:19

for everybody. So, I'm I'm thinking actually that Laura Seagull, it would be

42:25

really helpful if you could forward that document that you posted to your website um to this group on um hybrid meetings.

but also Laura Kushman, I'm really hoping as we start working on the report that I can get some of your expertise on

42:41

what a community needs to do to be ready for anybody to walk in the door and have

42:49

accessibility understanding that there's going to be things that we can't anticipate and there's going to need to be modifications. I think that's pretty

42:56

baseline and needs to be in the report. But um it would be really helpful I think to as we're making a

43:03

recommendation about to the legislature about what should be required or to towns that would you know we're not

43:09

going to be the deciders. Our report is not going to be the be all end all. We can't enforce anything and um but we are

43:18

providing recommendations. So um I'm gonna Susan uh thank you. Yeah, I think this is

43:25

super super helpful. Um and um I I do think there's there's a push pull in terms of um not forcing marginalized

43:33

populations to have to step up and raise their hand and yell and scream. We should be able to provide uh the meet

43:40

their needs without them having to ask. And also towns and the state need to be

informed about what those are. I think that's what what you're saying is that that we can't read minds, you know, no

43:50

town can do that. And so having clarity a lot from the advocacy groups super super helpful to say you know that as

43:57

you said good better best and I think that it's also important on the funding issue to recognize that towns have a

44:03

budget and they get their money their money from um taxpayers

44:10

us um and um what we hear a lot from towns I've heard for decades and decades

44:16

is the legislature keeps giving us unfunded mandates what we call unfunded mandates My background is actually in

44:22

the environmental world. Um, and I worked for an environmental group for many years and people were the towns

44:29

were like blah, you know, how can you you're telling us that we have to do these environmental things, but they

44:35

cost money. Where is the money going to come from? It's not that we're anti-environment. It's not that we don't want clean rivers, but you know, and of

44:42

course, as an environmentalist, it was like, well, look, you know, you don't value rivers enough if you're not willing to pay this money.

I put that to both. But um that's that was my that that was

44:53

my feeling. I I do think that we need to connect the dots because

45:00

when we ask um when when there is a a mandate, the town is either going to

45:07

meet that mand they're going to meet that mandate somehow. And if the mandate is that, you know, these roads, for

45:14

example, these roads need this many culverts uh in order to meet this standard

45:20

and it's like that's too expensive. We can't put in those culverts. The town might throw up the road. Literally, the

45:26

town might say we can't maintain that road anymore. We just can't have that road. It's too expensive. And therefore,

45:33

that mode of transportation for that town goes away. And you know, we just

45:38

have fewer roads because it costs too much money to have the roads. That literally happens. And I'm concerned

45:45

that that can happen about democracy. I'm concerned that we can say it's just

45:50

too expensive to have in-person meetings. They need to be hybrid. We need to have every form of technology,

all of this stuff. And meetings are great, but the if you know, so so we

46:01

need to the good, better, best element is important. I think when we when we're

46:06

thinking about what kind of democracy do we want to have just like what kind of transportation system do we want to

46:12

have? How can we make these how can we deal with these issues with with grace

46:18

and understanding so that we can truly get the both and that that of

46:24

Yes. Laura Seagull.

46:32

Um, I have an idea if we're going to make recommendations

46:38

later. And before we get to that point, I need your help with something. Um, so

46:45

like I mentioned, in the past two weeks, I've been working on trying to make my map more. This map is to list all of the

46:52

places in the map that has any kind of an assistive listening device or system.

46:58

And right now on my map, I don't have any town location. Like if you're a town

47:04

clerk office and that's where you host your public meeting. I don't have that information on the map. I'm wondering if

you can help like send out a mass email to all the town cook. And just to get the idea, do you have one? If yes, what

47:18

kind? Do you have an FM system? Do you have a infant system? Do you have a hand? Do you have Bluetooth? Do you have

47:25

Wi-Fi? And if we do and then I can add to the map and I can start tracking and that might help us give a better

47:30

determination of what kind of funding you're asking for in terms of to support

47:36

more towns that may not have some kind of an assisted listening device or system. That part face toerson meeting

47:45

at least we have some idea of what's there and what's not there. And then on top of that, we need to encourage more

47:51

people to read my guide because everything I say in my guide, I I'm

47:56

confident it covers all the high points of what people need to know. But then sometimes there little nittygritty

48:03

detail that I don't mention that seems to me seem trivial um and common sense. But then again, I

48:10

can't assume everyone has common sense. So unfortunately not. Laura, I'm going to

48:16

um I I think we can do that and I think it's useful for this group um and this

um report and I'm going to ask um Sean Shihen uh our elections director to

48:29

reach out to you and get those questions that we should ask the town clerks um

48:34

because there were a lot of details there that I I didn't catch and I think there's probably some specificity that

48:39

would be helpful. Um, and I can send out a survey uh to town clerks and ask that

48:46

question. And and I'm just going to tell you, we typically get about 65% response rate.

48:52

So, um, I can't promise 100% response. We don't get it. But, um, 60 65% that

48:59

would be good data for us to have. Um, Kate

49:05

Pearl Pearlberg Kav, how do you pronounce your last name, Kate? I really want to get it right and I'm probably

49:11

butchering it. It's Pearlberg Quam, but um Kaitlyn Rose had their hand up before me. Her hand up

49:17

before me. Oh, sorry Kate. Thank you. I'll make this quick. Um so I

49:22

I just want to say that I appreciate the spirit of the intent of what Frank and Susan are sharing. And I really really

feel it necessary to reiterate that state and federal civil rights and two articles of the state constitution are

49:33

not new and they are not unfunded mandates. They are civil and human rights laws of the land that have been

49:39

on the books for decades, right? So the awareness from towns, select board

49:44

members and clerks of their responsibilities and accountabilities under the laws might be new, but the

49:50

requirements are not. So that is like super important for me that that is what the understanding of this group and in

49:57

the report is. So their awareness of their responsibilities under the laws are new, but the laws themselves are not

50:03

new. Voting rights and access to town governance is a requirement, but paved roads are not.

50:09

And I just want to say and and give Susan an opportunity to respond, but my

50:14

understanding was not from Susan that that those requirements were new, but that towns frequently have to grapple

50:21

with other new requirements such as covert um ratios and all sorts of things. I can

tell you that the the amount of things that the legislature puts on towns and state agencies quite frankly

50:36

without um funding is significant. And but I don't I didn't necessarily hear

50:43

Susan's comment as saying accessibility was a new mandate. I heard her saying

50:48

that towns are grappling with new mandates all the time, but not that this this accessibility is a new mandate.

50:55

that and yeah no I thank thank you for that and thanks for making that point um Kate

51:00

I agree with you it's yes the the mandates aren't new the awareness is emerging

51:07

um but um what is changing really quickly and really is new is the

51:12

technology um and since co people's um

51:17

interest in awareness of expectations about um being able to join with

51:23

technology has just it's been a J curve um which is fantastic for accessibility

51:30

as as I as I know you have said and it's also hard to keep up um for uh it's hard

51:38

to keep up in it's uh in terms of skill and money uh and resources. So so in

that sense I think um there is it's a brave new world that we need to adapt to.

51:51

Um I'm going to go to AJ and then Frank. Oh, Kate, uh, other Kate, would you like

51:57

to add anything? Yeah, I was basically gonna say the same thing that that Kate was going to say

52:02

and just comment as a first-time attendee to this group, it does seem like the the framing of the funding

52:09

challenge, which is of course a challenge, does seem to be sort of repeatedly like halfless. You know,

52:16

we're saying things like, well, we can't read minds. We don't know what, you know, what the community needs. And it,

52:22

you know, it it sort of makes me think that maybe we hadn't had disabled people in our community for all of human

52:28

history, right? And there's been plenty of writing about what's needed. But I hear you about the technology being um

52:35

the the most mysterious piece of the puzzle here. And I I just Yeah. to reiterate that finally we have access to

52:43

the tools we need to follow the law. So let's Yeah. You know, let's follow the law. Well, I think this is Lauren Hibbert

again. I think that that's part of why this working

53:40

need some help coming up with what are the recommendations for what?