

Timestamp	Paste the action (or strategy) requiring discussion here	The reason this action (or strategy) requires discussion. Please be short	Your name (optional)
1/26/2025 15:47:54	P2, S2, A1	Language "requiring" VTrans to create an incentive program	
1/26/2025 15:49:00	P2, S2, A3	Language "requiring" the PUC to take specific actions around EV charging rates is problematic	
1/26/2025 15:50:20	P2, S2	concerned that no incentive measures made the list of priority actions given this has been a leading strategy to date	
1/26/2025 15:51:36	P2, S4, A3	Language "directing" the PUC to develop EV charging rates is problematic	
1/26/2025 15:54:08	P3, S!	Uncomfortable advancing this absent the final cap and invest report which includes a look at LCFS	
1/26/2025 15:56:08	Transportation P4, S1, A3	Language "requiring" towns that benefit from public transit to update zoning is problematic	
1/26/2025 15:57:26	transportation P4, S2, A3	need to understand what the what is that CAP VMT target based on	
1/26/2025 15:59:01	transportation P4, S3, A2	unclear who is responsible for what	
1/26/2025 16:04:49	cross-cutting, energy coaches	why isn't this a highlighted priority?	
1/26/2025 16:07:54	cross-cutting pathway 25, statewide redevelopment authority	seems unrealistic	
1/26/2025 16:13:08	RRA, community capacity, S18a	"improve recovery communications" lacks context to be actionable	
1/26/2025 16:13:57	RRA, community capacity, 18b	I don't see how this is a climate strategy	
1/26/2025 16:15:13	RRA, Community capacity, 18c	What would the information from the commission on flood-displaced Vermonters be used for?	
1/27/2025 5:22:12	RRA, public health, S19b	Unclear what this would accomplish: Adopt a policy to prioritize state climate mitigation spending on actions that maximize public health, climate resilience, and health equity co-benefits, while minimizing the potential for co-harms.	
1/27/2025 5:27:04	Ag&Eco, S19b	The recommendation does not match my understanding of "climate-adaptive forest management" - which I have understood to really focus on complexity as opposed to individual species	
1/27/2025 5:30:10	ag&Eco, S19d, state land management	This is already happening, so will be important to better articulate what, if anything, is currently falling short	
1/27/2025 5:31:30	Ag&Eco, S19d, introduced species	Consider broadening to be more than just forests, but also riparian areas. Knotweed spread thru flood events is an incredible challenge	
1/27/2025 5:44:41	Ag&Eco, S22b	no net loss of natural and working lands remains problematic as it could be interpreted to mean no new development	
1/27/2025 5:47:33	Ag&Eco, S22c, VHCB funding	this feels really specific (\$3m, 15%) as compared to most other recommended actions; unclear what these asks are based on	
1/27/2025 5:50:06	Ag&Eco, S25	This feels like it belongs in a different section of the CAP and is largely duplicative with RRA... consider deleting	
1/27/2025 5:52:20	Ag&Eco, new actions	Unclear if these are being proposed for inclusion in the CAP - if they are they require further discussion. The biomass recommendation, in particular, is inconsistent with the Council's current position	

Timestamp	Paste the action (or strategy) requiring discussion here	The reason this action (or strategy) requires discussion. Please be short	Your name (optional)
1/27/2025 6:07:51	Ag&Eco, S22b, UVA	language around "forever wild" is a concern; not sure why this is indicated as implemented either	