INITIAL VERMONT CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

Vermont Climate Council DECEMBER 2021

Contents

(1) Letter from the Vermont Climate Council	3
Land Acknowledgement	4
(2) Executive Summary	5
Using this Plan	9
Acknowledgements	12
(3) Climate Change in Vermont	18
(4) The Vermont Energy Economy & Opportunities Related to Climate Action	32
(5) Vermont Climate Action Plan Requirements	38
(6) Foundational Criteria	41
Public Engagement	45
(7) Building Equity into the CAP and Ensuring a Just Transition	47
(8) Coordination of the Climate Action Plan and Comprehensive Energy Plan	51
(9) Scientific Underpinning of the Climate Action Plan	52
Social Cost of Carbon	52
Greenhouse Gas Inventory Review and Supplemental Accounting	56
Carbon Budget	58
(10)Pathways Overview	64
(11)Pathways for Emission Reductions	65
Transportation Pathways for Mitigation	68
Buildings & Thermal Pathways for Mitigation	89
Electricity Pathways for Mitigation1	02
Agriculture Pathways for Mitigation1	.09
Other Non-Energy Emissions Pathways for Mitigation1	28
Cumulative Assessment of Action on Carbon Reduction for 2025 and 2030 requirements1	.36
(12)Pathways for Adaptation and Building Resilience in Communities and the Built Environment 1	.38
(13)Pathways for Adaptation and Building Resilience in Natural and Working Lands	.68
(14)Pathways for Sequestration and Storing Carbon	:06
(15)Cross-Cutting Pathways	19
Compact Settlement	219
Education	27
Personal Action That Individual Vermonters Can Take to Reduce Emissions2	:32
Cross-cutting Themes	:37
Environmental Justice Policy	:37

Workforce Development	238
State Government, Community, and Partner Capacity	240
Building Codes	240
Benefits and Burdens of Energy Choices	241
Climate Action Plan Progress Assessment and Implementation	243
(16)Measuring and Assessing Progress	244
(17)Implementing Vermont's Climate Action Plan	250
Legislative Action and Rule Making	254
(18)Climate Council Next Steps	259
(19)Connect with the Council	261
(20)Definitions and Acronyms	262
(21)Appendices	266

Letter from the Vermont Climate Council

We adopt this initial Climate Action Plan under the Vermont Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) with feelings of both urgency and responsibility to do our part to mitigate climate change through transformation of how we use and source energy; to adapt our communities and built environment to the warming planet; to protect our natural and working lands from the damage created by climate change; and to support and enhance the resilience of Vermont's landscape and communities. Climate change represents an existential threat for people and the natural systems upon which we depend for our health and well-being. It is critical that we act to become more resilient and adaptive to climate change already underway and that we do more to reduce the emissions that have brought us to this point, in order to create a habitable future.

This initial Climate Action Plan represents one of the first public processes in the State of Vermont to acknowledge and try purposefully to incorporate equity and the principles of a just transition in both its development and outcome – but we know we fell short. During our meetings and outreach, too few Vermonters had their voices lifted up to join the voices of those who have also participated in similar endeavors in the past. In our development of pathways, strategies, and actions, we faced challenges creating programs and policies organically in partnership with marginalized communities and individuals in Vermont and to envision new ways to ensure a just transition for all of us. As we continue forward, we have a strong desire to engage more Vermonters deeply and equitably in this transition, recognizing the historical and present harms and systemic injustices that are at work here in Vermont and elsewhere.

And yet, there is much in this initial Climate Action Plan to embrace and act upon now, and from which we must build going forward. The recommendations set forth aim to make real and lasting progress toward the significant greenhouse gas emissions reductions required by the GWSA and to strengthen Vermont's ability to adapt to a changing climate. Many essential, detailed ancillary reports represent new analyses that underpin our work and point the way forward. We adopted several concrete recommendations with broad consensus, designed to promote mitigation, adaptation, and resilience, and we look forward to implementation by the Legislature, state agencies, and our partners in climate action throughout the State. We also identified many areas that will require more conversation and will be the focus of further development at the Council in the years ahead. Just as we have acknowledged the need for the Council to better partner with marginalized communities and individuals in our work moving forward, we urge those involved in Plan implementation likewise to commit to meaningful, effective engagement with those on the front lines to make just transitions possible.

We are grateful for the dozens of devoted subcommittee members; the many committed participants, from members of the pubic to technical consultants, who attended our meetings and events; and the thousands of Vermonters who were able to engage with us in this process. Many of you spent countless hours helping us develop Vermont's initial Climate Action Plan – thank you.

The Council's work continues and is ongoing, as contemplated by the GWSA and demanded by the imperatives of climate change. The measure of these efforts will be in the ways our energy sources change, our natural and built environments adapt, and Vermonters engage in a just transition away from climate pollution and toward a cleaner and sustainable future.

Land Acknowledgement

An Expression of Gratitude to the Land and Her First People

December 21st, 2021

Hello Alnobak (Humans),

We have gathered close to the geographic center of what is now called Vermont, the very western side of N'dakinna. We gather at Montpelier, the place that was once the bottom of Lake Winooski. We acknowledge the traditional homelands of the Winooski people on the day of the winter solstice. The sun is at its lowest point. For many cultures this is a day of celebration, a day that marks the death and rebirth of the sun. We thank the sun, the soil, the water, the air, the plants and the animals, for our food and water, for our clothing and shelter, for the air we breathe: for life.

We acknowledge the beautiful snow that shines brightly in the sunshine and shows us a hundred shades of white, blue and gray, and the rabbit, turkey, deer, racoon and squirrel prints on her blanket. We hear the gentle wind rustling the maple leaves on the ground and rust brown beech leaves in the trees. There are evergreens and trees who have lost their leaves and trees whose leaves are brown and still on the branch. We hear the wind whispering in the white pine's boughs, weighted with snow. We hear the songs of the chickadees, juncos and crows, and see the blue jays and squirrels foraging. The land has had a thousand ages, and will have a thousand more. It has lain at the bottom of the sea, and been pushed up into towering peaks, has borne flood and fire and glacier.

We honor the Winooski People that have cared for this land since the last glacier receded and long before. We recognize the community they created across untold generations, and the physical and cultural genocide they have endured for 400 years. We acknowledge with humility and gratitude how they have endured with one heart and one mind, and the protection they have provided to this land in spite of the atrocities from which they themselves were not protected.

The waterways and watersheds are essential to understanding the land. Caring for the watershed is caring for the people. Today, December 21st, 2021, the snow melts into rivulets, flowing into the North Branch of the Winooski, home to crayfish and mussels and a thousand other creatures. The surface ripples of the Winooski River shine like glass as the wind blows it along.

This special place is the meeting place of many confluences. The Winnoski's seven large tributaries, the Little River, the North Branch, the Kingsbury River, the Huntington River, the Dog River, the Mad River, and the Stevens Branch, all join the Winooski near here. In the past ancient ones would gather at this confluence for meetings and treaties and weddings, for planning and celebrating. It is here that many still meet to plan and celebrate this land. It is here that we meet to make our commitments to the land and to the future. We know our work will affect every living thing in this land, and we acknowledge our debt and our responsibility to all of them.

Executive Summary

Vermont is committed to moving forward actions that set the state on the path to building a resilient and adaptive future that meets our statutory emission reduction requirements, as laid out in the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA). The Vermont Legislature passed Act 153, also known as the GWSA on September 22, 2020, creating the Vermont Climate Council (hereinafter the Council) and set forth specific greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction requirements for the State to achieve. The Act requires reductions in Vermont's GHG emissions tied to three time periods: 2025, 2030, and 2050. Vermont is required to reduce its GHG emissions by no less than 26% below 2005 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2025; by no less than 40% below 1990 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2030; and no less than 80% below 1990 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2050.

The 23-member Council, comprised of eight administration officials and 15 appointments from the legislature representing various sectors, is charged with:

- Identification, analysis and evaluation of strategies and programs to reduce GHG emissions, to achieve the State's GHG reduction requirements, and to prepare the State's communities, infrastructure and economy to adapt to current and future effects of climate change;
- Adoption of the Vermont Climate Action Plan by December 1, 2021, to be updated at least every 4 years, that sets forth specific initiative, programs, and strategies that the State will pursue to reduce GHG emissions, achieve the reduction requirements, and build resilience in communities, infrastructure, and the economy; and
- Identification of accurate means to measure the state's GHG emissions and progress towards meeting the reduction requirements; effectiveness of initiatives, programs and strategies included in the Plan; the effect of climate change on wildlife, climate and natural resources of the State, and the State's existing resilience and progress towards improving resilience and adaptation.

To achieve the Council's mandate, the GWSA established four Subcommittees and charged them to assist with preparing the Climate Action Plan (the Plan or CAP) and carry out other duties which are spelled out in detail in their charges (See Appendix INSERT). The four Subcommittees identified in the GWSA are: Rural Resilience and Adaptation; Cross-Sector Mitigation; Just Transitions; and Agriculture and Ecosystems. The GWSA also allowed the Council to create additional Subcommittees to advise the Council. To address the technical complexity and data needed for this effort, the Council created a fifth Subcommittee: Science and Data.

The core function of the Subcommittees is to recommend draft initiatives, programs, and strategies for the Council to review, refine and compile into Vermont's Climate Action Plan. As outlined in the legislation, the Subcommittees collectively must further the following objectives:

- Prioritize the most cost-effective, technologically feasible, and equitable GHG emissions reduction pathways, adaptation and preparedness strategies;
- Provide for GHG emissions reductions that reflect the relative contribution of emissions from different sectors;

- Minimize negative impacts on marginalized and rural communities and individuals with low and moderate incomes;
- Ensure that all regions of the state benefit from GHG emissions reductions;
- Support economic sectors and regions of the state that face the greatest barriers to emissions reductions, especially rural and economically distressed regions and industries;
- Support industries, technology, and training that will allow workers and businesses in the state to benefit from GHG reduction solutions;
- Support the use of natural and working lands to reduce GHG, sequester carbon and increase resilience; and
- Maximize the state's involvement in interstate and regional initiatives and programs designed to reduce GHG emissions, and build upon state, national, and international partnerships and programs.

To ensure the Subcommittees had the right composition to accomplish their charges, recruitment focused on:

- Council members and some measure of balance across the three appointing bodies (Executive Branch, House and Senate);
- Specific expertise necessary to create the work;
- Geographic balance;
- Sectorial balance; and
- Equity and representation of vulnerable populations.

The recommendations ultimately put forward in this Plan are the collective work of these subcommittees. These recommendations were reviewed and adopted by the Council over the period of several months and together represent the necessary actions needed to advance the objectives of the GWSA.

In conjunction with developing the recommendations found within, the Just Transitions Subcommittee supported the work by ensuring that the strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and build resilience to climate change impacts will benefit and support all residents of the State of Vermont fairly and equitably. The term "Just Transitions" encompasses both public policy and business action that address the impacts of the transition away from greenhouse gas emissions for jobs and livelihoods (the transition "out") to low or zero greenhouse gas emission jobs and livelihoods of a sustainable society (the transition "in"). The Just Transitions Subcommittee designed six key principles to guide the development of the Climate Action Plan. These include:

- I. Ensuring *Inclusive, Transparent, and Innovative Engagement* in the development of the plan and associated policies and program.
- II. Creating *Accountable and Restorative* recommendations that recognize inequality and seek to resolve them using clearly identified strategies.
- III. Moving at *The Speed of Trust* where candor and honesty are recognized as essential for public trust and preparing Vermonters for transition to a sustainable climate future.
- IV. Incorporating *Solidarity* to create inclusionary spaces for all traditions and cultures, particularly for Indigenous communities, recognizing them as integral to a healthy and vibrant Vermont.

- V. Prioritizing *The Most Impacted First* through recommendations that address the needs of impacted and frontline communities first, providing the greatest benefits of transitions to these communities.
- VI. Developing *Supports for Workers, Families, and Communities* that consider and plan for potential impacts on workers, families and their communities based on the implementation of Vermont's Climate Action Plan

The Just Transitions Guiding Principles provide a framework for climate action to be utilized during the continued development and ongoing implementation of the Climate Action Plan. These principles set expectations for the Climate Council and its subcommittees to conduct their work, what recommendations they make and how investments, implementation and oversight of the Plan must occur. The Guiding Principles have helped shape the beginning of a process of engagement and the assessment of the recommendations as they speak to equity and justice. The Climate Council has taken some important first steps, however, the Council has heard significant frustration around the aggressive timeline for adopting the initial CAP which weakened opportunities for a robust equity analysis and public engagement process. Both are essential to move away from a status quo that exacerbates inequities and leaves impacted communities at greater risk from climate change.

The Council acknowledges that to realize transformative change, ongoing engagement with Vermonters will be needed to consider solutions and understand the barriers to implementation. This Fall, the Council engaged with a total of 1,602 Vermonters to discuss the development of the Climate Action Plan. Public events were held over a few weeks where feedback was received, an online survey was conducted, and public comments collected through the online portal were summarized. Through these forums, Vermonters engaged in formulating the actions for inclusion in the Climate Action Plan, helping the Council consider how it will advance climate action in Vermont. To be clear, the Council was challenged by both the timeline and the ongoing pandemic. The velocity of the process influenced the participation level of groups that are likely to be more highly impacted by climate change including rural Vermonters, low-income communities, BIPOC communities, and Vermonters with disabilities. The public engagement plan outlined additional opportunities for broader partner outreach and support that were not fully realized during this phase due to the lack of time to build trust and respectfully coordinate with multiple partners.

Vermont's initial Climate Action Plan is a vital opportunity to build a stronger, healthier, more vibrant, and resilient future for Vermont, but it is only the first step. The work of the Climate Council is ongoing, and the Council has been clear that this is an initial plan. It was not possible to address all the objectives identified in the GWSA to the level of detail needed to advance them immediately in the time provided by statute. As such, there are a number of pathways that require further work.

While the Legislature, the Agency of Natural Resources and other agencies of state government will work to advance numerous actions put forward in this plan, the Council will work to advance efforts in several key areas, including but not limited to:

• Funding and financing options, with an immediate emphasis on recommendations related to the expenditure of the American Rescue Plan Act dollars to support implementation of the CAP and ultimately the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) as well..

- Overseeing the rollout of the Climate Action Plan and on-going public engagement.
- Furthering the implementation of the Guiding Principles and the Scoring Rubric in the program design of priority actions.
- Consider tabled actions and next steps to further their advancement.
- Organizing the subcommittees work to focus on next steps to further the resilience, adaptation, and sequestration actions prioritized in this plan.
- Prioritizing additional and finalize ongoing technical analyses needed to inform future iterations of the CAP.
- Identifying the means to accurately measure the impact of implementation of the Plan.
- Conduct further work to develop strategies for transportation sector emissions reduction.
- To make progress on all these fronts, the Council will need to determine what the necessary organizational structure and resources will be in the coming year.

Given the ongoing work, we envision robust engagement by the Council for the foreseeable future, on behalf of Vermonters, to realize the transformative change needed to meet both the challenges and opportunities of climate change.

Using this Plan

The Climate Action Plan outlines the steps Vermont needs to take to impact meaningful climate action. This Plan includes recommended actions for state, regional, local, private, and non-profit sector partners. It also includes actions that individual Vermonters can take, highlighting the request the Council heard many times for a set of implementable actions at all levels of society and government. This Plan is organized around five areas:

- Emissions reductions;
- Building resilience and adaptation in Vermont's natural and working lands;
- Building resilience and adaptation in Vermont's communities and built environment;
- Enhancing carbon sequestration and storage; and
- Cross-cutting pathways (those that are particularly impactful in supporting both the emissions reduction and resilience and adaptation efforts called for by the GWSA).

These areas address the full range of work needed to reach the emissions reduction requirements established in the GWSA, as well as ensure that Vermont's communities and landscapes are resilient to the impacts of climate change. For each area, the Plan identifies a set of pathways, strategies, and actions, that while not exhaustive, meet the intent of the emissions reduction requirements, and adaptation, resilience, and carbon sequestration goals of the GWSA.

Pathways are the high-level means to achieving GHG emissions reduction or adaptation, resilience, and sequestration goals. While written broadly, pathways are specific enough so that progress made in achieving them can be readily assessed. Under each pathway, a suite of strategies has been developed. Strategies are a statement of measurable activity, a benchmark to be reached in pursuit of the pathway and within each strategy are a set of actions. Actions are the operational tasks that the State, regional organizations, municipalities, non-government organizations, businesses and individuals will need to undertake to meet the pathways and strategies. Actions were developed around existing and new policies, programs, projects, initiatives, and plans. The actions and strategies presented in the body of the CAP were identified based on the prioritization process undertaken at the subcommittee level. See the graphic below for details on how to read the strategy and action tables.

The pathways for emissions reduction identify steps that need to be taken together to meet the GHG reduction requirements established in the GWSA. Achieving these requirements is a significant undertaking, requiring aggressive immediate and sustained efforts, and the set of actions included in that section must be taken as a whole to ensure Vermont both can meet its 2025 requirements, and be on the path to compliance with the 2030, and 2050 GHG reduction requirements. The Climate Council has identified a lead implementer, and timeline for implementation, to ensure a shared understanding of what will be needed to bring each recommendation to fruition.

Unlike emissions reductions, requirements and benchmarks for progress related to resilience and adaptation were not clearly established by the GWSA. The pathways for adaptation, resilience, and carbon sequestration include specific actions for implementors at the state, regional, and local level, but do not identify a lead implementor or timeline to implement by action. A requirement was set for carbon sequestration and storage, which requires the state to be net zero by 2050 but intermediate benchmarks to ensure we are on track to meet that requirement were

not identified. The Climate Council acknowledges more work needs to be done to identify clear metrics and goals for these areas of work.

The release of this initial Climate Action Plan is a step in the Council's work to inform climate action in Vermont. This plan includes a section on implementation that should be used by legislators, state agencies, and other stakeholders to inform the work needed to fulfill the charge of the GWSA. In parallel, the Council will continue to build out a framework for measuring and assessing progress that government, non-profit, private sector, and municipal partners to track the impact of their actions, as well as supporting the Council in periodically assessing progress, and producing future versions of the Climate Action Plan that recommend changes in approach needed to fully implement the GWSA.

How to read an action or strategy table

Lead implementer who will be working on this action. This is not inclusive of all necessary partners, but names the lead government agency, legislative body, non-profit, private sector partner, etc. who will take the lead and coordinating on this action. A lead implementer is only identified for the actions under the Emissions Reduction Pathways. Acronyms have been used to save space and are listed under Definitions and Acronyms. For Emissions Reduction pathways, **impact** is an assessment of the actions' contribution to achieving 2025, 2030, and 2050 emissions reduction requirements. For all other pathways, impact is an assessment of the action on adaptation, resilience, and carbon sequestration goals. Actions were ranked as high, medium, and low and all actions included in the body of this plan were ranked either as high, or as actions that are needed to support high priority actions (enabling actions). For all other pathways, impact was assessed at the action level, but the plan includes a summary assessment of all actions under a strategy.

An **equity assessment** was conducted at the action or strategy level using the Guiding Principles for Just Transition Scoring Rubric developed by the Just Transitions Subcommittee. The equity assessment and scoring rubric can be viewed under Attachments.

Lead Implementer

Action or Strategy Details

for the action, for all other

the strategy level for the

strategy.

pathways, this is described at

group of actions under each

Timeline to Implement

) Impact

Equity

Cost-Effectiveness

Co-Benefits

Each action describes the
policy, program, or tool that
is recommended to support
the strategy and pathway.Actions under the Emissions
Reduction Pathways include
a detailed **timeline by**
which to implement.For Emissions Reduction
Pathways, this is describedActions under other
pathways do not include this

pathways do not include this as the assessment was done at the strategy level, and actions underneath may be implemented at different times.

The assessment of **technical feasibility** speaks to the degree to which the required technologies are developed and reasonable available.

Technical Feasibility

For Emissions Reduction Pathways, **Cost-effectiveness** was assessed as the lifetime net cost per ton of GHG emissions avoided. For all other pathways, it refers to the relative lifetime net cost of the action compared to the desired outcome or impact.

Assessment of **co-benefits** at the action and strategy level included reviewing the impact on broader societal benefits as well as building resilience, adaptation, mitigation, and storing and sequestering carbon.

Acknowledgements

The Vermont Climate Council expresses its deepest thanks and appreciation to all the people and organizations who contributed to this Plan.

THE MEMBERS OF THE VERMONT CLIMATE COUNCIL ARE:

Cabinet Members

*Chair Kristin Clouser, Agency of Administration, Interim Secretary

*Julie Moore, Agency of Natural Resources, Secretary

Anson Tebbetts, Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets, Secretary

Erica Bornemann, Department of Public Safety, Director of Vermont Emergency Management, Rural Resilience and Adaptation Subcommittee Co-Chair

Joe Flynn, Agency of Transportation, Secretary

June Tierney, Department of Public Service, Commissioner

Sean Brown, Department of Children and Families, Commissioner

Lindsay Kurrle, Agency of Commerce and Community Development, Secretary

Members Appointed by the Senate Committee on Committees

*Jared Duval, Executive Director of Energy Action Network, member of a Vermont-based organization with expertise in energy and data analysis, Science & Data Subcommittee Co-Chair

*Lauren Oates, The Nature Conservancy, member with expertise in the design and implementation of programs to increase resilience to and respond to natural disasters resulting from climate change

Abbie Corse, The Corse Farm Dairy, member to represent the farm and forest sector, Agriculture & Ecosystems Subcommittee Co-Chair

Chad Farrell, Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Encore Renewable Energy, member to represent the clean energy sector

Iris Hsiang, Essex, member to represent Vermont youth

Kelly Klein, Founder and CEO of Groennfell Meadery and Havoc Mead, member to represent the small business community

Sue Minter, Executive Director of Capstone Community Action, member to represent the Vermont Community Action Partnership, Just Transitions Subcommittee Co-Chair

Members Appointed by the House of Representatives

*Chris Campany, Windham Regional Commission, member to represent the municipal governments

*Liz Miller, Green Mountain Power, member to represent distribution utilities

Bram Kleppner, Danforth, member to represent Vermont manufacturers

Brian Gray, member to represent the fuel sector

Catherine Dimitruk, Northwest Regional Planning Commission, member to represent rural communities, Rural Resilience and Adaptation Subcommittee Co-Chair

Dr. Lesley-Ann Dupigny-Giroux, Vermont State Climatologist, UVM Professor of Climate and Climate Change, member with expertise in climate change science

Johanna Miller, Vermont Natural Resources Council, member to represent a statewide environmental organization

Richard Cowart, Regulatory Assistance Project, member with expertise and professional experience in the design and implementation of programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, Cross-Sector Mitigation Subcommittee Co-Chair

Note: The asterisk next to certain names indicates they are a member of the Steering Committee.

Also, a special thank you to Susanne Young who stepped down as Secretary of the Agency of the Administration and Chair of the Council in November of 2021. Adam Knudsen and Sophia Clark were also Councilors who resigned during the year.

THE ADDITIONAL SUBCOMITTEE CO-CHAIRS ARE:

Billy Coster, Agriculture & Ecosystems Subcommittee Co-Chair, Director of Planning and Policy in the Agency of Natural Resources

Peter Walke, Cross-Sector Mitigation Subcommittee Co-Chair, Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Conservation

Sarah Phillips, Just Transitions Subcommittee Co-Chair, Director Office of Economic Opportunity of Department of Children and Families

TJ Poor, Science and Data Subcommittee Co-Chair, Director of the Efficiency and Energy Resources Division of the Public Service Department

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

Rural Resilience and Adaptation Subcommittee Members

Catherine Dimitruk

Erica Bornemann

Chris Cochran

Chad Farrell
Joe Segale
David Snedeker
Michael Burke
Ann Lawless
Karen Horn
Jason Shafer

Agriculture and Ecosystems Subcommittee Members

Abbie Corse

Billy Coster

Ryan Patch

Lauren Oates

Alissa C. White

David Mears

John Roberts

Judy Dow

Jake Claro

Charlie Hancock

Iris Hsiang

Cross-Sector Mitigation Subcommittee Members

Richard Cowart

Peter Walke

Johanna Miller

Ed McNamara

Liz Miller

Jared Duval

David Farnsworth

Gina Campoli

Christine Donovan

Peter Bourne

Kelly Klein

Ryan Patch

Chad Farrell

Chris Campany

Bram Kleppner

Science and Data Subcommittee Members

Jared Duval TJ Poor

Julie Moore

Brian Gray

Jason Shafer

Louis Cecere

Richard Hopkins

Danielle Bombardier

Dr. Lesley-Ann Dupigny-Giroux

Just Transitions Subcommittee Members

Sue Minter Sarah Phillips Kelly Klein Chris Campany Beverly Littlethunder Iris Hsiang Virginie Diambou Aris Garcia Danielle Bombardier Kashka Orlow Mona Tolba *Jennifer Myka*

Nadia Marquez Pabst

Kiah Morris

Note: The italics delineate subcommittee members who resigned over the year.

CONSULTANTS

Thank you to David Plumb, Pat Field, Catherine Morris and Cameron Hager from Consensus Building Institute for process guidance and meeting facilitation. Thank you to the consultants at Cadmus, Energy Futures Group, University of Vermont and SEI who produced reports looking at the cost of doing nothing in response to climate change to the State; an emissions analysis of the draft pathways and strategies to reduce climate pollution; an economic analysis of the draft emissions reduction's pathways and strategies; a carbon budget; and a greenhouse gas inventory report. Related to these technical analyses, a special thank you to Liz Hanson and David Hill for their project management and technical expertise. Cara Pike and Meredith Herr of Climate Access and Sarika Tandon of RISE Consulting supported our public engagement and outreach strategies and developed our public engagement plan and public engagement findings report. Finally, Kiah Morris was critical in supporting the Council standup a process to support our ongoing work to ensure the equitable implementation of the Plan.

STAFF

Thank you to the staff of the Agency of Natural Resources (ANR), Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), Fish and Wildlife Department (FWD), Forests, Parks and Recreation (FPR), Agency of Transportation (AOT), Public Service Department (PSD), Agency of Agriculture and Farm Markets (AAFM), Vermont Emergency Management (VEM), Agency of Commerce and Community Development (ACCD), Department of Children and Families (DCF) and Agency of Administration (AOA). We had additional staff support from Capstone Community Action.

Jane Lazorchak, ANR Marian Wolz, ANR Elle O'Casey, ANR Melissa Mazza-Paquette, AOA Megan O'Toole, DEC Collin Smythe, DEC Brian Woods, DEC Anne Margolis, PSD Bronwyn Cooke, ACCD Jens Hilke, FWD Ben Rose, VEM Susan Sharbino, VEM Stephanie Smith, VEM Ken Jones, ACCD Dan Dutcher, AOT Deirdra Ritzer, DEC Dan Edson, BGS Diane Bothfield, AAFM Abbey Willard, AAFM Ross MacDonald, AOT Liz Shields, DEC Amanda Carlson, Capstone Community Action Claire Mcllvenie, PSD Carey Hengstenberg, DEC Bob Popp, FWD Dr. Alexandra Kosiba, FPR Alex DePillis, AAFM Sonia Howlett, AAFM Marli Rupe, DEC Judson Peck, AAFM Bennet Leon, DEC John Adams, VCGI Andrea Wright, AOT

Climate Change in Vermont

Lesley-Ann L. Dupigny-Giroux, Jason Shafer, Owen Pollio, Ken Jones

1.0 Preface

This section of the Climate Action Plan presents the drivers and processes of climate change in the Vermont by focusing on the natural hazards that affect multiple socioeconomic sectors and which directly influence on our resilience as a state. In presenting resilience through the dual lenses of inclusion and vulnerability (of peoples, the natural environment and human infrastructure), we honor Abenaki knowledges (Figure 1a) and all ways of knowing (Betts, 2021), as we seek to do no harm (Figure 1b).

For consistency with other state-level Climate Action Plans, this section used data and statistical methods developed in support of the Fifth National Climate Assessment (NCA5)¹ by the National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Northeast Regional Climate Center (NRCC). One such document is the 2021 Vermont State Climate Summary² which is included with permission as Appendix 1 and which will be released by NCEI by January 2022. County level climate projections of future thresholds were summarized from the NOAA Climate Explorer³. Sectoral impacts of climate change across Vermont can be found in the 2021 Vermont Climate Assessment. Existing tools for monitoring and quantifying vulnerabilities will be woven throughout this section.

2.0 Background

Across Vermont, natural hazards of varying intensity, duration and frequency occur. These include severe storms, winter storms, drought, flooding, wildfires, air pollution, ground-level ozone⁴, temperature extremes, localized winds, and biotic elements (insects and disease)⁵. Some of these hazards are ubiquitous, while others tend to occur at specific geographic locations. This poses varying exposure or risk and therefore, societal vulnerability. As climate change continues to be observed in Vermont, the characteristics of these hazards are also changing and this sets up cultural, socioeconomic and policy implications for Vermonters as individuals, municipalities, communities, and indigenous peoples, as well as for the built and natural environments. Thus, climate change related impacts on our economic sectors are of central importance in this Climate Action Plan, as we lay out the inaugural framework for mitigating against and adapting to climate change, while building our resilience as a State.

¹ <u>https://www.globalchange.gov/nca5</u>

² <u>https://statesummaries.ncics.org/chapter/vt/</u>

³ https://crt-climate-explorer.nemac.org/

⁴ Oswald, E. M., Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A., Leibensperger, E., Poirot, R. and Merrell, J. (2015) "Climate controls on air quality in the Northeastern U.S.: An examination of ozone statistics during 1993-2012," Atmospheric Environment, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.019. Available at <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231015300200</u>

⁵ Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A., 2002: Climate variability and socioeconomic consequences of Vermont's natural hazards: A historical perspective. Vermont History, 70 (Winter/Spring 2002), 19-?39. <u>https://vermonthistory.org/journal/70/vt701_204.pdf</u>

2.1 Geographies of vulnerability

The topography or physical geography of Vermont is one of the most important factors in influencing the occurrence of natural hazards their impacts on human settlements, the location of our major roadways in steep, V-shaped valleys, and our ability to increase resilience as a state. The north-south spine of the Green Mountains, along with the complex east-west valleys and the north-south ridges of the Taconic Mountains⁶ affect the movement of localized winds and incidence of freezing rain conditions; produces enhanced orographic precipitation and the associated flooding events; control the incidence of pollution and stagnation events, as well as variations in freeze and frost dates⁷.

Various online tools can be used to monitor Vermont's human and landscape vulnerability. The NOAA Climate Resilience Toolkit⁸ offers a 5-step, risk-based management framework to achieve climate resilience. The Vermont Department of Health has summarized the Vermonters' vulnerability by geographic location as well as by climate hazards (Figure 4c) and offers the online Heat Vulnerability Index Mapping Tool⁹ for ongoing monitoring. Finally, the Forest Monitoring Cooperative has launched the Forest Ecosystem Monitoring Cooperative's "Monitoring northeastern forest indicators for signs of climate-drive change"¹⁰.

⁶ Dale, T.N. (1905) Taconic Physiography, Bulletin No. 272, Series B, Descriptive Geology 74, Department of the Interior, United States Geological Survey. https://pubs.usgs.gov/bul/0272/report.pdf

⁷ https://www.weather.gov/btv/climoFreeze

⁸ https://toolkit.climate.gov/

⁹ Heat Vulnerability Index <u>https://ahs-</u>

vt.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=5bfd71bdeff242d4a8f0d2780369807a and Vermont Social Vulnerability Mapping Tool at <u>https://ahs-vt.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=ffea40ec90e94093b009d0ddb4a8b5c8</u> ¹⁰ https://www.uvm.edu/femc/cooperative/projects/climate_indicators

Figure 1a



Figure 1a. Diagram submitted to the Vermont Climate Council as frameworks to guide discussions and understanding of the relationship between humans and the Earth. 'We are all related' was created by Abenaki scholar and educator Judy Dow.

Mowkawogan represents the spine of the land. The Community is everything from insects, plants, birds, fish and humans, as well as those beings in between. The Community works to protect the land and keep the spine connected in every way. This connection of the spine will keep the soil strong to allow the place of birthing to continue to give birth and contribute to the health and well-being of the community. *Woigan* is the spine; *mowi* means "to move;" *mowkannoak* means "they travel in a group together;" and *mowigoboak* means "they stand together," or "they stand as a group." All of these words imply the community will move and stand strong together to protect *aki* (the soil). The community will work to protect and care for *aki* at all costs. After all, it is their place of birth.

Mowkawik means the band's land. This is the place within which the community or band travels together to protect community and *aki*. This is the watershed, the place where the community works to protect the waters that feed the spine that loves and cares for *aki*. A community never stays in one place long enough to damage *aki* or *bakabagw* (clear water). The group moves throughout the watershed to preserve the clear water for the community. This is true conservation at its best.

Gogassigamigwzoak literally translates to "there are many families living together." This is the Nation, the place where everyone speaks the same language. Gogassomkwaki means so many thousands, referring to the many thousands of people that work to care for water, community, and aki. This is the place where *Mowkawogan* continues to grow.

Gedakina means our world or our worldview. This is the place where one will reach an understanding of the cycle of life within their own community and those of others. This is the place one reaches when they make connections to form new **relationships**. These new relations will learn together. It is their **responsibility** to show **respect** and **reverence** to *aki* and that *aki* is our relation. Once these values are learned, the community will know how to **reciprocate** to *aki* in a proper way. The community will now know *Wadagwobagezi*, we are all related to one another.

Figure 1b

DO NO HARM – PEOPLES, COMMUNITIES, THE LAND



Originally created by L-A. L. Dupiany-Giroux for the Vermont Climate Council – June 2021

Figure 1b. Diagram submitted to the Vermont Climate Council as frameworks to guide discussions and understanding of the relationship between humans and the Earth. 'Do no harm- Peoples, Communities, the Environment' by Lesley-Ann L. Dupigny-Giroux, after consultation with Abenaki elders and scholars.

3.0 Understanding Climate & Climate Change In Vermont

3.1 Wind and snowfall climatologies

High winds may occur from either large-scale weather systems, also known as gradient winds, or from localized thunderstorm wind gusts. The occurrence of high winds from large-scale weather systems (gradient winds) in Vermont shows a strong correlation with elevation. Under certain meteorological situations, locally strong winds can be enhanced by terrain in nearby valleys (e.g., October 30, 2017, wind storm).

Vermont's distribution of snowfall also follows a strong correlation with elevation. This is due to the colder temperatures at higher elevations, and the influence of the mountains in producing enhanced upward motions which lead to localized clouds and higher winter precipitation. Total snowfall ranges from around 70" a year in the deeper valleys to over 200" in the highest Green Mountains. Winter temperatures and precipitation are increasing, which will likely result in a greater number of winter storms featuring elevation-sensitive rain or snow accumulations. A comparison by the National Weather Service Burlington Forecast Office (NWSFO-BTV) of the average monthly snowfall received at long term stations during the cool season for the 1980-2010 vs. the 1990-2020 overlapping 30-year periods¹¹, revealed that with the exception of January and April, total snowfall, decreased for the months of November, December (FIGURE 2f), February and March¹².

Wet snowfall occurs when partially melted snowflakes have water on their edges, making them sticky. Freezing rain occurs when rain falls into a shallow subfreezing layer of air and then freezes on contact to surfaces. These processes are more frequent at higher elevations, principally due to colder temperatures and higher precipitation accumulations. The greatest risks from wet snow and freezing rain icing show a strong correlation with elevation across Vermont. Thus, wet snow and freezing rain hazards are more likely to produce power outages at higher elevations (Figure 2e). However, intense ice storms can still occur in valleys, especially in deeper northern valleys near the international border when shallow cold air can recharge itself from Canadian source regions such as the ice storms of January 1998, and December 22, 2013.

3.2 Temperature variability

Across Vermont, the 2010-2020 11-year period has been the warmest since records began in 1895, with the warmest winter and summer seasons occurring in the 2000-2020 period¹³. Vermont's average annual temperature has increased over $2^{\circ}F$ from the 1970s to 2010s and over $3^{\circ}F$ from the end of the last century (Figure 3a). The rate of warming has increased through the last 120 years, and is currently around $+0.5^{\circ}F$ a decade. While this rate of warming may seem relatively small compared to perceived daily temperature changes, the overall warming is having

¹¹ <u>https://www.weather.gov/btv/climoSnowfall></u>

¹² Banacos, P. C., 2011: Box and whisker plots for local climate datasets: Interpretation and creation using Excel 2007/2010. NWS Eastern Region Technical Attachment No. 2011-01, National Weather Service, Eastern Region Headquarters, Bohemia, NY, 20 pp.

¹³ Runkle, J., K.E. Kunkel, S. Champion, L.-A. Dupigny-Giroux, and J. Spaccio, 2017 (2021 revision): Vermont State Climate Summary, Supplemental Figures. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 149-VT. NOAA/NESDIS, Silver Spring, MD, 26 pp.

a number of notable effects, such as the lengthening of the growing season, less reliable winter snow cover, and shifting peak energy usage to the summertime. Seasonal temperature trends show the winter season warming nearly twice as fast, increasing over 4°F from the 1960s to the 2010s. Other observed seasonal shifts include an expanding warm season causing longer falls and winter to have more false starts, and increased intra-seasonal and inter-seasonal temperature variability (more fluctuation within seasons). Backward or false springs (during with snow and freezing rain can occur in April-June after the normal progression of warming temperatures¹⁴ continue to be observed, even with the observation that freeze-free seasons are longer¹⁵.

¹⁴ Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A.L., 2009: Backward seasons, droughts and other bioclimatic indicators of variability. In: Historical Climate Variability and Impacts in North America.

Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A. and C.J. Mock, Eds. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 231-?250. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2828-0_14

¹⁵ Runkle, J., K.E. Kunkel, S. Champion, L.-A. Dupigny-Giroux, and J. Spaccio, 2017 (2021 revision): Vermont State Climate Summary, Supplemental Figures. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 149-VT. NOAA/NESDIS, Silver Spring, MD, 26 pp.



FIGURE 2. Data from the National Weather Service Burlington showing the locations of severe wind occurrences (a), annual winds of at least 58 miles per hour (b) for the 1955-2016 period https://www.weather.gov/btv/Understanding-the-Spatial-and-Temporal-Variability-of-Vermont-and-Northern-New-York-Severe-Weather-Climatology-1950-2016.

Average annual frequency of high winds (45 mph gust or higher) at a 10-meter elevation above ground level (c);

Average annual precipitation spatial distribution (based on the 1990-2019 average from the PRISM dataset) (d);

Average annual frequency of significant wet snow or freezing rain icing accumulation. Wet snow accumulation threshold is 0.40" or greater of liquid water content over 24 hours. Ice thickness threshold is 0.25" or greater accretion over 24 hours (e).

Source for c-e is a 5-km downscaled dataset from 1980-2019 following Shafer and Cronin (2021). National Weather Service Burlington comparison of December snowfall statistics for 22 locations across Vermont and upstate New York for 1990-2020 vs. 1980-2010 (e) Source: https://www.weather.gov/btv/Understanding-the-Spatial-and-Temporal-Variability-of-Vermont-and-Northern-New-York-Severe-Weather-Climatology-1950-2016.

Figure 3a



Vermont Temperature Trend and Climate Projections

Figure 3a. Vermont decadal temperature observations and projections under the low emissions scenario (RCP4.5 - moderate global GHG emissions mitigation), and the high emissions scenario (RCP8.5 - GHG emissions continue following business as usual). Inset table shows current and observed seasonal temperature changes across Vermont. Source: Both the inset table and observed temperatures graphic were created using climate division data downloaded from NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information Climate at a Glance tool https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cag/divisional/mapping/. Climate projections data (red and orange lines) were downloaded (at the county scale and aggregated to a statewide value) from NOAA's Climate Explorer tool https://crt-climate-explorer.nemac.org.

Further details on the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) scenarios can be found in Appendix 3 of the Fourth National Climate Assessment (NCA4) <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/appendix-3/#section-2</u>. Explanation of the methodology by which the 32 global climate models are weighted can be found in Section 4.3.4 of the NCA4 Climate Science Special Report (CSSR) <u>https://science2017.globalchange.gov/chapter/4/</u>. This weighting methodology, which was applied to all of North America, takes into account the characteristics of the 32 input models as well as their ability to simulate the climate of North America. The downscaling of these weighted global climate models using the Localized Constructed Analogs (LOCA) methodology is explained in <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/appendix-3/#section-2</u>.

Figure 3b



Average Annual Growing Degree Days

Figure 3b. Average cumulative seasonal growing degree days (using a 50°F base). Based on a 5-km downscaled dataset from 1990-2019 following Shafer and Cronin (2021).

Growing degree days are used to determine arm season growing potential for various crops. Growing degree days are highest in the warmest areas of Vermont (primarily west of the Green Mountains and in southern valleys), and lowest in the Northeast Kingdom and highest elevations. Growing degree days have increased by approximately 5 to 10% over the last 40 years, primarily as the warm season expands in the late summer to early fall (Figure 3b).

As Vermont's climate warms there has been an observable shift in temperature extremes. Heat waves are becoming more likely while cold waves are decreasing. Evidence for this from Burlington (Figure 4a) shows a steady decline in cold waves peaking around nearly 6 per year in the 1970s to less than 2 per year in the 2010s. Heat waves have generally increased from around 3 to 4 per year in the 1960s/1970s to over 7 per year in the 2010s. These changes will cause a shift in peak energy demand to more likely occur during the summer season, and increase heat exposure health risks to vulnerable populations.

Since the mid-2000s, a below average number of very cold nights (defined as nighttime temperatures of 0°F or less) has also been observed in winter, with a near to above average

annual number of warm nights in the 2000-2020 period¹⁶. The Vermont Department of Health¹⁷ has documented the combined influence of warmer winters and longer warm seasons as contributing to both a more hospitable environment for blacklegged ticks, as well as their hosts, white-footed mice. Figure 5a captures the exponential increase in probable Lyme disease cases between 1990 and 2016, with Vermont and Maine being the states with the highest increases in actual reported case rates since 1991¹⁸ (Figure 5b, c). The Department's climate and health pages¹⁹ are a resource for additional information on climate impacts on health, considerations for vulnerable populations²⁰, potential impacts (e.g. on pollen, allergies, mold in buildings, waterborne and foodborne diseases) and the health benefits to be derived from climate change adaptation and mitigation.

3.3 Moisture variability

As Vermont's climate warms, the overall amount of precipitation is also increasing. Warmer temperatures produce increased evaporation of water vapor from nearby bodies of water, resulting in a greater potential for weather systems to produce higher amounts of precipitation. In general, increases in annual precipitation changes are relatively small, on the order of +0.5" to +1.0" a decade, with the greatest increases in precipitation occurring during the winter season.

Vermont's distribution of precipitation follows a strong correlation with elevation with the deeper valleys receiving less than 40" annually while, the highest peaks nearly reach 80" annually (Figure 2d). The interaction of weather systems with Vermont's terrain produces enhanced precipitation from airflow that is forced upward over mountains on their windwards sides, and precipitation suppression where the airflow is forced down the leeside. The Champlain Valley, for example, rests in the climatological rain shadow from the Adirondack Mountains of New York.

As noted in Runkle et al. 2021 (and shown on the Standardized Precipitation Index values on Figure 6a), the year 1970 marked a shift towards annual average precipitation trending above the long term average, increasing by almost 6" since the drought decade of the 1960s. The wettest period since 1895 was observed in 2005-2014. Extreme precipitation (defined as greater than 2" over 24 hours) has also trended above the long-term average since 1995. These trends are reflected in the increases in stormflow between 1950-2006²¹ (Figure 7b), as well as the increasing magnitudes of the 1% (100-year return interval) storms across timescales from 1 hour (Figure 7c) to 1 day (Figure 7d). Such changes in recurrence intervals and other precipitation statistics should be factored into infrastructure planning, hydraulic studies and floodplain management in order to mitigate against ongoing loss, failure or disruption.

Vermont is marked by tremendous hydrologic variability over time and space. Temporally, fluctuations of importance can occur over very short time frames (e.g. in the transportation sector, heavy precipitation on the order of minutes to hours is of critical interest) up to weeks, months and years (which are important to the agricultural sector where moisture availability

¹⁶ Runkle et al., 2021; see Appendix 1.

¹⁷ https://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/ENV_CH_WhitePaper.pdf

¹⁸ EPA Change Indicators, 2021 <u>https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-lyme-disease</u>

¹⁹ <u>https://www.healthvermont.gov/environment/climate</u>

²⁰ https://www.healthvermont.gov/health-environment/climate-health/vulnerable-populations

²¹ Hodgkins, G. A., & Dudley, R. W. (2011). Historical summer base flow and stormflow trends for New England rivers. Water Resources Research, 47(7). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009109</u>

Figure 4. Observed changes in severe heat and cold. Heat waves are defined as at least three consecutive days reaching 85° F or warmer. Cold waves are defined as at least three consecutive days falling to 0° F or colder. Based on observations at the Burlington International Airport (KBTV (a). Climatology of the mean number of days with temperatures >= 87° F for 2001-2020, National Weather Service Burlington (NWSBTV) (b). The NWSBTV issues heat warnings in collaboration with the Vermont Department of Health, which has compiled the sources of human health vulnerability by exposure and/or life status (c), as well as to heat, by age.

4b)



	Health Impact Area						
erability Type	Extreme Heat	Extreme Weather	Water/ Foodborne Diseases	Vector- Borne Diseases	Cyano- bacteria	Asthma, Allergies & Air Quality	
Older adults	X	Х	Х	Х		X	
Children and teenagers	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
People with chronic health conditions	x	x	x	х		х	
New Vermonters (refugee and other foreign-born)	х	х	x	х		х	
People with limited socioeconomic resources	х	x				х	
People living alone	Х	Х					
People who are homeless or housing-insecure	х	х		х		х	
Users of private wells and small water systems			x				
Outdoor workers	Х	Х		Х		Х	
Outdoor recreationalists	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	
Mobile home communities	X	Х	Х				
Urban areas (more impervious surfaces, less tree canopy)	х	x					
Remote areas with long drive times to hospitals	x	x					
Flood plains		Х	Х	Х			
Mountain valleys prone to temperature inversions						x	
Locations near recreational waters			x		x		
Forest/field edges and trails where ticks thrive				х			
Areas near stagnant water				Х			
	Older adults Children and teenagers People with chronic health conditions New Vermonters (refugee and other foreign-born) People with limited socioeconomic resources People living alone People who are homeless or housing-insecure Users of private wells and small water systems Outdoor workers Outdoor workers Outdoor recreationalists Mobile home communities Urban areas (more impervious surfaces, less tree canopy) Remote areas with long drive times to hospitals Flood plains Mountain valleys prone to temperature inversions Locations near recreational waters Forest/field edges and trails where ticks thrive	Older adultsXChildren and teenagersXPeople with chronic health conditionsXNew Vermonters (refugee and other foreign-born)XPeople with limited socioeconomic resourcesXPeople with limited socioeconomic resourcesXPeople with aloneXPeople with along aloneXOutdoor workersXOutdoor workersXOutdoor recreationalistsXUrban areas (more impervious surfaces, less tree canopy)XRemote areas with long drive times to hospitalsXFlood plainsMountain valleys prone to temperature inversionsLocations near recreational watersXForest/field edges and trails where ticks thriveXAreas near stagnant waterV	Older adultsXXChildren and teenagersXXPeople with chronic health conditionsXXNew Vermonters (refugee and other foreign-born)XXPeople with limited socioeconomic resourcesXXPeople with limited socioeconomic resourcesXXPeople with limited socioeconomic resourcesXXPeople with aloneXXPeople with aloneXXPeople with are homeless or housing-insecureXXUsers of private wells and small water systemsXXOutdoor workersXXUrban areas (more impervious surfaces, less tree canopy)XXRemote areas with long drive times to hospitalsXXFlood plainsXXMountain valleys prone to temperature inversionsXXLocations near recreational watersXXForest/field edges and trails where ticks thriveXX	Problity TypeImage: Second	erability Typeerable by	erability Typeuu<uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu	

Table 1. People and places that are at elevated risk for particular climate hazards are indicated by an "X".





Older and Young Adults at Highest Risk of Heat Illness



Figure 3: Annual Incidence of Heat Illness Emergency Department Visits in Vermont, by Age Group, 2003 – 2010 (Data source: Vermont Uniform Hospital Discharge Data Set)



Figure 5: Number of confirmed and probable Lyme disease cases reported to Vermont Department of Health, 1990 to 2016





FIGURE 5. Proliferation of Lyme disease in Vermont. Confirmed and probable cases of Lyme disease 1990-



Change in Reported Lyme Disease Incidence in the

2016, Vermont Department of Health (a). Comparison of the reported cases of Lyme disease in 1996 and 2018 for the Northeast and Upper Midwest, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, EPA Climate Change Indicators (b). Change in the reported cases of Lyme disease 1991- 2018 for the Northeast and Upper Midwest, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, EPA Climate Change Indicators (c).

<https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-lyme-disease>

during key phenological stages of the planting, growing and harvesting seasons is paramount). Moisture extremes (droughts and floods) can and have occurred simultaneously across the state (e.g. flooding in Southern Vermont in July 2021, while the northern reaches were in moderate drought). In fact, Southern Vermont just experienced its wettest four summer months (June through September 2021) on record, with major localized flooding throughout the month of July and its most widespread major flooding event occurring on July 29, 2021 since Tropical Storm Irene, nearly 10 years earlier. The region was fortunate to experience only glancing blows from tropical systems Fred, Henri, and Ida thereafter. Both the flooding that did occur, and the catastrophic flooding that could have occurred but did not, had the paths of major systems been shifted, confirm the need for climate adaptation and resilience even as the production of greenhouse gases must be reduced.

Apart from heavy precipitation, especially from slow-moving or stalled storms, flood-producing conditions include the presence of deep snow cover, frozen ground and ice-covered rivers (primarily in the cool season), with saturated soil, existing bankfull conditions, full reservoirs and complex topography.

Droughts followed by flooding in the same year is also a characteristic of Vermont, a pattern which has not changed over the last 100 years. For example, this flip-flop was observed in 1927 (Figure 6b) (where the November floods remain the flood of record for Northern Vermont) and more recently in August 2011 (where the flooding due to Tropical Storm Irene stands as the flood of record for Southern Vermont). The August 2011 drought was an example of a new type of drought called a flash drought, which is now observed more frequently in Vermont. Flash droughts²², as their name suggests, develop very quickly (weeks), typically in the spring/summer months, where the lack of precipitation and decreased soil moisture is often exacerbated by high daytime temperatures and low relative humidities in the air.

Apart from the newer flash droughts, traditional droughts tend to fall into staggered categories with meteorological (precipitation deficit) occurring first, followed by agricultural droughts (soil moisture deficit) and then hydrologic ones (when surface waters, lakes, groundwater and wells are affected over the course of months to years). As Figure 6 shows, it is possible to be in a meteorological drought (e.g. 1910s, 1930s), but not a longer term hydrologic drought. Recent droughts in Vermont and across New England suggest that this traditional sequence is changing. The year 2020 was a marker year when short term flash droughts over the summer were followed by record-setting streamflow and groundwater droughts in the fall²³. This was significant because some of these records that were set or tied occurred on streams with 71, 89 and 90 years of records, dating back to the droughts of the 1930s. Across Vermont, evidence of this severe 2020-2021 hydrologic drought included the number and depth of new wells being drilled, and the fact that well drillers were still managing homeowner requests into the fall of 2021.

Drought impacts on drinking water supplies as well as adequate water availability for key Vermont sectors such as hydropower, agriculture, forestry and other water-based recreation and tourism activities, represent a pressing need for building resilience to moisture extremes.

²² Otkin, J. A., M. Svoboda, E. D. Hunt, T. W. Ford, M. C. Anderson, C. Hain, and J. B. Basara, 2018: Flash droughts: A review and assessment of the challenges imposed by rapid-onset droughts in the United States. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 99, 911–919, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0149.1.

²³ Lombard, P.J., Barclay, J.R., and McCarthy, D.E., 2020, 2020 drought in New England: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2020–1148, 12 p., <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20201148</u>



FIGURE 6. Monthly Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) values showing meteorological droughts and wet periods from 1895-2021 (a) <https://www.drought.gov/states/vermont>. Lake Champlain heights in 1927 relative to the extremes and median observation as of November 2021 (b) <https://www.weather.gov/btv/lakeLevel?year=1927>. USGS hydrographs showing the 7-day average runoff for 1929-1930 (c) and 2020-2021 (d). USGS height of the groundwater well at Glover, Vermont for December 2020-November 2021 highlighting the record low observations (e)

https://groundwaterwatch.usgs.gov/AWLSites.asp?mt=g&S=443952072114001&ncd=awl>.

Hydropower generation is also subject to fluctuations in water levels. The Connecticut River is a heavily-managed river due to the presence of hydropower facilities at Wilder, Bellows Falls, Vernon, and Northfield, Massachusetts (which affects the flow of the river and erosion in Vernon, Vermont). It is also falls within the jurisdiction of both the states of Vermont and New Hampshire, with the river at and below the low-water mark on the western shore falling within the jurisdiction of the latter. To the extent climate change forecasts suggest intensification of the hydrologic cycle, this has implications for Connecticut River water quality and quantity that will require greater coordination between the states of Vermont and New Hampshire, greater management of competing uses, and which could have significant downstream implications including the Long Island Sound Total Maximum Daily Load for nitrogen. This coordination could create new purpose for the Connecticut River Joint Commissions (see 10 V.S.A. § 1193).

4.0 Economic Impacts of Climate Change in Vermont

Due to the small geographic extent of Vermont as a state relative to the global economy, it is difficult to provide absolute attribution to the gradual, but certain climate changes that are occurring. Similarly, it is also difficult to tease out all of the specific economic impacts directly attributed to climate change. However, there are three categories of economic damage where the impacts are clear. These are structural damage, human health impacts and the disruption to production and supply chain within the business sector.

One partial measure of structural damage resulting from the increasing strength and frequency of storms is represented by FEMA-designated disaster declarations and the resulting payments. A review of FEMA designations between 2010 and 2019, shows that Vermont receives on average \$9-30 million in assistance support. The range is based on either including or not including Irene-related damages that influence the ten year average significantly. However, FEMA only addresses short term catastrophic impacts and not the less destructive but still significant issues associated with increased precipitation and storms resulting in wet basements and tree damage. To get a handle on the size of these smaller scale impacts, it is instructive to review consumer expenditures as reported by the Census Bureau Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES). The CES includes a category for home maintenance, repair and insurance. For the northeastern states (Vermont specific data are not robust enough for reporting), the proportion of household income attributed to home maintenance, repair and insurance has increased from 1.88% to 2.15% between the 2003-4 and 2019-20 reports. This amounts to an average increase of \$250 per household and for Vermont adds up to an additional \$66 million in economic costs per year. It should be noted that, applying a similar approach to southern states in the US, with higher incidences of storm related damage due to hurricanes, tornadoes, and tropical storms, yields an annual increase in maintenance, repair and insurance of \$576 per household when comparing the 2003-4 data with 2019-20.

An examination of weather-driven insured losses by the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation over a ten year period (2010-2019) estimates that weather-driven factors resulted in approximately \$558 MM of insured losses in Vermont across auto, homeowners, and farm owners policies²⁴; wind and hail hazards were the dominant perils associated with insured losses. Based on trends in reconstructed losses projected increases of insured losses of approximately 2-

²⁴ Shafer, J. C., and K. Cronin, 2021: An examination of weather perils and insured losses in Vermont. Vermont Department of Financial Regulation. 36 pp.



FIGURE 7. Increases in summer precipitation (a) and stormflow (b) for the 1950-2006 period, as shown in Hodgkins and Dudley, 2011. Estimated precipitation amounts for the 1-day, 100-year return interval (c) and 1-hour, 100-year return interval (d) for Vermont, as replotted from the Extreme Precipitation in New York and New England tool ">http://precip.eas.cornell.edu/.

4% by 2050 relying principally projecting current trends forward. Storm systems and their accompanying perils were observed to become more intense over time as a result of the climate warming.

The impacts on residential properties parallel damage to commercial properties. In Vermont, the Grand list value Commercial and Industrial properties is about 12% of that of residential properties. If Commercial and Industrial properties suffer the same proportion of damage increases as do residential properties, the damage estimate increases by \$8 million to a total property damage estimate of almost \$75 million per year.

There are several examples of human health that are affected by climate change. While the human suffering associated with these human health impacts is important, it is still possible to assign dollar amounts to the increased health care services that result. In terms of the aforementioned exponential increase in probable and actual reported cases of Lyme disease and other tickborne illness, the Tick Borne Disease Working Group at the federal level estimates the dollar costs for Lyme disease at about \$1.3 billion and because Vermont represents 1.5-2% of national cases, the dollar costs are \$20-25 million per year. This value is in addition to the dramatic increases in anaplasmosis observed across the state. Prior to 2008, case of anaplasmosis were close to zero and that number increased to more than 200 in 2016. Finally, in terms of mosquito-borne diseases have been observed in Vermont for decades²⁵ and include the West Nile Virus and Eastern Equine Encephalitis. A website focusing on mosquito-borne diseases puts national level economic damages in the billions of dollars.

Apart from vector-borne ailments, increasing temperatures pose economic effects due to water contamination impacts and those related to heat waves. In terms of the former, beach closures in Vermont are the result of a combination of increased water temperatures and increased nutrient loads. There is no dollar estimate that specifically informs the climate change component, but Vermont spends tens of millions of dollars each year to address water quality contaminants in our large lakes. Finally, in terms of heat waves, periods of extreme heat result in increases to the emergency room. Using the aforementioned threshold of 87F as an extreme heat day since 2016, it should be noted that, prior to the year 2000, extreme heat days averaged about 6 per year resulting in dozens of emergency room visits. Models show these numbers increasing significantly with a resulting increase in emergency room visits. Perhaps more economically important than acute health events is the trend towards adding air conditioning to Vermont homes. One of the drivers behind an increase in the installation of heat pumps is the ability of a heat pump to also provide air conditioning services. The dollar cost for heat pump installation from 2019 to 2021 is estimated in this report to be \$84 million or \$27 million per year.

4.1 Vulnerabilities exposed by the COVID-19 pandemic

The ongoing SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic has acted as a compound stressor²⁶ or threat multiplier on communities and activities that were already vulnerable to natural hazards, climate change impacts and socioeconomic disruptions. In particular, 2020-2021 has been marked by climate migration and business disruptions.

²⁵ <u>https://www.healthvermont.gov/health-environment/climate-health/mosquito-borne-diseases</u>

²⁶ Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S. et al. A typology of compound weather and climate events. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1, 333–347 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0060-z</u>

The influx of out of state residents to Vermont during the COVID-19 pandemic, and others transitioning second homes into primary homes, provides a glimpse into what could be the leading edge of climate influenced, if not driven, migration to Vermont and the northeast. This has resulted in housing demand outstripping supply, leading to increased housing prices, decreased housing availability, and the exacerbation of housing fairness, equity and justice issues. Lack of infrastructure (chiefly community wastewater and water systems) makes compact settlement a challenge, thereby causing housing development to follow the path of least resistance, which is dispersed single-family home development on large lots along rural roads. This de facto development pattern will only exacerbate energy use patterns that will make achievement of many of the goals and objectives of the GWSA a challenge, and underscore the need to create an effective land use planning and regulation rubric that can achieve housing development and accessibility, compact settlement, smart growth, and just transitions policy imperatives.

News headlines in 2021 also report disruptions to the supply chain due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Prior to 2020 and continuing through the pandemic are transportation disruptions due to coastal storms. One of the most apparent sectors affected by climate change induced storms is for oil and gas production. Gulf of Mexico drilling platforms and coastal refineries are closed with increased frequency, each time causing a spike in petroleum and natural gas prices. Droughts cause a disruption in hydroelectricity generation requiring electric utilities to purchase alternative, higher priced generation (and often with greater greenhouse gas emissions).

Agriculture is probably most susceptible to climate change. While Vermont may see longer growing seasons, most of our food comes from other parts of the world, many of which are subject to water restrictions due to drought. Shipping food on barges is often delayed during flooding events on the major river corridors.

5.0 Projections of future climate change

A warming and wetter climate has varying effects on different weather and climate hazards (Figure 8a). Projected changes in temperature through 2050 show a high degree of confidence in temperatures increasing, resulting in a higher frequency of warmer temperatures and heat waves. In northwestern Vermont, by the end of the century, temperatures over 86°F are projected to increase by at least 27 (57) days under the lower (higher) emissions scenario (Figure 8b). On the other hand, the most extreme cold temperatures will likely decline in magnitude slightly as arctic warming tends to diminish the strength of wintertime arctic air masses. Overall annual precipitation will likely increase, although at a slower rate than temperature (moderate confidence). Extreme precipitation events, such as those with 2" or greater precipitation in a 24-hour period, will likely increase in frequency (moderate confidence).

Annual snowfall variability will likely remain high, with some wet winter seasons producing higher than average snowfall, as the climate remains cold enough to continue to support snowfall. However, the general trend is for more winter rain and reduced annual snowfall, especially in lower elevations and southern areas. Risks from power outages related to wet snowfall are expected to increase, as more winter storms will likely be closer to freezing where snowfall is wet or sticky in nature (moderate confidence).

Wind storms are expected to increase in intensity, but these will likely be related to unique
meteorological storm types. Tropical Storms or Hurricanes, if they make landfall and move inland, will likely be able to maintain strength at higher latitudes from warming ocean temperatures, therefore increasing the risk for low-frequency but catastrophic storm impacts (e.g. Hurricane of 1938). On the other hand, gradient wind events from midlatitude storm systems across Canada or nor'easters may decline in frequency.

The projected frequency of ice storms and thunderstorms remain low confidence with competing meteorological risk factors for each. Low-end freezing rain icing events (those with ice accretion insufficient to produce power outages) are expected to increase, as warmer winter temperatures produce more winter storms with mixed precipitation types.

Overall risks to the power distribution grid have been shown to be increasing, more due to storm systems becoming more intense. A combination of weighing current trends, literature, and two climate simulations shows that overall power outage risks are projected to increase by approximately 5-10% through 2050, due to more frequent wet snowfall, and potentially stronger wind storms²⁷.

Vermont's annual precipitation is projected to increase 1" to 2" through 2050 (Figure 9a). These rates of increase track closely to current precipitation rate changes over the last 30 to 40 years. Through 2100, the lower emissions scenario predicts approximately 4" greater annual precipitation, whereas the high emissions scenario predicts 9" greater annual precipitation. The spatial distribution precipitation change is relatively equal across Vermont counties. Extreme precipitation events will increase at a faster rate than annual precipitation increases, likely following current ratios of extreme events to annual precipitation rate changes.

Vermont's annual temperatures are projected to increase over 2°F through 2050 on either the lower emission or high emissions scenarios (Figure 9b). These scenarios differ significantly through 2100, with the lower emissions scenario predicts 4°F of warming whereas the high emissions scenario predicts 9°F of warming. The spatial distribution of warming is relatively equal across Vermont counties. With a warming climate comes a greater likelihood of higher temperatures. Extreme temperatures (as defined by a high temperature $\geq 90°F$) are projected to double in frequency by 2050 through either the lower emission or high emissions scenario (Figure 9c). Vermont-wide average days above 90°F go from 4 days a year to 9 days a year by 2050. By 2100, however, there is significant variability, with the lower emissions scenario reaching 15 days a year, and the high emissions scenario projecting 45 days a year.

²⁷ Shafer, J. C., and K. Cronin, 2021: Extreme weather and climate change in Vermont: Implications for the electric grid. Draft version under review. Vermont Electric Power Company. 47 pp.



FIGURE 8. Projections of natural hazards in Vermont with their corresponding confidence levels Shafer and Cronin (2021) (a). This graph shows the annual number of days above 86°F for the Burlington Metropolitan Statistical Area for the historical period of 1976–2005 (black dot) and projected throughout the 21st century under lower (RCP4.5, blue) and higher (RCP8.5, red) scenarios. For the three future periods, the blue and red dots represent the model-weighted average for each scenario, while the vertical lines represent the range of values (5th to 95th percentile). Both scenarios show an increasing number of days over 86°F with time but increasing at a faster rate under the higher scenario (b). Sources: NOAA NCEI and CICS-NC. 9a)

			al Precipitation P	-	ted Change (inches
County	2010s Average Annual Precipitation (inches)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)
Addison	39.7	+0.8	+1.6	+1.8	+4.0
Bennington	54.6	+1.0	+1.9	+2.3	+5.0
Caledonia	43.3	+0.8	+1.6	+1.7	+4.2
Chittenden	39.8	+0.8	+1.5	+1.6	+4.2
Essex	45.1	+0.8	+1.6	+1.6	+4.2
Franklin	43.5	+0.9	+1.5	+1.5	+3.8
Grand Isle	35.8	+0.9	+1.7	+1.6	+4.0
Lamoille	44.5	+0.8	+1.4	+1.3	+3.4
Orange	41.0	+0.8	+1.8	+1.9	+4.4
Orleans	45.1	+0.9	+1.6	+1.6	+4.1
Rutland	46.9	+0.9	+1.7	+2.1	+4.6
Washington	42.6	+0.8	+1.9	+1.8	+4.8
Windham	51.3	+1.0	+1.9	+2.5	+5.4
Windsor	45.6	+0.9	+1.9	+2.2	+5.1
Statewide Average	44.2"	+0.9"	+1.7"	+1.8"	+4.4"
	Vermo	nt Average Annua	al Temperature P	rojections	
		2010s-2040s Proje	2010s-2040s Projected Change (°F)		ected Change (°F)
County	2010s Average Annual Temp (°F)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)

9b)

	Vermon	t Average Annu	al Temperature P	rojections	
24	20100 4407000	2010s-2040s Proj	ected Change (°F)	2010s-2090s Proj	ected Change (°F)
County	2010s Average Annual Temp (°F)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)
Addison	45.8	+2.2	+2.8	+4.2	+9.3
Bennington	43.9	+2.1	+2.9	+4.2	+9.3
Caledonia	42.4	+2.0	+2.7	+4.1	+9.1
Chittenden	46.3	+2.3	+3.0	+4.5	+9.7
Essex	41.2	+2.2	+2.9	+4.3	+9.6
Franklin	45.4	+2.3	+3.0	+4.5	+9.8
Grand Isle	47.5	+2.2	+2.7	+4.1	+9.2
Lamoille	44.0	+2.3	+3.0	+4.5	+9.7
Orange	43.1	+2.1	+2.8	+4.1	+9.2
Orleans	42.5	+2.3	+3.0	+4.4	+9.6
Rutland	45.4	+2.1	+2.7	+4.0	+9.1
Washington	43.5	+2.2	+2.9	+4.2	+9.4
Windham	44.5	+2.0	+2.7	+4.0	+8.8
Windsor	44.1	+2.1	+2.8	+4.1	+9.0
Statewide Average	44.2°F	+2.2°F	+2.9°F	+4.2°F	+9.3°F

9c)

Projected Change in Number of Days with High Temperature >= 90°F

	Average number of	2010s-2040s Proje	cted Change (days)	2010s-2090s Proje	cted Change (days)
County	days per year >= 90°F in 2010s	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)	RCP4.5 (Low Emissions)	RCP8.5 (High Emissions)
Addison	7	+6	+8	+14	+46
Bennington	3	+4	+5	+9	+36
Caledonia	3	+4	+5	+9	+36
Chittenden	6	+6	+8	+14	+46
Essex	2	+3	+4	+7	+32
Franklin	4	+5	+6	+12	+42
Grand Isle	8	+7	+9	+16	+49
Lamoille	2	+3	+4	+8	+34
Orange	3	+4	+6	+10	+40
Orleans	2	+4	+5	+9	+35
Rutland	5	+6	+8	+13	+45
Washington	3	+4	+5	+10	+38
Windham	5	+6	+8	+13	+43
Windsor	5	+6	+8	+13	+44
Statewide Average	4	+5	+6	+11	+41

FIGURE 9. Vermont projected average annual (a) precipitation changes (b) temperature changes and (c) days with temperatures \geq 90°F through the 2040s and 2090s based on lower emissions (RCP4.5) and high emission (RCP8.5) scenarios). Based on data from the US Climate Resilience Toolkit Climate Explorer https://crt-climate-explorer.nemac.org/.

The Vermont Energy Economy & Opportunities Related to Climate Action

I. Current Vermont Context Regarding Energy & the Economy

In 2018, Vermont's Gross State Product included roughly \$32 billion in economic transactions. Over the last decade, the cost of energy expenditures for Vermont households and businesses has averaged nearly \$2.8 billion per year.

	Volume	<u>\$ Spent</u>	
Gasoline (gallons)	321,103,421	\$ 938,120,000	
Diesel (gallons)	64,716,958	\$ 238,330,000	
Fuel Oil (gallons)	129,851,400	\$ 386,400,000	
Propane (gallons)	105,638,400	\$ 261,660,000	
Natural Gas (bcf)	10.5	\$ 104,760,000	
Electricity (MWh)	5,530,000	\$ 784,170,000	
Wood ²⁸		\$ 80,000,000	
TOTAL		\$ 2,793,440,000	

Figure 1. Vermont Average Annual Energy Consumption and Expenditures, 2009 - 2018

Source: EIA

Of this total, an average of about \$2 billion a year has been spent on fossil fuels (gasoline, diesel, fuel oil, propane, and natural gas) over the last decade. Vermont's current dependence on fossil fuels leads to high and unpredictable energy costs for Vermont households and businesses. As shown in Figure 2, gasoline and diesel have been much more expensive and price volatile as transportation fuels compared to electricity. Similarly, the two most expensive and price volatile primary heating options have long been propane and fuel oil (note: while resistance electric heat is more expensive than propane and fuel oil, Vermont building code does not allow it to be a primary heat source in new buildings). In comparison, heating with cold climate heat pumps and/or wood generally provides lower operating costs, with greater price stability.

 $^{^{28}}$ Note: Data for wood is available on an irregular basis, so this figure represents the estimate for 2018 from the Department of Forests, Parks, and Recreation, not the EIA average from 2009 – 2018.

Figure 2: Cost Comparison of Different Transportation Fuels Over Time



Comparison of Vermont transportation fuel costs, 2005-2021

Figure 3. Cost Comparison of Different Heating Fuel Options Over Time



Cost comparison of different heating options over time

Not only do fossil fuel expenses represent a cost burden on Vermont consumers: they also generally create a drain on the Vermont economy. 100% of fossil fuels used in Vermont are imported. As commodities that have a relatively small amount of in-state labor represented in their overall price, much of the total amount Vermonters expend on fossil fuels (especially fuel oil, gasoline, and diesel) represents an outflow of dollars, with comparatively little value to the State economy in terms of local economic activity. In contrast, energy-related expenditures like weatherization, electricity purchases, and wood heating contribute more, per dollar spent, to local economic activity and Vermont-based jobs.

	Total sales (2018) ²⁹	Percent of retail price with local economic activity ³⁰	Vermont economic activity	Employment estimate ³¹	Vermont compensation estimate
Fuel oil	\$340 million	25%	\$85 million	$1,400^{32}$	\$85 million
Propane ³³	\$310 million	45%	\$140 million	1,400	\$65 IIIIII0II
Natural Gas	\$115 million	50%	\$60 million	135	\$15 million
Electricity	\$737 million	50%	\$370 million	1,250	\$140 million
Wood for	\$65 million	90%	\$60 million		
thermal				1.200^{34}	\$60 million
Wood for	\$25 million	80%	\$20 million	1,200**	200 mmnon
electric					
Gasoline	\$1021	30%	\$300	4,150	\$165 million ³⁶
and diesel	million		million ³⁵		

Figure 4: Local Economic Activity Related to Different Energy Sources in 2018

There are important demographic differences in energy use and energy cost burdens. On average, upper-income households consume more fossil fuels for transportation and heating, spending more on energy than lower-income households.³⁷ However, the share of income that lower-income households spend on energy – their energy burden – is higher than the share spent on energy by upper income households. This means that far too often, those who can least afford it are stuck with the highest energy burdens, from renters who have to heat with resistance electric heat because landlords have not upgraded heating systems, to lower-income Vermonters who often drive older vehicles that are more expensive to operate and maintain.

Another important difference is that rural households tend to spend more on transportation than urban households. Indeed, average total energy burden (spending on transportation and heating fuels as well as electricity, as a share of total income) varies by region, from a lowest average of 6.7% to a highest of 17.4%, in multiple Northeast Kingdom towns.

²⁹ Note: Total sales in 2018 were lower than the 10 year average (2009 – 2018), which was: fuel oil: \$386 million, propane: \$262 million, natural gas: \$105 million, electricity: \$784 million, gasoline: \$938 million, and diesel: \$238 million.

³⁰ The percent economic activity varies with the commodity price of fossil fuels. Higher commodity prices result in lower in-state percent activity. Note: these figures have been updated with additional information as of November, 2021 and differ from previous ACCD estimates.

³¹ 2018 Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages

³² Many Vermont fuel oil dealers also provide propane services. The employment figure includes both fuel oil and propane delivery services

³³ The propane figures may be high. The sales figure is taken from EIA but price estimates may be larger than actual, as described in the propane section of the longer economic chapter in the appendix.

³⁴ Includes a large number of self-employed, sole proprietors

³⁵ Include \$110 million in Vermont transportation taxes

³⁶ Includes other services sold at gasoline stations

³⁷ See page 18, 2021 EAN Annual Progress Report for Vermont. <u>https://www.eanvt.org/tracking-progress/annual-progress-report/2021-annual-progress-report/</u>





II. Economic Opportunities Related to Climate Action

Transitioning off of fossil fuels presents significant opportunities for Vermonters: lower energy costs; greater investment in the Vermont economy; and more and better paying local jobs.

The extent to which Vermonters can efficiently utilize electricity for transportation (electric vehicles) and heating (heat pumps for space and water heating) presents an opportunity to use an energy source that can now be used more economically and that can contribute more to the Vermont economy.³⁸ The same is true of wood heating. Other renewable fuels, such as B100 biodiesel or renewable natural gas can not necessarily be counted on to provide energy cost savings, even if they can provide GHG emissions reductions when replacing fossil fuels.

There are up-front costs related to equipment replacement or putting in supplemental heating systems that also have to be considered alongside fuel costs (i.e., capital vs. operating costs). However, with federal, state, and utility incentives, the up-front cost of electric or renewable options can often be lower than those for new fossil fuel equipment (though prices vary by model).

³⁸ Note: potential savings related to use of heat pumps depends on a number of factors, including but not limited to: utility territory (i.e., differential electricity rates); the fuel it is displacing (i.e., savings potential is greater for fuel oil and propane users, perhaps not for natural gas users) variable efficiencies depending on temperature (i.e. heat pumps are less cost effective when temperatures drop below zero); and proper programming and use.

Historically, we have used fossil fuels mainly out of necessity: to get to work or school; to keep our homes and businesses warm; to mow our lawns or clear our driveways of snow. However, over the last decade it has become increasingly possible to do each of these things without needing fossil fuel. Whenever a vehicle, a heating system, or other piece of fossil fueled equipment reaches the end of its life, we now have proven and available electric technology or renewable alternatives ready to do the same job—oftentimes better and more affordably. In some cases, however, such as heavy duty applications, electric or renewable alternatives are not yet as advanced in terms of economic and technical feasibility.

Many opportunities are ready now. Take electric vehicles (EVs), for example. The Union of Concerned Scientists estimates that EVs, on average, can save rural Vermont drivers over \$1,500 a year compared to gas vehicles.³⁹ On average, charging an EV costs about the equivalent of \$1.50 a gallon in Vermont. However, depending on electric utility territory, if customers enroll in off-peak charging programs, charging an EV can cost even less: the equivalent of only \$1.03 (Green Mountain Power territory) or even as low as \$0.60 (Burlington Electric). That's a big difference when compared to gas prices that average \$3.40 a gallon as of November 2021, having spiked over 60% in the last year. EVs also cost less to maintain (no oil changes, for instance). Finally, with federal, state, utility, and other incentives, EVs—whether new or used—can cost less up-front than comparable gasoline models, though the extent to which this remains the case depends on continued and expanded incentives.⁴⁰

Or take home heating. Home and building weatherization can significantly reduce heating costs while improving health and comfort. And heat pump systems and efficient wood heat—whether with efficient stoves or automated boilers and furnaces—both reduce greenhouse gas emissions and can save consumers money compared to fossil heat. It is important to note that potential savings or costs related to heat pumps do vary depending on utility territory and a host of other factors. Meanwhile, cost savings from use of efficient wood and pellet stoves are often more straightforward than cost savings from automated wood pellet boilers, which often depend on equipment purchase incentives to achieve price parity.

The energy transition will not and cannot happen overnight. Many Vermonters are tied to investments they made in fossil vehicles or heating systems, with no realistic choice but to keep using them in the near term. Instead, a cost-effective and practical approach is to focus on the next point of purchase: that time—whether one, five, or ten years away—when a piece of equipment reaches the end of its life and needs to be replaced anyway. When that situation comes, we should use multiple policy, program, and incentive-based tools to equitably help people choose clean transportation and heating options and discourage locking in decades more of fossil fuel dependence that we can no longer afford—for consumer protection, health, and climate reasons.

Delivering efficient and clean energy services can also be a major opportunity for local businesses that have historically sold fossil fuels and serviced heating equipment. There are some forward looking energy service providers (which used to call themselves fuel dealers) that are already shifting their business models to sell less fossil fuel by providing weatherization, wood

³⁹ https://www.ucsusa.org/about/news/rural-communities-could-benefit-most-electric-vehicles

⁴⁰ See the Drive Electric Vermont website to learn more

pellet delivery, B100 biodiesel, and/or heat pump installation services. Similarly, VGS is expanding weatherization services and increasing the amount of renewable natural gas in their system. Indeed, the local fuel dealers and utilities who have historically provided fossil fuels have the opportunity to be part of the solution, creating new business models that better serve their customers, allowing fuel dealers to have more sustainable business futures.

While VELCO reports that our current transmission system is capable of handling high levels of electrification through 2030, significantly expanding the amount of transportation and thermal energy needs met by electricity will eventually necessitate new investments in our transmission and distribution system. The extent to which Vermont households and businesses save money via beneficial electrification of transportation and thermal energy use will depend in part on Vermont's ability to secure low-cost carbon-free electricity resources, as well as efficient and effective demand response and load management strategies.



Figure 6: Clean Energy Jobs by Sector, 2014 – 2021

The Clean Energy Industry Report has tracked Vermont employment in the clean energy sectors since 2014. As of 2020, clean energy jobs made up about 6% of total employment in Vermont.⁴¹ Generally speaking, the median wage for clean energy jobs (approx. \$27/hour) is much paying than the statewide median wage (approx. \$19/hour).⁴² Meeting our climate commitments via investments in energy efficiency and clean energy can be a win-win-win for Vermont consumers, the Vermont economy, and Vermont workers.

⁴¹https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Renewable_Energy/CEDF/Reports/2021_VCEIR_FINAL.pdf

⁴²https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Renewable_Energy/CEDF/Reports/2020%20VCEIR%20Final.pdf

Vermont Climate Action Plan Requirements

The Vermont Global Warming Solutions Act of 2020

On September 22, 2020, the Vermont Legislature passed <u>Act 153</u>, the Vermont Global Warming Solutions Act of 2020 (GWSA) that created the Vermont Climate Council (hereinafter the Council) and set forth specific greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction requirements for the State to achieve.

The Council is charged with three tasks:

- identification, analysis and evaluation of strategies and programs to reduce GHG emissions, to achieve the State's GHG reduction requirements, and to prepare the State's communities, infrastructure and economy to adapt to current and future effects of climate change.
- adoption of the Vermont Climate Action Plan by December 1, 2021, to be updated at least every 4 years, that sets forth specific initiative, programs and strategies that the State will pursue to reduce GHG emissions, achieve the reduction requirements, and build resilience in communities, infrastructure and the economy; and
- identification of accurate means to measure the state's GHG emissions and progress towards meeting the reduction requirements; effectiveness of initiatives, programs and strategies included in the Plan; the effect of climate change on wildlife, climate and natural resources of the State, and the State's existing resilience and progress towards improving resilience and adaptation.

In carrying out its above tasks, the Council is charged with:

- Creating an inventory and assessing the efficacy, technical feasibility and cost effectiveness of all existing programs that impact greenhouse gas emissions;
- Identifying, evaluating, and analyzing new strategies and programs that are based upon emerging scientific and technical information;
- Identifying which strategies and programs will result in the largest greenhouse gas emissions reductions in the most cost-effective manner after analyses of the sources of greenhouse gas emissions;
- Identifying, analyzing, and evaluating public and private financing strategies to support the transition to a reduced greenhouse gas emissions economy and a more resilient State; and
- Evaluating and analyzing existing and new strategies and programs that build resilience, to prepare the State's communities, infrastructure, and economy to adapt to the current and anticipated effects

The Vermont Climate Action Plan-Required Content

The GWSA specifies that the Vermont Climate Action Plan shall include specific items,

including initiatives, programs, and strategies that will:

- Reduce GHG emissions from the transportation, buildings, regulated utility, industrial, commercial, and agricultural sectors;
- Encourage smart growth and related strategies;
- Achieve long-term sequestration and storage of carbon and promote best management practices to achieve climate mitigation, adaptation, and resilience on natural working lands;
- Achieve net zero emissions by 2050 across all sectors;
- Reduce energy burdens for rural and marginalized communities;
- Limit the use of chemicals, substances, or products that contribute to climate change; and
- Build and encourage climate adaptation and resilience of Vermont communities and natural systems.

Once the Plan is adopted, the Joint Fiscal Office of the Vermont General Assembly is required "to hire a consultant to prepare an analysis of the economic, budgetary and fiscal costs and benefits of the Plan."

GWSA Rule-making Requirement

If a plan is adopted, the Secretary of the Agency of Natural Resources is required to adopt rules consistent with the Vermont Climate Action Plan, as adopted, on or before December 1, 2022 and achieve the 2025 emission reductions requirements in the GWSA. Should a plan not be adopted by the Council, the Secretary is required to adopt rules to achieve the emissions reduction requirements in the GWSA by July, 2022.

The GWSA provides any person the right to sue the Secretary of the Agency of Natural. Resources (ANR) if rules are not adopted as required by the GWSA. It also authorizes any person to sue the Secretary by filing a lawsuit that alleges that the rules adopted by the Secretary failed to achieve the greenhouse gas emissions requirements pursuant to the GWSA.

The Vermont Climate Council - Additional Duties

In addition to the development adoption of the a Climate Action Plan, the Council is charged with recommending necessary legislation to the General Assembly concerning:

- Adopting of a market-based or alternative compliance mechanisms as part of the State's greenhouse gas emissions reduction strategies;
- changes to land use and development, including to chapter 151 of Act 153 and 30 V.S.A. § 248, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote resilience in response to climate change;
- statutory authority necessary to implement the Plan; and
- any other matter the Council deems appropriate.

Emissions Reduction Requirements in the GWSA

The Act requires reductions in Vermont's GHG emissions tied to three time periods: 2025, 2030, and 2050. Pursuant to the State's membership in the United States Climate Alliance and commitment to implement policies to achieve the objectives of the 2016 Paris Agreement, Vermont is required to reduce its GHG emissions by no less than 26% below 2005 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2025.

Pursuant to the State's 2016 Comprehensive Energy Plan, Vermont is required to reduce its GHG emissions by no less than 40% below 1990 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2030 and no less than 80% below 1990 GHG emission levels by January 1, 2050.

Measurable resilience, adaptation and sequestration goals developed by the Council

In addition to the GHG emission reduction requirements set forth in the GWSA, the Climate Council is working to develop metrics to assess progress with respect to our actions around building resilience and adaptation and enhancing sequestration and storage. The Measuring and Assessing Progress section of this plan speaks to the development of a framework for assessing adaptation and resilience goals. In addition, the section of the plan for the carbon budget speaks to future work to consider carbon sequestration forecasting which will help determine how effective strategies and actions are at impacting our natural and working land's ability to sequester and store carbon.

Foundational Criteria

To meet both the requirements and objectives laid out in the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) and detailed above, the Council developed a prioritization framework utilizing five foundational criteria: impact, cost-effectiveness, co-benefits, equity, and technical feasibility. These criteria speak directly to the priorities put forward in the GWSA while building upon the specific work to develop the Climate Action Plan (CAP) to inform high priority actions. The definitions for these criteria are applied differently based on whether evaluating actions related to cutting emissions (mitigation) verses actions related to building resilience, adaptation, and sequestering and storing carbon. As a result, the definitions have been broken out below. Application of equity in the prioritization of actions is not discussed here as the following section focuses on building equity into the CAP and specifically speaks to the application of the equity scoring rubric in refining and prioritizing actions.

Impact

Mitigation

Impact is the consideration of actions' contribution to achieving 2025, 2030, and 2050 emission reduction requirements. The gross GHG emissions reductions required by 2025 are 1.26 MMTCO2e below our most recent (2018) levels. 3.46 MMTCO2e of reductions are required by 2030.

- High impact recommendations are those that can reasonably be expected to get Vermont more than 10 percent of the way towards either our 2025 and/or 2030 emissions reduction requirements. High impact will also speak to enabling actions that are needed to advance actions that are high impact as defined here. A compelling case will need to be made that the enabling action is the only pathway to success of the high impact action.
- Moderate impact recommendations are those that can reasonably be expected to get Vermont between 2.5 percent and 10 percent of the way towards either our 2025 and/or 2030 emissions reduction requirements. Moderate impact will also speak to enabling actions that are needed to advance actions that are high impact as defined above. Rather than high enabling actions above, moderate enabling actions are supportive of the high impact action, rather than the only pathway.
- Low impact recommendations are those that can reasonably be expected to get Vermont less than 2.5 percent of the way towards our 2025 and/or 2030 emissions reduction requirements.

Resilience, adaptation, and sequestering and storing carbon

The assessment of impact for adaptation, resilience, and sequestration actions takes into consideration both the scale at which a particular action occurs and the effects (both short and long term) of that action.

• High impact actions are those actions that significantly improve the ability of [the built and/or natural environment and working lands OR people/vulnerable populations OR the

economy] to adapt to or build resilience to climate change impacts. These actions may also significantly increase the ability to sequester and store carbon. High impact actions are actions that would affect broad scale change at the municipal, regional, or statewide level.

- Moderate impact actions are those actions that moderately improve the ability of [the built and/or natural environment and working lands OR people/vulnerable populations OR the economy] to adapt to or build resilience to climate change impacts. These actions may also moderately increase the ability to sequester and store carbon. Moderate impact actions are actions that would affect moderate scale change at the municipal, regional, or statewide level.
- Low impact actions are those actions that marginally improve the ability of [the built and/or natural environment and working lands OR people/vulnerable populations OR the economy] to adapt to or build resilience to climate change impacts. These actions may also slightly increase the ability to sequester and store carbon. Low impact actions are actions that would affect small scale change at the municipal, regional, or statewide level.

Cost-Effectiveness

To estimate costs and benefits in determining cost-effectiveness, the estimated benefits and costs are inclusive of direct and indirect benefits and costs to Vermont and Vermonters (i.e., "resource benefits and costs" for the State, including program implementation and management costs, not simply the "consumer costs and benefits"). Benefit-cost analysis estimated social and environmental "externalities", including health costs and benefits and a Social Cost of Carbon, reflecting the global damage-based assessment of the cost of Vermont's climate pollution, consistent with the Social Cost of Carbon report discussed above. In many circumstances, additional benefit-cost tests are needed for further analyzing specific proposed policies and programs, including benefits and costs from a consumer and equity perspective or a public investment perspective.

Mitigation

Cost-effectiveness refers to the lifetime net cost per ton of GHG emissions avoided (acknowledging that some mitigation measures do not generate net costs and save money). Cost-effectiveness shall also be understood to account for lifetime or dynamic costs, not merely up-front or static costs. The following HIGH, MODERATE, and LOW definitions were used for prioritization:

- Highly cost-effective are actions that have a net savings per ton of GHG emissions reduced
- Moderately cost effective are actions that essentially break even per ton of GHG emissions reduced
- Least cost-effective actions are ones that will have a net cost per ton of GHG emissions reduced

Resilience, adaptation and sequestering and storing carbon

Cost-effectiveness for actions seeking to build resilience, further adaptation, and enhance sequestration and carbon storage refers to the relative lifetime net cost of the action compared to the desired outcome or impact. This definition only deals with the true cost to Vermonters and

does not speak to the cost of avoided damages which we know is very important. By incorporating the actions impact into how we evaluate cost-effectiveness, the most impactful actions can still be considered cost-effective overall even if they present significant upfront investments, indirectly getting at the cost of inaction.

The action first received an impact ranking of high, medium, and low using the definition discussed above. From there, the action's cost was considered as significant, moderate, or low. Significant was defined as an ongoing cost or a more than ten-year investment to Vermonters which needed to be raised from new revenues. Moderate was defined as on ongoing or more than ten-year investment from Vermonters that has an existing revenue source OR an action that needs a new revenue source for a short-term period (less than ten years). Low was defined as an action that has an existing revenue identified to utilize over a short-term period (less than ten years). Overall cost-effectiveness was compiled by considering the actions impact (high, medium, low) relative then to its cost (Significant, moderate, low). The cumulative summation of overall ranking will be as follows:

- High/Moderate, High/Low, Medium/low HIGH
- High/Significant, Medium/Moderate, Low/Low MEDIUM
- Medium/Significant, Low/Significant, Low/Moderate LOW

Co-Benefits

Comprehensive climate policy will advance actions that work to mitigate climate pollution, while also building resilience, adaptation and storing and sequestering carbon. Actions must also seek to advance broader societal benefits such as public health, equity (specific focus on impacted communities), economic prosperity, biodiversity conservation, workforce opportunities and other benefits that improve the quality of life in Vermont broadly. Identifying actions that address co-benefits and elevating them is key to ensuring the collective plan is working for all Vermonters. Co-benefits was evaluated based on HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW RANKING using the following guidance:

- HIGH an action that can easily be communicated with broad and varied benefits to Vermonters and Vermont itself.
- MEDIUM an action that clearly addresses multiple climate action buckets (mitigation, resilience, adaptation, and sequestration/storage) but its broader societal benefits are harder to measure and speak to.
- LOW an action that advances mitigation, resilience, adaptation, or sequestration/storage but does not clearly advance other benefits.

Technical Feasibility

This speaks to the degree to which the required technologies are developed and reasonably available. As this is called out in the GWSA, it is important to simply answer yes or no to ensure the action is implementable.

Cumulative Priority Ranking

The foundational criteria were used to develop an overall priority ranking for actions to be elevated in the CAP and to advance through an equity screening (detailed below). The

definitions used for impact and cost-effectiveness were different for mitigation actions than resilience, adaptation and sequestration and storing carbon. As such, the overall prioritization across the actions should not be compared amongst them. In addition, the equity scoring rubric was used to further refine high priority actions by transparently considering equity in the implementation of the action. Actions were then reconsidered based on the overall consideration of how equitable the action was and what could be further considered to make it more equitable in practice.

Actions that did not have a clear implementing authority and those that involve personal choices were screened out before prioritization so that the CAP could speak to their collective impact in the personal action section found in the cross-cutting pathways section. A stepwise approach was used to focus analysis on impact, cost-effectiveness co-benefits and technical feasibility to elevate high and a subset of medium priority actions to advance. The remaining actions and details of the analyses are all shown in the appendices The overall priority ranking was assimilated as follows for HIGH and MEDIUM priorities (all other combinations will be LOW priorities). It is important to note that because impact is included in the definition for cost-effectiveness for resilience, adaptation and sequestration actions, the possibility of a low cost-effectiveness ranking is impossible if the impact ranking is high. However, this outcome is possible for emission reduction actions and therefore is represented on the chart below.

All HIGH priorities moved forward with an equity screening and consensus at the subcommittee was reached to advance a MEDIUM or LOW priority action. When considering MEDIUM or LOW priorities to elevate, specific consideration should be given to actions that have a particular focus on equitable solutions. Since the equity screening is to come after priorities are set, it is important to not lose actions that would make a significant impact in this space.

IMPACT	COST- EFFECTIVENESS	CO- BENEFITS	TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY	OVERALL PRIORITIZATION
HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	Yes	HIGH
HIGH	HIGH	MEDIUM	Yes	HIGH
HIGH	HIGH	LOW	Yes	MEDIUM
HIGH	MEDIUM	HIGH	Yes	HIGH
HIGH	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	Yes	HIGH
HIGH	LOW	MEDIUM	Yes	MEDIUM
MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	Yes	HIGH
MEDIUM	HIGH	MEDIUM	Yes	MEDIUM
MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	Yes	MEDIUM
MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	Yes	MEDIUM

Public Engagement

To realize the transformative change that is needed to implement the Global Warming Solutions Act, ongoing engagement with Vermonters will be needed to consider solutions and understand the barriers to implementing them. The Council and the subcommittees must comply with Vermont's open meeting law. Vermont's open meeting law requires all meetings of public bodies to be open to the public at all times, unless a specific exception applies. The purpose of the law is to promote transparency, accountability, and better decision-making in government. Compliance with the open meeting law has been foundational in engaging with Vermonters in an ongoing basis through:

- Providing advance public notice of meeting and including meeting agendas;
- Discussing all business and taking all actions in open meeting, unless an exception in statute applies;
- Allowing members of the public to attend and participate in meetings; and
- Taking meeting minutes and make them available to the public.

This process, while beneficial, has allowed the participation of the relatively few Vermonters who had the time to engage this way. The Council also recognized the need early on to engage consultants to assist in laying the groundwork for a co-creation process with Vermonters in the development of the initial CAP. This was intended to build a framework for the continued and ongoing discussion with Vermonters around climate action in Vermont. Climate Access and RISE Consulting led that work and will continue to work with the Council as we roll out the initial Climate Action Plan. To do so, a public engagement plan was developed through discussion with the Council, subcommittees, three roundtables and stakeholder interviews. This plan focused on three phases of targeted engagement, the first of completed in Fall of 2021 is discussed here.

This Fall, we engaged with a total of 1,602 Vermonters to discuss the development of the Climate Action Plan. Public events were held between September 21 and October 12, 2021 and an online survey was conducted between September 20 and October 15, 2021. Additionally, The Agency of Natural Resources (ANR) summarized public comments submitted from July 2 and October 7, 2021. Climate Access and Rise Consulting interviewed stakeholders from a broad range of stakeholder groups to inform the public engagement plan and share concerns related to climate impacts and solutions to include in the Climate Action Plan.

The breakdown of participation via channel is as follows:

- Interviews & Roundtables: 37 participants
- Public Engagement Events: 521 total attendees
- Public Opinion Survey: 679 responses
- Public Comment Form: 365 comments

Looking across all input gathered via the range of channels, there are a number of themes that stand out. They include:

• Vermonters who participated want bold action on climate change. At each event, participants expressed strong support for solutions on scale with the challenge.

- There is great hope the Climate Action Plan will live up to what it is intended to achieve and significant concern that it will not.
- Top priorities for community members include tackling climate change through transportation improvements that provide access to cleaner vehicles and public transit, scaling weatherization efforts and efficiency upgrades for buildings and affordable, local sources of clean energy.
- Equity is a core concern. This came through at all events, via the survey and public comment portal. In particular, the impact of climate change and energy transition on youth, low-income Vermonters and BIPOC community members was expressed.
- The mental health impacts of climate change were raised as a key concern, particularly for youth.
- Accessibility of climate solutions. Vermonters want bold action yet wonder how solutions will be paid for and who will be able to afford and have access to them.
- Many who participated in the in person and online events are very interested in staying engaged in the process and appreciated being connected to other community members who feel strongly about the issue.

Through these forums, Vermonters also engaged in formulating the actions for inclusion in the Climate Action Plan, helping the Council consider how we will advance climate action in Vermont. The discussions focused on priorities, barriers and what was missing and are included in the full public engagement findings included in the appendices. These considerations were used to further prioritize the suite of recommendations put forward.

We would be remised if we did not mention that public engagement was challenged due to both the timeline and the ongoing pandemic. The first of these gaps is the nature of the accelerated timeline for the release of the initial Climate Action Plan. The velocity of the process influenced the participation level of groups that are likely to be more highly impacted by climate change including low-income communities, BIPOC communities and disability advocacy groups in particular. The public engagement plan outlined additional opportunities for broader partner outreach and support that were not fully realized during this phase due to the lack of time to build trust and respectfully coordinate with multiple partners.

Additionally, there were public engagement challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. The in-person events likely had lower attendance because of varying levels of physical access as well as comfort levels and public health concerns Vermonters may have had gathering in person during this time. Other accessibility gaps included the location of the in-person, which were held in open-air park settings to mitigate the risk of COVID transmission. This posed challenges for physical access, visibility, sound, weather conditions and transportation access to the meeting sites. In addition, three of the meetings were held on weeknight evenings which posed barriers for people with work, community, or family obligations between 5-7 pm. The online meetings may also have posed challenges for those with limited computer or internet access.

The Council will be considering next steps for public engagement as the plan is rolled out to ensure it is easily communicable and discussed in the framing of an iterative process. This will include a social media strategy, online events, an education and engagement platform, partner events and an evaluation. This plan will be ongoing and further refined with the Council.

Building Equity into the CAP and Ensuring a Just Transition

The Vermont Climate Council recognized early in the process the further work it needed to do – both internally and externally – to build equity into climate action in Vermont and Vermont's Climate Action Plan and ensure a just transition. To realize the transformative change that is needed to meet the objectives of the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA), Vermonters must be part of not only the solutions but in determining them, supporting all residents of the State fairly and equitably. Specifically, the Council took a three-pronged approach to incorporating and applying equity principles in policy and decision-making: holding space, organizational analysis, and engagement.

The act of holding space refers to the Council's commitment to start every Council meeting with a presentation or discussion related to equity. Rather than having one or a few specific trainings in the area, this has provided a continual opportunity to hear from experts in the field, working on the ground in Vermont and/or have facilitated conversations around what the work looks like in practice. This work will need to be continued as the State of Vermont and Vermonters work to implement the Climate Action Plan (CAP).

The Council also recognized that there is further work to do around the organizational structure of the Council. The formation of the Council itself, and the five subcommittees needed to develop the Climate Action Plan, took place at an astonishing speed and are imperfect. The systems needed to support the full work of the Climate Action Plan and represent the diversity in Vermont were developed in real time. As such, the hierarchy of the Council and its subcommittees created a system with imperfect power dynamics and under-represented sectors of Vermont's population. The Council itself is not representative of the BIPOC, low income and rural communities that are likely to be most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, not is the Council representative of the economic sectors that will be most impacted by this transformation, such as the building trades. The Council cast a broader net when forming the subcommittees in order to bring more voices to the process, . The subcommittees, however, which are more representative, do not determine the final actions in the CAP but rather serve as advisory to the Council. The Council holds the decision-making power. In recognizing and appreciating this issue, the Council seeks to engage with the legislature going forward to consider new appointments to the Council and other tools, such as re-evaluating the State's per diem policy, to seek and create opportunities to add diversity to the Council.

The final approach to equity is co-creation of and broad-based public engagement in the development of the CAP and ultimately, its implementation. While detailed in the preceding section, the Council engagement efforts were significantly impacted by the aggressive timeline proscribed in the GWSA. That said, engagement cannot be a "point in time" effort in the development of the, but rather must be a commitment by the Council and its staff to work in an ongoing fashion to engage Vermonters in climate action. It is with this commitment, that the Council will continue to strive to do better in providing a platform for Vermonters to see themselves in this process.

Developing the Guiding Principles

The GWSA charges the Just Transitions Subcommittee with ensuring that strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and build resilience to climate change impacts will benefit and support all residents of the State of Vermont fairly and equitably. The term "Just Transitions" encompasses both public policy and business action that address the impacts of the transition away from greenhouse gas emissions for jobs and livelihoods (the transition "out") and the generation of low or zero greenhouse gas emission jobs and livelihoods of a sustainable society (the transition "in").

The Just Transitions Subcommittee intentionally formed as a collaborative and diverse group of Vermonters, representing voices of indigenous, black, people of color, youth, people with low incomes, New Americans, labor unions, rural Vermonters and more. **The Subcommittee promoted proactively centering equity in the work of the Climate Council, rather than reactively measuring it**. To support this goal, the subcommittee created the *Guiding Principles for a Just Transition*. The Guiding Principles provide a framework for the Council and subcommittees to use in evaluating, adjusting and prioritizing recommendations that are outlined in the Vermont Climate Action Plan. By providing a checkpoint to ensure active discussion of equity concerns, the Guiding Principles are especially useful in climate policy areas where equity has not traditionally been considered. It is critical to provide an equity lens to incorporate the perspectives of historically marginalized, disadvantaged and underserved communities that are otherwise overlooked or neglected.

Defining "equity" is important for this process. (1) *Distributive equity* recognizes disparities in the allocation of resources, health outcomes, the inequities in living conditions and lack of political power place frontline / impacted communities; (2) *Procedural equity* includes equitable planning and implementation that requires communities have a meaningful opportunity to participate; (3) *Contextual equity* ensures that mitigation and adaption strategies take into account that low-income communities, black communities, indigenous communities and people of color, and people with disabilities, amongst others, are often more vulnerable to climate change; (4) *Corrective equity* ensures that mitigation and adaption strategies provide communities with clear processes to hold the state accountable to its commitments to pursue equity.

Vermont's Impacted & Frontline Communities

The Guiding Principles point out the significance in Vermont's climate work of recognizing Vermont's Impacted and Frontline Communities, defining these communities as those who:

- Are highly exposed to climate risks, such as health impacts, flooding, and extreme temperatures;
- Experience oppression and racism, are excluded from opportunities, or have less resources to adapt to climate and economic change;
- Bear the brunt of pollution and negative effects from today's fossil fuel and extractive economies; and
- Are more likely to experience a job transition as Vermont addresses climate change.

Studies show that low-income communities, indigenous peoples, black, and other communities of color are among those who are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. In addition, as industry and jobs transition away from greenhouse gas emissions and towards "greener" jobs and livelihoods, particular focus must be given to the labor sector. Finally, some

Vermonters may also be necessarily focused on achieving immediate goals of food, shelter, safety and health, which can impact ability to focus on long-term adaptation to climate and economic changes. Recognition of the disproportionate impact of climate upon frontline communities is essential to evaluating the impact of the transitions toward a carbon-free economy, and to emphasizing the goals of adaptation and resilience strategies. Consequently, the Guiding Principles encourage consideration of impacted and frontline communities in the development of recommendations and prioritization of resources to address climate change.

Guiding Principles for a Just Transition

The Just Transitions Subcommittee designed six key principles to guide the recommendations of the Climate Council in development of the Climate Action Plan. These include:

- I. Ensuring *Inclusive, Transparent, and Innovative Engagement* in the development of the plan and associated policies and program.
- II. Creating *Accountable and Restorative* recommendations that recognize inequality and seek to resolve them using clearly identified strategies.
- III. Moving at *The Speed of Trust* where candor and honesty are recognized as essential for public trust and preparing Vermonters for transition to a sustainable climate future.
- IV. Incorporating *Solidarity* to create inclusionary spaces for all traditions and cultures, particularly for Indigenous communities, recognizing them as integral to a healthy and vibrant Vermont.
- V. Prioritizing *The Most Impacted First* through recommendations that address the needs of impacted and frontline communities first, providing the greatest benefits of transitions to these communities.
- VI. Developing *Supports for Workers, Families, and Communities* that consider and plan for potential impacts on workers, families and their communities based on the implementation of Vermont's Climate Action Plan

Self-Assessment and Scoring Rubric

The Guiding Principles also include a process for assessing "equity and justice" which includes an explicit reflection and analysis of:

- Impacted and Frontline Communities;
- Analyzing Burdens and Benefits;
- Ensuring Equitable and Just Engagement;
- Funding and Data;
- Implementation and Outcomes

Moreover, a scoring rubric was developed to accompany a narrative response for each of the Assessment Questions. Each recommendation put forth by a subcommittee is scored on how applicable it is to the criterion of each prompt. The criterion include: *Frontline/Impacted Communities; Analyzing Burdens And Benefits; Ensuring Equitable & Just Engagement; Funding & Data; Implementation & Questions; Which Groups Derive Direct Benefits.* This rubric was designed to be used in the recommendation prioritization process and supported identifying strategies and actions that require additional equity and justice considerations before being advanced to implementation.

The full Guiding Principles document, along with the rubric can be found in the appendices.

Coordination of the Climate Action Plan and Comprehensive Energy Plan

The development of the Climate Action Plan (CAP) has coincided with the development of Vermont's Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP)⁴³. As described earlier, the CAP is an Action Plan for greenhouse gas mitigation, sequestration, and adaptation strategies in the face of climate change. The CEP is a mechanism to implement statutory energy policy⁴⁴ based on a comprehensive analysis of challenges and opportunities in Vermont. While the CAP and the CEP have considerable areas of overlap, they remain distinct planning requirements, with different objectives. While the CEP must be consistent with and a key component of meeting the State's GHG requirements, it is not a climate change plan nor a comprehensive look at Vermont's non-energy GHG emissions or climate adaptation needs.

The CEP reviews energy system planning in ways that are beyond the scope of the GWSA. For example, it focuses on planning for reliability of the electric system given the pathways necessary to meet our climate goals. In addition, it must include recommendations for regional and municipal energy planning. In turn, the CAP looks at the impacts of climate change beyond the scope of the CEP, addressing resilience in the natural and built environment, adaptation, sequestration, and non-energy mitigation.

That said, and as described in this plan, energy consumption drives a large majority of Vermont's greenhouse gas emissions; it is important that the process for the CAP and CEP aligned. Thus, the Public Service Department in its role developing the CEP and the Agency of Natural Resources in its role managing the Climate Council have closely coordinated these two required plans. Notably, public engagement efforts have been aligned, so that targeted outreach to both Vermonters and technical experts was not duplicated (See Chapter X public engagement). In addition, modeling (See Appendix X Modeling) was initiated for purposes of the CEP but reviewed, modified and adopted for the CAP, ensuring there is one set of energy-related assumptions on which the two plans were based upon. State Agency staff have diligently worked on both the CAP and the CEP.

The CEP is required to be consistent with the requirements of the GWSA and the CAP. At the same time, the CAP is required to be informed by the CEP. These requirements to closely coordinate the efforts – even if the resulting actions are not necessarily identical, the basis on which they are formed was efficient and practical – allow for clearer consideration of the issues rather than a debate of the facts.

⁴³ 30 V.S.A. §202b requires the Vermont Department of Public Service to lead development of the CEP at least every 6 years; the CAP is required at least every 4 years.

⁴⁴ 30 V.S.A. §202a most directly. Goals and policy objectives are littered throughout statute.

Scientific Underpinning of the Climate Action Plan

To help ensure that Council deliberations and CAP drafting was guided by evidence and peerreviewed science, while employing credible, consistent, and transparent methods of assessment and analysis for Vermont, the Council created the Science & Data Sub-committee (SDSC). Although not directed by statute, the Council wanted a specific, fifth subcommittee to serve as a resource for the other four statutorily defined sub-committees. The section that follows contains the recommendations of the SDSC, and includes recommendations from the Agriculture & Ecosystems subcommittee related to the Carbon Budget.

Social Cost of Carbon

The Science and Data Sub-committee (SDSC) oversaw the development and presentation of material on the method and assumptions for estimating the Social Cost of Carbon for the Vermont CAP, as well as a review of the Vermont Department of Public Service's "Cost of Carbon Reductions" spreadsheet model⁴⁵. The Climate Council approved the recommended SCC, underscoring the need for the economic analysis of climate action plans and mitigation scenarios to account for the value of avoided emissions.

The Social Cost of Carbon is an estimate of the value of economic, environmental, and health damages associated with a unit (typically a metric tonne) of emissions.⁴⁶ The SDSC adopted the Social Cost of Carbon findings from the report completed for the Climate Council Titled "*Social Cost of Carbon and Cost of Carbon Model Review Analyses and Recommendations to Support Vermont's Climate Council and Climate Action Plan*"⁴⁷ and recommended a the use of a stream of values that can be used to estimate the avoided damages of emissions associated with greenhouse gas mitigation measures. Specifically, the SDSC recommended, and the Council agreed that Vermont should:

1) Value greenhouse gas emissions costs (and avoided costs) by utilizing a global damage-based estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon, based on models developed for the New York Department of Environmental Conservation (NYDEC) by Resources for the Future.⁴⁸

2) Recognize that the estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon is highly dependent on how costs and savings that occur in the future are valued in the present, as represented in a

⁴⁵ A full description of the model can be found as part of the <u>Public Service Department's Annual Energy Report.</u>

⁴⁶ The National Academy of Sciences defines the Social Cost of Carbon as "an estimate, in dollars, of the present discounted value of the future damage caused by a metric ton increase in carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions into the atmosphere in that year or, equivalently, the benefits of reducing CO2 emissions by the same amount in that year."

⁴⁷ Energy Futures Group, August 14, 2021 (Revised August 31, 2021)

https://aoa.vermont.gov/sites/aoa/files/Boards/VCC/SCC%20and%20Cost%20of%20Carbon%208-31%20DH%20revised.pdf ⁴⁸ Greenhouse Gas Emissions other than CO2 can and should appropriately value the cost of greenhouse gas emissions or benefit associated with mitigation of those emissions. The Energy Futures Group report presents Social Cost of Methane and a Social Cost of Nitrous Oxide values. Other gases, until better information can be developed, can and should be converted to Carbon Equivalent emissions.

"discount rate",⁴⁹ and that the NYDEC guidelines offer a range of possible discount rates that value future damages and cost of those. Based on literature review conducted in the report, polling of the Science and Data Subcommittee and meeting attendees, as well as discussion of the whole Council, the Council determined it is reasonable to utilize the Social Cost of Carbon developed using the central discount rate of 2%. Because the value of the Social Cost of Carbon is highly dependent on the assumption for a discount rate, it was agreed that sensitivities to the economic analysis using Social Cost of Carbon's developed using discount rates of 1%-3% should be completed, illustrating a range of possible economic outcomes associated with different valuations of future costs and benefits of mitigation measures.

3) Plan for updating of the Social Cost of Carbon and discount rate on a regular basis, taking into account new research that may be published that impact Social Cost of Carbon and application of the discount rate.

The resulting Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide (and range of outcomes), which will be utilized in estimating the economic impact of this Climate Action Plan and its mitigation scenarios is provided in Exhibit X, below. As the Exhibit shows, a higher discount rate represents a lower valuation of costs the future relative to the present, and thus the Social Cost of Carbon is lower, while a lower discount rate values the future more highly and results in a higher Social Cost of Carbon (which in turn improves the economic benefit/cost analysis for mitigation measures).

⁴⁹ A discount rate is a method to place a present value on costs or benefits that will occur at a future date.

	Recommen	nded Range of Dis		
Emissions Year	3% Average	2% Average	1% Average	0% Average
2020	51	(Central Rate) 121	406	2,130
2020	51	121	408	2,130
2021	53	123	409	2,125
2022	55	124	411	2,119
2023	55	128	414	2,114
2024	55	128	410	2,108
2025	57	129	410	2,103
2026	57	131	421	2,098
2027	60	132	425	
2028	61	134	426	2,088
2029	62	136	428	2,083
2030	63	137	430	2,077
2031	64	139	433	2,072
		141	435	2,067
2033 2034	65 66	142		2,061
			440	2,056
2035	67	146	442 444	2,050
2036	69	147		2,045
2037	70	149	446	2,040
2038	71	151	449	2,035
2039	72	152	451	2,030
2040	73	154	453	2,024
2041	74	156	456	2,020
2042	75	158	459	2,015
2043	77	160	461	2,011
2044	78	162	464	2,006
2045	79	164	467	2,002
2046	80	166	469	1,995
2047	81	167	471	1,989
2048	82	169	472	1,983
2049	84	170	474	1,976
2050	85	172 (0) "Establishing al	476	1,970

U.S Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide by Discount Rate, Adjusted for New York State (2020\$ per metric ton of CO2)

See DEC (2020) "Establishing a Value of Carbon"

Source: <u>Appendix: Value of Carbon, New York Department of Environmental Conservation, revised June 2021.</u> <u>https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/administration_pdf/vocapprev.pdf.</u>

With regard to the review of the Public Service Department's "Cost of Carbon Reductions" tool, which presented an initial cost-effectiveness comparison between selected technologies and/or policies on the basis of dollar per ton of Carbon Dioxide, the Technical Consultants found that the model has value for understanding the relative cost-effectiveness for near-term investment provided by several technological measures, as it was intended to be. However, a number of improvements were recommended. In addition, a greenhouse gas mitigation technology/policy supply curve will be developed in conjunction with ongoing modeling. The SDSC recommended that no further action by the Council was necessary with regard to the specific Cost of Carbon model evaluated, but that Vermont:

1) Continue to maintain and update the accounting for mitigation pathways to promote transparency and consistency in assumptions. This could come in the format of the "Cost of Carbon" model that the Department of Public Service creates, or through other reasonable means.

2) Initially through technical consultant and to be updated regularly by the State of Vermont, create a greenhouse gas mitigation technology/policy supply curve that estimates the relative net cost of mitigation policies and/or technologies per ton of greenhouse gas emissions saved.

The full Technical Report that provides recommendations on both of these issues <u>is available on</u> <u>the Council's website</u>.

Greenhouse Gas Inventory Review and Supplemental Accounting

The <u>Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory</u>, conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) within the Agency of Natural Resources (ANR), is published annually as required by Vermont statute 10 V.S.A. § 582. The Inventory establishes historic 1990 and 2005 baseline greenhouse gas (GHG) levels and tracks changes in emissions through time. The Inventory is vitally important as the primary means of determining progress toward Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) emissions reduction requirements.

The Science and Data Sub-committee (SDSC) of the Council has been responsible for reviewing the Inventory, including the summary report conducted by technical consultant Energy Futures Group (EFG) titled, "<u>Greenhouse Gas Inventory Review: Vermont's Current Methods,</u> <u>Comparison with Accepted Practices, and Recommendations</u>". To ensure that we achieve our State requirements, it is important that our tracking methods continue to be as transparent, accurate, and comprehensive as possible, building on good work that has been done to date. In accordance with the recommendations in the EFG report, the Vermont Climate Council and State of Vermont should:

- 1) Maintain and continue to update and improve the current sector-based (or in-boundary) GHG Inventory methodology, for consistency and alignment with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and peer states, as appropriate.
- 2) Continue to report on gross emissions (i.e., sources of emissions, for compliance with the GWSA), while also working to improve how we track and report net emissions, including emissions sinks, via a separate carbon budget.
- 3) Include supplemental information and sensitivity analyses as part of future published GHG in-boundary inventories. Specifically, this should include (but not be limited to):
 - Biogenic greenhouse gases
 - Additional analysis of global warming potential of greenhouse gases, including but not limited to a 20-year Global Warming Potential⁵⁰ (GWP20) in addition to GWP100.
 - Latest IPCC Assessment Report (AR) values (i.e., AR5 and eventually AR6, not just AR4).
- 4) Maintain Renewable Energy Credit⁵¹ (REC) accounting as the basis for calculating electricity sector emissions (i.e., emissions from our purchase and consumption of electricity and associated RECs, as tracked through the New England Power Pool Generation Information System (NEPOOL GIS) and not exclusively from electricity generated within our borders) for VT's GHG emissions inventory. We concur with the EFG recommendation to use the most accurate REC values available for the region,

⁵⁰ Global Warming Potential (GWP) is "a measure of how much energy the emissions of 1 ton of a gas will absorb over a given period of time, relative to the emissions of 1 ton of carbon dioxide (CO₂)."For more, see:

https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/understanding-global-warming-potentials

⁵¹ A Renewable Energy Credit (sometimes also called a Renewable Energy Certificate), or REC, as defined in <u>Vermont statute</u> refers to, "all of the environmental attributes associated with a single unit of energy generated by a renewable source."

perhaps using the REC settlement information compiled by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Conservation.

5) Adopt key category analysis⁵² for future inventories.

While Vermont continues to update the GHG Inventory, supplemental accounting and further research and data gathering is also called for. Specifically, the Vermont Climate Council and State of Vermont should gather information to:

- Allow for supplemental upstream and/or lifecycle accounting of emissions related to the use of energy in Vermont, including those emissions that occur outside the boundaries of the state, as called for in section 578(a) of the GWSA. Note: this should include emissions related to *all* energy use (including but not limited to fuels used for transportation and heating), not just electricity.
- Better understand and remedy any methodological gaps of emission inventory tools currently used by the State of Vermont to quantify greenhouse gas emissions for evaluating changes in the agriculture and related land use sectors and the tools' alignment with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and peer state methodologies and approaches.

Future upstream and/or lifecycle accounting is envisioned to stand alongside—but not replace the current in-boundary GHG inventory. This new and supplemental accounting would function as a decision aid, helping to ensure that Vermont achieves a fuller understanding of the emissions that Vermont can fairly be understood to be responsible for and options for reducing such emissions (even if they occur out of state).

A better understanding of the role that supplemental accounting for upstream and/or lifecycle emissions related to energy usage may play in future updates to the Climate Action Plan (CAP) will be necessary going forward. Possible improvements related to Agricultural sector emissions tracking, both on a gross basis for purposes of the GHG Inventory and on a net basis, for purposes of the carbon budget, will also be a focus going forward.

⁵² The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) defines a key category as, "a category that is prioritized within the…inventory system because its estimate has a significant influence on [the] total inventory of greenhouse gases in terms of the absolute level, the trend, or the uncertainty in emissions and removals." For more, see: https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/1_Volume1/V1_4_Ch4_MethodChoice.pdf

Carbon Budget

Overview

About 98% of the State of Vermont's area is comprised of forests, farmlands, grass and shrublands, wetlands, lakes, ponds, and rivers⁵³. Because plants can capture carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the air and store the carbon in wood and soil, it is important to quantify how much carbon is contained in these ecosystems and at what rate CO₂ is taken out of the atmosphere. Human decisions can alter the rate of CO₂ uptake by plant-based ecosystems, at times resulting in net emissions of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases (GHG) back to the atmosphere. As such, management decisions, like timber harvests, land-use conversion, and farming practices must be included in these estimates for a comprehensive picture of the influence of agriculture, forestry, and other land uses (AFOLU) on Vermont's net GHG emissions.

The 2021 Carbon Budget for Vermont⁵⁴ represents the first attempt to provide a high-level. comprehensive picture of the AFOLU sector on the balance of Vermont's GHG emissions⁵⁵. The Carbon Budget presents carbon stocks and fluxes for the AFOLU sector. Carbon fluxes include emissions of other GHGs in addition to CO₂, specifically methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) ; for easier comparison these other GHGs were converted into metric tons of CO_2 equivalents (CO₂e) using 100-year global warming potentials (GWP). A carbon stock is how much carbon (expressed as CO₂e) has been stored in an entity, like a tree or an acre of land. Carbon flux is the rate at which CO₂e is taken in from or released to the atmosphere. Positive fluxes represent CO₂e emissions to the atmosphere (also called a carbon source) and negative fluxes represent sequestration of CO₂ from the atmosphere (also called a carbon sink). The net carbon flux is the sum or balance of emissions (sources) and sequestration (sinks). Within the AFOLU sector, the Carbon Budget includes the following sub-sectors: forests (includes forestland, harvested wood products [includes wood products used for energy and heat, wood products in use, and wood products sent to landfills where they slowly decompose], and land use change to/from forest), agriculture, grasslands and shrublands, urban and developed lands, and wetlands and water bodies.

The *Carbon Budget* is distinct from the annual *Vermont GHG Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017* by the Air Quality and Climate Division of the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation⁵⁶ that is used to establish state-level GHG emissions targets outlined in the Global Warming Solutions Act. The *GHG Emissions Inventory* includes anthropogenic, fossil fuel-based emissions and does not include the AFOLU sector, except for

⁵³ USGS. 2019. National Land Cover Database. <u>https://www.mrlc.gov/</u>

⁵⁴ Galford G, Darby H, Hall F, and Kosiba AM. 2021. A Carbon Budget for Vermont.

⁵⁵ The concept of a carbon budget for Vermont was informed by other carbon budgets, like the *Global Carbon Budget* (Friedlingstein et al. 2020. Earth System Science Data, 12(4), pp. 3269-3340) and *State of Maine Carbon Budget* (Bai et al. 2020. https://crsf.umaine.edu/forest-climate-change-initiative/carbon-budget/). The term carbon budget here refers to the net flux of all greenhouse gas emissions and carbon sequestration related to agriculture, forestry, and other land use (AFOLU) sector. While it may also be considered a carbon inventory, the use of "budget" also helps distinguish it from Vermont's *Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory* (VT DEC). This use aligns with the newest IPCC report (AR6) that has the proposed terms: "carbon budget" and "remaining carbon budget" (see draft glossary <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sr15/glossary/</u>).

⁵⁶ Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, Air Quality and Climate Division. 2021. *Vermont GHG Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017*. <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf</u>

agricultural emissions⁵⁷. In contrast, the *Carbon Budget* focuses on quantifying the carbon stock and net flux of the AFOLU sector. For context with statewide emissions, the *Carbon Budget* presents the difference between the net flux of CO₂e taken in by the AFOLU sector and the amount of CO₂e emitted according to the *GHG Emissions Inventory*, in other words, the approximate amount of anthropogenic GHG emissions that remain in the atmosphere (i.e., Vermont's net emissions). The Global Warming Solutions Act includes a requirement that Vermont achieve net zero emissions by 2050 but does not outline which sectors should be included and how to quantify fluxes. The *Carbon Budget* provides a cursory framework for accounting and elevates key questions for further research and analysis such as: which land use sectors and standards should be included in the net calculation? What are the current available data sources and what are their respective limitations? How can we accurately measure all fluxes on an annual basis?

Findings

The *Carbon Budget* estimates that the annual net GHG balance for the AFOLU sector is -2.95 million metric tons (MMT)⁵⁸ CO₂e for the most recent year of data (2020, 2018 for forests; see Table 1), in other words, the AFOLU sector is a net carbon sink. However, some sub-sectors are a net source of emissions, namely agriculture (+0.49 MMT CO₂e in 2020), followed by grasslands and shrublands (+0.05 MMT CO₂e in 2020). The other three AFOLU sectors account for net sequestration: forest sector (-3.2 MMT CO₂e in 2018, includes forests, land use change to/from forests, and harvested wood products), urban and developed [urban trees] (-0.28 MMT CO₂e in 2020), and wetlands and water bodies (-0.01 MMT CO₂e in 2020). When combined with Vermont's gross GHG emissions from other sectors (+7.22 MMT CO₂e), Vermont's net emissions were +4.27 MMT CO₂e in 2020; or put another way, net sequestration by Vermont's AFOLU sector currently offsets about 41% of the State's gross GHG emissions.

AFOLU sub- sector	Carbon storage (MMT CO ₂ e) 2020		carbon fl CO2e per 2005		Components
	2020	1990	2005	2020	
Agriculture	63	+0.70	+0.61	+0.49	Crops (including hay), fertilizers, livestock, management
Forests	1,859	-5.1	-3.2	-3.262	Forests, conversion to/from forests, harvested wood products (combustion, decay, and storage in use and in landfill)

Table 1 ⁵⁹ . Estimates of carbon stocks and fluxes of Vermont's agriculture, forestry, and other land
use (AFOLU) sector compared to the state's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions ⁶⁰ .

⁵⁷ Emissions from the agriculture sector include emissions from animals (enteric fermentation), stored manure, and agricultural soils—including decomposition of crop residue and application of organic (manure, compost, sewage) and inorganic fertilizer (nitrogen, urea, limestone, dolomite) through various pathways of volatilization, leaching and runoff (see VT DEC 2021).
⁵⁸ Negative fluxes indicate net sequestration (additional carbon storage). Positive fluxes represent net emissions to the atmosphere.

⁵⁹ Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, Air Quality and Climate Division. 2021. *Vermont GHG Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017*. <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u>change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf

⁶⁰ Table was modified from Table ES in Galford G, Darby H, Hall F, and Kosiba AM. 2021. A Carbon Budget for Vermont.

⁶¹ Negative fluxes indicate net sequestration. Positive fluxes represent net emissions to the atmosphere.

⁶² Data for forests is for the year 2018.

Grasslands and shrublands	41	+0.06	+0.05	+0.05	Unmanaged and managed (e.g., pasture)
Wetlands and water bodies	57	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	Wetlands and water bodies
Urban and developed	15	-0.26	-0.27	-0.28	Trees
Net for AFOLU sector	2,035 ⁶³	-4.61	-2.82	-2.95	AFOLU sectors and components listed above
	2,035 ⁶³	-4.61 +7.41	-2.82 +8.68	-2.95 +7.22	•

It is important to note that the strength of Vermont's AFOLU sector as a carbon sink has not been stable over time. In fact, the *Carbon Budget* shows a 36% decline in the strength of Vermont's AFOLU carbon sink since 1990 (decline from -4.61 to -2.95 MMT CO₂e). Though carbon flux estimates should be interpreted with caution because of data limitations, methodological differences, and lack of uncertainty around estimates, the largest change in net flux over this time occurred in the forest sector. Since 1990, wetland and waterbodies, grasslands and shrublands, and urban and developed lands saw fairly stable annual net flux rates, and emissions from the agricultural sector declined. Further investigation into this declining forest sector sink is warranted and important to developing strategies for complying with the GWSA requirement that Vermont achieve net zero emissions by 2050.

Vermont's AFOLU sector provides dual GHG mitigation benefits due to its ability to store carbon and prevent it from being emitted to the atmosphere and to sequester additional carbon from the atmosphere, and at the same time, provide other critical ecosystem services, like cycling water, reducing flooding, providing food, fiber, wood, and affording habitat to flora and fauna. The capacity of Vermont's AFOLU sector to continue to sequester and store carbon depends on its continued existence (e.g., land use conversion to development releases stored carbon and prevents future sequestration), health (e.g., AFOLU sector can be negatively affected by climate change, pollution, and degradation), and human decisions on land and water management, all of which can affect the rates of carbon sequestration and GHG emissions.

Recommendations for next steps

⁶³ The net flux for the AFOLU sector was incorrectly presented as 1,978 MMT CO₂e in Table ES of *A Carbon Budget for Vermont* (Galford et al. 2021).

⁶⁴ Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, Air Quality and Climate Division. 2021. Vermont GHG Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017. <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u>change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf

⁶⁵ Agriculture sector emissions included in the *GHG Emissions Inventory* were subtracted from the gross emissions total to avoid double counting with the AFOLU sector. This differs from the GHG emissions values used in *A Carbon Budget for Vermont*, which included the agriculture sector emissions.

The 2021 *Carbon Budget* represents an important first step in quantifying and tracking the GHG flux of Vermont's AFOLU sector. An important concept highlighted by this effort is that landowner and land manager actions can influence the net flux. To continue to improve our understanding of the carbon dynamics of the AFOLU sector, the following considerations are recommended as next steps:

1) Examine methodological gaps among GHG emission inventory tools and datasets used by the State of Vermont for the AFOLU sector and alignment with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and peer states. Specifically,

- Compare the three quantification tools informing the Global Warming Solutions Act (EPA State Inventory Tool [SIT], Low Emissions Analysis Platform [LEAP] and Ex-Ante Carbon Balance Tool [Ex-ACT]), plus the DeNitrification-DeComposition (DNDC) and DAYCENT models which are used by other states [i.e., California]);
- Conduct background research describing the IPCC rationale for using net GHG emissions quantification in AFOLU sectors, and peer state's deliberations on accounting GHG in AFOLU as net or gross;
- Describe the additional staff or technical support needed to include more accurate and nuanced GHG accounting of the AFOLU sector; and
- Research data sets that track land use change in Vermont and/or support efforts to track land use via remote sensing (e.g., satellite, LiDAR).

2) Establish a periodic and consistent *Carbon Budget* for Vermont. Future updates to a subsequent version of a *Carbon Budget* should consider the following:

- In addition to net flux, report gross emissions and sequestration;
- Incorporate uncertainty or error estimates for all stocks and fluxes.
- In addition to reporting the total flux per sub-sector, report all fluxes on a per area basis (i.e., MMT CO₂e per acre per year).
- Investigate any stocks or fluxes not included in the 2021 *Carbon Budget*. For example: (1) The Urban and Developed sector only includes trees, and not grasses, turf, shrubs, or other plants; (2) Further investigate urban soil stocks and fluxes, which were presented as negligible in the *2021 Carbon Budget*, yet in Maine urban soils were estimated to contribute three times as much sequestration as urban plants⁶⁷. (2) Investigate inclusion of the lateral movement of carbon from land to water bodies (i.e., sedimentation). (3) Investigate the inclusion of outgassing from water bodies. (4) Include land use change for conversions other than to/from forests (e.g., wetlands to development). (5) On agricultural land, consider accounting for isolated trees or small groups of trees that do not constitute a forest, which are currently not included. (6) Clarify whether the wetland and water bodies sub-sector include lakes and ponds.
- Address issues of possible double counting. For example, land use conversion is counted as an immediate source of emissions to the atmosphere, but in forest clearing, there are often harvested wood products that are extracted that end up in products that store carbon for some length of time.
- Consider standardizing soil carbon methodology across all AFOLU sectors. In the 2021 *Carbon Budget*, forest soils are assessed to a depth of 1 meter, but other soils (agriculture,

⁶⁷ Bai et al. 2020. State of Maine Carbon Budget. <u>https://crsf.umaine.edu/forest-climate-change-initiative/carbon-budget/</u>)

wetlands and waterbodies) are assessed to a depth of 0.3 meter—deeper soil depth accounts for more stored carbon.

• Either integrate pasture (managed grassland) as an Agriculture source; or split into formal IPCC AFOLU sources, i.e., cropland, grassland, livestock, etc. Also review management assumptions used for agriculture per source (e.g., crop residue retained, carbon input, manure, pasture degradation) and update as data sets are reviewed and updated.

Pathways

Pathways Overview

Addressing the climate crisis requires immediate and sustained investment in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving landscape-level resilience—and this presents a transformational opportunity for Vermont. To realize these opportunities and address the objectives of the Global Warming Solutions Act, the Climate Council organized their recommendations in a Climate Action Plan around five areas of action: 1) emissions reductions; 2) building resilience and adaptation in Vermont's natural and working lands; 3) building resilience and adaptation in Vermont's communities and built environment; 4) enhancing carbon sequestration and storage; and 5) cross-cutting pathways.

The recommendations are further organized in the following sections into tiers of pathways, strategies, and actions – with increasing levels of detail. A pathway is a high-level means of achieving GHG emissions reductions or adaptation, resilience, and sequestration goals. While written broadly, pathways are specific enough so that Vermont can assess whether progress has been made in achieving them. Under each pathway, a suite of strategies has been developed. These strategies are a statement of measurable activity, a benchmark, to be reached in pursuit of the pathway. Finally, actions have been identified as the "operational" tasks that the state, regional organizations, municipalities, non-governmental organizations, and Vermonters will undertake to meet the pathways and strategies. Actions were developed around existing, as well as new, policies, programs, projects, initiatives, plans, etc. The actions have been prioritized using the foundational criteria defined earlier in the plan. All the actions included here are a priority for implementation.
Pathways for Emission Reductions

The Global Warming Solutions Act defines "mitigation" as the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions caused by humans, as well as the preservation and enhancement of natural systems to sequester and store carbon, in order to stabilize and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the atmosphere. The pathways included in this Chapter, when implemented, will constitute a significant step in Vermont's efforts to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, and build upon ongoing work to mitigate climate change.

The proposed pathways have been organized by the inventory sector in which the emissions occur (emissions totals and percentages are from the most recent inventory, based on 2018 data):

- *Transportation* (39.7% of total emissions, 3.43 MMTCO₂e)
- *Buildings*, including residential and commercial fuel use, and emissions from natural gas distribution (33.9% of total emissions, 2.93 MMTCO₂e)
- *Electricity* generation (2.1% of total emissions, 0.18 MMTCO₂e)
- *Agriculture* (15.8% of total emissions, 1.37 MMTCO₂e)
- Other Non-energy emissions, including Industrial Processes and Waste (8.5% of total emissions, 0.73 MMTCO₂e)⁶⁸

Greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation sector have consistently been higher than any other sector. The state has implemented law and policy aimed at requiring manufacturers to deliver for sale cleaner vehicles to the market, and the legislature has authorized and funded programs to incentivize the purchase and use of these vehicles in Vermont, as well as expand and accelerate other transportation solutions the reduce reliance on the single occupancy vehicle. The success of these policies and programs in driving innovation in the automobile industry to produce cleaner technologies, coupled with the recent development of more robust state vehicle purchase incentives and investments in electric vehicle charging stations has yielded a slow but steady increase in electric vehicles in the Vermont fleet. As of January, 2021 there were 4,360 plug-in hybrid or battery electric vehicles registered in Vermont.⁶⁹ However, modeling shows that our current rate of EV adoption and number of EVs on the road is not nearly enough to achieve the reductions in this sector required by the GWSA. For example, we will need approximately 170.000 light-duty electric vehicles in the Vermont fleet to meet our 2030 reduction requirements. The pathways and strategies in this sector represent a robust set of rules, programs, and policies that will accelerate EV adoption through a variety of approaches aimed at sparking market innovation and transformation in vehicle manufacturing, coordinating emission reductions and investments at the regional level, designing more robust vehicle purchase incentives that mitigate the high up-front costs of electric vehicles, creating more transportation options and helping ensure these choices are accessible to all Vermonters, no matter their income.

An essential component of the pathways to reduce emissions from the transportation sector is a regional, market-based cap and invest program for transportation fuels. Vermont is a member of the Transportation and Climate Initiative, along with 13 Northeast and mid-Atlantic states, and

⁶⁸ The Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update and Forecast for 2018 has not been finalized as of the adopted date of the CAP. Emissions data is subject to change in the final Inventory for 2018.

⁶⁹ https://www.driveelectricvt.com/Media/Default/docs/maps/vt_ev_registration_trends.pdf

has worked within this group to develop the Transportation and Climate Initiative Program (TCI-P), which would cap emissions from transportation fuel in the region and invest funds from the sale of carbon allowances to reduce emissions via the policies and programs put forward as actions included in this CAP. As of the date of the adoption of this CAP, the future of the TCI-P is uncertain, and it is not immediately clear how Vermont's adoption of the action to participate in the TCI-P would be implemented without partnership from other states in the region. Absent this clarity, the Council remains committed to this approach as a realistic and cost-effective way to meet the emission reduction requirements in the GWSA, and includes in this plan the action to join TCI-P when a viable regional market exists. Therefore, the Council maintains that there is an immediate requirement for legislative action to authorize the generation and collection of auction revenue from the sale of allowances in a transportation fuel cap and invest program, whether its TCI-P or a comparable approach, and to determine of how revenue will be allocated in accordance with the CAP and to ensure Vermonters' transition to the clean transportation and energy future is equitable, just, and accessible to all. This action will ensure that Vermont is fully prepared to expeditiously adopt and implement this type of critical program, or TCI-P, should it be viable in the future. In the interim, the Council will continue to explore and identify actions that can be taken to mitigate the gap in emissions reductions that would have been realized by the implementation of TCI-P regionally and in Vermont. These actions will be incorporated into future amendments to this CAP, and will meet the goals and requirements of the GWSA.

Acceleration of electric vehicle adoption is a cost-effective, and necessary approach to achieving emission reductions. The pathways also recognize that the importance of reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). More research and planning are required to understand and implement strategies to help Vermonters reduce the number of miles they travel annually in single occupancy vehicles. This research will need to be led by VTrans and coordinated with cross-cutting efforts, such as improving the understanding of how land-use planning affect emissions and the development of new or revised Smart Growth policies.

Many of Vermont's residential and commercial building spaces are poorly insulated and heated using carbon intense fossil fuels. Given the duration and intensity of Vermont's cold-weather seasons, it is not surprising then that this sector is the second highest emitter of greenhouse gases in Vermont. Most homes were built before 1975, with a significant portion older than 1939⁷⁰. Pathways to reducing emissions in this sector are two-fold: improving thermal efficiency of Vermont's buildings through weatherization and related activities and switching heating sources to lower carbon alternatives. These pathways need to be closely coordinated to achieve maximum efficiency and to overcome the equity and cost challenges associated with the necessary approaches. This work also incorporates an opportunity to keep more energy dollars in-state by replacing fossil fuel use with electricity for heating needs while also employing an increased workforce of weatherization and home heating technicians. Progress made, however, must align with policies and programs that prioritize those who struggle with the costs associated with housing and energy use.

Greenhouse gas emissions from the electricity sector in Vermont have been variable over time but have been declining in recent years due to the adoption and implementation of the Renewable Energy Standard (RES) and utility commitments. As a result, contributions of

⁷⁰ Vermont Housing Needs Assessment, Vermont Housing Finance Agency ("VHFA Housing Needs Assessment"), February 2020, p. 2.

greenhouse gas emissions from the electricity sector are currently low. However, because pathways used to reduce emissions from other sectors will rely significantly on electrification, it is important that the low emissions levels in this sector be maintained and improved upon, even as the overall electricity load increases. This must be done while also keeping Vermont's electric supply reliable and affordable. Increased reliance on electricity to meet transportation and building heating needs also means ensuring resilient and adapted electric infrastructure, by upgrading distribution and transmission infrastructure, increasing load management and coordination capabilities, upgrading homes and businesses to enable the transition to electric technologies, and making distributed energy resource programs and services widely and equitably available to all Vermonters.

While gross emissions from the agricultural sector in Vermont account for approximately 16% of greenhouse gas emissions in the state, many Vermont farmers have already elevated climate change mitigation as a goal in managing their agricultural enterprises. Emissions from agriculture are technically a non-energy source of emissions, however reduction pathways are discussed separately from the non-energy emissions sector for the purposes of this Plan. Pathways in this sector include improving management practices, such as no-till or cover cropping, to prevent emissions of carbon currently stored in soils into the atmosphere, while also increasing the sequestration of carbon from the atmosphere through land use and management decisions on farms. Maintaining and improving soil health as a climate change mitigation strategy also has numerous co-benefits, such as resilience to extreme weather events and improved water quality. In fact, there is an opportunity to leverage existing water quality programming and funding to implement emission-reducing management tools, making pathways in this sector uniquely cost-effective.

Pathways in the final sector, other non-energy related emissions, address work needed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from industrial processes and management of solid waste and wastewater. This sector represents around 8% of total emissions statewide, but many of the greenhouse gases emitted are gases other than CO₂ that have high global warming potential (GWP) but are short-lived in the atmosphere. Because of the short atmospheric lifetimes of these gases, prioritizing emission reductions from this sector is important for near term impacts. Emissions reductions already made from the solid waste sector will further benefit from the continued implementation of Vermont's Universal Recycling Law, and therefore have not been prioritized in this Plan. Pathways related to the treatment of wastewater, the use of high GWP refrigerants, and semiconductor manufacturing are, however, ripe for emission reductions in this sector.

There is no single pathway or strategy that will ensure the necessary transitions required to drastically reduce our emissions. Action will be taken on multiple fronts to reach the required emission reductions in the GWSA. Most importantly, the policies, programs, and rules outlined in each of the following sectors represent a coordinated approach to mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, emphasizing approaches that are equitable and seek to ensure accessibility for all Vermonters.

Transportation Pathways for Mitigation

Transportation – the movement of people and goods – is essential to the state's economy and Vermonters quality of life. The state's rural character and low population density also means that Vermonters depend primarily on cars and trucks to get them where they need to go. Vermont's auto-reliant system is fueled almost singularly⁷¹ with carbon-intensive gasoline and diesel, making transportation the largest source of climate pollution – equating to a full 40% of the state's greenhouse gas emissions. ⁷² The combination of our mostly rural nature, dispersed land use patterns and heavy reliance on fossil-fueled vehicles is a significant reason why Vermonters emit more greenhouse gasses per capita than any other state in New England. ⁷³ This reality makes transforming the state's transportation system essential to meeting the emissions reduction requirements of the Global Warming Solutions Act. At the same time, creating a clean, efficient, multi-modal system will also have economic, environmental, equity and public health benefits.

Vermont's reliance on liquid fossil fuels is a significant drain on our economy. Vermonters collectively spend over \$1 billion on fossil fuels for transportation. Approximately 70% of those dollars leave the state's economy every year.⁷⁴ In contrast, electricity purchases keep far more dollars in Vermont. Over 50% of every dollar spent on electricity stays here. ⁷⁵ Moving to more efficient, electric vehicles will keep more of the money we collectively spend on transportation in the state's economy and in Vermonters' pockets.⁷⁶

Transportation represents a significant equity issue in Vermont as well.⁷⁷ Lower-income and rural Vermonters spend a far greater proportion of their incomes on transportation related costs than more urban and upper income Vermonters. Transportation costs – primarily ownership, operation and maintenance of a vehicle – equate to 45% of total energy expenditures for the average Vermont household. This reality places a disproportionate economic burden on lower income Vermonters. ⁷⁸

Whether or not the current system serves people equally is another important equity issue. Many older Vermonters, youth, people living with disabilities, and low-income Vermonters cannot drive, thus limiting their ability to access jobs, services and community amenities This has long

77

⁷¹

https://vtrans.vermont.gov/sites/aot/files/planning/documents/planning/The%20Vermont%20Transportation%20Energy%20Profile_2019_Final.pdf

[[]MJ2]https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-

change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf ⁷² https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-

change/documents/ Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2017_Final.pdf ⁷³ Page 11: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u>

change/documents/_Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_and_Forecast_1990-2016.pdf

⁷⁴ https://www.eanvt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/EAN-APR2020-21 finalJune2.pdf

⁷⁵ EAN 2021 Progress Report: <u>https://www.eanvt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/EAN-APR2020-21_finalJune2.pdf</u>

⁷⁶ https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/2020-11/rural-transportation-opportunities_0.pdf

https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Pubs_Plans_Reports/Legislative_Reports/2021%20Annual%20Energy%20Report%20Final.pdf

⁷⁸ https://www.efficiencyvermont.com/Media/Default/docs/white-

papers/2019%20Vermont%20Energy%20Burden%20Report.pdf

been true but also underscored in the COVID-19 pandemic, when many low-income, frontline workers continued to report in-person to work, often relying on costly, inefficient vehicles. Research has also found that "possession of a driver's license and a car was a stronger predictor of leaving public assistance than even a high school diploma," which speaks to the importance of vehicle access and ownership as an important justice issue.⁷⁹ Creating a flexible and multi-modal transportation system for those who cannot drive, is an important step to improving access for these Vermonters.

The economic disparities and equity issues embedded in rural Vermont's current transportation system also present opportunities. Equitably accelerating the adoption of more efficient, electric vehicles, and increasing access by expanding transportation choices and creating compact communities where Vermonters can afford to live will have multiple co-benefits. Those benefits can include significantly reducing the high energy burdens and attendant costs that Vermonters currently carry; ensuring Vermonters of all incomes levels and demographics can access more clean, affordable transportation options; and - individually and collectively - improving public health outcomes by reducing exposure to the air pollutants caused by the burning of gasoline and diesel and expanding active modes of transportation.⁸⁰

Together, the strategies identified below will not only improve health outcomes, but set Vermont on a course to dramatically reduce transportation-related carbon pollution, expand access to clean transportation options, and more equitably shift to a cleaner, more efficient, multi-modal transportation system. This is a two-pronged approach to make both vehicles and the transportation system more efficient by:

1. Replacing carbon intensive fuels (gas and diesel) with zero emission or low carbon fuels such as electricity (noting that for medium to heavy duty vehicles in particular, there may be a limited but important role for biofuels or hydrogen, especially in the near term).

2. Making both the vehicles and the transportation system more efficient and accessible; creating options for Vermonters to drive less or use alternatives to the single occupancy vehicle to get where they need to go, while also expanding options for those who cannot drive.

Electrification is a critical short-term priority. Electric vehicles are more energy efficient than gas powered vehicles - costing far less per mile than a gas-powered vehicle to own and operate over time.⁸¹ For rural Vermont drivers, the economic benefits of an electric vehicle will also be significant. A recent study estimated that a typical rural driver can save approximately \$1,500 every year by switching from a conventional gasoline car to a comparable electric vehicle, which is even more significant over the life of the vehicle ⁸²

Avoiding car trips, reducing car trip lengths and replacing car trips with clean and energy efficient transit, biking and walking options, carpool and rideshare programs and other nonvehicular strategies have economic, equity and public health benefits - while also having the potential to be important pollution reduction measures to achieve the 2050 requirements. These

⁷⁹ https://www.sierraclub.org/sites/www.sierraclub.org/files/sce-

authors/u2196/Arrive%20Together%20Transportation%20Access%20and%20Equity%20in%20Wisconsin.pdf

 ⁸⁰ https://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/ENV_CH_Transportation-Health.pdf
 ⁸¹ https://www.eanvt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/EAN-APR2020-21_finalJune2.pdf

⁸² https://www.ucsusa.org/about/news/rural-communities-could-benefit-most-electric-vehicles

"vehicle miles traveled" reductions rely on compact community settlements and smart growth. This will require short- and long-term investments in key community infrastructure and affordable housing to create places where people want and can afford to live. More research is required to quantify, measure and better reflect the greenhouse gas emissions benefits of "transportation demand management" strategies but their value is clear, particularly as it relates to accessing employment and improved health outcomes.

When it comes to public health, the pollution associated with transportation disproportionately impacts disadvantaged communities, thus having unequal public health consequences and burdens – especially in places where there are high levels of traffic and congestion. Decades of advances in automobile emission control technologies have helped mitigate this, but communities located in or near high traffic areas still experience increased health risks due to emissions exposure to nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, fine particulates, volatile organic compounds and ground-level ozone. Exposure to these pollutants results in many health effects, including cardiovascular impairment and disease and increased risk of cancer. In addition, they also result in environmental impacts such as acid rain and reduced visibility.⁸³

Transitioning to a cleaner and more accessible transportation system can have real public health benefits. A recent analysis by the American Lung Association found that residents in every region of the U.S. stand to benefit from the elimination of on-road traffic pollution and clean, renewable electric generation. It is estimated that, by 2050, a cleaner transportation system could net Vermont over \$73 million in value from avoided premature deaths, asthma attacks and work days lost. ⁸⁴

The pathways and actions described below will help put Vermont on a path to significant climate progress, respond to the diverse needs and interests of Vermonters and achieve many co-benefits associated with their implementation. At a high level, the pathways include:

- Electrification of the light duty sector (autos, SUVs and light duty trucks) and the charging infrastructure to support an efficient, integrated electric vehicle network.
- Electrification and lowering the carbon intensity of fuels in the heavy duty sector (mid sized and heavy duty trucks and busses). This also includes the charging infrastructure to support the electrification of medium-to-heavy duty vehicles.
- Reduction of vehicle miles traveled through the creation and utilization of multi-model transportation options, such as transit, micro-transit, rural ride-hailing, passenger rail, biking, walking, car and ridesharing etc. This includes the enabling environment and infrastructure to support it, which requires compact community settlements. More research is required to quantify, measure and better reflect the greenhouse gas emissions benefits of "transportation demand management" strategies but their value is clear, particularly as it relates to accessing employment and improved health and equity outcomes.

⁸³ <u>https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2019/06/Inequitable-Exposure-to-Vehicle-Pollution-Northeast-Mid-Atlantic-Region.pdf</u>

⁸⁴ https://www.lung.org/getmedia/99cc945c-47f2-4ba9-ba59-14c311ca332a/electric-vehicle-report.pdf

The transformation of our current transportation system will evolve over time and the process will be iterative, but it must happen swiftly to achieve Vermont's required greenhouse gas emissions reductions. This will also rely on continuing to better understand the realities facing Vermonters and, through that enhanced understanding, develop better public engagement strategies, programs and policies to reflect their diverse needs and interests. Success will also be incumbent upon helping Vermonters avoid prolonged reliance on fossil fuels, either through vehicle electrification or by creating new efficient models for rural transit. That means the vehicle point of sale, purchase or lease is a critical moment. Policies, programs and public engagement approaches should be designed to help people, communities and businesses avoid locking into high-emitting fossil fueled vehicles that will be on the road for a decade or more.

The partnership and participation of all Vermonters in this transformation is essential. We have a strong foundation today upon which to build to ensure we leave no one behind as we work towards the necessary reductions in greenhouse gas emissions; improve access to multi-modal transportation options; and leverage advances in technology in cost-effective, equitable ways.

Pathway 1: Light Duty Electrification

The emissions benefits of switching from fossil fueled to electric powered vehicles are clear. Battery Electric vehicles (EVs) and Plug-in Hybrid light duty electric vehicles are well beyond the research and development stage, they are being manufactured across the globe and available for sale today in Vermont. Their deployment and use is supported by the state's current regulatory framework, federal leadership and the manufacturers' commitments. Vehicle types that are more suitable for the Vermont climate and landscape, like light-duty trucks and all-wheel drive vehicles, are becoming more readily available. Electric vehicles are an attractive alternative because they provide a similar level of transportation convenience as conventional vehicles, with the caveat that public charging availability needs to continue to grow. While EVs often cost significantly less to own and maintain over the lifetime of the vehicles, purchase or lease incentives are an important tool to reduce the often higher upfront costs of EVs. Catalyzing the dramatic acceleration of EV deployment will require a re-evaluation and expansion of purchase incentives and similar mechanisms to facilitate widespread adoption, especially among low- and moderate-income families.

Strategy 1: Market-Driving Technology Forcing Regulatory Programs

Vermont first adopted California's Motor Vehicles Emission Standards, now known as Advanced Clean Cars (ACC), in the early 2000s pursuant to its authority under Section 177 of the Clean Air Act. This technology-forcing regulatory program is imposed directly on vehicle manufacturers, requiring the industry to expedite their research, development and deployment of clean, efficient cars to meet a growing market need. <u>To date, the program has been a pivotal tool</u> to drive innovation and customer access to cleaner vehicles. States are now amending and updating these regulations to require vehicle manufacturers to deliver even higher annual volumes of lower- and no-emitting vehicles to meet pollution-reduction obligations and growing public demand. Vermont should amend its own rules to ensure the most stringent standards, identical to California's program, will apply to Vermont. Adoption of ACC II in Vermont will contribute to a broader acceleration of EV manufacturing and deployment as more manufacturers are required to embrace vehicle electrification and innovation, thereby ensuring a diversity of vehicle choices are available to Vermonters. <u>This multi-state program will also help accelerate</u> the maturation of the clean vehicle market, helping to achieve economies of scale and thereby lowering the upfront costs to consumers of EV ownership.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources		
a.	Action Details: Adopt California's Advanced Clean Cars II (ACC II) Regulations (amending Vermont's existing Low and Zero Emission Vehicle Regulations) beginning no later than Model Year 2026. ACC II includes, as proposed, a 100% ZEV sales requirement by 2035, more stringent criteria pollutant emissions standards, a robust vehicle durability standard, warranty provisions, battery state of health standardization, battery labeling, and availability of repair information to independent repair shops.	Impact CAP modeling makes clear that vehicle electrification is one of highest pollution-reduction measures required to achieve the GWSA requirements. CAP modeling indicates that approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's emissions reduction requirement. Amending Vermont's Low and Zero Emission Vehicle regulations will be the primary driver in delivering electric vehicles to dealerships in Vermont. This program will allow for a faster transition to electric vehicles through increased availability than what would have occurred without amendments to the current program. Equity Vehicle manufacturers may have the ability to earn credits towards compliance with ACC II by implementing equity-focused projects. Vermonters will benefit from a more mature market delivering at economies of scale. Increasing the availability and overall number of EVs generally will also help significantly drive down the cost of EVs over time and accelerate and expand the used EV market in Vermont, enabling increased consumer access to EVs. Cost-Effectiveness Electrifying the light duty fleet will be a relatively cost-effective approach to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The adoption of ACC II is a low- cost action and a critical component of electrifying the light-duty fleet in Vermont.	
	Timeline to Implement: Rules adopted no later than December 31, 2022	 Co-Benefits Reduction in criteria air pollutants Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel costs 	

Enhanced vehicle consumer protection measures
Technical Feasibility Yes

Strategy 2: Light Duty Electric Vehicle Purchase Incentives

Vermont launched a point-of-sale EV purchase incentive program in 2019, and authorization of funding in subsequent years has allowed the program to continue to date. The incentive is administered by Vermont Energy Investment Corporation's Drive Electric Vermont (DEV) program and helps to reduce the upfront costs associated with EV ownership. Additional evaluation of the current program and consumer data research will inform necessary amendments to the current program in the form of incentive amounts, income eligibility, application to commercial and municipal fleets, and used EV purchases. Expanded and continued funding for upfront purchase incentives – with an important focus on helping lower-income, overburdened Vermonters to participate – will be critical to equitably increase EV adoption to the levels necessary to meet Vermont's greenhouse gas reduction requirements.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Legislature, Agency of Transportation		
a.	Action Details: Expand and redesign Point	Impact CAP modeling indicates that	
	of Sale Purchase Incentives for new and	approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be	
	used Electric Vehicles and E-bikes.	deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the	
	Specifically: determine the appropriate per	state's emissions reduction requirements.	
	vehicle incentive amount and dramatically	Incentivizing EV purchases will be critical	
	increase number of incentives issued while	towards meeting EV deployment	
	cost-effectively driving uptake; apply	requirements to achieve emissions	
	incentives to used EV purchases, determine	reductions.	
	the dollar amounts and makeup of purchase	Equity The program will prioritize low	
	incentive needed to achieve EV deployment	and moderate-income families, as it does	
	and equity goals (if incentives are tiered,	now. This goal and implementing program	
	create income tiers instead of vehicle price	elements will be examined, adjusted and	
	tiers); expand eligibility for commercial and	potentially increased as needed in the	
	municipal fleet EV purchases. Create and	future to ensure broad, equitable access	
	pilot small-scale rural transit programs that	and participation.	
	utilize EVs.	Cost-Effectiveness Modeling shows that	
		electrifying the light duty fleet is a cost-	
	Legislative action to incentivize EV	effective approach to reducing greenhouse	
	purchases should not be limited by other	gas emissions. Additional investigation	
	policies that disincentivize EV adoption.	will be required during program	
	For example, road user fees for EV drivers	redevelopment to help determine the	
	should not be imposed until new annual	incentive amounts necessary to drive EV	
	registrations of EVs exceeds 15%, pursuant	adoption at the rates required. Even with	
	to the recommendation of the PUC in the	relatively high incentive amounts per	
	2019 Report on Promoting the Ownership	vehicle, those costs will be gradually	

	and Use of Electric Vehicles in Vermont. ⁸⁵ That said, a long-term sustainable transportation system funding solution is required, as gas tax revenues diminish as more fuel-efficient and electric vehicles are deployed. The impending Agency of Transportation "Electric and Highly Fuel- Efficient Vehicle Road Usage Charge Study" should provide a basis for informing what that longer term funding solution could be.	recouped by consumers through lower fuel and maintenance costs over time.
	Timeline to Implement: Continue the current incentive funding authorized in the 2021 Transportation Bill (T-Bill), concurrently analyze its effectiveness, using consumer and other data to scale the program – and the income-tiered program benefits with consideration of the anticipated future need-based EV deployment and equity goals. Use this analysis to strategically expand investments in future T-Bills.	 Co-Benefits: Reduction in criteria air pollutants Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel costs for consumers Keeps significantly more dollars spent on vehicle fuel in-state Improve access to cost-effective transportation for low-income families Technical Feasibility Yes
b.	Action Details: Continue to fund and expand Replace Your Ride, Mileage Smart, and micro-transit pilot programs in future state budgets and T-Bills. Amend program eligibility and parameters as data and analysis requires.	Impact CAP modeling indicates that approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's emissions reduction requirements. Although these programs are not limited to battery electric vehicle deployment, they are a helpful tool in facilitating adoption of more fuel-efficient vehicles while also furthering equity goals.
		Equity These programs prioritize and/or limit eligibility to low-income individuals and families. These programs also improve access to more fuel-efficient vehicles for low-income families, helping to reduce household energy burdens. Cost-Effectiveness Electrifying the light duty fleet is a relatively cost-effective approach to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Additional investigation will be required during program review to help determine the incentive amounts necessary to drive EV or fuel-efficient vehicle

⁸⁵ https://www.driveelectricvt.com/Media/Default/docs/Vermont PUC Electric Vehicle Report June2019.pdf

		adoption at the rates required. Even with
		relatively high incentive amounts per
		vehicle, those costs will be gradually
		recouped by consumers through lower fuel
		and/or maintenance costs over time.
	Timeline to Implement: Immediately	Co-Benefits
		• Owning, operating and maintaining an
		EV costs less than a conventional
		vehicle and leads to savings on
		transportation, a significant household
		expense.
		• Air quality benefits associated with
		retirement of old vehicle (Replace
		Your Ride only)
		• Helps meet critical transportation needs
		of those in poverty (Mileage Smart)
		Technical Feasibility Yes
Lea	d Implementer: Legislature and the Vermo	
c.	Action Details: Design and implement a	Impact CAP modeling indicates that
	vehicle efficiency price adjustment that's	approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be
	linked to the "purchase and use" tax for new	deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the
	vehicles within a vehicle class. The program	state's emissions reduction requirements.
	will help incentivize the purchase of more	Although this vehicle price adjustment
	efficient new vehicles (electric vehicles in	program would not be exclusive to EVs,
	particular) and disincentivize purchase of	adding an additional price signal to further
	less efficient vehicles The program should	incentivize the purchase of high efficiency
	be designed to mitigate potential impacts to	or electric vehicles would help to speed the
	low-income purchasers and business and	transition to EVs and more fuel-efficient
	commercial users who require certain	vehicles in Vermont.
	vehicles and where no cost-effective,	Equity The program is limited to new car
	comparable electric or clean vehicle options	purchases and can be designed to exempt
	are available. Program development should	certain income levels and purchasers who
	consider and weigh how it complements	require a certain class of vehicle for
	current EV purchase incentive programs so	business and commercial use for which
	as to avoid duplicative or unnecessary	there may be no cost-effective,
	incentives. This program should be revenue	comparable, available alternative. Also,
	neutral and revenues should go exclusively	higher income earning Vermonters are the
	to rebates within the program.	primary purchasers of new vehicles. This
	1 0	program's singular focus on new vehicle
		purchases is intended to help address
		equity considerations. For Vermonters who
		require new vehicles for business use, it
		will be important to consider and
		potentially exempt any purchase for such
		purposes from the program.
		purposes nom me program.

	Cost-Effectiveness Electrifying the light duty fleet is a relatively cost-effective approach to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Additional investigation will be
	required during program development to
	help determine the amounts necessary to
	drive EV adoption at the rates required and not unnecessarily compete or duplicate
	other programs, such as EV purchase
	incentives. Even with relatively high price
	adjustment amounts per vehicle, those
	costs will be gradually recouped by
	consumers through lower fuel and
	maintenance costs over time.
Timeline to Implement: Upon adoption of	Co-Benefits
purchase and use price adjustment.	• Reduction in criteria air pollutants.
	• Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel
	costs for consumers.
	• Keeps dollars spent on fuel in-state.
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Strategy 3: Public Investment in Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE)

The Vermont Agency of Transportation has worked hard towards the goal of deploying a Level 3 (DCFC) charger within 30-miles of every Vermont resident, helping to lay an important foundation of EV infrastructure. However, there is much more to do to build an integrated, seamless system. A lack of availability of public charging remains recognized as a deterrent to consumers in making vehicle purchase choices. This is sometimes referred to as "range anxiety." While most charging occurs at home or at work, longer trips often require fast (DCFC) and reasonably priced charging adjacent to highway corridors and within walking distance of services – and those options need to increasingly be made available. Vermont is already leading the nation in its per-capita EVSE deployment efforts through the work of an existing interagency team but there is more to do to create a cohesive, strong, integrated charging network that serves both rural Vermont and Vermonters living in multi-family and more urban environments. State government's role in this effort should be to continue to lead EVSE deployment efforts, help municipalities, electric utilities, non-profits and the private sector determine the optimum location and type of EVSE, and financially support purchase and installation of EVSE until it becomes mainstream. In particular, expanding workplace, multi-family housing and rental unit charging and the infrastructure needed to support it (which often lack garages or parking adequate for at home charging) must also remain a significant priority. Efforts must include addressing these charging needs with the understanding that public/private partnerships and the role of the utilities in EVSE charging will complement and support greater deployment of and benefits from expanding EVSE charging infrastructure across the state. Future discussions surrounding EV charging and rate design will also need to be informed by research and recommendations of the Electric and Highly Fuel-Efficient Vehicle Road Usage Charge Study,

recognizing that transportation funding through gas tax revenues will decline as more drivers fuel their vehicles with electricity.

	Lead Implementer: Legislature; Interagency EVSE Working Group (ACCD, VTrans, ANR, VDH, PSD)		
a.	Action Details: Continue to fund and support build-out of DCFC and Level 2 EVSE based on the EVSE Deployment Plan under development by Drive Electric Vermont pursuant to the Agency of Transportation's Multipronged Vehicle Electrification Strategy and continue to coordinate regional efforts. Incorporate prioritization of multi-family and workplace charging and associated infrastructure availability into programs to be guided by equity principles and environmental justice mapping tools. Current funding includes VW Environmental Mitigation Trust and other funding available in the 2021 Transportation Bill. Available federal funding may be used as well as potential TCI-P revenue.	Impact CAP modeling indicates that approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's emissions reduction requirements. Charging infrastructure is a critical component in enabling EV adoption to increase consumer confidence and to reduce range anxiety. Dramatic increases in EV adoption rates will require significant additional buildout of public and workplace charging to enable the transition to electric vehicles. Equity The state will work with local public and private partners to improve EVSE accessibility for multi-family properties, rental property dwellers, and Vermonters living in rural areas. Cost-Effectiveness Electrifying the light duty fleet is a relatively cost- effective approach to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Deployment of EVSE is a critical component of achieving these cost-effective reductions and installation of additional EVSE is a critical enabling factor in advancing electrification of the light-duty vehicle fleet.	

	Timeline to Implement: Immediately.	Co-Benefits
		 Cost of charging will be kept low through focus on home charging, especially multi-unit dwelling properties. Day time employee charging may be beneficial to electric load management. Enabling action for light-duty fleet electrification and associated air pollutant and health benefits. Utility load control benefits to balance and benefit the grid and ratepayers.
		Technical Feasibility Yes
Lea	d Implementer: Legislature; Public Utilities C	
a.	Action Details: Direct the PUC to consider	Impact Implementing beneficial EV
	and develop beneficial EV charging rates to	charging rates would be another
	incentivize EV adoption through lower fuel	financial incentive to help spur EV
	costs. Additional investigation and coordination with utilities is needed to inform	adoption. Setting EV specific charging rates that are lower than normal
	the rate design and to ensure that the rate	residential rates and based upon shared
	promotes and enables managed charging and	savings to incentivize EV adoption
	the benefits a flexible EV load can bring to the	through even lower vehicle fuel costs as
	grid. Discussion with utilities is also critical to	well as promote the ability of utilities to
	inform the details of the rate itself and to	manage EV charging to lower the cost
	incorporate lessons learned from existing EV	for all ratepayers. The flexibility that
	specific rates. Further investigation into	managed EV charging allows provides
	alternative demand charge designs for low utilization charging locations is necessary to	grid benefits that positively impact Vermont ratepayers.
	help mitigate the barrier presented by demand	Equity EV specific charging rates
	charges to DCFC installations in low	would be available to all Vermonters
	utilization rural areas for the near future.	and, if based upon shared savings for
		load control, lower costs for all
		customers. Having this additional
		financial incentive to help reduce
		overall vehicle costs for the consumer
		would be another factor to help overcome the currently higher upfront
		cost of EVs and allow for greater access
		to the EV market for everyone.
		Cost-Effectiveness Adoption of a
		beneficial EV rate design would be

	another, and more enduring, financial incentive that would help to increase EV adoption and through shared savings and load control help achieve cost savings for participants and all ratepayers.
Timeline to Implement: Upon issuance of PUC order.	 Co-Benefits Promotes managed EV charging which provides load flexibility that benefits all Vermont ratepayers. Vehicle electrification reduces emissions of criteria air pollutants which has associated health benefits.
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Strategy 4: Join the Transportation and Climate Initiative Program (TCI-P) when regional market viability exists

For over a decade, Vermont has been a member of the Transportation and Climate Initiative, a regional collaboration of 13 Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states and the District of Columbia that seeks to improve the transportation system, develop the clean energy economy, and equitably reduce carbon emissions from the transportation sector. The TCI jurisdictions are: Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia. In December 2020, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia announced that they will be the first jurisdictions to launch the Transportation & Climate Initiative Program (TCI-P), a multi-state cap and invest, market-based program that reduces air pollution while investing \$300 million per year in cleaner transportation choices and healthier communities. It is important to note that the TCI-Program (TCI-P) is different than the Transportation and Climate Initiative (TCI). The TCI-P is the program which resulted from the 10+ year collaboration among the 13 Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states and would be the mechanism that would - through the cap - reduce approximately 26% of transportation climate pollution across participating jurisdictions by 2032 and raise approximately \$20 million in annual revenue for Vermont. Vermont's participation in TCI-P - critically, paired with a complementary legislative policy action to drive strategic investments, foster a more equitable process, and ensure greater equity outcomes – is an important tool to reduce transportation emissions and raise needed revenues to investment in actions detailed in this Light-Duty Vehicle Electrification Pathway.

As of the date of the adoption of this CAP, the regional implementation timeline of the TCI-P remains uncertain. This action, however, remains in the CAP as it represents a critical component of the transportation emission reduction strategy. Vermont should "remain at the table" in continuing to find a path forward for implementation and participation in TCI-P. When a viable regional market exists, Vermont will be ready to act swiftly and join TCI-P as a

participating jurisdiction. At the same time, the Council is expanding the action included in this strategy to recognize additional parallel work required to pursue a comparable cap and invest program for transportation fuels, both in Vermont and regionally, or other policies or programs that reduce emissions and drive similar outcomes as anticipated in participation in TCI-P. This parallel work will be initiated immediately following the adoption of the CAP and will include additional exploration of existing policies and programs and development of new ones. To maintain momentum and work expeditiously towards the emission reductions needed in this sector, these proposed actions will be completed by June of 2022. Actions preferred by the Climate Council will be adopted in an amendment to the CAP. In order to allow timely and efficient implementation of this action, the legislature should enact 1) law that gives ANR the authority to collect revenue generated from the sale of allowances used towards compliance in a cap and invest program for transportation fuels, and 2) establishes a process, policies and criteria that guide the allocation of the aforementioned revenue consistent with the equity principles and actions adopted in the CAP.

Federal infrastructure funds will soon become available to states for clean transportation investments. Rather than reducing the need for TCI-P or a comparable program, this federal spending will make TCI-P even more critical as a source of state or local matching funds (typically a 20% match) for federal grant programs available through the Infrastructure Investment & Jobs Act (November 2021) and potentially under the Build Back Better Act should it be passed by Congress and signed into law.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources; Legislature		
a.	Action Details: Vermont joins TCI-P when	Impact TCI-P is an umbrella program,	
	regional market viability exists as a	the proceeds of which will fund the	
	participating jurisdiction through adoption of	actions in this Pathway and others in the	
	the TCI-P Model Rule. Regulated entities	CAP.	
	(Fuel suppliers) will need to purchase and	Equity The TCI-P MOU requires a 35	
	surrender CO2 allowances equal to the amount	percent minimum investment in low	
	of fuel that they deliver for sale in Vermont.	income, overburdened communities and	
	Allowances will be purchased at auction and	the creation of an Equity Advisory	
	Vermont will have agency over how auction	Body. To go further to ensure better	
	proceeds are spent, investing in actions that	process and equity outcomes, there is	
	prioritize and benefit disadvantaged	also a bill being drafted for introduction	
	communities and reduce emissions. Other	in the 2022 legislative session that will	
	policies and programs to meet required	require a yearly review by a broad	
	reductions in the transportation sector will be	stakeholder group and substantially	
	evaluated parallel to TCI-P and adopted as and	more TCI-P revenues directed to low	
	if needed, such as a comparable transportation	income, rural, historically disadvantaged	
	cap and invest program, a clean transportation	communities.	
	standard or expanded vehicle efficiency price	Cost-Effectiveness Revenue from TCI-	
	adjuster.	P can be used to implement the actions	
		outlined in this Pathway. Electrifying	
		the fleet is a relatively cost-effective	

The Legislature gives ANR authority to	approach to reducing greenhouse gas
generate revenue via the allowance actions of a	emissions.
transportation fuel cap and invest program, and	
enacts a complementary policy that goes	
further to ensure equity outcomes (establish an	
expanded equity board, direct a	
minimum/significant investment in low	
income, rural, overburdened and underserved	
communities, e.g. 50%) and have funds go to	
transportation related or efficient	
transportation enabling investments. Consider	
a firewalled fund.	
Timeline to Implement: Immediately.	Co-Benefits
	Emissions reductions achieved
	through a coordinated regional
	approach.
	• Incentivizes regulated entities to
	lower carbon intensity of fuel
	delivered for sale.
	• Improving public health outcomes.
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Strategy 5: Educate drivers on benefits of electrification and other transportation options to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT)

A critical component of the transition to electric vehicles is to implement timely and targeted education about not only the environmental and public health benefits of driving an electric vehicle, but the difference in maintenance and fueling requirements, charging infrastructure utilization (at home and publicly accessible), cost savings, and how to reduce the need to use single-occupancy transportation options. Incorporating this information and training into student driver education courses, and making training available to already licensed drivers, will help drivers be more confident and prepared in their choice to purchase and drive an EV. This component to initial and ongoing driver education and outreach efforts would be complimentary and would not be included in testing required to obtain vehicle operating licenses or other credentials associated with operation of motor vehicles.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Education, in consultation with the Agency of Natural		
Re	Resources, the Legislature		
a.	a. Action Details: Fund Impact CAP modeling indicates that		
	implementation and further	approximately 170,000 EVs will need to be	
	enhancement of a complimentary	deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's	
	unit within Vermont's driver	emissions reduction requirement for 2030.	

-
Adequate and effective new and existing driver
education will lead to increased uptake in EV
deployment necessary to reduce emissions.
Equity Education opportunities will be made
available at no cost and will be integrated into
existing driver education requirements for ease of
access. EV education, information, and buyer
assistance support – including via Drive Electric
Vermont or otherwise – will be translated to ensure
non-English speakers can access the information.
Cost-Effectiveness Because many education
opportunities for driver training are already being
deployed, an EV component of the existing
curriculum could be added with minimal costs. The
Drive Electric Vermont infrastructure and network
also offers a solid foundation upon which to build.
Co-Benefits
• Reduction in criteria air pollutants.
• Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel costs for
consumers.
• Keeps dollars spent on fuel in-state.
Technical Feasibility Yes

Pathway 2: Heavy Duty Electrification

Medium and heavy-duty trucks and buses contribute 14% of transportation emissions in Vermont. Reducing diesel emissions, such as particulate matter and nitrogen oxides has known health benefits. Medium and heavy-duty vehicle technology has been deployed internationally, and while there are challenges associated with replacing diesel power with electric, certain applications are being further developed and implemented. The purchase price of these vehicle types remains high compared to the upfront cost of conventional vehicles. Vermont has funded and implemented medium and heavy-duty electric vehicle pilot programs, including school and transit bus deployments and upcoming deployments of electric waste haulers, electric utility vehicles, and delivery vehicles. Vermont works closely with other states to coordinate a regional and national approach to deployment of medium and heavy-duty electric vehicles, as well as efforts to address excessive idling, research and development, and investigation of less carbon intensive and renewable fuels such biofuels. Note that this pathway includes not only deployment of electric vehicle technology, but also the development and future deployment of hydrogen fuel-cell vehicle technology, which is viewed as another fuel-switching pathway for medium and heavy-duty vehicles.

Strategy 1: Market Driving, Technology-Forcing Regulatory Programs

Vermont's regulation of emissions from medium and heavy-duty vehicles has been limited compared to the regulation of light-duty vehicle emissions. Recent proposals and newly adopted

regulatory programs from California have presented an opportunity to continue our coordination with other states to reduce emissions from medium and heavy-duty vehicles. This technologyforcing regulatory program is imposed directly on vehicle manufacturers, requiring the industry to expedite their research, development and deployment of clean, efficient cars to meet a growing market need. The rules outlined below encompass a comprehensive rule package to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through electrification and cleaner engine standards and increase efficiency and engineering of medium to heavy duty trucks to cause lower emissions of greenhouse gases and traditional air pollutants. The regulation itself will not impose any requirements on fleet operators or other operators of medium and heavy duty vehicles. The state should pursue available funding and use to mitigate the high upfront costs of medium and heavyduty electric vehicles and hydrogen fuel-cell technology development.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources, Legislature		
a.	Action Details: Adopt	Impact CAP modeling indicates that approximately	
	California Air Resources Board	50,000 medium and heavy-duty EVs will need to be	
	Advanced Clean Trucks Rule (an	deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's	
	increasing percent ZEV sales	emissions reduction requirement for 2030.	
	requirement for manufacturers),	Equity Reductions in these emissions through	
	Low NOx Omnibus Rule	electrification would benefit communities that are	
	(includes a more stringent NOx	disproportionately impacted by poor air quality related	
	emission standard and	to transportation emissions.	
	lengthened useful life and	Cost-Effectiveness This is a technology forcing	
	warranty), and Phase II GHG	regulation, and therefore the costs of compliance	
	Rule for Truck Trailers	directly impact manufacturers of MHD vehicles. Also,	
	beginning no later than Model	because manufacturers do not receive compliance	
	Year 2025. The state should	credit for a vehicle until it is placed in service,	
	consider using federal	manufacturers will need to support dealers and fleets	
	or other ensuing program dollars	in Vermont to make purchase and operation of these	
	to fund purchase incentives for	vehicles feasible in order to meet their compliance	
	medium to heavy duty vehicles.	obligation.	
	Timeline to Implement	Co-Benefits	
	Immediately.	• Reduction in criteria air pollutants.	
		• Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel costs for	
		owners and operators.	
		• Keeps more dollars spent on fuel in-state.	
		Technical Feasibility Yes	

High (and consensus medium) Priority Actions

Strategy 2: Electrify medium and heavy-duty vehicle auxiliary systems

Many medium and heavy-duty vehicles in Vermont are equipped with auxiliary systems that run off of the combustion engine power, and therefore increase the vehicle's emissions when they

are in operation. While fully electric options for some of these specialty vehicles are becoming available on the market, retrofitting existing vehicles that still have a long remaining useful life to electric auxiliary systems will be an important step towards meeting our emissions reduction requirements in the short term and while the medium and heavy-duty electrification transformation takes place.

High (and consensus medium) Priority Actions

Lea	Lead Implementer: Legislature, Agency of Natural Resources		
a.	Action Details Fund programs	Impact CAP modeling indicates that approximately	
	that incentivize electric	50,000 medium and heavy-duty EVs will need to be	
	auxiliary systems, such as (but	deployed by 2030 in order to achieve the state's	
	not limited to) hybrid-electric	emissions reduction requirements. Electrifying vehicle	
	bucket trucks and electric	auxiliary systems can be a bridge towards	
	transport refrigeration units and	electrification while conventional vehicles are still	
	programs that incentivize	being used, but with electric auxiliary power.	
	installation of electrified	Equity Reductions in these emissions through	
	parking spaces in truck	electrification would benefit communities that are	
	loading/unloading zones.	disproportionately impacted by poor air quality.	
		Cost-Effectiveness While the upfront cost of fully	
		electric medium and heavy-duty vehicles will be a	
		barrier to early adoption, mitigating emissions from	
		auxiliary power systems can be a cost-effective way to	
		achieve emissions reductions while the transition to	
		fully electric vehicles in this sector occurs.	
	Timeline to Implement	Co-Benefits	
	Immediately.	Reduction in criteria air pollutants	
		• Lower vehicle maintenance and fuel costs for	
		owners/operators	
		• Keeps dollars spent on fuel in-state	
		Technical Feasibility Yes	

Strategy 3: Join the Transportation and Climate Initiative Program (TCI-P) when regional market viability exists

For a detailed description of this Strategy, please see Pathway 1: Light Duty Electrification, Strategy (4), above. Vermont's participation in TCI-P or a comparable program -- critically, paired with a complementary policy to drive strategic investments, foster a more equitable process, and ensure greater equity outcomes – is an important tool to reduce transportation emissions and raise needed revenues to investment in actions detailed in this Heavy-Duty Vehicle Electrification Pathway.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources; Legislature		
a.	Action Details: See	Impact See Impacts from Pathway 1, Strategy 4.	
	Action Details in Pathway	Equity See Equity from Pathway 1, Strategy 4.	
	1, Strategy 4.	Cost-Effectiveness See Cost-effectiveness from Pathway 1,	
		Strategy 4.	
	Timeline to Implement:	Co-Benefits	
	Immediately.	• See Co-benefits from Pathway 1, Strategy 4.	
		Technical Feasibility Yes	

Pathway 3: Reduction in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

While the quantitative emissions reductions benefits of reducing VMT requires additional modeling, it can be assumed that reducing the number and length of car trips is possible by growing state and local investment in transit, micro-transit, rail, bike and pedestrian infrastructure, and other transportation services beyond the single occupancy vehicle will have a beneficial impact on emissions. These transportation modes also have known public health, equity and other co-benefits. Increasing the use of these modes is contingent on several factors including service that mimics the convenience of driving, and is safe, reliable, and feasible for users who cannot drive, including people living with a disability. Use of these modes tends to be most feasible in urban and village areas where the land use density is adequate and is less feasible in dispersed rural contexts. Long term reduction of transportation emissions is also contingent on the commitment at the local, regional and state levels of supporting the state's smart growth land use goals through infrastructure investment.

Strategy 1: Increase state, regional and local capacity to plan for VMT reduction and implement sustainable transportation strategies.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Legislature, Vermont Agency of Transportation, regional and local			
par	partners			
a.	Action Details: Require VTrans to create a State	Impact VMT The state currently		
	Sustainable Transportation Implementation Plan,	lacks information regarding how land		
	including:	use affects transportation emissions		
	• The GHG reduction cost effectiveness of	and the transportation investment		
	Smart Growth strategies which could reduce	necessary to reduce emissions by		
	VMT in the Vermont context and how that	shortening trips, reducing single		
	compares to other GHG reduction strategies	occupancy vehicle trips and		
	which Vermont could deploy.	increasing transit options and other		
	• Understanding and quantifying the effect of	services, and the associated		
	Smart Growth on VMT in Vermont	infrastructure. More research and		
	• Establishing of State VMT targets	better metrics will be required. It is		
	5 5	possible and the State should seek to		
		quantify, measure and adjust		

•	The level of investment across modes needed to contribute towards long & short-term emissions, equity and other goals. This could include funding to grow existing programs & increase availability and use of transit, micro- transit and other transportation choices.	programs and policies to realize potentially essential pollution reduction benefits needed to achieve 2050 emissions reduction requirements. Equity Transit and other services and
•	Continuing to fund and provide technical assistance to RPCs and municipalities through the Transportation Planning Initiative. In	modes that replace vehicle trips are essential to the those that can't afford to own or operate a vehicle.
	addition to the current program's priorities, transportation sustainability will be incorporated as a goal within Regional Transportation Plans and Municipal Plans and in order for evaluation and inclusion of	Cost-Effectiveness VMT reduction measures are relatively cost- ineffective compared to other transportation emission reduction pathways in contrast to vehicle
	affordable and effective methos of reducing GHG emissions from transportation such as expanding transit service, building bike and pedestrian facilities, locating EV charging	electrification, but the long-term growth of non-single occupancy vehicle transportation and the associated land use patterns will
fou	equipment and more. e <i>State Plan</i> shall evaluate and incorporate if ands to be feasible, affordable, and effective at	result in numerous economic, social and environmental benefits and potentially significant essential
•	ucing GHG emissions: Free fares for users of public transit. Encourage Public Transit Provider Boards of Directors to continue to offer fare-free transit to all public transit users following the conclusion of the SFY22 fare free programming funded by the Legislature, and identify funding to replace revenue generated from these fares.	pollution reduction benefits over time.
•	A multi-year plan to increase the availability and use of transit and micro-transit, based on industry recommended deployment standards for route deployment, to achieve a more robust, integrated public transportation system following the conclusion of the SFY22 fare free programming funded by the Legislature. Expanding and improving Amtrak/rail and	
•	inter-city bus service Actions to enhance the delivery of the State's Complete Streets legislation, maintain and expand transportation trails, and continue to advance the implementation of the 2021 Bicycle & Pedestrian Strategic Plan and other bike/ped funding programs.	

Timeline to Implement: Immediately	Co-Benefits
	 Increased equity in transportation options. Improved public health outcomes from active transportation. Air quality and other environmental benefits
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Pathway 4: Lower the carbon intensity of fuels

While Vermont is working to electrify vehicles to achieve emission reduction requirements, combustion vehicles and equipment, especially in the heavy-duty vehicle sector, will remain on and off Vermont roads for years to come. Production and deployment of combustion vehicles is likely to continue until at least 2035 for the light duty sector and may continue for additional years for heavy duty vehicles and equipment. Many heavy-duty vehicles have long "useful" lives, meaning they could continue to be operated for decades after electrification options are available and feasible. Therefore, increasing efficiency of combustion vehicles and equipment, and lowering the carbon intensity of the fuels that these vehicles use, remains a critical component of the State's near-term strategy to reduce emissions in the transportation sector. Lower carbon fuels, like biodiesel, could play an important role, especially in the near-term, to reduce emissions from combustion vehicle use while developments and additional deployments of medium to heavy duty electric vehicles are made.

Strategy 1: Join the Transportation and Climate Initiative Program when regional market viability exists

For a detailed description of this Strategy, please see Pathway 1: Light Duty Electrification, Strategy (4), above. TCI-P requires fuel suppliers that deliver gasoline and diesel fuel for final sale in Vermont to purchase carbon allowances available for sale at auction and surrender those credits equal to the emissions from the fuel delivered for sale. The number of allowances available for sale on the regional market is capped, and reduced over time, to achieve regional emission reductions. One way for a fuel supplier to reduce their compliance obligation is to supply lower carbon intense fuels for sale in participating jurisdictions.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources; Legislature		
a.	Action Details: See Action Details for	Impact See Impacts details for Pathway	
	Pathway 1, Strategy 4.	1, Strategy 4.	
		Equity See Equity details for Pathway	
		1, Strategy 4.	
		Cost-Effectiveness See Cost-	
		effectiveness for Pathway 1, Strategy 4.	
	Timeline to Implement: Immediately.	Co-Benefits	

	• See Co-benefits for Pathway 1, Strategy 4.
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Buildings & Thermal Pathways for Mitigation

Vermont's buildings pose both a challenge and opportunity to equitably meeting Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) emission reduction goals. The state's housing stock is dominated by homes built before 1975, with over a guarter of them built before 1939.86 In predominantly rural areas the housing stock is typically even older; in some communities over 55% of homes were built prior to 1939.⁸⁷ Thermal energy use for these buildings produces over a third of the state's GHG emissions and represents roughly 35 percent of our energy expenditures.⁸⁸ Commercial and industrial buildings produced nearly 14% of the state's GHG emissions in 2017.⁸⁹ Importing fuel to heat our buildings is a significant drain on Vermont's economy. It also exposes Vermont families and businesses to substantial global fuel-price volatility, and disproportionately burdens lower-income Vermonters with energy related expenses.⁹⁰

Replacing carbon intensive fossil fueled heating sources with available, lower carbon alternatives will significantly mitigate these challenges and contribute to Vermont meeting its climate goals. It will also stimulate Vermont's economy because more of the money Vermonters spend on heating will stay in state. According to the Energy Information Administration (EIA), in 2018, Vermont spent over \$765 million on fossil fuels for heating. The Vermont Agency of Commerce and Community Development reports that 63% of those expenditures left the Vermont economy entirely.⁹¹ By spending energy dollars on relatively lower carbon-intensive electricity and wood, a greater share of that money will stay in-state, help employ Vermonters, and strengthen our economy.92

In addition to increasing reliance on fuels with a lower carbon intensity, improving the efficiency of Vermont's buildings will help reduce the heating demands facing Vermonters. Thermal modernization of our buildings to reduce GHG emissions and doing so in a way that recognizes the economic challenges faced by the most vulnerable Vermonters in keeping homes, businesses, and other buildings heated and comfortable is essential. With a focus on the most burdened households and businesses, Vermont can begin to address its climate challenges and pair up clean fuels options and weatherization programs to deliver comprehensive low-carbon building solutions.

Ability to Pay – Burdened Vermonters

Taking these steps can be expected to benefit the Vermont economy broadly but must also be designed to minimize adverse effects on low-income households, especially Vermonters most

⁸⁶ Vermont Housing Needs Assessment, Vermont Housing Finance Agency ("VHFA Housing Needs Assessment"), February 2020, p. 2.

⁸⁷ www.housing.org

⁸⁸ Energy Action Network "Annual Progress Report for Vermont ANNUAL 2020/2021," p. 24 (EAN 2021); see also, https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-

change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf ⁸⁹ "Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast 1990-2017" May 2021

⁹⁰ Energy Action Network Clean Heat Working Group. October 2021. Review Draft "Clean Heat for a Cooler Planet: The Clean Heat Standard"

⁹¹ See Section 4 Energy and Economy

⁹² For wood heat, an average of 80 cents per dollar stays in state. EAN 2021, p. 25

burdened by energy and housing-related costs. The expressions "energy burden" and "housing burden" describe the percent of household income that one spends on energy or on housing.⁹³ While a central goal of the GWSA is to reduce GHG emissions, it will be critical to understand the effects of various GHG reduction policies on all Vermonters, especially those who struggle with the costs associated with housing and energy use.

Efficiency Vermont has studied energy burden in the state and determined that, on average, Vermonters spend about 10 percent of their income, or roughly \$5,800 annually, on *energy* expenses. However, the actual energy burden that Vermonters face ranges statewide from 6% to as much as 20%.⁹⁴

When one considers the cost of housing and energy, Vermonters face an even greater challenge. According to the Vermont Housing Finance Agency (VHFA), over 35% of all Vermont households (90,000) in the state are "cost-burdened" by their *housing* costs, meaning that either rent or mortgage, insurance, taxes and utilities consume at least 30% of their income.⁹⁵ Furthermore, of these cost-burdened Vermont households, over a third (39,000) spend in excess of 50% of their income for housing.⁹⁶

Renters

Of Vermont's roughly 330,000 homes, about a quarter of them (80,000) are used or intended for renters.⁹⁷ Chittenden County has the highest rate (36%) of rental housing in the state. While the median construction year for owned homes in Vermont is the mid-1970s, median construction year for Vermont rental housing is significantly older, 1964.

In addition to the number of relatively old rental properties, a large portion of the Vermonters who rent, roughly 80%, are categorized as low-income, according to Efficiency Vermont and VHFA.⁹⁸ One quarter of all Vermont's renters pay between 30-49% of their income for housing, and another quarter pays 50% or more of their income for housing, i.e., rent and the cost of utilities.

Given the quality of buildings, the cost of fuels, and the number and income status of Vermonters who rent, it is critical that GWSA buildings and thermal policies incorporate social equity into all recommendations. These solutions will need to minimize adverse effects on low-income households and those most burdened by high energy bills.

Vermont has decades of experience developing policies and designing and implementing weatherization, energy efficiency, and clean energy initiatives that reduce energy use in buildings throughout the State – including residential, commercial, and industrial buildings of all sizes and types. Substantial work has been done (and is ongoing), including:

• Developing and periodically updating building energy codes

⁹³ "What is the impact of energy burden in Vermont?" ("Energy Burden in Vermont") Rebecca Foster, Director Efficiency Vermont October 13, 2019.

⁹⁴ Ibid

⁹⁵ VHFA Housing Needs Assessment, p. 2

⁹⁶ U.S. Census Bureau 2017 American Community Survey 5-year estimates from housingdata.org

⁹⁷ VHFA Housing Needs Assessment, p. 1

⁹⁸ "Vermont Energy Burden Report," Justine Sears and Kelly Lucci, October 2019; Vermont Housing Finance Agency. <u>https://www.housingdata.org/profile/rental-housing-costs/renter-cost-burden</u>

- Explaining the importance of code enforcement and seeking to ensure codes are being enforced
- Training architects, engineers, and builders on energy-efficient new construction and renovation practices
- Benchmarking buildings to increase awareness of building performance
- Including training on the economic and comfort advantages of energy efficient buildings in realtor training
- Offering weatherization, energy efficiency, and clean energy rebates, incentives, and services through Efficiency Vermont, electric and gas utility companies serving Vermont, and the Home Weatherization Assistance Program administered by the Office of Economic Opportunity and delivered by the four Community Action Agencies and the Northeast Employment Training Organization.

All these initiatives should be continued and potentially expanded and enhanced in the future. However, it is clear from multiple analyses completed by the Energy Action Network (EAN), modeling conducted during development of this Climate Action Plan, and others that significant, additional initiatives are needed beyond what is already underway in order to meet the GHG reduction requirements established in the GWSA.

Presented below are two major pathways recommended for reducing GHG emissions from buildings in Vermont. One focuses on improving building efficiency and the other focuses on setting a pathway to lower the carbon content of the fuels Vermonters have been using in buildings. In keeping with the GWSA's focus on ensuring equitable access to affordable energy for all Vermonters, these strategies and actions will both reduce GHG emissions from energy used in new and existing buildings and will help address inequities in energy costs, energy burdens, and those underserved by current offerings. The two major pathways are complementary; each makes the other work more effectively. One final note, it is important to recognize that because this is a plan, it is designed to create an outline for action. It does not go into the level of detail that will be required for actual program development and implementation.

Pathway 1: Reduce energy use in buildings by at least 25% through cost-effective and affordable weatherization and energy efficiency improvements, as well as through use and enforcement of energy codes.

Beyond reduced GHG emissions, weatherization delivers multiple benefits for residents: lower monthly energy bills; improved housing affordability; enhanced home comfort; boosted health outcomes; improved resilience during temporary energy disruptions; reduced building maintenance cost; and, for homeowners, increased home value. Weatherization also provides immediate cost savings to residents and improves the effectiveness of other energy improvements.

Vermont has extensive experience delivering weatherization, fuel assistance, housing, funding, and financing programs. However, many more buildings need to be weatherized, creating the need to scale and to increase coordination among programs and offerings. Vermont also needs to

support the expansion of a workforce capable of delivering the amount of weatherization services required.

Strategy 1 - Develop and implement a multi-year statewide Weatherization at Scale initiative

Weatherization at Scale is a statewide, multi-year initiative envisioned by a diverse Working Group of weatherization, energy efficiency, fuel supplier, and other stakeholders. It builds upon Vermont's deep technical expertise delivering weatherization services to nearly 30,000 homes during the past several decades. Modeling conducted for this Climate Action Plan indicates that at least 90,000 additional homes need to be weatherized by 2030 to contribute to meeting the GWSA reduction target for that year. This is an ambitious target that will require significant and ongoing support in the form of funding, training, materials procurement, and other resources. The Weatherization at Scale initiative identifies feasible strategies for recapitalizing Vermont's weatherization investment to fund home retrofits for low- and moderate-income households over the next decade. The weatherization work should recognize energy efficiency broadly. It should include traditional energy efficiency measures, electrical, health, and safety measures needed to comply with codes, and needed infrastructure upgrades such as wiring and service panels to enable electric vehicle charging, the adoption of heat pumps for space and water heating, and other strategic electrification opportunities.

- Coordinated Workforce Development To deliver the necessary level of weatherization, Vermont will need to further develop its weatherization workforce. This will require a long-term, stable funding stream that gives the private sector certainty to invest in training crews and purchasing equipment knowing a market will exist to support longterm investments. Extensive work will be needed to recruit, train, place, and retain a diversified workforce to better include women, BIPOC, and New American communities. It will also be important to ensure that the quality of weatherization jobs remains high and is not undermined as weatherization activities ramp up rapidly to help meet the GWSA 2030 GHG reduction targets. Weatherization workforce development is an essential need and a cross-cutting issue that should be coordinated with workforce needs in other sectors.
- Enhanced Energy Coaching and Navigation Services In order to better inform all Vermonters of available energy programs and services, the state should provide outreach, coaching, and navigation services to Vermonters with low and moderate incomes for the State's energy savings programs, including thermal and transportation energy efficiency programs.
- Tariff On-Bill Financing (TOBF) TOBF provides up-front investment capital for use by a person or business with a utility account to reduce energy bills, for example by investment in a weatherization project. It is not a loan to the person i.e., landlord or tenant but instead an obligation assigned to the utility account itself. The funds provided by the utility or a third-party are paid back over time through a special tariff "attached to the meter" that serves the building. The program can and should be designed to ensure that the energy bill savings that are expected to result from the efficiency measure being financed are greater than the amount that will be charged via the tariff. Utilities adopting a TOBF program and energy coaches working with low- and moderate-

income customers should also consider measures to prevent unintended consequences such as any increased likelihood of service suspension due to unpaid utility bills. A TOBF pilot is currently underway by Burlington Electric Department and successful TOBF programs have been developed and implemented in other jurisdictions that are deemed to provide both energy and cost savings, and adequate consumer protection for utility customers.⁹⁹ ¹⁰⁰

As weatherization work proceeds it will be necessary to track the status of both the number of weatherization projects completed and the effectiveness of those projects in increasing building energy efficiency and in reducing GHG emissions. Real-time information on market activity will help inform program design and implementation improvements. In addition, it is reasonable to expect that program approaches and offerings will change over time as technologies, measures, and delivery methods improve.

Funding weatherization services to meet GWSA goals will place greater demands on the organizations that currently deliver weatherization services in Vermont. and all can all expect to experience these effects. However, it is also reasonable to expect that a weatherization funding commitment, especially one that is stable and long-term, will stimulate new entrants into the market to provide similar services, expanding Vermont's capacity to meet these demands, and creating employment and other economic opportunities.¹⁰¹

Finally, this work will need to meet Vermonters where they are. It should make it as easy, efficient, and affordable as possible for them to make these investments and avail themselves of potential financial incentives and financing to do so.¹⁰²

Lead Implementer: Legislature, designated state agencies	
Action 1 - Adopt legislative or	Impact – To date, approximately 30,000
administrative recommendations	buildings have been weatherized in Vermont.
consistent with those set out by the	Modeling indicates that at least 90,000
Weatherization at Scale Working Group	additional homes need to be weatherized by
(WWG) with the goal of weatherizing	2030 in order to meet the GWSA reduction
90,000 additional homes by 2030 ¹⁰³ and	requirement for the Buildings sector
allocate the funding needed to achieve	Equity – The Weatherization at Scale
the goal.	initiative envisioned by the Working Group
	would target those most vulnerable and
	historically underserved as a focus of the

⁹⁹ https://www.burlingtonelectric.com/on-bill-financing/

¹⁰⁰ https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2021-07/financing-energy-improvements-utility-bills-market.pdf

¹⁰¹ According to the "Vermont Clean Energy 2015 Industry Report," in 2015 Vermont passed legislation creating a 75 percent RPS by 2032, along with Hawaii, the highest RPS target in the United States. Between 2015 to 2016, solar jobs in Vermont grew by 29 percent, with an additional 400 solar jobs created in the state.

https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Renewable_Energy/CEDF/Reports/VCEIR%202015%20Final.pdf. Page 3.

¹⁰² To facilitate the most rapid, inclusive, comprehensive uptake of energy improvements, it is essential to connect Vermonters with appropriate programs and services, especially more low-income and historically overburdened Vermonters

¹⁰³ EAN Weatherization at Scale Network Action Team "Weatherization at Scale Comments for Comprehensive Energy Plan and Climate Action Plan" memorandum to the Vermont Public Service Department and Vermont Climate Council, October 22, 2021 https://www.eanvt.org/events-and-initiatives/weatherization-action-team/

	State's efforts to significantly ramp-up
	weatherization activity. In addition, an On-
	Bill Repayment approach is envisioned that
	would prioritize homes with the highest
	energy burden and would scale incentives
	based on income. Aligning the initiative costs
	and benefits with low/moderate income
	residents and communities will ensure that
	weatherization services will be directed to
	those who most need to reduce energy costs,
	increase comfort, improve health impacts, and
	benefit from improved housing durability.
	Cost-Effectiveness – Expected to be high, but
	awaiting modeling results from Cadmus/EFG
Timeline to Implement – One calendar	Co-Benefits
year to allow for legislative action and	 Reduces energy bills
any required rule enactment	 Increases comfort
any required rule chaethent	
	Improves health Technical Ecocibility Vec
	Technical Feasibility - Yes
Action 2 - Appoint a member of the	Impact – Enables achievement of
administration to be responsible for	weatherization target in Action 1
coordinating executive agency	Equity – Can improve equity as long priority
weatherization workforce development	is placed on measures that address
efforts to: ensure the scaling up of	unemployed/underemployed/ displaced
workforce necessary to achieve the	workers
GWSA requirements; to increase	Cost-Effectiveness - N/A
coordination among the wide variety of	
public and private entities involved in	
worker recruitment, training, placement,	
and retention, and; to avoid duplication	
of efforts across state government	
(enabling, cross-cutting action) Timeline to Implement – 1 st quarter	Co-Benefits – Same as Action 1
2022	
	Technical Feasibility - Yes
Action 3 - Authorize implementation of	Impact - Enables achievement of the
a plan for coordinating and enhancing	weatherization target in Action 1
energy and financial coaching services	Equity – This action is specifically targeted
for Vermonters with low and moderate	to low/middle income households
incomes who could benefit from the	Cost-Effectiveness – N/A
State's energy savings programs that is	
consistent with recommendations from	
the Energy Counseling Savings Work	
Group and their legislative report and	
allocate the funding to achieve the plan	
	Co-Benefits

Timeline to Implement – 1 st quarter 2022	- Provides support and assistance to those most in need
	Technical Feasibility - Yes
Action 4 - Through legislation,	Impact - Enables achievement of
encourage electric and gas utilities to	weatherization target in Action 1
offer their customers on-bill financing	Equity – Facilitates performance of retrofits
tariffs	in low/middle income households
	Cost-Effectiveness – TBD based on program
	design
Timeline to Implement - During	Co-Benefits – Creates a new funding
upcoming legislative session (No later	mechanism that does not require personal
than May 2021)	debt
	Technical Feasibility - Yes

Strategy 2 - Institute a rental property efficiency standard (RPES)

Addressing rental property thermal efficiency is a complementary strategy to Weatherization at Scale. It specifically seeks to ensure that the market for rental property contributes to meeting the GWSA GHG emissions reduction goals. Expecting to equitably improve the efficiency of Vermont's rental housing cannot wait for efficiency investments to occur at the time of sale. Nor can Vermont expect building energy performance labeling alone to spur sufficient improvements in the efficiency of rental housing. Renters, by definition, are not in a position to invest in improving the efficiency of buildings owned by others, even with improved access to information or incentives. And because the typical lease has the renter assume responsibility for energy costs, landlords have limited motivation to make such investments in the absence of an efficiency standard. Addressing rental property thermal efficiency by providing support to landlords for a period of years can help them reduce emissions without creating undue harm to tenants, many of whom are cost-burdened Vermonters.¹⁰⁴ It not only emphasizes solutions that mitigate the high energy burden experienced by low to moderate income households living in rented properties. It recognizes that landlords are better positioned to make basic improvements to the efficiency of the buildings they lease.

Legislature, designated state agency		
Action 1 – Authorize the adoption of	Impact – Complementary policy to	
efficiency standards for rental properties,	Weatherization at Scale (Strategy	
beginning with expanding the definition of "fit	1/Action 1)	
for human habitation" in 9 V.S.A. § 4457(a) by	Equity – Designed to benefit the	
developing and passing legislation requiring	approximately 80% of VT renters who	
owners of [a TBD minimum number of units]	are characterized as low income.	
of rental housing to ensure that the efficiency	Compliance with and enforcement of	
of their rental units meets minimum standards	RPES may result in rent increases.	
[TBD efficiency code level] by December 31,	Incentives or grants may be needed to	
2030 and allocate the funding to provide	ensure this does not occur.	

¹⁰⁴ Likewise, to better ensure continued rental property affordability, program designers could explore the conditioning of the receipt of program support on the agreement to limit subsequent rent increases due to property improvement.

technical and financial support during implementation of the standard.	Cost-Effectiveness – Not modeled yet. Will depend on specifications in the rental property efficiency standard
Timeline to Implement - During upcoming legislative session (No later than May 2022)	Co-Benefits - Creates a new mechanism and technical assistance for landlords to improve livability and affordability for their tenants - Reduces energy bills - Increases comfort - Improves health - Creates local jobs Technical Feasibility - Yes

Strategy 3 - Improve the energy performance of all new buildings in Vermont

New buildings, and their associated energy use, last for decades. New construction offers either an opportunity for gains in building efficiency and related energy savings, or a potential lost opportunity for new housing stock. High efficiency construction techniques are well established and easier and less costly to implement than efficiency retrofits in existing buildings. High efficiency/low leakage building envelopes are also much better suited to support non-combustion technology such as heat pumps.

Legislature, Public Service Department	
Action 1 - Regularly update the statewide residential building energy code, resulting in achieving a Zero Energy Ready building energy code by 2030.	Impact - Complementary policy to Weatherization at Scale (Strategy 1/Action 1)
	Equity - Compliance with and enforcement of building energy codes results in more energy efficient buildings and can result in lower emissions as well as reduced energy bills. However, complying with codes may increase construction or renovation costs. Especially for affordable housing, incentives or grants may be needed to help ensure that increased construction or renovation costs do not result in higher rental fees.
	Cost-Effectiveness - Not modeled
Timeline to Implement – Next update	Co-Benefits
scheduled for September 2023; every three years after that	 Ensures new construction will incorporate new energy efficient and clean energy options as best

	. 1, 1 1
	practices and technology
	continuously improve
	- Reduces energy bills
	- Increases comfort
	- Improves health
	- Creates local jobs
	Technical Feasibility - Yes
Action 2 - Develop and fund a state-level	Impact - Complementary policy to
Energy Code Circuit Rider initiative that	Weatherization at Scale (see Strategy
provides code training and enforcement	1/Action 1). Impact could be further
assistance to municipalities to ensure	enhanced if additional and expanded
awareness of and compliance with existing and	training were offered as well to
future building energy codes	engineers, architects, and builders.
	However the need for municipal
	assistance was deemed a priority for
	most urgent action during development
	of this CAP.
	Equity – Does not directly address
	equity. However, improved building
	efficiency resulting from increased code
	compliance and enforcement will reduce
	energy use, decrease energy bills, and
	increase comfort and health. However,
	complying with building energy codes
	may increase construction or renovation
	costs. Especially for affordable housing,
	incentives or grants may be needed to
	help ensure that increased construction
	or renovation costs do not result in
	higher rental fees.
	Cost-Effectiveness – N/A
Timeline to Implement - by September 2023	Co-Benefits
	- Provides technical assistance and
	support needed especially by small
	municipalities that do not have the
	capacity and staffing to achieve this
	on their own.
	Technical Feasibility - Yes

Pathway 2: Reduce building-related carbon emissions by reducing the carbon content of the fuels they use

Today, over 70 percent of Vermont's thermal energy use is fossil-based. About 40 percent of this is fossil gas and propane, while nearly a third is heating oil. For the last decade, Vermont has

spent roughly \$2 billion a year on fossil fuels, with 75 percent of those dollars leaving the state. In order to meet GWSA emission reduction goals, Vermont needs to transition away from its current carbon-intensive building heating practices to lower carbon alternatives. It also needs to do this equitably, recognizing economic effects on energy users, especially energy-burdened ones, the workforce currently providing these services, and on our overall economy.

Strategy 1 - Implement a Clean Heat Standard

A Clean Heat Standard (CHS) encourages fossil fuel providers serving Vermonters to decarbonize the fuels they supply. It is a performance standard that would be applied to all major suppliers of heating fuels in Vermont with the purpose of driving the market toward greater adoption of low-carbon fuels. As a performance standard, a CHS enables suppliers to choose the most beneficial ways to transition from current practices. It is also designed to allow Vermont's energy users to exercise their choices in how they transition to less carbon-intensive heating practices.

Because Vermont imports 100% of the fossil fuels we use for heating, the CHS would be applied upstream at the wholesale level – that is, on the state's only regulated natural gas supplier (Vermont Gas Systems), and on the large-scale fossil fuel companies that deliver fuels to Vermont's numerous fuel dealers. Fossil heat wholesalers would be required to deliver clean heat solutions to Vermont customers on a percentage basis that rises over time. The wholesalers could meet the standard through a wide range of actions – through their own activities or by purchasing credits from the activities of others. Energy efficiency and weatherization activities as well as low emissions clean heating options including advanced wood heat, biofuels, biogas, district heating, and solar thermal would be eligible as would increased electrification of heating through the use of heat pumps for space and water heating.

By imposing performance requirements on fossil energy wholesalers, the CHS will impose upward pressure on fossil fuel prices. At the same time, the CHS would stimulate the market for fossil alternatives, putting downward price pressure on energy efficiency, weatherization, heat pumps and other clean heat options. For those customers who switch, those options are often less expensive and less volatile than the fossil heat status quo. Over time, the CHS should expand the delivery infrastructure for clean heat services and products, making it easier and potentially less expensive for consumers to choose clean heat alternatives to fossil fuels when making purchasing decisions.

It is important to recognize the equity impact of this market change and work to address the potential financial burdens placed upon low-income earners and rural residents. While a CHS will increase costs to fossil wholesalers, it is unclear how large this effect will be, and what fraction of costs will be passed on to Vermont customers. In a competitive market with many routes to earn clean heat credits, costs could be moderated in many ways, as we have seen with renewable electricity standards. The greatest impacts would be in later years on fossil heat customers who do not choose a clean heat option or are unable to do so. To ensure that the CHS minimizes negative effects on energy-burdened Vermonters, the program will need to incorporate income-sensitive policies from its beginning. The Climate Council recommends that the legislature include requirements to deliver a high fraction of clean heating solutions to low-and moderate-income households in the early years.

This program, as it is implemented, must include an early focus on low- and moderate-income households using fossil fuels to help to ensure they understand the benefits of the CHS and are positioned to take advantage of them. The clean heat and weatherization policies in the CAP are designed to work together, and both services could, when customers choose, be delivered together. As they are developed and implemented complementary policies should include updated disconnection policies that reflect increased importance of electric utility service to health and wellbeing. Implementation should also ensure LIHEAP and other fuel assistance programs be adjusted to integrate support to low-income households with clean heat systems. Consideration should also be given to adjusting housing or other programs to improve energy affordability for low-income households.

Because the CHS provides a path for fuel deliverers to comply and transition into the provision of cleaner energy services, the CHS design is fair to traditional fuel suppliers and their employees.

Legislature	
Action 1 - Adopt legislation authorizing the	Impact – TBD based on program
Public Utilities Commission to administer a	design; potentially high if required
Clean Heat Standard consistent with the	emissions reductions are indexed to
recommendations of the Clean Heat Standard	building/thermal sector share of GWSA
Working Group ¹⁰⁵	reduction targets
	Equity – Can be designed to mitigate
	the disproportionate energy burdens and
	negative distributional effects of
	existing heating fuel costs on low- and
	moderate-income Vermonters. Works in
	concert with complementary programs,
	such as low-income weatherization and
	fuel assistance programs, to assist in the
	transition to cleaner heating solutions.
	Cost-Effectiveness – TBD based on
	program design
Timeline to Implement - Legislation by the	Co-Benefits
end of the current session (May 2022)	- Provides choice in how to meet
followed by no longer than 18-24 months for	GWSA requirements Reduces
administrative process, including program	energy bills
design, orders, or rulemaking	- Creates a predictable and stable
	marketplace as fossil fuel businesses
	transition to clean energy services
	- Improves health
	- Creates local jobs
	Technical Feasibility - Yes

¹⁰⁵EAN Network Action Team - Clean Heat Standard Working Group - https://www.eanvt.org/events-and-initiatives/clean-heat-standard/

Strategy 2 – Transition the water heater market in Vermont to ensure the availability of water heaters whose total cost of ownership is lower than other models, and which can be controlled by electric utilities to help manage their power grids at low cost.

The electrification of energy uses currently powered by fossil fuels represents one of Vermont's greatest opportunities to avoid building related GHG emissions. In addition to reducing emissions from combustion and saving consumers money, electrification is a low-cost and underused opportunity for utilities to actively manage and optimize their grid operations. Controllable water heaters will also improve Vermont's ability to adopt greater amounts of variable renewable resources. This strategy seeks to leverage the ability of water heaters, replaced at a rate of approximately 25,000 per year,¹⁰⁶ to store energy in the form of heat and allow electric utilities to manage their operation to realize both emission reductions and consumer savings.

Department of Public Service	
Action 1 – Through legislation, with	Impact – Complimentary policy to
neighboring states, require electric water	establishing a Clean Heat Standard.
heaters for sale to have a modular demand	Would enable the transition of fossil-
response communications port.	fuel water heaters to state-of-the art,
	energy efficient water heaters whose
	heating can be timed to off-peak times
	of electricity use.
	Equity – Appliance standards do not
	typically address equity directly.
	However, the programs developed to
	implement such a standard can (and
	should) be. For example, any incentives
	created to stimulate market demand for
	controllable water heaters could be
	income sensitive and could prioritize
	equipment switch-outs in frontline and
	impacted communities.
	Cost-Effectiveness – Deemed to be
	high based on modeling results from
	Cadmus/EFG.
Timeline to Implement – Initiate discussion	Co-Benefits – An initial step towards
with neighboring states no later than July 2022	creation of a stable and predictable
	marketplace as fossil fuel businesses
	and equipment suppliers transition to
	clean energy services
	Technical Feasibility – Yes
Summary

It is important to note that, while the weatherization at scale suite of actions and the clean heat standard are interdependent, both strategies support the other, making each more effective at meeting the GWSA's just transition goals. Cleaner and more efficient heating appliances will work more effectively in homes that are more capable of maintaining internal temperatures. Likewise, as weatherization proceeds, the CHS will encourage the adoption of lower carbon fuels, producing opportunities for consumers to secure carbon reduction gains immediately. Furthermore, weatherization and energy efficiency improvements as well as an increase in managed electrification of heating¹⁰⁷ would be eligible for CHS credits. So, not only do they promote each other, but the relationship also helps in funding weatherization.

¹⁰⁷ Managing electrification load will be a critical strategy for enabling Vermont to accommodate the level of electrification and amounts of variable renewable energy envisioned in this Plan. See "Load Management and Grid Optimization" section of Electricity Sector Mitigation Pathways.

Electricity Pathways for Mitigation

The electric sector has made great strides in both reducing emissions from electricity purchases and use, and in reducing overall demand through efficiency programs. Therefore, in the near term, between now and 2030, the focus should be to maintain the progress made in the electric sector to ensure a cost-effective backbone for the very significant transition necessary to decarbonize the transportation and buildings/heating sectors.

Pathways, strategies and actions related to adaptation and resilience regarding the electric sector and electric infrastructure – many of which are in further support of mitigation – are laid out thoroughly in other sections, particularly Section 12 and the other pathways in this Section 11, and are not repeated here. Recommendations regarding further research and actions on GHG emissions accounting from the electricity sector and in all other sectors is discussed in Section 9.

Keeping Vermont's electric supply affordable and increasingly carbon free and renewable will enable Vermonters to transition to low carbon electricity as fuel source in transportation and heating, the two largest sources of GHG emissions. The electricity sector needs to support that transition with a cost-effective, fully carbon-free or renewable electricity portfolio over time. Vermonters also need technical and financial help to upgrade their homes and businesses to support this transition. Finally, in carrying out this work, Vermont must ensure a strong, reliable, flexible grid at both the distribution and bulk transmission levels because Vermonters will be relying upon the grid even more so in the future to support decarbonization with many interconnected, distributed load and generation resources.

On a statewide basis, the electric sector is already relatively low carbon and will be nearly carbon free and largely renewable by 2030 under current utility long-term power supply contracts. The state's Renewable Energy Standard (RES) is already based upon a percentage of total retail sales/load and therefore is designed to keep pace with electrification.

State distribution utilities and the bulk transmission system operator, VELCO, already support coordination and long-range statewide transmission planning across service territories, through the Vermont System Planning Committee and Public Utility Commission (PUC) processes.

Vermont also already has in place certain programs to help support fossil fuel transition, through Tier 3 of the RES; already-deployed EV charging rates in certain utility territories; deployment of EV fast chargers (Level 3 and Level 2); and other strategies.

Going forward, Vermont will require significant increased efforts to decarbonize transportation and heating, including through electrification. This in turn will require both investments in infrastructure to support customer electrification (panel upgrades, home chargers, storage, and distributed energy resources (DERs) including small-scale renewable generation), and wellcoordinated load management to minimize infrastructure costs associated with peak demand. The overall electricity portfolio also must account for the type of increased demand that will come from these measures; energy requirements are expected to be significantly higher in winter compared to summer. Finally, as noted in many public comments and those of Climate Council members, there are tradeoffs involved in any energy choice – different environmental impacts and burdens will occur with each, including the catastrophic environmental harm that has come from the use of fossil fuels. Transparently recognizing that these harms are not all equivalent, and that the burdens of each fall differently, will be key to creating greater trust and accountability as we create a just transition.

Pathway 1: Further decrease GHG emissions from electric sector purchases

A primary mechanism for reducing GHG emissions will be electrification of the transportation (electric vehicles) and buildings (heat pumps) sectors. Electric vehicles and heat pumps are inherently more efficient than combustion technologies and therefore reduce energy usage and carbon emissions. However, emission reductions associated with electrification are closely linked with the power supply portfolio of the electric utility providing power to the device.

The GHG Inventory maintained by the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) bases emissions in the electric sector on the annual power supply portfolio of Vermont's utilities. This largely reflects the fact that Vermont is part of a regional electric grid where load and generation are balanced in real time; as more carbon-free energy is put onto the system there is less overall generation from fossil-fuel-fired plants. Every kilowatt hour of a clean energy resource that counts in Vermont's RES and other state's equivalent policies must actually be delivered and used into our New England region, as tracked annually through a registry and accounting system of Renewable Energy Credits maintained by the NEPOOL GIS. While in future years it may be possible to move to more seasonal or even daily/hourly tracking of the use of carbon-free resources, in the meantime it is critical that utilities continue and deepen their progress to utilize cleaner resources and help displace fossil fuel resources on our regional grid.

Strategy 1 – 100% Carbon-free or Renewable Electricity

Vermont should develop 100% carbon free or renewable electric portfolio standard to ensure progress continues into the 2030s and beyond while being mindful of the economic impact on cost-burdened Vermonters and maintaining the cost-effectiveness of fuel-switching to electric measures.

Vermonters pay approximately \$784 million in electricity costs per year, with over half that that amount associated with procuring energy. These costs are recovered from Vermont electric customers through cost- and usage-based electric bills and as such electric bills are an inherently regressive payment structure, something to keep in mind when looking at ways to utilize electric bills to achieve our state policy goals.

Vermont policymakers and stakeholders in the Climate Plan process have also been clear that they want to see Vermont move more aggressively toward clean electricity to support overall mitigation of emissions and decarbonization. Vermont's current RES aims to achieve 75% renewable resources annually by 2032; the accompanying analysis by Cadmus indicates that the current RES is adequate to meet the GWSA goals for 2025 and 2030. While Vermont's goal was forward-thinking when passed, other states in New England are increasing their required amounts of new renewable electricity and are also focusing on supporting existing carbon-free generation sources. For example, energy from HydroQuebec, which is defined as "renewable" in Vermont,¹⁰⁸ is supported in Massachusetts under its Clean Energy Standard – Existing¹⁰⁹ requirement for carbon-free resources procured to meet that state's carbon reduction mandates from 2020-2050. Similarly, both Massachusetts and Connecticut have policies that support nuclear energy to further carbon reduction policies.

Vermont must move toward a fully clean electric portfolio that strongly support new resources designed to displace fossil-fuel-fired generation in the region, not just existing. In doing so, it will be important to consider a number of questions, as outlined below, that embrace equity and also tackle whether our current structure that supports renewable electricity should be modified to support carbon-free resources. Regardless of the eventual design, a legislative requirement that Vermont's utilities have power supply portfolios that are 100% carbon free by 2030¹¹⁰ will reduce electric sector emissions and enable deeper carbon reductions associated with electrification in the transportation and thermal sectors. The strategy recommends that new requirements are designed to fit already-procured resources, including long-term committed contracts for carbon-free resources that run through the mid-2030s.

Specifically, the General Assembly adopt a carbon reduction policy that directs the Public Utility Commission, utilizing expertise as appropriate, to identify, review, and research as needed design parameters for a 100% carbon-free or renewable electric portfolio standard that equitably promotes electrification.

Such a study would be used to inform subsequent legislative discussion and would take into account the additional studies being recommended by the Science and Data Subcommittee, including on GHG accounting. Given the numerous design options of such a mandate, the significant costs and potential impacts on low-income and cost-burdened Vermonters, and the fact that such a mandate would lock-in resource selection over a period of decades, the study will need to be designed in a manner that that structures a clean or renewable power supply requirement in a way to maximize GHG emissions reductions while protecting the interests of Vermonters in equity, economic development associated with local renewable generation, affordability, and other issues.

Questions that warrant further research in such a study include:

- Using existing renewables and new resources the right mix for equity and additionality
 - Date of qualification for 'new' resources considering both regional and instate generation
- In-state and out-of-state generation the right mix for economic development, equity, affordability, land use, and other considerations
- Supporting generation of all sizes and types (small/large/hydro/wind/solar/storage etc.)
- Pace of increased requirements by type of resource/RES Tier
- Incentivizing resources to deliver when needed (e.g. during peak hours, noting that these are likely to shift over time; seasonal needs such as winter loads; how storage may fit in), taking into account the time scale on which renewability is measured now (annually) and in the future (e.g., quarterly, monthly, hourly)

¹⁰⁸ 30 V.S.A. § 8002(2)(C)

¹⁰⁹ 310 CMR 7.75

¹¹⁰ Moving to 100% clean electricity portfolio by 2030 would align with the GWSA 2030 timeframe; however, under Vermont's current GHG inventory a later date, such as the 2032 compliance date currently in the Vermont RES, also would allow Vermont to meet its overall emissions reduction goals.

- Siting, including environmental, community, and transmission system considerations
- Carbon impact of resources; what source/criteria are utilized; whether the framework changes to a carbon standard rather than a renewable standard
 - \circ $\,$ Informed by any additional GHG inventory recommendations

Action

Lead Implementer: Legislature; Other Implementers: PUC, DPS, Utilities		
a.	Action details: Move from 75% Renewable	Impact: High/enabling
	Energy Standard to 100% Carbon Free or	Equity: Depends on program design
	Renewable Electricity	
	Timeline to implement: No later than 2030	Cost-Effectiveness: Depends on program
		design
		Co-Benefits: Depends on program design
		Technical Feasibility: Y

Pathway 2: Enable All Vermonters to Choose Electrification

Having a zero-carbon electricity supply along with electric transportation and heating options will not get Vermont the deep emissions reductions required by the GWSA unless Vermonters can choose these technologies easily and affordably. Vermont's largely older housing and building stock, rural infrastructure, and the complexities of navigating new technology create real hurdles to going electric. If we are not careful, we could repeat inequities seen in the deployment of other programs and infrastructure, like broadband and solar, where too few Vermonters have easy, affordable access to new technology. It will take sustained, committed work to enable all Vermonters to choose to go electric.

Strategy

Programs need to be focused on providing financial and technical assistance for Vermonters to upgrade electric service and to purchase and install equipment. Available federal funding, including through the recently-passed infrastructure legislation and through potential additional legislation targeted at GHG mitigation and resilience, should be leveraged to make these often one-time or long-term investments. The basics are not flashy – the level of electric service to buildings and homes; the age of internal wiring; service panel upgrades – but they are absolutely key to giving Vermonters access to decarbonization through electrification. We also need to provide education and support for installing equipment such as EV chargers and new heating systems, so that the complexities of change do not create a barrier. And in doing this work, we have to think about how all types of buildings – old farmhouses on rural roads, those in our compact downtowns, multifamily homes and buildings, and mobile homes – can make the switch. Coordinating this work with weatherization and efficiency efforts is a must. We need to finally crack the code for offering Vermonters easy access to home and building upgrades. In doing so, we should neither insist that all must be done at once – since that is unaffordable and unrealistic for many – nor that one solution has to be elevated over another. Rather, when

Vermonters are able to start an upgrade, the foundational work that would allow other measures to be done then or later should also be deployed. For example, installing 200-amp service upgrades to homes if insulation and other work affecting the shell of a building is being done. If a heat pump is being installed, consider an upgrade to the service panel with future expansion to EVs, solar, and storage in mind.

This work will have benefits not just in GHG reductions, but in long term affordability for Vermonter, greater resilience, and economic activity. It will spur the need for more Vermonters to work to install equipment, meaning that we also must help plan for an adequate workforce for technical assistance and installation. This work also has the opportunity to create a more just transition, if we are successful in focusing programs and support for not only those who are income qualified but also those who have historically been left behind as new technologies roll out, particularly those in rural towns and marginalized communities.

Actions

Lea	Lead Implementers: Legislature for funding initiatives; Utilities, Private Sector & Nonprofits		
a.	Action details: Develop programs for	Impact: High/Enabling	
	implementation regarding 200-amp	Equity: Target lower income Vermonters,	
	service and related building upgrades,	multifamily, and rural areas of Vermont without	
	coordinated with weatherization,	strong infrastructure.	
	efficiency, and equipment incentive		
	programs (EV chargers, HP, storage,	Ensure direct financial support through	
	etc.), and ensure that any potentially	equitable source for income qualified, plus easy	
	related statewide program (such as	financing access for all utilizing same tools as	
	Clean Heat Standard, if adopted, or	for weatherization and equipment financing,	
	enhanced weatherization efforts)	including possible on-bill payment through	
	includes building electrical upgrades in	electric utility bills after pilot project for	
	their design and funding models in order to enable decarbonization.	weatherization improvements currently	
		underway.	
	Timeline to implement: Initial enabling	Cost-Effectiveness: Depending upon tools funded and level of funding – see DPS Cost of	
	funding 1-2 years	Carbon Measures report	
		Co-Benefits - High	
		 Jobs/workforce dev 	
		 Economic activity from sales of equipment 	
		and services	
		 Healthier buildings, healthier people 	
		 Lower maintenance/ownership costs (e.g., 	
		EVs)	
		Technical Feasibility: Yes	

Pathway 3: Load Management and Grid Optimization

As Vermont increasingly turns to electricity as a low- or no-carbon resource for transportation, heating, and related distributed energy purposes, our electric grid will become more complex, with more points of local connection and coordination, and we will rely on it even more so than today. This is true even for those Vermonters who increase their own resilience with solar and storage because key to community and statewide resilience is for us to act collectively – to pool distributed energy resources and coordinate their deployment through the greater electric grid. And we have to make this transition at a time when, due to climate change, we are facing more frequent, severe storms that damage infrastructure, including our electric system.

Other sections of the Climate Plan, particularly Sections 12 and 13, discuss actions needed to harden electricity infrastructure and create community-level resilience. The goals of this Pathway will be to lower barriers and increase customer participation in load control programs and devices, to unlock the value Distributed Energy Resources can bring collectively, through coordination and management, to the greater grid, so that in the future our electric system is not only cleaner but also more reliable and cost-effective. To help support electricity sector emissions reductions cost-effectively, the way forward will include enhanced use of load control, through direct utility measures, dynamic rate design, and programs offered by energy services companies directly to customers, to flexibly manage and coordinate the electric grid. This will create not only greater equity statewide, particularly for our rural communities, but also greater overall benefits through more efficient, cost-effective electricity services and through supportive programs for load management that in turn create jobs and economic activity.

Strategy

We should support and expand on existing programs and policies that encourage load management and grid optimization, in order to enable the deep decarbonization we need through use of the electric sector.

For this strategy, tools already exist. We should continue to prioritize programs delivered by our efficiency utilities, electric utilities, and energy services companies to encourage load management and grid optimization, through utility Integrated Resources Plan (IRP) proceedings, regulation proceedings, rate designs, innovation pilots, and other existing PUC oversight. Rapid technological changes mean that we should encourage quick program evolution – we need to be willing to adapt and try new things to keep Vermont toward the front of the curve when it comes to optimizing our grid to support electrification. In the future, this will include many different individual functions, products, and technologies, from sensors and meters used today to new product-level features capable of dynamic load control, pricing signals and even billing, along with new distributed energy resource management platforms and intelligence to help coordinate it all. PUC review can help create equity by incorporating screening to ensure utilities pursue programs achieve overall least cost, taking into account carbon and societal benefits and other criteria.¹¹¹ Programs should be designed to deliver shared customer savings for load control, and

¹¹¹ The common need across state regulatory processes and government programs to train decisionmakers on equity and the principles of a just transition, as well as considerations regarding statutory criteria that would ensure those issues are included in decisions and programs, is treated in the [Crosscutting Themes] section of the Climate Plan.

to encourage customers to match where possible their own load to generation to optimize the system for the benefit of all, with a vigilant eye on equitable access that has often eluded us.

Actions

Lua	Lead Implementers: Utilities; Other Implementers: PUC, DPS, Private Sector		
a.	Action details: Support	Impact: Medium/enabling	
	direct utility load control	Equity: High, if implemented with shared savings in mind	
	programs, including	so that all customers benefit	
	implementation of		
	management platform		
	Timeline to implement:	Cost-Effectiveness: Depends upon specific design and cost	
	Ongoing	recovery, but purpose of these programs should be to more	
		cost-effectively manage DERs across the grid than in the	
	absence of such control.		
		Co-Benefits: High.	
		 Jobs (individual project deployment and 	
		infrastructure)	
		• Enabling individual and community-level resilience	
		• Safety	
		• Lower overall costs than in absence of programs,	
		yielding economic benefits	
		Technical Feasibility: Yes	
Lead Implementers: Utilities; Other Implementers: PUC, DPS, Private Sector			
a.	Action details: Encourage	Impact: Medium/enabling	
	dynamic rate offerings, including those designed to	Equity: While rates must be offered to all similarly situated customers care must be taken to consider who will have the	
	encourage direct	opportunity to benefit, such as Time of Use rates providing	
	load/generation matching,	variable benefit to shift workers and avoiding	
	0		
	and rate design to support	"electrification" rates that do not share increased load	
	and rate design to support electrification through	"electrification" rates that do not share increased load benefits with all customers.	
	• • • •		
	electrification through		
	electrification through shared customer savings	benefits with all customers.	
	electrification through shared customer savings Timeline to implement:	benefits with all customers. Cost-Effectiveness: High, so long as shared savings are the	
	electrification through shared customer savings Timeline to implement:	benefits with all customers. Cost-Effectiveness: High, so long as shared savings are the goal. To the extent subsidies between customer groups are	
	electrification through shared customer savings Timeline to implement:	benefits with all customers. Cost-Effectiveness: High, so long as shared savings are the goal. To the extent subsidies between customer groups are utilized, historically marginalized individuals and those	
	electrification through shared customer savings Timeline to implement:	benefits with all customers. Cost-Effectiveness: High, so long as shared savings are the goal. To the extent subsidies between customer groups are utilized, historically marginalized individuals and those who have not accessed energy programs successfully in the	

Agriculture Pathways for Mitigation

Vermont farmers are motivated to be part of the climate change solutions and many already include climate mitigation as a major goal in managing their farm.¹¹² The agricultural sector's emissions account for 15.8 percent of Vermont's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.^{113,114} The main mitigation options within the agricultural sector involve one or more of three strategies:¹¹⁵

- 1. **Prevention of emissions** to the atmosphere by conserving existing carbon pools in soils and vegetation **or by reducing emissions** of methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) through management changes;
- 2. Sequestration—increasing the size of existing carbon pools, and thereby extracting carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere¹¹⁶; and
- 3. **Substitution**—substituting biological products for fossil fuels or energy-intensive products, thereby reducing CO₂ emissions.¹¹⁷

Carbon sequestration in agricultural landscapes is the mitigation strategy for agriculture that yields the greatest co-benefits, is the easiest and most immediate to implement, has the fewest equity concerns in Vermont, and has received significant attention from the global and scientific communities as a critical mitigation strategy¹¹⁸. Feed supplement strategies to reduce methane in enteric emissions can be associated with negative implications for herd health¹¹⁹ and reliance on imported feed supplements that may negatively impact communities elsewhere, though more research is needed on the extent to which forage management may impact enteric methane and

https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_annex-i.pdf.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.002.

¹¹² White, A.C., Faulkner, J.W., Conner, D.S., Mendez, V.E., and M.T. Niles, M.T. "How can you put a price on the environment?" Farmer perspectives on stewardship and payment for ecosystem services. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* (in press).

¹¹³ Agency of Natural Resources – Department of Environmental Conservation – Air Quality and Climate Division. "Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017." May 2021. <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017</u> Final.pdf.

¹¹⁴ Emissions from farm equipment are included under the Transportation/Mobile Combustion sector, emissions from other fuel consumption are included under the Residential, Commercial, Industrial Fuel Use sector, and emissions from farm electricity consumption are included under the Electricity Consumption sector of the VT GHG Emissions Inventory. The agriculture sector as inventoried in the VT GHG Emission Inventory includes emissions from animals (enteric fermentation), emissions from stored manure, and emissions from agricultural soils—including decomposition of crop residue and application of organic fertilizer (manure, compost, sewage) and inorganic fertilizer (nitrogen, urea, limestone, dolomite) through various pathways of volatilization, leaching and runoff.

¹¹⁵ While demand-side measures (e.g. reducing losses and wastes of food) may also play a also play a role in mitigation of climate change, these recommendations are not in-scope of the Agriculture & Ecosystems Subcommittee at this time.

¹¹⁶ The methodology for the Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory is currently conducted on a gross emissions basis. Sequestration strategies would not apply to a gross emissions accounting and, at this point, would only apply in the net accounting approach of the carbon budget, relative to the 2050 net zero target established in the GWSA. Whether and how sequestration can or will be counted as a mitigation measure in the official VT GHG Inventory is the subject of an RFP process, as agreed to on October 12, 2021 and referenced here.

¹¹⁷ Allwood J.M., V. Bosetti, N.K. Dubash, L. Gómez-Echeverri, and C. von Stechow, 2014: Glossary. In: *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J.C. Minx (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

¹¹⁸ Minasny, Budiman, Brendan P. Malone, Alex B. McBratney, Denis A. Angers, Dominique Arrouays, Adam Chambers, Vincent Chaplot et al. "Soil carbon 4 per mille." *Geoderma* 292 (April 2017): 59-86.

¹¹⁹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Marginal Abatement Cost Analysis: Methodology Documentation." September 2019.

simultaneously support animal health. Adjustments in manure management are also considered among the suite of strategies that may help mitigate agricultural emissions sources, yet practices like methane digesters may not be scale appropriate for small farms, and other manure management practices may have tradeoffs with water quality. Recommendations below include elevating sequestration as a strategy to invest in, with known benefits and wide appeal, while simultaneously supporting proven technologies and exploring ways that other agricultural emissions sources can be mitigated with more careful consideration for tradeoffs and equity.

Today, Vermont farmers mitigate on-farm GHG emissions through the adoption of conservation practices. Importantly, many water quality best management practices provide co-benefits for climate mitigation, and implementation has increased dramatically in recent years. Through more widespread adoption of these conservation practices, which increase the organic matter content of agricultural soils, Vermont farmers have a realistic potential to sequester one million metric tons (MMT) of CO₂-e annually¹²⁰. Today, Vermont's agricultural soils already store over an estimated 63 MMT CO₂-e¹²¹.

The agriculture sector is also highly vulnerable to climate change. Currently, the majority of crop losses reported in Vermont are due to weather extremes that have been increasing in intensity and frequency due to climate change¹²². Fortunately, many agricultural practices that increase carbon sequestration also enhance a farm's resilience to a changing climate. In fact, the most common strategy that Vermont farmers already employ to address extreme weather impacts is improving soil health¹²³, highlighting the importance of soil health as an important strategy to address both climate mitigation and adaptation. This pathway recommends incentivizing farming systems that help all farmers both mitigate the drivers of climate change and build resilience to its impacts.

Agriculture – and other associated natural and working lands – is a nexus for building a resilient future for Vermont in the face of climate change that centers priorities of:

- 1. Improving soils, water, and resilience of the working landscape to combat climate change;
- 2. Increasing sustainable economic development and creating good jobs in Vermont's food and farm sector; and
- 3. Improving access to healthy, local foods for all Vermonters.

The importance and focus on Vermont's agricultural soils to address climate change in these action recommendations is foundational to catalyze a paradigm shift in how farmers are acknowledged and empowered to perform their essential roles of environmental stewardship while providing food and fiber. Where historic federal food policy and current international markets have driven agriculture to particular farming systems and methods that have historically externalized costs of production to water, land, and air – a focus on the importance of Vermont's

¹²⁰ White, A.C. "Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Footprint in Vermont and Rough Quantification of Strategies to Meet Reduction Goals." Presentation to Agriculture & Ecosystems Subcommittee 5C Group. May 25, 2021.

¹²¹ Galford, Gillian, Darby, Heather, Kosiba, Alexandra, and Hall, Frederick. "A Carbon Budget for Vermont: Task 2 in Support of the Development of Vermont's Climate Action Plan." September 2021.

¹²² Vermont Climate Assessment. 2021. Due to be publicly released in Nov of this year. Lead authors are Galford, Faulkner & Dupigy-Giroux

¹²³ Vermont Climate Assessment. 2021. Due to be publicly released in Nov of this year. Lead authors are Galford, Faulkner & Dupigy-Giroux

soils to address climate change and investment in the following ten key actions can help catalyze enterprise-level changes, remove the barriers to transition, and leverage the impressive engagement and work farmers have recently begun to undertake to address Vermont's water quality challenges and expand and empower all Vermont farmers to adapt, build resilience to, and mitigate climate change.

Leveraging the state's existing water quality conservation programming is the first step to support agriculture in meeting the 2025 and 2030 emission reduction requirements established in the GWSA. Here exists a robust multi-partner service-delivery mechanism¹²⁴ for agriculture where natural climate solutions (NCS) (e.g. cover crops, nutrient management, manure management, reduced tillage, and riparian tree plantings) that have benefits for both water quality and GHG mitigation are already successfully being implemented by farmers across Vermont – over 300,000 acres of conservation practices have been implemented on Vermont farms since 2016 through state and federal programs.¹²⁵ These agricultural NCS can be delivered at a large scale, at cost-effective rates, yield immediate GHG mitigation benefits, have long lasting positive effects, and provide multiple co-benefits that support adaptation, resilience, and food security goals for Vermont.

Pathway A: Maintain and expand Vermont's natural and working lands' role in the mitigation of climate change through human interventions to reduce the sources and enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases.

Mitigation in this section incorporates the GWSA 10 V.S.A. § 590(3) definition of 'Mitigation' which means: "reduction of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, and preservation and enhancement of natural systems to sequester and store carbon, in order to stabilize and reduce greenhouse gases in the atmosphere." This is consistent with the IPCC definition, "a human intervention to reduce the sources or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases (GHGs)"¹²⁶.

The strategy which provides the most immediate and cost-effective opportunities for mitigation from the agricultural sector is to:

i. Leverage, expand, and adapt existing State of Vermont programs that support the agricultural sector's mitigation of climate change through: Prevention of emissions to the atmosphere by conserving existing carbon pools in soils or vegetation, or by reducing emissions of methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O);

¹²⁴ Vermont Agricultural Water Quality Partnership. <u>https://vtagcleanwater.org/</u>.

¹²⁵ Clean Water Interactive Dashboard data presented in Vermont Clean Water Initiative 2020 Performance Report. January 15, 2021.

https://app.powerbigov.us/view?r=eyJrIjoiNTI5Y2QxZDEtODY3Ni00ZmYwLThjZTAtNjdiNTM3YTQyZjRkIiwidCI6IjIwYjQ 5MzNiLWJhYWQtNDMzYy05YzAyLTcwZWRjYzc1NTljNiJ9.

¹²⁶ IPCC, 2014: Annex II: Glossary [Mach, K.J., S. Planton and C. von Stechow (eds.)]. In: *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, pp. 117-130. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2019/01/SYRAR5-Glossary en.pdf.

- ii. Sequestration by increasing the size of existing carbon pools, and thereby extracting carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere; and
- iii. Substitution of biological products for fossil fuels or energy-intensive products, thereby reducing CO₂ emissions.

The majority of conservation practices funded through various state programs aimed at improving water quality by reducing erosion and nutrient loss also mitigate climate change by reducing carbon transport, sequestering carbon in plants and soils. The specific impacts of these conservation practices on climate mitigation are explained below. The state programs that support these climate mitigation practices should continue to be funded and expanded to increase adoption by Vermont farmers. Current state programs coordinate with federal programs to ensure as seamless and complementary a delivery of services as possible. As explained below, other programs may need further enhancement and funding to focus on climate mitigation in addition to water quality. It should be noted that the pathway, strategy, and actions listed in this section can also be found in Section 14 – Pathways for Sequestration and Storing Carbon. As these actions have co-benefits for both GHG mitigation and carbon sequestration, they can be found in two places in this plan.

LE	LEAD IMPLEMENTER Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food & Markets (VAAFM)		
a.	Action Details	Impact	
		In 2021, VAAFM funded over 24,000 acres	
	Implement agronomic practices that	of cover crop and 2,700 acres of conservation	
	reduce tillage and increase vegetative	tillage. Vermont has about 90,000 acres of	
	cover, e.g. no-till, cover crop	land suitable for cover crop and conservation	
		tillage in 2021. ¹²⁷ Implementation of these	
	Practices that reduce tillage intensity, such	practices has been steadily increasing since	
	as reduced tillage and no-till conservation	2016 and the rate of adoption has potential to	
	practices, reduce the emissions of CO ₂	continue to increase with sustained or	
	from the soil by reducing decomposition	expanded funding.	
	from less soil disturbance. Practices that		
	increase herbaceous (non-woody)	While implementation of these agronomic	
	vegetative cover on crop fields, such as	practices are currently being tracked by	
	cover crop at the end of the growing	VAAFM, a protocol needs to be researched	
	season, or rotation of perennial hay crops	and developed to quantify GHG mitigation	
	with annual crops such as corn (crop	from these practices for Vermont.	
	rotation), sequester carbon as they grow.	In a Canadian study, cover crops were	
	Thus, the more living plants on the field	estimated to be the largest single source of	
	during the growing season the more carbon	mitigation potential from the agricultural	
	is sequestered. Vegetative cover, whether	sector with 26% of all potential agricultural	
	perennial (hay) or annual (cover crop) also	mitigation coming from the adoption of cover	
	reduce erosion and the loss of nutrients	crops. 12.5% of all considered NCS	
	through runoff, and increase albedo effect,	mitigation reductions in the study were	
	lowering ground temperatures.	estimated to come from cover crops. ¹²⁸	

¹²⁷ U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency. "Crop Acreage Data – 2020 Crop Year."

https://www.fsa.usda.gov/news-room/efoia/electronic-reading-room/frequently-requested-information/crop-acreage-data/index ¹²⁸ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.

b.	Action Details	Impact Over 50,000 acres of conservation practices have been implemented through CEAP since
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
		reduced ground temperatures due to albedo effect of plant cover.
		drought and extreme rain events, reduced nitrogen fertilizer if planting legumes,
		soil organic matter (soil health, infiltration, water storage), reduced flooding, resilience to
		erosion, reduced nutrient runoff, increase on
		overall adaptation, resilience, and water quality benefits including: reduced soil
	Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months.	This suite of agronomic practices provides
	Timeline to Implements 0.6 mently	potential at \leq \$50/MT CO ₂ e; 67% of total mitigation potential at \leq \$100/MT CO ₂ e. ¹³⁰ Co-Benefits
		price points: 22% of total mitigation potential at \leq \$10/MT CO ₂ e; 44% of total mitigation
		mitigation for reduced tillage as a mitigation strategy can be available at the following
		Literature suggests that the CO ₂ e/yr potential
	practices.	reduction at \leq \$50/MT CO ₂ e; 84% of total possible reduction at \leq \$100/MT CO ₂ e. ¹²⁹
	(FAP) program. USDA NRCS-VT funds additional implementation of these	price points: 14% of total possible reduction at \leq \$10/MT CO ₂ e; 46% of total possible
	no-till), and Conservation Crop Rotation through its Farm Agronomic Practices	mitigation for cover crop as a mitigation strategy can be available at the following
	Conservation Tillage (reduced tillage and	Literature suggests that the CO ₂ e/yr potential
	The Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets (VAAFM) funds Cover Crop,	adoption on farms.
	and storing more water during times of drought.	High cost-effectiveness due to low cost of implementation and potential for scaling up
	increases infiltration (reduces runoff) and water storage, thereby reducing flooding	expanded. Cost-Effectiveness
	organic matter content of the soil which	eligibility and availability should be
	are also important for climate adaptation and resilience. These practices increase the	review for equity should be undertaken by state programs. Outreach regarding program
	vegetative cover not only have climate mitigation and water quality benefits but	Jurisdictional RAP farms are eligible to apply for VAAFM programs. A comprehensive
	Practices that reduce tillage and increase	Equity

¹²⁹ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>"</u> Science Advances 7, 1 (June 2021).
https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.
¹³⁰ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>"</u> Science Advances 7, 1 (June 2021).
https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.

Expand C	apital Equipment Assistance	2018 that have co-benefits for GHG
-	(CEAP) program to extend	mitigation from the agricultural sector (e.g.
0	ater quality and incorporate	reduced tillage, cover crop seeding). Farmers
v	lange criteria.	manage almost 530,000 acres of harvested
chinace en	lunge er ter tur	cropland and pasture in Vermont ¹³² offering
The VAAI	FM Capital Equipment	considerable opportunity for expanding
	Program (CEAP) provides	adoption.
	upport for farmers to purchase	adoption.
	nent necessary to implement	While implementation of these agronomic
1 1	ne climate mitigation practices	practices are currently being tracked by
	ve in 'Action a', including no-till	VAAFM, a protocol needs to be researched
	crop, and various precision	and developed to quantify GHG mitigation
-	e technologies that improve	from these practices for Vermont
	anagement. This program is an	
	vay to assist farmers to have the	USDA has modeled potential mitigation by
	available necessary to	agricultural management category and CO ₂
	climate mitigation practices and	price level (\$/MT CO ₂ e) and has found that of
	crease the rate of implementation	the 120 MMT CO ₂ e possible to be mitigated
-	on across the state. CEAP	by US agriculture nationally, over one third of
	focuses on innovative equipment	potential reductions could come from
1	e water quality – which can have	reducing tillage intensity. ¹³³ USDA notes that
	s for GHG mitigation, and the	"the mitigation benefits of reducing tillage
1 0	urrently can be used to increase	intensity depend critically on reduced tillage
	by including equipment more	practices being adopted in the long term." As
	y intended for climate	CEAP supports the purchase of equipment for
	¹³¹ . Currently the program is	long term utilization, this program helps
	ough the Clean Water Fund,	ensure persistent adoption and can help
	uses the program on clean water	farmers overcome one of the largest barriers
	Either an additional funding	EPA has identified for agricultural adoption
	an agreement from the Clean	of reduced tillage practice which is initial
	rd to support climate focused	capital costs. ¹³⁴
	s needed to expand the program	Equity
beyond cle	ean water practices.	Jurisdictional RAP farms are eligible to apply
		for VAAFM programs. A comprehensive
		review for equity should be undertaken by
		state programs. Outreach regarding program

¹³¹ 6 V.S.A. § 4828(a) "It is the purpose of this section to provide assistance to purchase or use innovative equipment that will aid in the reduction of surface runoff of agricultural wastes to State waters, improve water quality of State waters, reduce odors from manure application, separate phosphorus from manure, *decrease greenhouse gas emissions*, and reduce costs to farmers." Emphasis added.

¹³² U.S. Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service. "2017 Census of Agriculture." https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/index.php.

 ¹³³ Pape, D., J. Lewandrowski, R. Steele, D. Man, M. Riley-Gilbert, K. Moffroid, and S. Kolansky, 2016. "Managing Agricultural Land for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation within the United States." Report prepared by ICF International under USDA Contract No. AG-3144-D-14-0292. July 2016. <u>https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/White Paper WEB Final_v3.pdf</u> (p.31)
 ¹³⁴ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Mitigation: 2015-2050." <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/documents/epa_non-co2 greenhouse gases rpt-epa430r19010.pdf</u> (p.60)

		eligibility and availability should be
		expanded.
		Cost-Effectiveness
		USDA has modeled that nationally, over 50%
		(21 MMT CO ₂ e) of the total mitigation
		potential from the adoption of reduced tillage
		practices is available below \$30 per MT
		CO ₂ e.
	Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months	Co-Benefits
	•	Co-benefits are numerous for CEAP. For
		reduced tillage, co-benefits include air
		(reduced dust from wind erosion),
		biodiversity (increased soil microbial
		biodiversity), soil (reduced soil erosion and
		redistribution maintaining soil depth and
		water retention), water (increased soil water
		conservation and crop water use efficiency;
		improved water quality and reduced sediment
		(1) (1)
		energy efficiency of field operations as fewer
		tillage passes are taken and horsepower
		requirements are reduced for tractors. ¹³⁶
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
c.	Action Details	Impact
		Vermont has funded investment in improved
	Implement grazing practices that	grazing management on 11,500 acres since
	increase vegetative cover and forage	2019 ¹³⁷ . Farmers report managing over
	quality, e.g. rotational grazing	110,000 acres of permanent pasture in
		Vermont ¹³⁸ offering considerable opportunity
	Herbaceous vegetative cover (non-woody	to expand adoption.
	plants) can be increased on pasture by	
	reducing grazing pressure from livestock	While implementation of these agronomic and
	that can cause overgrazing, soil erosion and	grazing practices are currently being tracked
	nutrient loss. Rotational grazing manages	by VAAFM, a protocol needs to be
	the amount of time livestock spend on a	researched and developed to quantify GHG
	given pasture by rotating animals among	mitigation from these practices for Vermont.
	various pastures and providing pastures	
	sufficient time to regrow. Reseeding	EPA considers intensive grazing as an
	pastures increases vegetative cover in areas	abatement measure for enteric fermentation

¹³⁵ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Supplementary Materials for Natural Climate Solutions for Canada." *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). <u>https://www.science.org/doi/suppl/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034/suppl_file/abd6034_sm.pdf</u> (Table S1)

¹³⁶ U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. "Conservation Practice Physical Effects on Soil, Water, Air, Plants, Animals, Energy, People; National Summary Tool FY2021." Technical Resources.

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/technical/econ/tools/?cid=nrcs143_009740. ¹³⁷ USDA NRCS-VT currently provides the majority of financial assistance programing with over 1,300 acres of prescribed

grazing applied in 2019. ¹³⁸ U.S. Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service. "2017 Census of Agriculture."

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/index.php.

that may be denuded and can introduce more desirable species for forage. Nutrient management is also important on pastures to ensure plants have sufficient nutrients to grow and to avoid excess application of nutrients. Pasture management is also important for forage quality, which can reduce enteric emissions (discussed in action (j) below). VAAFM funds rotational Grazing and no-till pasture and hayland Renovation (re-seeding) through the FAP program and various structural practices and management assistance to improve pasture quality through the Pasture and Surface Water Fencing (PSWF) program.	and the mitigation of the release of CH4 from ruminant animals. Globally, EPA places a reduction efficiency of -13.3% for beef cattle and -15.5% for dairy cattle from baseline CH4 levels when intensive grazing is applied. ¹³⁹ Equity Jurisdictional RAP farms are eligible to apply for VAAFM programs. A comprehensive review for equity should be undertaken by state programs. Outreach regarding program eligibility and availability should be expanded. Cost-Effectiveness VAAFM's FAP and PSWF programs seek to reduce the barriers to adoption for farmers to
	 implement more management intensive grazing programs through technical and financial assistance to support plan development and water and fencing infrastructure design and installation. While there are both technical barriers and capital startup costs, annual operation and maintenance costs for management intensive grazing can represent a savings to farmers with EPA modeling an annual Operation & Maintenance Cost (in 2020 USD) between - \$180 to +\$1 per head for maintenance of implemented management intensive grazing practices globally.¹⁴⁰
Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months	Co-Benefits Multiple co-benefits are provided by farmers adopting management-intensive grazing on their farms. These include the reduction of nutrients transported to surface and groundwater through increases to plant vigor and uptake of nutrients. A slight to moderate improvement to terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates is noted as the improvement or maintenance of quantity and quality of forage for grazing and browsing animals' health and productivity improve or maintain

 ¹³⁹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Marginal Abatement Cost Analysis: Methodology Documentation." September 2019. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/documents/nonco2_methodology_report.pdf</u> (p.S5-P168)
 ¹⁴⁰ Ibid

		the quantity and quality or connectivity of food and/or cover available for wildlife. Benefits to reduce soil erosion, improve water utilization, improve plant condition, improve habitat for fish and wildlife, and other air quality benefits are noted. ¹⁴¹ Technical Feasibility: Yes
d.	Action Details	Impact
	Implement agroforestry and silvopasture practices that integrate woody vegetation in agricultural production	Silvopasture systems are highly effective at mitigating GHG emissions from agriculture through the simultaneous management of tree crops, livestock grazing, and forage crops on the same unit of land. Canada estimates that tree intercropping and silvopasture system
	Woody vegetation (trees and shrubs) also sequester carbon as they grow and store more carbon and for longer periods in their woody biomass compared to herbaceous vegetation. Agroforestry or agriculture that incorporates the cultivation and conservation of trees thereby increases the amount of carbon sequestered and stored	adoption represent 18% of the total annual mitigation potential from agricultural GHG mitigation pathways. ¹⁴² High potential for long-lasting climate mitigation from this practice is balanced against the need for near- term enhanced technical assistance to ensure successful adoption and integration of this practice on Vermont farms.
	compared to agriculture without trees. Practices that add woody vegetation on cropland include alley cropping, which adds rows of trees or shrubs in between rows of crops. Silvopasture is the deliberate and managed integration of trees and grazing livestock on the same land.	Equity Jurisdictional RAP farms are eligible to apply for VAAFM programs. A comprehensive review for equity should be undertaken by state programs. Outreach regarding program eligibility and availability should be expanded.
	USDA NRCS Vermont currently provides the bulk of technical and financial assistance for farmer adoption and implementation of agroforestry and silvopastoral practices. VAAFM will need to expand practice standards in its FAP and PSWF programs to provide technical and financial assistance for these conservation practices.	Cost-Effectiveness 100% of the annual mitigation potential for the adoption silvopasture is available at \leq \$10/MT CO ₂ e in Canada. The same study estimates that 100% of the annual mitigation potential for the adoption of tree intercropping is available at \leq \$50/MT CO ₂ e. ¹⁴³
	Timeline to Implement: 1-2 years	Co-Benefits

¹⁴¹ U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. "Conservation Practice Physical Effects on Soil, Water, Air, Plants, Animals, Energy, People; National Summary Tool FY2021." Technical Resources. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/technical/econ/tools/?cid=nrcs143_009740.

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.
 ¹⁴³ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada." *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021).

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.

		Co-benefits for the adoption of agroforestry and silvopasture practices are numerous and span benefits for air, biodiversity, soil, water quality, and social considerations. Increased biodiversity and abundance of native bees and other beneficial insects is important to note, also increased economic benefit from the diversification of farm product and revenue. ¹⁴⁴ Technical Feasibility: Yes
e.	Action Details	Impact
	Implement edge-of-field practices that increase herbaceous and woody vegetation, e.g. CREP riparian forest buffer	Currently there are over 2,000 acres of CREP under contract, but many more acres of vegetated or forested riparian buffers are implemented in Vermont.
	Woody vegetation can also be added to the edge of crop fields or pastures through practices such as forested riparian buffers, windbreaks, or other tree/shrub establishments. VAAFM and USDA Farm	While implementation of these agronomic practices are currently being tracked by VAAFM, a protocol needs to be researched and developed to quantify GHG mitigation from these practices for Vermont.
	Service Agency (FSA) jointly fund the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) to establish riparian forested buffers along Vermont's waterways. It is recommended that the payment rates for CREP be increased to incentivize further adoption across the state. Herbaceous vegetation can also be added to the edge of annual crop fields by expanding existing buffers or field borders. VAAFM funds such plantings via Filter Strip and Forage and Biomass Planting practices through its Grassed Waterway and Filter Strip (GWFS) program.	High impact through the retirement of active cropland or enhancement of existing edge-of- field buffers to include herbaceous and woody species adjacent to surface waters. High impact of GHG mitigation potential through both cultivation of woody biomass and increases in soil organic carbon on a per-acre basis is limited to modest total impact by the scope of implementation – maintaining prime agricultural soils for crop production limits area of opportunity for implementation on a sharply increasing marginal abatement cost as foregone income and other opportunity costs are considered by farmers.
		Equity Jurisdictional RAP farms are eligible to apply for VAAFM programs. Farms also need to be eligible for USDA Farm Bill programs for CREP. A comprehensive review for equity should be undertaken by state programs.

¹⁴⁴ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034. (p.70)

		Outroach recording are shown aligibility on A
		Outreach regarding program eligibility and
		availability should be expanded.
		Cost-Effectiveness
		High cost per acre of implementation relative
		to other NCS is noted for this action as there
		are multiple costs embedded in the per-acre
		rate, including: implementation of the
		conservation practice (e.g. tree planting) itself
		which is relatively labor and material
		intensive as well as incentive payments to
		offset forgone income and recurring rental
		payments for the farmer. A study in Canada
		finds that none of the 0.7 MMT CO ₂ e/yr
		annual mitigation potential from the practice
		of riparian tree planting is available for
		implementation \leq \$100/MT CO ₂ e. ¹⁴⁵ The
		multiple conservation benefits outweighs the
		lower cost-effectiveness compared to other in-
		field conservation practices and elevates this
		program action to a high priority.
	Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months	Co-Benefits
		Air, biodiversity, soil, water, and social co-
		benefits are all enhanced from the
		implementation of edge-of-field conservation
		practices that increase herbaceous and woody
		vegetation. Benefits to aquatic and terrestrial
		habitats, as well as reduced runoff of
		sediment and nutrients from crop fields are
		major co-benefits of such practices.
		Increasing vegetation along waterways also
		reduces erosion and stabilizes banks during
		high precipitation events, improving water
		quality through reduced nutrient deposition.
		Trees and native plants also have many co-
		benefits for pollinators and wildlife.
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
f.	Action Details	Impact
	Implement natural resource restoration	Various natural resource practices, such as
	practices that support climate mitigation	wetland restoration and afforestation (both
	and resilience, including river corridor	which sequester and store carbon), support
	easements, wetland restoration, and	climate mitigation and resilience. Restoration
	afforestation practices with	projects can increase the wetland acreage as
	consideration to agricultural land loss.	well as restore wetland performance, and the
L		

¹⁴⁵ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.

	benefits of afforestation on agricultural land
A network of state, federal, and non-profit	are mentioned above. River corridor
partners offer and implement natural	easements permanently protect dynamic
resource protection and restoration projects	streambanks, allowing for extensive climate
with willing landowners throughout	resilience benefits and permanent forested
Vermont. Whether paired with permanent	buffers.
farmland conservation easement or	
implemented separately, these restoration	Farmers in Vermont has conserved over 5,000
projects can leverage and enhance natural	acres of wetlands through 68 permanent
resource benefit on farms. Examples of	wetland easements with USDA NRCS. ¹⁴⁶ All
these natural resource restoration programs	mapped wetlands and water bodies in
include the DEC River Corridor Easement	Vermont have been identified to store 57
program, the USDA NRCS Wetland	MMT CO ₂ -e with an annual sequestration of -
Reserve Enhancement Program, the USDA	0.01 MMT CO ₂ -e /yr. ¹⁴⁷
FSA Conservation Reserve Enhancement	Equity
Program, among other site-specific practice	Farmers, as the owners and managers of the
enhancement programs administered by	lands involved in this conservation area, are
DEC or USDA NRCS. Natural resource	decision makers that need to be directly
restoration projects provide climate	involved when considering equity outcomes.
mitigation, adaptation, and resilience	Robust farmer participation in natural
benefits – among significant co-benefits for	resource conservation programs is occurring
water quality, aquatic and terrestrial	throughout Vermont because programs are
habitat, and biodiversity.	responsive to farmer goals and priorities and
, <u>,</u>	sufficient technical assistance and financial
VAAFM is authorized to administer the	assistance can support planning over multiple
Agricultural Environmental Management	years to achieve implementation.
(AEM) Program at 6 V.S.A. § 4830 which	Cost-Effectiveness
can approve payments for conservation	As a means of mitigation for agriculture,
easements, land acquisition, farm structure	natural resource restoration projects do not
decommissioning, site reclamation, and in-	rank as highly on a cost per ton of CO ₂
lieu payments for benefits that would	equivalent basis compared to agronomic
otherwise be unrealized through the	practices applied to cropland, as an example.
implementation of existing agricultural	From a climate adaption and resilience
conservation programs. The AEM program	perspective these natural resource restoration
can help extend the effectiveness of	programs and practices are highly cost-
existing state and federal programs that	effective. Taken together, these natural
target the natural resource restoration	resource restoration programs are ranked high
projects and can help bridge the gap of	for both impact, cost effectiveness, and
opportunity cost that might otherwise	prioritization.
preclude a farmer from participating in a	
conservation program.	~ ~ ~
Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months	Co-Benefits

 ¹⁴⁶ Jim Eikenberry, USDA NRCS VT Wetlands Specialist. Personal Communication.
 ¹⁴⁷ Galford, Gillian, Darby, Heather, Kosiba, Alexandra, and Hall, Frederick. "A Carbon Budget for Vermont: Task 2 in Support of the Development of Vermont's Climate Action Plan." September 2021.
 <u>https://outside.vermont.gov/agency/anr/climatecouncil/Shared%20Documents/Carbon%20Budget%20for%20Vermont%20Sept</u>

^{%202021.}pdf

g.	Action Details	Benefits to air, soil, water, biodiversity, wildlife habitat, flood resilience, as well as social considerations abound for these natural resource restoration projects. Ponding and flooding benefits are provided, wherein a restored wetland can provide flood storage during rainfall events. Technical Feasibility: Yes Impact
		Both EPA and USDA consider nutrient
	Implement Nutrient Management and	management with a specific focus on the
	Amendments (e.g. biochar, compost) on	efficient utilization of nitrogen fertilizers as a
	cropland and grazing land.	strategy for agricultural GHG mitigation. Of
	Nutriant management halances the	the 40 MMT reduction potential from
	Nutrient management balances the appropriate nutrient applications for	cropland management across the United States, USDA estimates that about 10% of the
	optimum plant growth while minimizing	total mitigation potential comes from nitrogen
	loss of nutrients to soil, water, and	nutrient management. ¹⁴⁸
	atmosphere. Nitrogen is the primary	Equity
	nutrient of concern, that, through various	Farms are required to comply with state
	pathways, can be emitted to the atmosphere	nutrient management standards. Nutrient
	as nitrous oxide (N ₂ O), a greenhouse gas	management standards are farm-size based.
	298 times more potent than CO ₂ over a	Cost-Effectiveness
	100-year time period. Even nitrogen in the	Technological costs can be high for the
	soil and water can ultimately be emitted to	acquisition of variable rate technology or the
	the atmosphere through processes of	use of inhibitors. Technical assistance and
	volatilization, runoff and leaching. Any	planning support is needed to assist with
	practice or management that increases	proper agronomic balancing. 90% of the
	utilization or reduces loss of nitrogen to the	annual mitigation potential is available at \leq
	environment reduces emissions, in addition	\$100/MT CO ₂ e though only 11% of the
	to providing water quality benefits.	annual mitigation potential is available at \leq
	Examples include precision agriculture, variable rate technologies for applying	$10/MT CO_2e$ in the United States per a USDA study ¹⁴⁹
	nutrients, and nitrogen inhibitors for	USDA study. ¹⁴⁹
	improved fertilizer use efficiency, in	
	addition to general nutrient application	
	management. Both organic (e.g. manure)	
	and synthetic nitrogen fertilizer have the	
	potential to be lost to the atmosphere,	
	however the creation of synthetic nitrogen	
	fertilizer is an energy-intensive process,	
	thus the use of manure instead of synthetic	

¹⁴⁸ Pape, D., J. Lewandrowski, R. Steele, D. Man, M. Riley-Gilbert, K. Moffroid, and S. Kolansky, 2016. "Managing Agricultural Land for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation within the United States." Report prepared by ICF International under USDA Contract No. AG-3144-D-14-0292. July 2016. <u>https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/White_Paper_WEB_Final_v3.pdf</u> (p.31) ¹⁴⁹ Ibid

fertilizer is also a climate mitigation strategy. Planting legumes, which naturally convert atmospheric nitrogen to plant available nitrogen, is another way to naturally supply nitrogen instead of synthetic fertilizer. Programs that facilitate nutrient management education and planning for farmers are important to continue and enhance. All farms per the VAAFM Required Agricultural Practices Rule (RAPs) are required to follow nutrient management guidelines and all large, medium and certified small farms are required to develop and implement a nutrient management plan to USDA NRCS standards. VAAFM also funds grants for technical service providers to educate and assist farmers with the upkeep of nutrient management plans. VAAFM has begun and seeks to bolster investment in research, application, and adoption of precision agricultural technologies and their use on farms in Vermont.

There are also various **carbon**-rich amendments that can be added to agricultural fields, which add carbon to the soils. Animal **manure** itself contains carbon and thus adds carbon to the soil when applied to crop fields or added directly by grazing animals on pasture. **Compost**, a soil like substance resulting from a *biological* process in which aerobic microorganisms decay organic materials such as manure and bedding, creates a more stable form of carbon that can be added to fields. **Biochar** is an even more stable form of carbon similar to charcoal that is produced by pyrolysis of biomass in the absence of oxygen; however, the thermochemical process is energyintensive and therefore the net climate impact needs to be confirmed and verified before marketing to farmers-and is often cost-prohibitive.

	Timeline to Implement: 0-6 months	Co-Benefits
		Benefits for air, biodiversity, and water
		quality can be realized through the
		implementation of nutrient management
		planning and implementation. Social
		considerations include a potential benefit to
		farm operations wherein their operating costs
		can be reduced while maintaining similar
		levels of crop productivity.
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
h.	Action Details	Impact
		VAAFM, along with partners, have funded
	Implement methane capture and energy	anaerobic digestors on 20 farms since 2005,
	generation on farms, e.g. anaerobic	which currently reduce emissions of nearly
	digesters and covers.	16,000 animals, or 12% of dairy cow
		population in Vermont. This amounts to
	Manure from livestock contain carbon and	27,000 MTCO ₂ e reduced per year. Adding a
	nitrogen, which can be lost to the	digester to a liquid manure system can reduce
	atmosphere primarily as methane (CH ₄) but	methane emissions up to 90% ¹⁵³ . Globally,
	also nitrous oxide (N2O), both potent	EPA utilizes an 85% reduction efficiency
	greenhouse gases—25 and 298 times more	across different digester or capture and flare
	potent than CO ₂ over a 100-year period ^{150} ,	systems. ¹⁵⁴ The provision of Renewable
	respectively. Emissions from manure	Natural Gas (RNG) from on-farm anerobic
	management are significantly affected by	digester products can provide a substitution
	storage type, duration, temperature,	benefit compared to other natural gas sources
	moisture and manure composition. Storage	while abating emissions from manure
	of manure as a liquid has four times ¹⁵¹	management on farms in an effective manner.
	higher emissions compared to solid storage	The destruction of CH ₄ and conversion to
	because more methane, which is more	CO_2 is a permanent climate benefit and is the
	potent, is emitted from the <i>anaerobic</i>	only agricultural climate mitigation practice
	conditions of liquid storage, compared to	currently quantified in the Vermont GHG
	more <i>aerobic</i> conditions of solid storage,	Emission Inventory.
	which emits carbon dioxide (less potent).	Equity
	As such, switching from liquid storage $(2,01)$ to solid storage $(0,40)$ comparingly	High initial capital costs and the need for
	(2.01) to solid storage (0.49) , especially	long-term ongoing management of the
	one that composts (0.28 MTCO ₂ e/dairy	systems provides a barrier to adoption for

¹⁵⁰ Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, R. Betts, D.W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean, D.C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Nganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van Dorland, 2007: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf.

¹⁵¹ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) Ex-Ante Carbon Balance Tool (EX-ACT). https://www.fao.org/in-action/epic/ex-act-tool/suite-of-tools/ex-act/en/.

 ¹⁵³ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) Ex-Ante Carbon Balance Tool (EX-ACT).
 https://www.fao.org/in-action/epic/ex-act-tool/suite-of-tools/ex-act/en/

¹⁵⁴U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Marginal Abatement Cost Analysis: Methodology Documentation." September 2019. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-</u> 09/documents/nonco2 methodology report.pdf

	cow/year), reduces emissions from manure storage (4-7 times) ¹⁵² . Furthermore,	small to medium sized farms which have less
	reducing the amount of time manure is	farm staff and assets to offset initial startup as a system builds towards payback.
	stored by increasing grazing time, which	Cost-Effectiveness
	deposits manure directly on pasture,	Methane capture and energy generation
	reduces emissions from manure storage	projects have high initial capital costs. An
	(e.g. switching from confinement to	example project for an 800-cow dairy farm
	grazing half of the year reduces emissions	cost \$1.8 million dollars to implement but has
	by half). However, the winter climate in	a 7-year payback timeframe based on
	Vermont and water quality standards	electricity generated and sold as well as use of
	necessitates a certain amount of manure	waste-heat by the farm. A recent project on a
	storage. Additionally, the growing trend in	Vermont farm was brought online in 2021 and
	manure storage is expansion of liquid	produces Renewable Natural Gas as a product
	storage. However, there are technologies	of the digestion process. While these systems
	that reduce emission from manure stored as	have high-cost effective ratios, farmers
	a liquid. Covers on liquid storage prevent	themselves face significant upfront costs and
	emissions from being emitted to the	ongoing maintenance for a project to be
	atmosphere and the captured methane (the primary component of natural gas) can be	implemented successfully.
	used as a fuel source on the farm.	
	Anaerobic Digestors utilize bacteria to	
	break down organic matter—such as	
	animal manure, wastewater biosolids, and	
	food wastes-in the absence of oxygen to	
	create methane, which can be used as a	
	biogas. Capturing methane from the	
	storage of manure is an effective way to	
	reduce emissions and create a renewable	
	fuel source.	
	Timeline to Implement: 1-2 Years	Co-Benefits
	•	Co-benefits for farm income and viability are
		an outcome of successfully implemented
		projects. Other co-benefits include the
		reduction of nutrients transported to surface
		water as management options for the farm are
		increased regarding storage, transport and
		application of wastes, proper field application
		of nutrients minimizes runoff losses. ¹⁵⁵
;	Action Datails	Technical Feasibility: Yes
i.	Action Details	Impact

¹⁵² Ibid

 ¹⁵⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. "Conservation Practice Physical Effects on Soil, Water, Air, Plants, Animals, Energy, People; National Summary Tool FY2021." Technical Resources. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/technical/econ/tools/?cid=nrcs143_009740.

	Research and pilot improved manure management and storage programs. There may be additional methods or improvements to the manure storage strategies listed above that warrant additional research and development if proven to be effective. Emission from manure management represent 25% of the agriculture sector emissions in 2017 ¹⁵⁶ . It is important to consider equity of funding across all farm sizes when such technology is primarily feasible for large farms only. Other technologies may include acidification of manure ¹⁵⁷ or addition of biochar ¹⁵⁸ for example. VAAFM, through its Phosphorus Innovation Challenge (VPIC), is funding research and development of digestors, mobile composting units, and biochar which can have climate mitigation benefits.	If the acidification of fresh manure slurries can replicate the impact of studies, it may be possible to reduce 64-99% of CH4 emissions over the summer manure storage season. ¹⁵⁹ Literature suggests that 90% of annual methane emissions can come through the summer months. ¹⁶⁰ A control treatment of treating manure could have significant impact for Vermont's most common manure storage system type. Equity Research will need to investigate equity considerations in development and implementation of manure storage and treatment technologies. Cost-Effectiveness Cost-effectiveness will need to be considered against other NCS' that can be implemented on agricultural operations.
	Timeline to Implement: 1-2 years	Co-Benefits Reduction in emissions from manure storage can have co-benefits for air quality for this program.
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
j.	Action Details	Impact
		EPA reports and models that improved feed
	Research and develop a climate feed management program, including both	conversion is an abatement measure for enteric fermentation and the release of CH ₄
	feed amendments (e.g. seaweed, biochar)	globally. There is a range of reduction
	and feed quality (e.g. forage quality) to	efficiencies that are reported that span from a
	reduce enteric methane emissions;	decrease of 39.4% per head to an increase of
	requee enterne methane ennissions,	decrease of 57.770 per field to all filerease of

¹⁵⁶ Agency of Natural Resources – Department of Environmental Conservation – Air Quality and Climate Division. "Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017." May 2021.

https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-

change/documents/_Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2017_Final.pdf

¹⁵⁷ S. O. Petersen, A. J. Andersen, J. Eriksen. "Effects of cattle slurry acidification on ammonia and methane evolution during storage." Journal of Environmental Quality 41 (2012): 88–94 (2012).

¹⁵⁸ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034</u>.

 ¹⁵⁹ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021).
 <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034</u>.
 ¹⁶⁰ H. Baldé, A. C. VanderZaag, S. Burtt, L. Evans, C. Wagner-Riddle, R. L. Desjardins, J. D. MacDonald. "Measured versus

¹⁶⁰ H. Baldé, A. C. VanderZaag, S. Burtt, L. Evans, C. Wagner-Riddle, R. L. Desjardins, J. D. MacDonald. "Measured versus modeled methane emissions from separated liquid dairy manure show large model underestimates." Agriciculture, Ecosystems Environment 230 (2016): 261–270.

consider downstream impacts,	39.6% per head. Vermont agriculture
sustainability and equity.	currently has high productive capacity per
	cow and so the Vermont specific impact is
Enteric fermentation is a biological	unknown and requires further research.
process that occurs in the digestive system	Equity
of animals, primarily ruminants (e.g. cows,	Research will need to investigate equity
sheep, goats) that produces methane,	considerations in development and
primarily through belching. With Vermont	implementation of climate feed management
being a large dairy state, nearly 50% of the	program.
agriculture sector emissions in Vermont are	Cost-Effectiveness
from enteric emissions ¹⁶¹ . However,	The cost and cost-effectiveness of the
enteric fermentation is a natural by-product	implementation of a climate feed management
of animals and thus has limited	strategy needs to be researched and
management options and minimal	considered compared to other NCS that can
reduction potential ¹⁶² . [Although methane	be applied across a farm's management area.
from cows is <i>biogenic</i> (naturally	The annual operation and maintenance costs
produced), because livestock are raised by	estimated by the EPA for improved feed
humans, it is considered an anthropogenic	conversion programs range from \$25 - \$295
source of emissions subject to emission	per head per year. ¹⁶³
tracking.] Two approaches offer potential	
for reducing enteric fermentation	
emissions. Feed amendments, such as	
seaweed and biochar, have been	
documented to reduce enteric emissions.	
However, it is important to source these	
products sustainably and equitably to not	
cause negative impacts to humans,	
environment, or climate. Furthermore, feed	
amendments tend to be costly. A more	
local approach is to improve the feed	
quality, which reduces enteric emissions	
per unit of product (milk, meat). Further	
research is needed to appropriately develop	
these strategies for farms in Vermont.	
Timeline to Implement: 1-2 years	Co-Benefits
	Certain feed management strategies – such as
	adoption management-intensive grazing that
	increase forage uptake, availability, and

¹⁶¹ Agency of Natural Resources – Department of Environmental Conservation – Air Quality and Climate Division. "Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast: 1990-2017." May 2021. https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climatechange/documents/_Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2017_Final.pdf
¹⁶² U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Mitigation: 2015-2050."

https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/documents/epa_non-co2_greenhouse_gases_rpt-epa430r19010.pdf ¹⁶³ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Global Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections & Marginal Abatement

Cost Analysis: Methodology Documentation." September 2019. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-</u> 09/documents/nonco2_methodology_report.pdf. (S.5, P.167)

quality for livestock – can have multiple co- benefits for farm profitability and associated air and water quality benefits associated with improved pasture management.
Technical Feasibility: Yes

Other Non-Energy Emissions Pathways for Mitigation

The "Other Non-Energy Emissions" umbrella is made up of a variety of emissions sectors and categories, including emissions from the Industrial Processes, Solid Waste and Wastewater, Fossil Fuel and Agricultural sectors. There are a number of specific sources that contribute to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions within this broader sector in Vermont which include the use of ozone depleting substances (ODS) substitutes, semiconductor manufacturing, solid waste and wastewater treatment, fugitive methane emissions from the transmission and distribution of natural gas, and numerous components related to agricultural emissions. Greenhouse gas emissions from the fossil fuel sector (fugitive methane emissions) will be addressed in the buildings sector section of this Chapter and agriculture sector emissions will be discussed and addressed in a separate Chapter of this report.

The majority of the greenhouse gases emitted by the sources within the Other Non-Energy Emissions sector are gases other than carbon dioxide (CO₂). These gases include methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), all of which are significantly more potent than CO₂ in terms of their ability to warm the planet. Sulfur hexafluoride, for example, is roughly 22,800 times more potent than CO₂ on a 100 year time scale¹⁶⁴. While some of these gases stay in the atmosphere for a very long time, others such as CH₄ have short atmospheric lifetimes (approximately 12 years). Reducing emissions of high GWP short-lived climate pollutant (SLCP) gases is a priority for impactful GHG reductions in the near term.

This section will present pathways to address emissions from the wastewater sector, the use of high global warming potential refrigerants, and the production of semiconductors. While emissions from the solid waste sector continue, significant progress has been made to date, and the implementation of the Universal Recycling Law¹⁶⁵ should further reduce emissions from that sector. Future plans will evaluate whether additional solid waste actions are necessary to meeting 2030 and 2050 requirements. Additional pathways, strategies, and actions are available in the appendix and are also recommended for action. The actions presented below, however, represent priority actions necessary to meet the Global Warming Solutions Act greenhouse gas emissions reduction requirements.

Pathway 1: Reducing Emissions of Refrigerants in Vermont

High global warming potential (GWP) HFCs are often used in refrigeration end uses, such as commercial and industrial refrigerators and freezers, and when leakage or accidental releases of these gases occur from the refrigeration systems it can produce significant greenhouse gas emissions. Monitoring and preventing the leakage of HFCs from large refrigeration systems and

¹⁶⁴ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) – AR4 Global Warming Potential (GWP) values: <u>https://archive.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html</u>

¹⁶⁵ Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, Waste Management and Prevention Division: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/waste-management/solid/universal-recycling</u>

transitioning those systems to low GWP refrigerants will be an important step to reduce GHG emissions from the Industrial Processes sector. This pathway includes strategies to minimize emissions of high GWP refrigerants in several ways with a focus on monitoring, reporting, and repair requirements for refrigeration systems over a certain size threshold, as well as leak detection systems and incentives for businesses to switch to lower GWP alternatives.

Strategy 1: Adopting a Refrigerant Management Program (RMP) and **Related Actions**

Currently there is very little oversight related to the use of refrigerants in various systems around Vermont. Adopting a refrigerant management program, similar to that adopted by California¹⁶⁶, would require entities that use over a certain threshold of high GWP refrigerants to inspect and report on their systems periodically, and to fix any leaks. Additionally, permanent leak detection systems could be placed on larger refrigeration systems which would allow for more real-time monitoring and which has the potential to avoid catastrophic leaks, which have a much larger GHG emissions impact. These monitoring and leak detection components should also be coupled with incentives for businesses to transition away from high GWP refrigerants to lower GWP alternatives. This switch would reduce the overall potential for leakage or release of refrigerants from these systems and speed the phase out of high GWP HFCs already underway in new or retrofit equipment through the Act 65 rulemaking¹⁶⁷ process.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources, VEIC	
a.	Action Details Work with VEIC and other	Impact Reductions of emissions from
	stakeholders to complete additional outreach	high GWP refrigerants is an important
	and education to help determine the scope and	component for mitigating emissions
	thresholds for a refrigerant management	from the Industrial Processes sector.
	program (RMP) for Vermont, as well as to	Ozone depleting substances (ODS)
	evaluate the potential impacts of such a	substitutes make up approximately 60%
	program. Additionally work with VEIC and	of emissions from the Industrial
	other stakeholders to better understand the	Processes sector ¹⁶⁸ and high GWP
	number of entities and potential associated	refrigerants are an important component
	costs and benefits would be necessary. While	of that total.
	the evaluation and review of potential program	Equity Addressing sectoral emissions
	details would provide greater certainty, the	from the industrial process sector
	resulting RMP would likely require	ensures that all Vermonters and
	registration, periodic reporting, and repair	Vermont businesses are contributing to
		the shared emissions reductions

High (and consensus medium) Priority Actions

¹⁶⁶ California Air Resources Board (CARB) - Refrigerant Management Program: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ourwork/programs/refrigerant-management-program/about

¹⁶⁷ Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC): https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/agc/lawsregs/documents/Vermont_HFC_Rule_Adopted_CLEAN.pdf ¹⁶⁸ Vermont DEC – GHG Inventory: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u>

change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf

	obligations for businesses that meet the refrigerant threshold requirements.	requirements. To implement reductions in refrigerant emissions equitably, it is critical that Vermont support BIPOC
		and New American-owned businesses
		and other small businesses that are
		required to participate. That support
		should come in the form of financial
		incentives, language access, and project
		counseling.
		Cost-Effectiveness The cost
		effectiveness for this action is somewhat
		variable due to the many different types
		and sizes of refrigeration systems. Costs
		associated with the RMP would be
		connected to the inspection and
		reporting requirements, as well as to any
		repairs required if leaks were found. In
		many cases these costs could be
		recouped over time because fixing leaks
		would lead to smaller amounts of
		refrigerants that would need to be
		purchased.
	Timeline to Implement One to two years	Co-Benefits
		- Potential cost savings for
		participating entities through
		purchasing less refrigerant.
		- Reducing short-lived climate
		pollutants has important near-term
		GHG benefit.
		Technical Feasibility Yes
b.	Action Details As the State works to develop a	Impact The GHG reduction impact
	Refrigerant Management Program,	from a permanent leak detection system
	consideration should be given to whether to	is potentially high but depends upon the
	require and whether to provide cost share for	type and amount of refrigerant being
	the installation of permanent leak detection	used within the system. Permanent leak
	systems for facilities using over a certain	detection systems can prevent
	threshold of high GWP refrigerants. Permanent	catastrophic leaks from large systems by
	leak detection systems would provide real-time	providing real time information (as
	monitoring of refrigeration systems to detect	opposed to less frequent inspections
	and allow for leaks to be repaired quickly.	conducted as part of the RMP) and
	Specific funding needs will be informed by the	enabling the fixing of leaks before they
	development of the RMP to help inform which	become major issues.
	entities would benefit or qualify. Additional	Equity Addressing sectoral emissions
	work with VEIC and other stakeholders to	from the industrial process sector
	better understand the number of entities and	ensures that all Vermonters and
		Vermont businesses are contributing to

	potential associated costs and benefits would be necessary.	the shared emissions reductions requirements. To implement reductions in refrigerant emissions equitably, it is critical that Vermont support BIPOC and New American-owned businesses and other small businesses that are required to participate. That support should come in the form of financial incentives, language access, and project counseling. Cost-Effectiveness The cost- effectiveness of permanent leak
		detection systems is variable because it depends upon both the costs of the equipment as well as the leaks prevented.
	Timeline to Implement One to two years	 Co-Benefits Potential cost savings for participating entities through purchasing less refrigerant. Reducing short-lived climate pollutants has important near-term GHG benefit.
с.	Action Details Provide incentives for businesses to transition from high GWP refrigerants to lower GWP alternatives. Outreach and funding could be targeted through information collected through the RMP to transition applicable businesses away from high GWP refrigerants. This would be a voluntary program that could help to speed the phase out of these high impact GHGs. The incentives would complement and supplement the Act 65 rulemaking which currently requires the phase out of high GWP HFCs in new equipment and retrofits by end use, and this program could potentially be expanded to include end uses beyond just refrigeration.	Technical Feasibility YesImpact The impact of the incentiveswould be variable and depend on theprojects funded. Given the expected risein emissions of HFCs in the comingyears ¹⁶⁹ and their high GWPs and oftenshort atmospheric lifetimes reducing theuse of these gases is an important step totake in mitigating GHG emissions inVermont.Equity Addressing sectoral emissionsfrom the industrial process sectorensures that all Vermonters andVermont businesses are contributing tothe shared emissions reductionsin refrigerant emissions equitably, it iscritical that Vermont support BIPOCand New American-owned businessesand other small businesses that arerequired to participate. That support

¹⁶⁹ EPA Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP): <u>https://www.epa.gov/snap/reducing-hydrofluorocarbon-hfc-use-and-emissions-federal-sector-through-snap</u>

	should come in the form of financial
	incentives, language access, and project
	counseling.
	Cost-Effectiveness The cost-
	effectiveness of incentivizing the
	transition from high GWP refrigerants
	to lower GWP alternatives is variable
	because it depends on the equipment
	being replaced or retrofitted, as well as
	the gas being replaced and the new
	alternative refrigerant. In some cases, a
	transition to a new low GWP refrigerant
	can provide efficiency benefits that
	would provide cost savings over time.
Timeline to Implement One to two years	Co-Benefits
	- Potentially new or updated
	equipment for qualifying businesses.
	- Potential for cost savings over time
	through increased system efficiency.
	Technical Feasibility Yes

Pathway 2: Reduce Process Emissions from Semiconductor Manufacturing in Vermont

Greenhouse gas emissions associated with semiconductor manufacturing in Vermont make up approximately 34% of the total for the Industrial Processes sector¹⁷⁰. Global Foundries is the sole semiconductor manufacturer in Vermont and the GHG emissions associated with their industrial sector emissions include a number of fluorinated gases, including sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃). Producing semiconductors requires the use of a number of high GWP gases in the etching and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes, as well as their use as heat transfer fluids¹⁷¹ for various tools. Reducing emissions of these high GWP gases in these processes is important, but in many cases is technically challenging, and is an area where further exploration is needed.

Strategy 1: Continue to Explore Efficiencies and Alternatives to High GWP Fluorinated Gases in the Semiconductor Manufacturing Process

Because of the precision and extremely technical nature of the semiconductor manufacturing process, the options for mitigation strategies in the sector are somewhat limited. Potential

¹⁷⁰ Vermont DEC – GHG Inventory: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u>

<u>change/documents/_Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2017_Final.pdf</u> ¹⁷¹ EPA – F-Gas Partnership Programs – Semiconductor Manufacturing: <u>https://www.epa.gov/f-gas-partnership-</u>

¹⁷¹ EPA – F-Gas Partnership Programs – Semiconductor Manufacturing: <u>https://www.epa.gov/f-gas-partnership-programs/semiconductor-industry</u>

reduction strategies in the sector include process improvements, the use of technologies to destroy the gases when emitted, and the use of alternative chemicals, or chemical substitutions, to perform the same functions. Chemical substitutions can provide potentially significant emissions reductions, but require extensive review and testing before implementation. Global Foundries has been pursuing several of these actions already and discussions have been ongoing between Global Foundries, the Public Service Department (PSD), and the Agency of Natural Resources (ANR) through a pending Public Utilities Commission (PUC) proceeding considering Global Foundries' petition to become a Self-Managed Utility (SMU). The PUC proceeding may or may not result in emission reductions for Global Foundries consistent with the GWSA requirements. As of the date of this plan, the PUC proceeding has not been concluded. In the absence of sufficient and/or binding emissions reductions consistent with the GWSA requirements, ANR will promulgate rules in a timely manner necessary to ensure the 2025, 2030, and 2050 emissions reductions requirements are met. In the event that the PUC proceeding has not concluded by December 1, 2022, ANR will commence rulemaking.

Lea	d Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources,	Department of Public Service
a.	Action Details Under either PUC or ANR	Impact Reducing emissions from
	jurisdiction (see above), Global Foundaries	semiconductor manufacturing can have
	will implement technologies for the reduction	a very direct impact because there is
	of emissions of high GWP gases and	only one facility in Vermont producing
	potentially use chemical substitutions in the	those emissions. By working with
	semiconductor manufacturing process. These	Global Foundries to implement
	technologies and/or chemical substitutions	emissions reduction strategies,
	would be implemented in line with GWSA	specifically including the fugitive gas
	greenhouse gas emission reduction	destruction devices proposed as a
	requirements.	component of the PUC process,
		significant reductions from the 0.19
		million metric tons of CO ₂ equivalent
		(MMTCO ₂ e) attributed the facility for
		2017 can be achieved.
		Equity Addressing sectoral emissions
		from the industrial process sector
		ensures that all Vermonters and
		Vermont businesses are contributing to
		the shared emissions reductions
		requirements.
		Cost-Effectiveness Reducing emissions
		from the semiconductor manufacturing
		sector is relatively expensive. The
1		installation of the 28 fugitive gas
1		destruction devices proposed as a part of
1		the PUC process is estimated to cost
1		roughly \$10 million dollars. Costs
1		associated with chemical substitutions

High (and consensus medium) Priority Actions

	are unclear, but may also provide meaningful emissions reductions.
Timeline to Implement Dependent upon PUC	Co-Benefits
proceeding outcome. If current proposal goes	- Reductions of toxic co-pollutants
forward, implementation of devices will occur	including hydrofluoric acid (HF).
over the next several years.	Technical Feasibility Yes

Pathway 3: Reduce Fugitive Emissions from Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Greenhouse gas emissions from wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs) included in the GHG inventory consist mainly of methane (CH4) from the decomposition of organic materials under anaerobic conditions (in the absence of oxygen). Methane is a GHG that is 25 times more potent than CO₂ on a per mass basis with an atmospheric lifetime ¹⁷², based on current GHG inventory guideline values, making it an important focus for near-term GHG emissions reductions. Emissions of methane from WWTFs are created in anaerobic conditions in a digester and are generally either combusted for a beneficial use, such as the generation of heat or electricity, or flared (burned off), both of which convert the CH4 to CO₂. Based on design standards for WWTF's, all of the treatment facilities with anaerobic digester systems in Vermont are required to be equipped with flares. Ensuring these flares are operational and functioning as they should be is a straightforward action that will help to reduce methane emissions from the facilities. Ideally, over the longer-term, beneficial uses of the methane produced in these anaerobic digesters can be incorporated, so that the produced methane can create energy for the facility or other uses. The strategy below represents a first step in that process.

Strategy 1: Ensure Flares are Operational at Existing Anaerobic Digesters at Wastewater Treatment Facilities

There are currently 94 municipal wastewater facilities in Vermont and of those 94 facilities, 10 currently have anaerobic digester systems. The digester systems process treatment residuals from some of the larger municipalities in the state, which are often areas of high population densities and therefore produce significant volumes of wastewater as well as relatively large quantities of CH4. Moreover, smaller municipalities often send treatment residuals to these larger WWTFs for further treatment in digesters. Additional review and outreach needs to be completed to determine the operational status of the flares at several of the 10 WWTFs with anaerobic digesters, but preliminary data suggests an opportunity for emissions reductions. Ensuring that the flares at several of these larger municipal facilities with digesters are operational could reduce emissions by an estimated 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e annually, and potentially more depending upon which additional facilities have non-functioning flares. One additional opportunity in this space is the potential for beneficial use of digester gas for digester facilities that do not currently have systems in place to take advantage of that existing fuel source.

¹⁷² Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) – AR4 Global Warming Potential (GWP) values: <u>https://archive.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html</u>

Installation of beneficial use systems may not be a cost-effective strategy for GHG mitigation, but does have co-benefits such as displacing fuel purchased for thermal needs and reliable and consistent electricity generation, as well as being able to recoup system installation costs over time.

Lea	Lead Implementer: Agency of Natural Resources		
Lea a.	Action Details Ensure that flare systems are functional for all 10 of the WWTFs in Vermont with anaerobic digester systems. Conduct additional outreach to determine the operational status of flares at each facility and any potential issues surrounding maintaining the flares going forward. For facilities with digesters that do not have beneficial use capabilities, require a subsidized engineering	Impact The impact of ensuring that existing flares on WWTF digester facilities are operational is likely relatively small, however, because the flares are already required to be present at the facilities, this action should be fairly easy to implement. Existing data suggests that approximately 3,000 metric tons of CO ₂ e could be reduced annually with the potential for greater reductions based on results from the additional outreach performed. Equity The operation of wastewater treatment facilities represents one of the most significant costs for Vermont municipalities, especially for low- income and economically depressed communities.	
	evaluation to determine the costs associated with the installation of such a system.	Ensuring all communities have the resources necessary to repair and maintain flaring systems is an important equity consideration. Further, functioning flares reduces odor and other public health concerns around facilities, addressing a significant environmental justice concern. Cost-Effectiveness The cost effectiveness of ensuring flares at WWTFs with digesters are operational is high. There will likely be costs associated with returning flares to operational status where they are not currently running. Cost- effectiveness for installation of beneficial use systems is likely low for GHG emissions reductions but is worth investigating in order to take advantage of an existing fuel source for other reasons.	
	Timeline to Implement Two to	Co-Benefits	
	three years	- Reduction of nuisance odors	
		Technical Feasibility Yes	

High (and consensus medium) Priority Actions

Cumulative Assessment of Action on Carbon Reduction for 2025 and 2030 requirements

The Vermont Pathways Analysis Report, which was prepared by technical consultants The Cadmus Group and Energy Futures Group (EFG) was delivered to the Agency of Natural Resources (ANR) on November 15, 2021. As such the Council and its subcommittees have not yet had the opportunity to fully review the report, pose final questions, and offer detailed revisions. Therefore, we will wait to present the Executive Summary and the full and final report as an appendix until after that review and revision process has concluded, likely in December.

The Pathways Analysis is a cornerstone document that addresses the scope, scale, and pace of the efforts that will be needed to meet the GWSA requirements. Importantly, the sectoral reductions and technology pathways analysis in the draft Pathway Analysis are broadly consistent in both magnitude and direction with the actions reviewed by subcommittees of the Council to date. The findings also broadly align with other independent analysis conducted for Vermont, including EAN's Emissions Reduction Pathways Model.¹⁷³

Some of the policy recommendations in this CAP are directly connected to clear emissions reductions targets (for instance, the Clean Heat Standard, which is designed to meet the thermal sector's share of emissions reduction, or 34% of the needed total). Other policies can relatively easily be assessed for their emissions reduction impact;, for instance the approximately 95,000 electric vehicles that would be made available to Vermont in the five years between model year 2026 and 2030 by virtue of participating in the Advanced Clean Cars II rule would likely contribute about 10% of the needed GHG reductions by 2030. Meanwhile, other policies and programs are more difficult to assess for their emissions reduction impact and will need to be designed and adapted over time to achieve key benchmarks, including actions and activity levels (or their equivalent), as modeled in the forthcoming Vermont Pathways Analysis Report, in concert with other interconnected policies and programs. Beyond the emissions reductions estimates, the economic impacts of each of the recommendations will also require further analysis as more of the details of their implementation are developed.

It is important to emphasize that successful implementation or expansion of the identified programs and policies will require clear tracking systems to ensure adequate progress over time. Specifically, the policies and programs must be designed, working in tandem with markets and consumer actions, to achieve the scale and pace assumed by the Climate Action Plan, including the specific benchmarks for key actions and activities as presented in the forthcoming Pathways Analysis. If it becomes clear that we will fall short of some benchmarks—say, for instance, 159,000 light duty electric vehicles registered by 2030—then other actions will need to be scaled up in order to make up for any shortfall and achieve an equivalent amount of emissions reductions. Stated differently, the activity benchmarks highlighted in the forthcoming Pathways Analysis and reflected in the CAP(i.e. number of EVs, heat pumps, heat pump water heaters, homes weatherized, etc.) should not be read as a menu of options to choose between. We will likely need

¹⁷³ https://www.eanvt.org/ean-emissions-reductions-pathways-model/
all of them, or their equivalent, working in tandem at the scale and pace presented to achieve the requirements of the GWSA.

Pathways for Adaptation and Building Resilience in Communities and the Built Environment

There are a few common characteristics that define Vermont's landscape, people, communities, and social fabric. These characteristics include the rural nature of communities, the changing of the four seasons, ample opportunities for nature-based recreation, and the independent unassuming grit of the people. Climate change challenges Vermont communities and poses a risk to the systems and landscape that are central to our lives in this beautiful place. As referenced in Section 3 - Climate Change in Vermont, natural hazards of varying intensity, duration and frequency occur in Vermont. Those hazards include severe storms, winter storms, drought, flooding, wildfires, air pollution, ground-level ozone¹⁷⁴, temperature extremes, localized wind, and biotic elements (insects and disease)¹⁷⁵. Some of these hazards are ubiquitous, while others tend to occur at specific geographic locations. Storms are becoming more severe, more frequent, and more complex with each passing year. The 4th National Climate Assessment identifies the adverse impacts of drought and heavy precipitation events on rural areas of Northeast United States and highlights rural communities. "With little redundancy in their infrastructure and, therefore, limited economic resilience, many rural communities have limited ability to cope with climate-related changes¹⁷⁶." Of the many natural hazards that impact Vermont, flooding poses the greatest risk to Vermont infrastructure and communities. According to the 3rd National Risk Assessment published by the First Street Foundation, Vermont has "26,565 residential properties, 7,030 miles of roads, 3,613 commercial properties, 273 infrastructure facilities, and 408 social facilities with operational flood risk today¹⁷⁷."

Each year, Vermonters experience life-altering impacts of climate-related events. Nationally there has been over a 400% increase in the number of billion-dollar declared disasters since the 1980s¹⁷⁸. Vermont is not immune to these impacts. During the period from 2010-2019 the President declared 17 Major Disasters occurred in Vermont which represents a significant increase over 2000-2009 in which there were 11, 1990-1999 in which there were 10, and 1980-1989 in which there were 2. These disasters include flooding, tornados, windstorms, ice and heavy snow, and tropical cyclones¹⁷⁹.

¹⁷⁴ Oswald, E. M., Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A., Leibensperger, E., Poirot, R. and Merrell, J. (2015) "Climate controls on air quality in the Northeastern U.S.: An examination of ozone statistics during 1993-2012," Atmospheric Environment,

doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.019. Available at <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231015300200</u> ¹⁷⁵ Dupigny-Giroux, L.-A., 2002: Climate variability and socioeconomic consequences of Vermont's natural hazards: A historical perspective. Vermont History, 70 (Winter/Spring 2002), 19-?39. <u>https://vermonthistory.org/journal/70/vt701_204.pdf</u>

¹⁷⁶ USGCRP, 2018: Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II. <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/10/</u>

¹⁷⁷ First Street Foundation. 2021. *The 3rd National Risk Assessment, Infrastructure on the Brink.* National Assessment, New York: First Street Foundation.

¹⁷⁸ (NCEI), NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. 2021. U.S. Billion-Dollar Weather and Climate Disasters (2021). <u>https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/billions</u>.

¹⁷⁹ US Federal Emergency Management Agency. n.d. *All Disaster Declarations*. Accessed 2021. <u>https://www.fema.gov/disaster/declarations?field_dv2_state_territory_tribal_value=VT&field_year_value=All&field_dv2_decla_ration_type_value=All&field_dv2_incident_type_target_id_selective=All&page=4.</u>

In 2011 Vermont was catastrophically impacted by Tropical Storm Irene. 225 communities were damaged by the storm, which followed record precipitation earlier that year. The resulting 7-11 inches of rainfall on the southern two-thirds of the state created one of the largest disasters in Vermont's history. Overall "13 communities had been completely cut off. 3,500 homes and businesses were damaged, including 500 mobile homes. 20,000 acres of farmland were under water, 500 miles of states roadway and some 200 bridges were damaged across the state, while nearly 1,000 culverts had been washed away or damaged¹⁸⁰." Irene poignantly demonstrated the need for Vermont to change course in climate adaptation. In the last 10 years, federal hazard mitigation funds have been leveraged to acquire and demolish almost 150 flood-vulnerable properties, implement almost 70 infrastructure improvement projects, and create or update 226 Local Hazard Mitigation Plans. The Emergency Relief and Assistance Fund statute, updated in 2014, incentivizes communities to increase their resilience to disasters through several actions that increase preparedness and break the cycle of disaster through hazard mitigation.

While these actions result in greater resilience to climate-related disasters, adaptation and resilience planning must also begin to incorporate an expanded paradigm about what it means to be truly resilient. While many strategies and actions in this section support continued efforts to increase resilience to rain and flooding events, it also begins to expand the scope of work for understanding and preparing to be resilient to other changes to the climate, including higher average temperatures, extreme heat, and the ways in which new climate norms can have cascading effects on our health, key industries, and livelihoods.

This expanded paradigm for climate resilience work should meet the Guiding Principles for Just Transition, which was developed consistent with the directives of the Global Warming Solutions Act. The Guiding Principles for a Just Transition reflects a growing body of research that shows Black, Brown, Indigenous, and low-income people and communities are disproportionately impacted by climate change, despite experiencing disproportionately fewer benefits and greater health, social and economic harms from the historic and ongoing industrial and economic growth that causes climate change. For example, the status quo is that residential flooding impacts are inversely correlated with socioeconomic status, meaning low-income Vermonters are more likely to live in flood prone locations and flood-vulnerable structures. Furthermore, projects to improve the situation are less easily accessed by Vermonters with lower incomes, renters, those in very rural communities, with limited e-connectivity, and/or with language and/or cultural barriers. To center equity and social justice in climate action planning, program design and outreach will need to explicitly be tailored to those with greatest vulnerability and least resources.

These pathways, strategies, and actions were drafted with the Principles for Just Transition in mind. It should be noted however that the full application of those principles, and direct engagement with frontline communities to inform these recommendations, remains to be done. This section includes a Pathway and supporting strategies to increase capacity for building collective knowledge and collaborating on climate planning at the local, regional, and State levels. Strategies and Actions call upon infrastructure operators to assess climate threats, incorporate climate-resilient design standards, and strategically move critical infrastructure out of harm's way. If infrastructure projects are designed, evaluated, and constructed to meet these design standards rural communities will achieve the goal of sustaining critical services and

¹⁸⁰ Montpelier: Vermont Irene Recovery Office. 2013. Irene: Reflections on Weathering the Storm. Legislative Report.

lifelines with far fewer disruptions as a result of climate-related events in the future. Land-use policies must be modernized to recognize the increasing threat of climate events on developed structures with an overall goal of no or very limited new development in river corridors. Finally, acknowledging that access to safe, accessible, energy efficient and affordable housing is foundational to increasing both household and community resilience to climate change, this section of the plan proposes policy actions that will increase investment in housing availability and affordability by incentivizing new housing development, and rehabilitating existing residential properties.

Vermont is experiencing climate-related events each year and those events are projected to increase in frequency, complexity, and severity. It is imperative to Vermont and Vermonters adapt to threats posed by climate change now and build resilience for the storms that we will inevitably face in coming decades.

Pathway 1: Increase capacity for climate resilience planning and implementation, and address inequities of under-resourced communities.

Climate change caused by greenhouse gas emissions is already affecting every region across the globe, and Vermont will face impacts from the effects of global climate change that are no longer avoidable¹⁸¹. While emissions reductions are critical to avoid the most severe and widespread impacts, Vermont must also dedicate attention and resources to preparing for the unavoidable impacts of climate change. Strategic investment today that increases resilience reduces future costs associated with recovering from or adjusting to climate impacts.

Adapting and becoming resilient to the impacts of a warmer climate will require significant investment at the local, regional, and State level. This investment will need to support changes to the information and processes used to make decisions, as well as to our physical infrastructure and social safety nets. Climate action will require that we increase collective knowledge on climate change impacts and resilience measures, and support collaborative decision-making processes among community, civic and professional networks of people that shape and have a say in how communities in Vermont serve their members. Improving physical infrastructure and supporting social networks both enable Vermont to adapt to climate impacts and increase resilience in the face of climate change and will also need significant investment.

Strategies

1. Provide tools and resources to help communities assess climate vulnerabilities and create climate resilience plans.

Extreme weather events and disasters are not new to Vermont. Resources have been developed and deployed to successfully recover from extreme weather events. However, climate change is expected to increase the severity and frequency of extreme weather events, including high winds, heavy rain, hail and sleet while also creating new climate norms that cause persistent and ongoing impacts to physical health, economic stability, and community vitality of Vermont. Our existing tools will need to be adapted to account for anticipated increases in frequency and severity of weather events, and new tools will be needed to identify and assess other climate change risks and vulnerabilities, particularly at the community scale. Tools for assessing climate change vulnerabilities and planning for resilience will help communities make the structural and investment changes needed to break the cycle of repetitive loss, speed post disaster recovery, and reduce long-term financial burden of disasters on communities, businesses, and individuals. Tools and resources for assessing climate vulnerabilities and planning for resilience should be developed using robust community outreach and engagement strategies consistent with the Guiding Principles for a Just Transition.

Actions

¹⁸¹ IPCC 6TH Report, Summary for Policymakers. September, 2021. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM.pdf

a. Develop a climate planning toolkit to help towns assess vulnerabilities to climate change impacts, such as heat, air quality, drought, flooding, high winds, heavy rain, hail and sleet, and identify and prioritize actions to increase their resilience to climate change. Include newly developed tools, such as the vulnerability index, and existing tools, such as the AOT Repeat Flood Damage Inventory Tool, and the NOAA Climate Resilience Toolkit

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Providing tools and information resources to assist with identifying, assessing and responding to climate change vulnerabilities enables climate change planning by helping to reduce the workload, particularly for under-resourced communities, and supporting a consistent and coordinated approach to climate change planning across the state. Providing tools and information resources is a first step towards impactful actions that improve resilience in communities across the State.

Equity: Tools for assessing vulnerability and plan adaptation actions should help the user of those tools understand the disproportionate impact that climate change has on frontline communities, particularly black and indigenous communities, identify who in their communities are likely to be most impacted by climate change, and provide guidelines, such as providing materials in the languages used by those most impacted, for engaging and consulting with impacted communities in completing vulnerability assessments and resilience plans.

Cost-effectiveness: The investment needed to create and provide tools and resources to help communities identify, assess, and respond to climate change vulnerabilities is relatively low. It will require a one-time, upfront investment to update existing tools, create new ones, and develop a platform that makes them easily accessible to local and regional planners and community members. Some regular upkeep to keep tools relevant and up to date will also be required. Investment in tools to support climate planning can have a significant impact in reducing future costs by ensuring that vulnerabilities have been identified and actions to reduce them have been evaluated, identified, and leading to a high return on investment from climate actions.

Co-Benefits: Providing tools and information resources to support climate planning can improve all outcomes of climate work, including reducing emissions and sequestering atmospheric carbon, in addition to improving resilience. Other co-benefits include improving public health outcomes, reducing the health risks from climate change impacts, reducing financial losses from climate change impacts, improving economic stability, and protecting natural resources.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

2. Establish permanent statewide funding and technical support for local and regional climate resilience planning and project implementation to enhance rural resilience to impacts of climate change.

Adapting to the impacts of climate change, and planning for resilience needs to be supported and informed by the local knowledge within Vermont's communities. Vermont's eleven Regional Planning Commissions (RPCs) play a critical role in supporting the State's 246 municipalities, especially those that are under-resourced. The RPCs ensure regional coordination and collaboration and help to advance State level goals and policy. The State can assist and collaborate with the Regional Planning Commissions and municipalities on climate planning by providing technical support and funding for the planning and implementation of projects that enhance community resilience to climate change impacts.

Actions

- a. Increase funding to Regional Planning Commissions and local municipalities to support climate and energy planning and target funds to support towns with limited staff and marginalized populations that score high on the climate vulnerability index.
- b. Create and fund one natural resource staff position at every Regional Planning Commissions to assist with implementation of climate policies and natural resources requirements such as Act 171 (forestry and habitat blocks). Use the Transportation Planning Initiative as a model to fund RPC natural resource staff and support trainings with ANR and other partners.
- c. Increase and create a permanent state fund for design and implementation of local and regional climate adaptation and resilience projects.
- d. Provide technical assistance to municipalities to assess the flood and erosion risks facing their drinking water and wastewater systems and identify potential mitigation improvements.
- e. Establish a state level individual assistance program to provide financial assistance to uninsured or underinsured households impacted by disasters not federally declared. Program should incorporate Community Action Agencies and supporting networks to ensure assistance is received expeditiously by those that need it most.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Resilience is unlikely to be achieved without human capital, funding, and technical support to begin building climate planning into current planning activities. Human, monetary, and technical resources are needed to complete a local or regional vulnerability assessments, develop resilience plans, and implement resilience projects and programs. A permanent funding source to support staff capacity, climate resilience planning, and project to reduce vulnerabilities and improve resilience, would create a pipeline of projects that create resilience in communities and across the State.

Equity: Increasing capacity to plan for and implement actions that improve resilience to climate change impacts should ensure that those who are most impacted by climate change experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in these investments. Due to historic and ongoing inequities, black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more vulnerable to climate change, and do not hold positions of power that make decisions on how and where climate action investments are made. These same segments of the population are repetitively impacted by disasters disproportionately. Community Action Agencies work with the most vulnerable

people on a day-to-day basis and are therefore integral to ensuring assistance is provided post-disaster to the people who need it quickly.

The benefits of investments in projects and programs have not been born equally by frontline communities. In many cases projects and programs that result in benefits for some cause direct harm to frontline communities, for example by siting infrastructure in a way that burdens frontline communities with negative environmental consequences and limits or excludes them from receiving the benefits¹. Funds for resilience projects and programs should require representation from those most impacted by climate change, and work towards correcting past inequity (e.g. lack of investment or representation in project and program development) while preventing the exacerbation of existing inequities (e.g. investment cannot lead to displacement). Increased staff capacity to support climate action planning must have the ability and expertise to integrate the principles of the Just Transitions recommendations into their local climate action planning work.

Cost-effectiveness: Investment will likely be needed for more than 10 years. However, upfront investment in planning to improve resilience provides a net benefit¹⁸², and reduces the future costs associate with responding to climate impacts.

Co-Benefits: Increasing the capacity to do climate planning can improve all outcomes of climate work, including reducing emissions and sequestering atmospheric carbon, in addition to improving resilience. Other co-benefits include improving public health outcomes, reducing the health risks from climate change impacts, reducing financial losses from climate change impacts, improving economic stability, and protecting natural resources.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

3. Expand cross-sector collaboration to align efforts, share best practices, and leverage resources to advance resilience and preparedness efforts statewide.

To best meet the challenge of preparing Vermont's communities, infrastructure, businesses and residents to be more resilient to the impacts of climate change, we need an "all-in" approach to sharing information on climate impacts, collaborating to identify solutions and opportunities, and aligning efforts towards resilience goals. A diverse group of stakeholders and partners, including non-profits, community organizations, public entities, business, and industry is needed to engage in the work of planning for climate resilience. The diversity of perspectives, priorities, and lived experiences can help to ensure that assessments of climate impacts and vulnerabilities is comprehensive, solutions that have broad benefits and support can be quickly elevated, and resources can be effectively leveraged to make progress towards resilience goals. Any forums in which collaboration on climate planning occurs should be designed with, and designed to be inclusive of and welcoming to those most impacted by climate change.

¹⁸² Research by the Global Center on Adaptation estimates that every dollar spent on adaptation results in between \$2 and \$10 of net benefits. https://gca.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/GlobalCommission_Report_FINAL.pdf

Actions

- a. Identify and develop new programs to address the full range of climate impacts, especially those that impact important Vermont industries, including drought, less or irregular snowfall, and shorter or irregular sugaring season.
- b. Complete a Statewide climate change impact assessment for Vermont's commercial sector and natural resource based industries including but not limited to the ski, sugaring, and logging industries.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Ongoing and collaborative work to assess and plan for the full range of climate impacts and vulnerabilities, across communities, business sectors and natural resources leads to better informed, resourced, and effective programs that improve Vermont's resilience to climate change impacts.

Equity: Cross sector collaborations should have the ability and expertise to integrate the principles of the Just Transitions recommendations into their collaborative processes. The validity of any of cross-sector collaborations for climate planning should consider the extent to which Just Transition principles were included in the collaborations, and are reflected in any outcomes of cross-sector collaborations.

Cost-effectiveness: Cross-sector collaboration is an enabling investment that ensures better return on investment of climate action by aligning stakeholders behind common information, goals, and objectives, and leveraging private investment in climate action⁵⁰⁰. S¹⁸³ investment in staff time and research and analysis support to organize and manage engagement with stakeholders over climate planning issues will be needed, and cross-sector collaboration will likely be needed for more than 10 years. However, upfront investment in planning to improve resilience reduces the future costs associate with responding to climate impacts.

Co-Benefits: Supporting cross-sector collaboration in climate planning can improve all outcomes of climate work, including reducing emissions and sequestering atmospheric carbon, in addition to improving resilience. Other co-benefits include improving public health outcomes, reducing the health risks from climate change impacts, reducing financial losses from climate change impacts, improving economic stability, and protecting natural resources.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

4. Increase community participation in local governance and support civic engagement and citizen involvement.

¹⁸³ https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/35203/Enabling-Private-Investment-in-Climate-Adaptation-and-Resilience-Current-Status-Barriers-to-Investment-and-Blueprint-for-Action.pdf?sequence=5&isAllowed=y

Regular and inclusive opportunities for meaningful citizen engagement can help to ensure that climate planning is informed by and responsive to a broader audience of Vermonters. Identifying existing channels for community engagement, coming prepared to listen instead of present or convince, and being clear about how feedback and ideas will be reflected in ongoing climate work can help to encourage broader participation in climate planning. Forums in which collaboration on climate planning occurs should be designed with, and designed to be inclusive of and welcoming to those most impacted by climate change.

Actions

a. Require remote meeting options, including a call-in option for all meetings of public bodies; allow fully virtual meetings of public bodies with guidelines similar to the State of Emergencies; evaluate options for online collaboration in preparation for a meeting that can be done with transparency.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Providing remote meeting options for meetings of public bodies, where critical conversations happen and decisions are made, enables more equitable opportunities to participate, and can encourage participation from those who may not be comfortable or able to attend in person meetings.

Equity: Providing remote participation options for public meetings is a good foundation for providing more equitable opportunities for civic participation in governance. Additional tools and support will be needed to ensure public meetings are design and run so that participants have a similar experience in the meeting, regardless of the method of participation. In addition, equitable participation in governance requires equity in opportunities to engage beyond remote options for public meeting. For example, resources and information should be made available in languages other than English.

Cost-effectiveness: Providing call in options is a low-cost action, that can be accomplished with the tools already used by most entities that run public meetings.

Co-Benefits: Increasing the capacity to do climate work improves all outcomes and cobenefits of climate work.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathway 2: Proactively and strategically invest to enhance resilience in transportation, communications, water/wastewater, and energy infrastructure statewide.

The strategies and actions supporting this pathway will improve the resilience of the State's transportation, electric, and water infrastructure systems to climate change threats. All three sectors are considered critical infrastructure, which is defined in the Vermont Infrastructure Protection and Resilience Plan as "...public and private sector systems and assets, whether

physical or virtual, that are so vital their incapacity or destruction would have a debilitating effect on the security, economy, public health or safety, environment, or any combination of these matters across any Federal, State, regional, territorial or local jurisdictions." Another way of describing criticality is that these infrastructure sectors are essential to supporting the resilience of communities, which are the ultimate users of the services they provide. The strategies and actions below do not specifically address other energy infrastructure, such as fuel depots or natural gas distribution networks, that support thermal heat for buildings and fuel for transportation or other uses. However, the resilience of other energy infrastructure to climate change will improve due to the strategies and actions below to the extent their operation depends on electricity and the transportation system. General information on the three sectors is provided below. Additional work is required to develop actions specific to communications, which will require engaging with the private sector owners of those services and related infrastructure systems.

Electric

The electrical grid in Vermont includes Transmission, Sub-Transmission, and Distribution facilities that transmit and distribute energy into, out of, and throughout Vermont, both from larger generation resources and local distributed generation resources. Vermont's electric grid has become a bi-directional conduit for electrical energy that serves residential, commercial, and industrial customers throughout the state.

Vermont has 17 electric distribution utilities (one investor-owned, two cooperatives, and 14 municipal electric utilities), with each having an exclusive service territory serving in total over 648,000 Vermont residents throughout the state. All Vermont distribution utilities own electric distribution facilities, and some own transmission facilities. Vermont also has a statewide transmission operator, the Vermont Electric Power Company (VELCO). Vermont electric utilities are fully regulated vertically integrated, meaning they can own and operate generation facilities.

Vermont's grid serves the electric needs of its citizens, in that it is the "highway" for electricity to flow from generators to load to meet demand. The grid has and will continue to become more critical in terms of both safety in a less forgiving climate as well as a key ingredient of decarbonization as we transition our thermal and transportation sectors off fossil fuels, primarily to fuel-switching to electricity.

Vermont's grid is increasingly susceptible to climate-change induced severe weather, mainly due to the rural nature of our landscape and our unique geography locally in the northeastern U.S. Threats to the grid include more frequent, stronger storms, high winds, ice, and heavy, wet snowstorms along with more frequent flooding from increasing heavy precipitation events. As Vermonters come to rely increasingly on electricity for heating and transportation, investing in grid resilience to keep pace with a changing climate will be essential.

Transportation

Vermont's transportation system includes 14,174 miles of public roadways of which 2,700 miles are maintained and operated by the Vermont Agency of Transportation. The balance of the highway system is managed by Vermont's 251 municipalities. Non-highway infrastructure and

services include seven regional public transit operators providing nearly 5-million rides annually, 578 miles of active rail lines, 305 miles of which are owned by the state, and 16 public airports, 10 of which are owned by the state. The Agency of Transportation oversees 140 miles of rail-banked rail-trails and municipalities provide and maintain many more miles of sidewalks, shared-use paths and other pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

The transportation system is critical to the state's economy and quality of life and is essential for emergency response. It provides access to jobs and mobility for the movement of goods and services, brings tourists and other visitors to the state, provides access for residents' daily activities, and delivers food and other products that Vermonters need for everyday living.

The transportation related actions listed below emphasize resilience to damage from flooding and fluvial erosion, climate change threats which are identified by the 2018 Vermont State Hazard Mitigation Plan as the highest-ranking hazard in the state. The transportation system is also challenged by other incremental climate change threats such as more frequent freeze and thaw cycles, increasing mixed precipitation events, and greater variations between high and low temperatures which reduce service life and affect on-going maintenance and operational activities like snow removal.

Water

Water infrastructure is a critical support for a climate resilient society. Traditional water infrastructure consists of drinking and wastewater treatment and collection/distribution systems and their related appurtenances. Green infrastructure consists of engineered systems in developed lands that endeavor to mimic natural hydrology functions, by attenuating and treating stormwater flows. Green stormwater infrastructure improves groundwater recharge, reduces flood scour, and limits sediment and nutrient pollution. Natural infrastructure consists of existing or restored natural systems managed to provide water quality and storage benefits, while promoting carbon storage. Human capital, in the form of a thriving environmental services sector is critical to the development, management, operation, and maintenance of all forms of water infrastructure, and must not be ignored as a key component of climate resilience.

Water infrastructure is regulated at the state level, and State agencies such as the Department of Environmental Conservation also provide significant financing and technical assistance resources. Municipalities and private entities are largely responsible for the operation, maintenance, and improvement of traditional and green infrastructure, while non-profits typically administer the acquisition and restoration of natural infrastructure. Most water infrastructure projects are publicly funded and financed with state, federal, or ratepayer dollars. The human capital necessary to support resilient water infrastructure cuts across the public, private, and non-profit sectors, and collectively should be considered a small but growing economic sector in its own right in Vermont.

All forms of water infrastructure are threatened by climate impacts, particularly flooding, and also drought. Public drinking water system design should consider climate change impacts, such as more droughts and more wet periods. Wellhead protection areas should take climate change impacts into account and can be integrated into land conservation and recreation objectives.

During Irene, many municipal drinking and wastewater systems were disrupted or even lost due to flooding. While some of this infrastructure was relocated as it was restored, many systems,

especially wastewater and stormwater systems, remain necessarily located in flood prone areas. In most municipalities served by public water systems and wastewater treatment facilities, the cost and complexity of moving water from source, to user, then treatment is minimized by the fact that water is typically pumped to a high location, then gravity-fed downhill from a reservoir to homes and businesses and back downhill for treatment. During flooding, upland drinking water reservoirs may experience undue water loading threatening the integrity of their dams, while wastewater treatment facilities or water supply wells located in floodplains may be adversely affected by inundation or erosion. During drought, drinking water supply systems are not able to keep pace with demand, necessitating reliance on lesser-quality sources, or even hauling of water by truck. One alarming manifestation of drought on public water supply is the diminishment of sufficient volumes of water to support fire suppression; a significant threat to their service area.

Strategies

Strategy 1: Create a policy, planning and organizational foundation to support effective investments in infrastructure resilience.

A common theme for transportation, energy and water infrastructure is a need to better understand the threats and vulnerabilities caused by climate change, and how to use that information to guide decision-making and investments that improve resilience in an equitable and cost-efficient manner. State agencies would be the designated lead for almost all of these actions and most could be implemented within two years with adequate funding and dedication of staff resources. Although state agencies would lead most of these actions, they would have to be carried forward in collaboration with other stakeholders. Because these actions are laying the foundation for decision making, they provide an opportunity to incorporate equity from the start.

All Infrastructure Sectors

- a. Develop a vulnerability index methodology and tool for broad use by stakeholders to identify priority areas for investment. The index will account for the vulnerability communication, energy, transportation, and water infrastructure in addition to socioeconomic and equity factors that affect community resilience.
- b. Update or adopt as appropriate infrastructure planning and design standards to reflect impacts from a changing climate, such as more frequent extreme weather as well as an increasing range of high and low temperatures, freeze/thaw cycles, and mixed precipitation (harden, incorporate redundancies, maximize life span, reduce annual maintenance and operational costs. etc.)

Electric

- c. Seek federal stimulus (ARPA), infrastructure bill, and other non-ratepayer funding to defray costs of utility resilience upgrades that exceed benefits to ratepayers, such as:
 - Ubiquitous communications networks that enable full utilization and participation of distributed energy resources in an interactive grid.
 - Resilience Zones: batteries installed at or near critical facilities, potentially paired with solar (and/or small wind) and with a microgrid /islanding where possible, to

allow them to continue to operate in the event of extended disruptions to electric service.

- Strategic upgrades to substations, distribution, and transmission capacity across the Vermont grid needed to enable the state's renewable and electrification goals, after first exploring feasibility of any lower-cost options, e.g. flexible load management, curtailment, and storage.
- Emerging non-wires technologies that address major challenges system resilience (e.g. long-duration outages).
- d. Create a framework for identifying and evaluating climate resilience threats and impacts to energy systems serving rural communities.

Transportation

- e. Complete the flood vulnerability assessment of all bridges, culverts and road segments on the state and town highway systems, identify and prioritize needed investments. This action includes completing the statewide expansion of the <u>Transportation Resilience</u> <u>Planning Tool</u>.
- f. Complete a flood vulnerability assessment of state-owned rail infrastructure to identify and prioritize needed improvements.
- g. Incorporate GHG reduction goals and CAP strategies, and actions related to resilience in the VTrans transportation planning and project development process.

Water

- h. Increase funding for floodplain restoration, including buy-out programs.
- i. Increase investment to municipalities to support reductions in inflow and infiltration into wastewater collection systems.
- j. Examine the climate impacts of sludge and biosolids to determine if regional facilities can reduce utility costs and climate impacts. Support investment in strategically placed facilities for sludge and septage processing (much is currently trucked to Montpelier/Chittenden Co.)
- k. Increase efforts and funding towards pollution prevention programs at wastewater facilities to ensure that facilities protect available treatment capacity, which can focus development on already-served designated centers.
- Understand source water vulnerabilities and invest in planning efforts to assist communities, especially those that are vulnerable for their long-term water supply needs. Revamp funding programs for source protection programs, increase funding for programs (include existing and new water sources) and conservation easements.
- m. Increase the number of public water systems and publicly owned wastewater treatment works implementing an asset management program. Expanding programs, funding opportunities, and incentives to develop and implement these programs.
- n. Continue investments in traditional and green infrastructure to intercept, sink and treat stormwater.
- o. Encourage adoption of low impact development regulations for municipal zoning, including low water usage landscaping practices and increased density outside of flood prone areas.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: This strategy will have a significant positive impact by providing a foundation of information about climate change threats and vulnerabilities, and how to use that information to guide decision-making and investments in an equitable and cost-efficient manner.

Equity: This strategy is supported by actions that provide opportunities for the early involvement of marginalized communities in the process to identify climate changes threats to infrastructure, prioritizing needs, and identifying equitable solutions. Funding for infrastructure improvements must address disparities in ability to pay to ensure the most vulnerable, front line communities and those in less prosperous municipalities are also assisted by infrastructure improvements.

Cost-effectiveness: This strategy is highly cost effective because it will help guide the efficient allocation of resources.

Co-Benefits: This strategy will address both resilience and adaptation and to a lesser extent GHG reduction.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Public, private, and nonprofit entities should be prepared to respond and recover quickly to disruptions caused by severe weather and other climate change threats.

Since it is not possible to eliminate all vulnerabilities in transportation, energy and water infrastructure, the ability to respond quickly to major disruptions caused by climate change, such as flooding events, will always be a critical component to providing resilient infrastructure. There is only one high priority action included below due to the extensive experience of Vermont Emergency Management and all the other state agencies, regional planning commissions, municipalities, utilities, and others have in responding to and recovering from disasters.

All Infrastructure Sectors

a. Strategically integrate planning and preparedness across disciplines and geographies addressing the interdependencies of transportation, energy, communications, and other systems.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: This strategy and action have a significant positive impact because they will improve the ability to respond quickly and effectively to severe weather and other disasters and other disruptions.

Equity: Integrating planning and preparedness creates an opportunity to determine how equity can be incorporated into emergency response.

Cost-effectiveness: Highly cost effective because the potential benefits are significant while the cost to implement better coordination is relatively low.

Co-Benefits: Primarily focused on resilience.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Increase the resilience of critical infrastructure to severe weather and other climate change threats by reducing vulnerabilities of specific facilities.

Implementation of the actions below would result in projects that improve the resilience of specific transportation, utility, or water infrastructure. Most of these actions could begin within a couple of years with sufficient funding and staff resources, would be on-going and would result in a steady pace of improvements over time.

All Infrastructure Sectors

a. Identify mission critical facilities in collaboration with local and regional planners, utilities and transportation providers to identify actions, procedures, or investments to mitigate the impact of extreme weather events to services provided by these facilities Examples of mission-critical facilities include designated emergency shelters, first responder facilities, hospitals and other medical facilities, key infrastructure such as water/wastewater pumping and treatment and sewer, key communications infrastructure such as fiber nodes, government offices, fuel suppliers, transportation hubs, supermarkets and other facilities municipalities identify as critical to serving communities during extreme weather events.

Electric

b. Replace aging electric and communication infrastructure with the most appropriate resilient alternative when cost effective. For example, during normal replacement schedules for aging and unreliable lines, evaluate and where cost effective and feasible, improve resilience by relocating lines underground or through other options.

Transportation

c. Create a transportation flood resilience funding program to meet the requirements and related funding that are anticipated to be part of the 2021 reauthorization of the federal transportation act.

Water

d. Expand public investment, particularly hazard mitigation funding to flood-proof or relocate drinking water and wastewater treatment infrastructure at significant risk of flooding, when flood damaged, or during end-of-life refurbishment.

- e. Work with Vermont villages and property owners to relocate septic systems and public or private drinking water wells that are at risk due to floods.
- f. Develop programs to achieve net zero energy drinking water and wastewater treatment facilities Including microhydro, solar energy, heat exchange, building envelope; AND operational and technological efficiencies.
- g. Improve road drainage around lakes / ponds to reduce stormwater runoff and erosion, especially on municipal roads.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: This strategy will lead to incremental improvements in resilience at specific locations that will over time result in significant, real, and cumulative positive impacts on resilience.

Equity: Equity considerations need to be incorporated into the investments supported by this strategy.

Cost-effectiveness: The overall cost effectiveness is medium to high because the impact is significant while the cost to implement is medium to high.

Co-Benefits: The actions supporting this strategy will also support smart growth, improvements to non-auto modes of transportation which will help reduce GHG emissions, and water quality.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Increase the resilience of critical infrastructure to severe weather and other climate change threats by improving system efficiency, reliability and redundancies.

While the actions in Strategy 3 focus on specific facilities, this strategy includes actions that seek to make systemwide improvement in resilience. Actions that lay the groundwork for systemwide improvements could happen within a couple of years leading to incremental improvements as specific initiatives are implemented.

All Infrastructure Sectors

a. Evaluate the risks and opportunities created by potential climate change in-migration to VT's critical infrastructure.

Communications and Transportation Infrastructure

b. Expand broadband to support remote work and tele-services to reduce the impact of travel disruptions.

Electric

c. Deploy foundational informational and operational technology statewide to enable and optimize storage and other distributed energy resources (e.g., GridLogic, Virtual Peaker, other emerging distributed energy resource management systems, in particular those that are open-source to various technologies and vendors)

Transportation Infrastructure

- d. Update the 1995 Vermont State Highway Design Standards to create context sensitive, multi-modal projects that support smart growth per the Act 167 (2014) Sec 26 Report -VT State Standards Work Plan.
- e. Increase infrastructure investment needed to for walking, biking and transit; support planning for regional bike corridors to improve safety and transportation options between community centers. Identify and eliminate barriers to development, including inequities resulting from match, maintenance, and other requirements.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: The impact of this strategy is high because it will lead to improvement in system-wide resilience. Also, because these are system-wide investments, they will reach all Vermonters.

Equity: Ensuring equity can be incorporated into the implementation of the actions.

Cost-effectiveness: The actions under this strategy have relatively low implementation costs but their resilience benefits are far reaching.

Co-Benefits: The resilience benefits of this strategy will also help reduce GHG emissions by supporting smart growth, sustainable transportation choices, and distributed energy sources.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathway 3: Support the reduction of municipal, school district, residential, university, and hospital fossil fuel use in rural areas through equitable best practices that address the unique challenges of rural communities.

In the face of climate change, Vermont's rural communities are faced with a set of unique challenges that highlight the need for targeted support and investment for communities and institutions. Rural communities have limited capacity to respond to climate change impacts, due in part to limitations in access to community resources¹⁸⁴. Rural communities also frequently depend heavily on volunteers to meet community challenges, and often have limited locally

¹⁸⁴ USGCRP, 2018: Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II. <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/10/</u>

available financial resources to help deal with the effects of climate change¹⁸⁵. As Vermont plans for a reduction in fossil fuel use to meet its GHG mitigation requirements, specific policies and programs will be needed to assist rural communities in the transition to a carbon-free future.

Schools, universities, hospitals, businesses, and municipal organizations make up the main building blocks of Vermont's rural communities. The size, scope, and variety of each one's fossil fuel use varies across the state, as does each one's capacity and willingness to reduce fossil fuel use; the same is true regarding residential energy use. Thermal energy use, or heat, in buildings currently accounts for 34 percent of Vermont's greenhouse gas emissions, largely from burning fossil fuels: fuel oil, kerosene, natural gas, and propane. The transportation sector currently accounts for 40 percent of Vermont's GHG emissions¹⁸⁶. Rural communities are often faced with a limited capacity at the municipal government level to take on new projects and programs. Coupled with the reality that rural communities rely heavily on single occupancy vehicles to access goods and services, and that the transportation sector makes up the largest portion of Vermont's greenhouse gas emissions, special attention should be paid to how rural communities and institutions access programs and resources, financial and otherwise, that allow them to lower their greenhouse gas emissions.

Equipping Vermont's rural communities to reduce the use of fossil fuels will require significant support and investment. Tools are needed for municipalities and institutions to understand and educate on fossil fuel use and the economic benefits of electrification in their communities, while additional resources are needed to expand access to programs that focus on under resourced communities. Developing and supporting programs that help Vermont's rural communities transition to a carbon-free future will create long lasting benefits and improve the ability of rural communities to adapt to the changes caused by climate change.

Strategies

Strategy 1: Provide tools and resources to help assess data needs and establish best practices for rural communities, businesses, and institutions to reduce fossil fuel use.

The reduction of fossil fuel use in the transportation, and buildings and thermal sectors will both increase the ability of communities to withstand the impacts of climate change, while also decreasing overall statewide greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, and importantly, the transition to electric forms of transportation, heating, and cooling will also offer long term financial savings for communities. For communities to plan appropriately for decreasing fossil fuel use across sectors, data on current fossil fuel use is needed at the municipal level to support planning and assessment of needs.

¹⁸⁵ USGCRP, 2014: Chapter 14: Rural Communities, Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment.

https://nca2014.globalchange.gov/downloads/low/NCA3 Full Report 14 Rural Communities LowRes.pdf ¹⁸⁶ Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast (1990-2017), May 2021. <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/aqc/climate-</u> change/documents/ Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Update 1990-2017 Final.pdf

Initiatives to collect data for use at the municipal level have been undertaken in the past, some with the support of Regional Planning Commissions (RPCs). For example, in 2009 RPCs on a statewide effort used DOE American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding to provide energy audits and management of energy efficiency projects for municipal buildings. The Windham Regional Commission has continued this work by creating a guide for municipalities to use to weatherize their buildings¹⁸⁷. Barriers to data access should continue to be identified as communities and institutions examine their fossil fuel use and plan for transitions to cleaner energy sources. In addition, best practices will need to be identified, such as the example provided above, to help guide communities on their transition away from fossil fuel use for heating and transportation. Initiatives such as the example provided will need to be expanded upon to provide equal access to data on energy use, and assistance to implement best practices on energy efficiency and electrification across communities.

Actions

- a. Require the collection of fossil fuel usage data for municipal operations for buildings, vehicle fleets, and utilities; identify data gaps and ways to collect that data for measuring change in fossil fuel use going forward.
- b. Ensure data on fossil fuel usage at the municipal level is available and accessible in one location for municipal and public use.
- c. Engage higher education institutions to actively participate in developing systems to gather, compile, update, extrapolate fossil fuel data and make that available to the public.
- d. Identify, develop, and share best practices for reducing municipal, school district, residential, commercial, and industrial fossil fuel consumption. Identify and assess existing practices and note gaps.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Data is needed in order to assess the impact that other measures have on GHG mitigation, fuel switching, and energy efficiency. Having a system in place to gather and track fossil fuel usage data at the municipal level, as well as best practices for municipalities to implement for reduction of fossil fuel use, are action that enables other actions that help Vermont reach its GHG mitigation requirements.

Equity: To enhance equity of this strategy, traditionally underserved and impacted communities will be identified, and their input will be solicited on equitable practices for data collection, display of data, and accessibility of data. As this strategy is implemented, consideration should also be given to how this data is shared and explained to traditionally underserved and impacted communities, so the impact of data collection is clear. Implementation should ensure data gathering and explanation of data is translated into other languages, and that best practices are tailored to impacted communities.

Cost-effectiveness: This strategy would likely have a moderate cost, meaning some of the actions needed for this strategy would need a new revenue source for a short-term period. Actions under this strategy do have an overall high impact, meaning this strategy results in an overall high ranking for cost-effectiveness. This strategy would help establish a baseline

¹⁸⁷ <u>http://www.windhamregional.org/images/docs/publications/weatherizing-town-buildings.pdf</u>.

that would educate communities and reduce confusion around fossil fuel use. In the long term, this would save time and effort in planning initiatives and would further enable the work of future projects needed to decrease fossil fuel usage.

Co-Benefits: Data collection at a municipal level would have broad co-benefits across GHG emission reduction and climate resilience and adaptation initiatives. By involving high education institutions in the collection of data, co-benefits across educational initiatives could be seen. In addition to data collection, establishing best practices for communities to reference could add to benefits in public health due to reduction of the use of fossil fuels. In addition, broad benefits for community planning projects could be realized due to easily accessible data.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Equitably expand access to programs that provide options to rural homeowners, landlords, municipalities, school districts, universities, and hospitals for weatherization, electrification, and utility upgrades.

In addition to access to fossil fuel use data at the municipal level that allows for planning and the local level, existing programs that support weatherization, electrification, and utility upgrades will need to be expanded to provide equitable access to communities and institutions. Programs that provide several options for weatherization, electrification, and utility upgrades to homeowners, renters, landlords, municipalities, school districts will be needed as Vermont works towards meeting its GHG reduction requirements. Especially in rural communities where access and knowledge of program may be more limited than in urban areas, an increased focus should be placed on education and access to local, regional, statewide, and federal programs that incentivize and promote a move away from fossil fuel use. Many of the actions listed below will require additional funding and third-party financing resources and represent a variety of stakeholder that will need to be engaged to implement these initiatives.

Actions

- a. Ensure that there is broad and statewide public education and promotion of benefits, economic and otherwise, and opportunities for fossil fuel reduction.
- b. Evaluate all existing state-funded programs for effectiveness, access, and equity and consider increased funding for weatherization, energy efficiency and electrification programs in order to expand access to all Vermonters, and to expand programs with zero up-front costs. Existing programs may include Efficiency Vermont rebates, HEAT Squad NeighborWorks of Western Vermont home energy audit program, and the Shared Equity program¹⁸⁸. In addition, the private sector should be engaged to provide innovative third-party financing opportunities that are paid for over time by the customer.
- c. Explore Commercial PACE (Property Assessment Clean Energy) program for municipalities and other programs to elevate, such as bonding to support a statewide Tariffed On-Bill Finance Pilot and funding for a revolving loan fund for Public-Serving

¹⁸⁸ <u>https://vhcb.org/our-programs/housing/home-ownership</u>

Institutions with retrofits. There may also be a need to continue providing the funding to support interest rate buydown (IRB) in EVT's Home Energy Loan and Business Energy Loan programs.

- d. Create new educational programs to increase public outreach for existing energy efficiency, electrification, and utility upgrade programs.
- e. The Public Service Department should ensure that all utilities provide similar opportunities for all customers (rebates, incentives) to encourage fossil fuel reduction, electrification, and energy savings.
- f. Increase low-income weatherization through the State Weatherization Assistance Program including technical assistance to help households and landlords manage the process.
- g. Entities that provide rebates for weatherization should stabilize rebate values year to year.
- h. Expand workforce development programs such as the VT Training Program or the Department of Labor's Workforce Education & Training Fund; cover costs for businesses to train in-house auditors/technicians. Pilot new programs in rural areas where workforce needed is greater.
- i. Revise state building energy codes and standards to require a minimum 200 Amp service for new construction as electrification expands.
- j. Provide funding to assist low-income homeowners to upgrade electric service to 200 Amps. Electric utilities and renewable energy developers could provide new incentives and financing options through third-party financing mechanisms, on bill financing, RES Tier III incentives¹⁸⁹, third-party power purchase agreements, and grants. All programs must include equal access to renters.
- k. Implement a statewide program to support electrification of municipal fleet vehicles ensuring it is designed to allow equitable access and participation to municipalities regardless of tax base.
- 1. Support water and wastewater systems in conducting and implementing energy audits and recommendations for energy reduction and electrification.
- m. Develop cost-effective programs to support renewable energy development on school and other municipal property and evaluate and eliminate unnecessary statutory barriers related to capital financing and land purchase/lease.
- n. Help individuals, municipalities, and businesses through the process of weatherization, energy efficiency and fuel switching upgrades by establishing and funding Weatherization and Efficiency Navigators at each Regional Planning Commission (RPC), expanding the services currently available at CAP agencies and VEIC, and ensuring coordination and a whole systems approach among the entities providing services.
- o. Review and expand existing programs to support landlords in weatherizing rental properties, including St. Johnsbury Rental Housing Improvement Program, and other programs in counties and towns¹⁹⁰.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

¹⁸⁹ <u>https://publicservice.vermont.gov/content/tier-iii-renewable-energy-</u>

standard#:~:text=Tier%20III%20%E2%80%93%20Energy%20Transformation&text=For%20Tier%20III%2C%20the%20RES,u ntil%20reaching%2012%25%20in%202032.

¹⁹⁰ <u>https://accd.vermont.gov/housing/resources-rules/renters-landlords</u>

Impact: The expansion of programs that provide weatherization, electrification, and utility benefits to communities and institutions will have a tremendous impact on Vermont's overall ability to mitigate greenhouse gasses and be more resilient to climate change impacts. The actions under this strategy would impact broad change, reaching to town governments, institutions, businesses, and individuals.

Equity: While the programs referenced in the actions under this strategy are broad ranging, traditionally underserved and impacted communities must be identified, and their input solicited on the expansion and creation of these programs. These communities include smaller, less capable municipalities, low-income homeowners, renters, individuals without homes, and those who have high transportation burdens. Low-income and minority households tend to pay higher prices per unit of energy due to less efficient homes, so special attention should be paid to the expansion of existing programs and how benefits can be shared or targeted to help frontline and impacted communities.

Cost-effectiveness: Adding resources to strengthen existing and creation of new weatherization, electrification, and energy efficiency programs will require some up-front investment. However, due to the lifetime net cost decrease over time, the upfront investment will result in more affordable systems of energy for communities and institutions.

Co-Benefits: Increasing the capacity of existing weatherization, electrification, and utility upgrade programs will have long term benefits for not only reduction of GHG emissions, but co-benefits in resilience and adaptation. Increasing the capacity of weatherization programs would bring added health co-benefits due to the decreased cost of heating and cooling, reduction of pest infiltration, and measures to reduce excess moisture that impact respiratory health. Weatherization and energy efficiency programs have the potential to decrease the overall cost of fuel for households, which would have a significant impact on low-income and minority households who tend to pay higher prices for fuel. Switching to more efficient technologies has co-benefits for all those who pay energy bills - tax payers, business owners, institutional leaders, landlords, homeowners. Co-benefits also accrue to the economy: forest industry, contractors, utilities, energy businesses.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathway 4: Change Vermont's land-use policies so current and future land development will be adaptive and resilient to climate change impacts

How Vermont chooses to use its land-based resources will have a significant impact on our ability to create and maintain resilient communities and natural resources. Vermont's current approach to land use planning is decentralized and relies on voluntary adoption and implementation of land use policy at the municipal and regional scale. The political and operational capacity to implement land use policies varies widely among municipalities and

regions. This results in a patchwork of inconsistent local and regional land use policies to regulate and guide current and future land use.

These inconsistencies compromise the ability of the State to implement land use policy that also meets climate goals, and raises equity and environmental justice concerns. Municipalities and regions with the most political and operational capacity can direct land use policy to be formed in a way that does not share the burdens and benefits of land use decisions that meet Statewide community needs. If an adaptation and resilience strategy or action is deemed essential, it is necessary for the state to give objective consideration to what level of government has the ability and capacity for actual implementation in a timely manner, and what partnerships are necessary for effective execution.

Strategies

Strategy 1: Increase investment in the infrastructure (sewer, water, stormwater, sidewalks, bike lanes, EV charging, broadband, energy supply) needed to support communities that are more resilient to climate disruptions, equitable, resource efficient, and protects the adaptive capacity of natural resources.

Investment in infrastructure is essential to make our existing communities more resilient to climate change hazards, including precipitation events that are forecast to become more frequent and intense. Many of Vermont's villages and downtowns were settled in locations to harness water power, which also puts them at risk for flooding and, in some cases, fluvial erosion. Many of the actions recommended here address the well documented risks of flooding and impact to our water supply and management systems. Additional work will be needed to identify other climate risks, and the infrastructure investments that can make communities more resilient to them. For example, as we better understand localized risks and vulnerabilities of our power distribution systems, additional recommendations for investing in infrastructure that can improve a community's resilience in the event of power outages will need to be developed. Municipal plans and bylaws are important regulatory tools to reduce vulnerabilities, particularly to flooding, but it is the presence of foundational infrastructure that will make climate resilient and adaptive settlement actually possible.

Actions

- a. Monitor and update stormwater permitting process as needed to ensure green infrastructure is preferred in design considerations.
- b. Increase investment in stormwater and green infrastructure, including separating combined wastewater and storm water systems, to protect public health and water quality.
- c. Complete a Climate Readiness assessments of drinking water, stormwater, and wastewater infrastructure. (This is an EPA tool that looks at all climate impacts including, fires, droughts, flooding, etc.).
- d. Examine regionalization efforts and sharing of resources for all water utilities.
- e. Invest in enhancing water sources in vulnerable communities to enhance resilience to long-term drought.

f. Increase investment to municipalities for new and expanded water and wastewater facilities to support reductions in inflow and infiltration into wastewater collection systems.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Investing in infrastructure than minimizes disruption to climate events improves community-wide resilience to climate change impacts.

Equity: Investment in infrastructure should ensure that those most impacted by climate change experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of infrastructure investments to address climate change. Due to historic inequities black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more vulnerable to climate change. While infrastructure investments can improve resilience, some infrastructure investments have historically caused harm to these communities by siting infrastructure in a way that burdens them with negative environmental consequences and limits or excludes them from receiving the benefits¹. Infrastructure projects should include the voices of those most impacted by climate change, and work towards correcting past inequity (e.g. lack of investment or representation in infrastructure development) while preventing the exacerbation of existing inequities (e.g. investment cannot lead to displacement).

Cost-effectiveness: The investment needed to add or improve infrastructure to support community resilience is likely high, however may be offset by avoided future costs associated with climate impacts. More research and analysis is needed to quantify the cost/savings of investment in infrastructure that improves resilience.

Co-Benefits: Many of the infrastructure investments needed to increase resilience also provide immediate health, economic, and environmental benefits. For example, investment in broadband improves resilience to climate impacts by allowing for better connectivity among community members when physical connectivity is not possible due to road closures. However, it also can improve the economic vitality and social connectivity of a community under non-climate event conditions.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Develop permanent private and public funding sources to floodproof, elevate and purchase commercial and residential properties, as well as conserve and restore ecosystem services upstream to protect our people, property, environment, and economy from flooding.

Nationally, the FEMA Hazard Mitigation grant programs provide \$7 in avoided costs for every \$1 invested; however, some communities, particularly rural communities, have not been able to make use of this funding due to the administrative burden of managing a FEMA award, the lack of a sustainable funding source to provide the required 25% non-federal funding match, and limitations within program eligibility. A permanent State funding program for flood hazard mitigation would enable communities use of federal funds by providing a source of funding for

the required 25% match and funding for the purchase of both structures and easements to conserve natural areas that are not eligible for federal funding.

Actions

- a. Establish a dedicated, comprehensive state level program with funding to strategically purchase or match funding for hazard-prone properties, easements to conserve river corridors, floodplains, forests, and wetlands to reduce overall flood risk and enhance flood storage statewide.
- b. Expand the eligibility criteria and increase funding for VHCB's conservation and buyout program, to address any flood-vulnerable structures.
- c. Fund ERAF for non-federal disasters in towns that have adopted floodplain and/or river corridor bylaws and to support the 25% non-federal match for buyouts and develop criteria for distribution when funding is limited.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Flooding is a key, known impact that is likely to increase as storms become more frequent and intense in Vermont. Reducing vulnerability to flooding will have a significant impact on individual community members, and the community at large to be resilient to, and recover from flooding events.

Equity: Due to historic inequities black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more vulnerable to climate change impacts, such as flooding. Disbursement of these permanent funding sources to mitigate vulnerability to flooding must ensure that those most impacted by climate change, and especially those also impacted by historic inequity receive the benefits of these funding sources.

Cost-effectiveness: The investment needed is likely high, however offset by avoided future costs associated with climate impacts, and the cost of remediating, especially for repetitive flood damages. Hazard mitigation grant provide a \$7 return on investment for every \$1 spent.

Co-Benefits: Reducing vulnerability to flooding by flood proofing, buying out high and repetitive risk properties, and improving the natural adaptive capacity of river ecosystems also provides public health benefits by reducing the risk of soil and water contamination, reducing exposure to contaminated flood waters.¹⁹¹

Technical Feasibility: Yes

¹⁹¹ https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/emergency/extreme-weather/floods-standingwater.html

Pathway 5: Ensure that all people have access to safe, accessible, energy efficient, and affordable housing.

A home is a basic human need. It provides a foundation for household health and safety, security, well-being, and prosperity. People that have access to safe, accessible, energy efficient and affordable housing are more resilient to climate change impacts compared to people who are unhoused or living in unsafe, isolated, unaffordable, or inefficient housing.

Today, too many Vermonters, 24% - nearly a quarter - over 150,000 people, are spending more than 30% of their income on housing. Vermont's housing stock is also old and energy inefficient, which contributes to the high number of substandard housing units, adds to the cost burden by increasing the cost of heating and cooling and increases resident health risks. Non-white households are more heavily burdened by housing challenges. About half of non-white households experience a housing problem, while one third of white households face housing problems¹⁹².

Meeting current and future housing needs of residents, businesses, and communities requires immediate action. Yet it's clear that we also need to change the status quo for housing and carefully consider how, where and for whom housing is rehabilitated and built, to ensure equitable, safe and affordable housing that enables resilience to climate change. Locating in compact walkable centers can also improve resilience and reduce household costs. Additional housing actions related to the siting of new housing in compact walkable centers can be found in Chapter 15, Compact Settlement.

While housing insecurity has been a longstanding issue in Vermont, increased migration due to the coronavirus pandemic exacerbated the housing crisis, causing dramatic increases in housing competition and prices¹⁹³. This gives has given us valuable insights into how the housing market could be affected by climate migration, and presents an opportunity to proactively plan for housing rehabilitation and development that can meet the demand for housing while improving the resilience of the people living in it.

The housing crisis and the climate crisis are inextricably linked, and Vermont must work through the tension between expediency and thoughtful planning, all while aligning investments and regulation to increase the availability of and access to fair, safe, and affordable housing.

Strategy 1: Update state and local land-use governance, regulations, practices, and investments to eliminate barriers to housing development.

Vermont's Planning and Development Act is one of the State's key tools for influencing where and how much housing is built. Its housing policies were last update in 2003¹⁹⁴ and do not reflect

¹⁹² The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development estimates the number of households with housing problems by identifying households that experience one or more of the following issues; lacking kitchen facilities, lacking complete plumbing, overcrowding, cost burdened. <u>https://www.vhfa.org/documents/publications/vt_hna_2020_report.pdf</u>

¹⁹³ According to VHFA data, the median Vermont primary home sold for \$259,900 during the first six months of 2021, compared to \$245,000 throughout 2020, a six percent increase. <u>https://www.housingdata.org/profile/homeownership-costs/primary-home-sales-ytd</u>

¹⁹⁴ http://www.leg.state.vt.us/docs/legdoc.cfm?URL=/docs/2004/acts/ACT115.htm

the significant shifts in household composition and needs over the last 20 years. Today, 69%¹⁹⁵ of Vermont's households are one- or two-person households with diverse needs and preferences. 83% % of Vermont's rental supply is privately owned and an estimated 6,960 of these units are substandard .¹⁹⁶ Legislation and funding to expand our understanding of the current housing stock and update the land use and housing provisions will support state, regional, and local planners' work to create housing that meets peoples' needs and is safe, affordable and allows them to be more resilient to climate change impacts. Successful state planning reforms have moved at the speed of trust through organized and resourced statewide conversations. For Vermont to be a place where people of all backgrounds can live in a safe and affordable home, state, regional, and local land use leaders must consider the structures that prevent or welcome diverse, resilient homes and neighborhoods.

Actions

- a. Increase manufactured housing tax credits to replace older and inefficient manufactured homes.
- b. Expand the existing program to relocate mobile home park homes and residents outside of flood vulnerable locations.
- c. Create a rental registry and inspection program to locate all of Vermont's rental housing and improve their quality and safety.
- d. Expand pilot program to train a network of local builders in the design and building of small and mid-sized and accessory dwelling units (mother-in-law apartments) and fund homes starts within communities planning and investing in development-ready infrastructure, building development partnerships, and updating zoning bylaws to welcome new homes.
- e. Convene a statewide conversation on the Vermont Municipal and Regional Planning and Development Act's (24 VSA, Chapter 117) provisions on land use and housing to outline amendments and strategies that will expand housing choice, opportunity, and improve community resilience.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Comprehensive action to remove regulatory barriers and ensure adequate resources can have a significant, cumulative impact on increasing housing projects that increase the availability of safe and affordable housing.

Equity: Any changes to land use governance, regulations and practices need to ensure that those most impacted by housing insecurity experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of this strategy. Due to historic inequities black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more housing insecure. Governance structures, regulations and procedures have explicitly prevented black and indigenous communities and people from accessing affordable and safe housing choices. Changes to governance, regulations and procedures should include the voices of those most impacted by housing insecurity, and work towards correcting inequity in access to housing choices.

¹⁹⁵ <u>https://www.housingdata.org/profile/population-household/household-size</u>

¹⁹⁶ https://vhfa.org/sites/default/files/publications/vt_hna_2020_report.pdf

Cost-effectiveness: This strategy is highly cost-effective, requiring minimal investment to achieve an increase in housing rehabilitation and development over the status quo.

Co-Benefits: Co-benefits of updating state and local land-use governance, regulations and practices include improved efficiency in government operations, improved customer service experience for constituents, and better collaborative relationships between stakeholders involved in governance and regulatory processes.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Increase investments in the preservation and development of both private-market and nonprofit-owned affordable housing.

A recent pilot initiative to remediate vacant, blighted, and unsafe housing units¹⁹⁷ has highlighted untapped opportunities to revitalize existing and develop new housing by using innovative funding models to create homes. The pilot provided \$30,000 grants to private property owners who contributed at least 10% to the home remediation costs, and succeeded in bringing approximately 250 rental homes in existing buildings back online, and with affordability provisions in place. Future legislation should continue to allocate funding for innovative housing investments in both rental and owner-occupied housing stock that leverage private initiative and non-profit innovation.

Vermont benefits from a robust network of non-profit housing developers that are committed to addressing affordability needs in perpetuity. They have often been on the leading edge of housing development choices that reduce total homeownership costs by meeting high energy efficiency standards and creating new housing units in locations that reduce driving distance and travel costs by being closer to jobs and services. These commitments tend to increase cost per unit when compared to units developed in Greenfields where land and permitting cost are less. Funding support is needed to ensure these organizations can continue to build housing that also achieves Vermont's climate goals.

Actions

- a. Continue to fund housing investments that leverage private initiative and funding to costeffectively create housing units under models like the Re-Housing Recovery Program funding and the proposed Vermont Housing Investment Program.
- b. Create programs to assist prospective homebuyers to purchase and make improvements to homes that are energy inefficient and otherwise in need of immediate investment.
- c. Increase support for mission-driven, non-profit housing developers to maintain their ability to produce high-quality, energy- and location-efficient housing.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

¹⁹⁷ According to VHFA, approximately 83% of Vermont's rental supply is privately owned and an estimated 6,960 of these unites are substandard or vacant.

Impact: Providing direct investment is a significant incentive that enables more housing projects, especially more affordable housing projects, than what would be supported by the private housing market alone.

Equity: Investment in housing should ensure that those most impacted by housing insecurity experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of investments to increase housing. Investments in housing has not provided equitable access to housing choice, and the wealth generating benefits of homeownership. Housing projects should include the voices of those most impacted by housing insecurity, and work towards correcting past inequity (e.g. lack of equitable access to housing choice) while preventing the exacerbation of existing inequities (e.g. investment cannot lead to displacement).

Cost-effectiveness: Public investment that leverages private funds to rehabilitate and build new housing is a highly cost-effective strategy.

Co-Benefits: Access to affordable housing can also improve health outcomes, increase community and civic engagement, and support healthy local economies. Preservation of existing housing can reduce the use of natural resources to create needed housing.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Increase access to fair and affordable housing for Vermonters who are housing instable.

People and families who spend more than 30 percent of their income on housing are considered to be cost burdened, and at risk of facing housing insecurity. Over 24% of Vermont's households and more than half of all renters are cost burdened or severely cost burdened¹⁹⁸. Housing insecurity disproportionately affects BIPOC communities, older Vermonters, and those living on low incomes. As rents continue to rise due to increased migration and a tightening supply, more Vermonters are experiencing homelessness. People that have access to safe, accessible, energy efficient and affordable housing are more resilient to climate change impacts compared to people who are unhoused or living in unsafe, isolated, unaffordable, or inefficient housing.

After many years of thoughtful collaboration between housing providers, advocacy groups, and lawmakers, the legislature has allocated an unprecedented \$195 million (Act 74 of 2021) to increase housing stability and prevent future increases in homelessness. However, finding lasting solutions to Vermont's housing challenges requires a sustained effort. For Vermont to be place that welcomes people of all backgrounds, we must find ways house everyone who needs a home, increase our commitment to racial justice, and remove discriminatory housing barriers.

Actions

a. Implement the recommendations of the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing.

¹⁹⁸ <u>https://www.housingdata.org/profile/income-employment/cost-burden</u>

b. Increase funding for community-based homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: This strategy has significant impact on the pathway, ensuring that people are able to access, and do not face barriers to accessing housing that is available.

Equity: Housing insecurity disproportionately affects BIPOC communities, older Vermonters, and those living on low incomes. Those most impacted by housing insecurity experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of this strategy.

Cost-effectiveness: Strategies to prevent homelessness and increase access to stable, affordable housing are more cost effective than strategies that provide emergency or transitional housing.

Co-Benefits: Access to stable and affordable housing can improve health outcomes, increase community and civic engagement, and support healthy local economies.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathways for Adaptation and Building Resilience in Natural and Working¹⁹⁹ Lands

In Sections 11 and 14 of this Climate Action Plan, means to mitigate the effects of climate change are recommended through both emissions reductions efforts as well as carbon sequestration and storage initiatives, respectively. Given best available data²⁰⁰, the global community recognizes the need to aggressively reduce the amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, and Vermont, via the Global Warming Solutions Act, has established state-level reduction requirements for 2025, 2030, and 2050. These efforts, critical as they are, are intended to slow the speed of climate change in the decades ahead – to course correct for future generations – but will not mitigate climate change as we experience it today²⁰¹.

The impacts of a changing climate to both our natural and built environments are widely studied, from changes that have already been observed, to those that are being modeled by climatologists across the world²⁰² and here in Vermont²⁰³. In order to create a habitable, resilient present and future, climate change adaptation efforts are essential. In the previous Section (12), strategies and actions to build resilience in the built environment are proposed. This section will focus on the role that Vermont's natural and working lands and waters can play, both in helping our ecosystems and agricultural and forested land adapt to a changing climate, as well as leveraging their inherent ability to offer adaptation and resilience value to our communities, often referred to as nature-based solutions (NbS), or through practicing traditional ecological knowledge (TEK).

Current models suggest that the northeastern region of the United States will see an increase in annual precipitation, and that the increase will most likely come in the form of more high precipitation events and not simply more days with rain each year²⁰⁴. Vermont's forests can retain significant water loads via headwater storage, just as intact, connected floodplains and river corridors can absorb excess water and reduce high, erosive energy during flooding events. Our wetlands can act as a sponge for additional rainfall, while also providing critical habitat for fish and wildlife. Water storage potential is also critical for the natural and working lands economy, which can be impacted not only by flooding, but also during periods of drought. Protecting and enhancing biodiversity will support Vermont's agricultural and forestry sectors and improve public health. In short, the Agriculture & Ecosystems Subcommittee recognizes that supporting our natural systems will, in turn, empower them to support our human infrastructure – our communities, our economy, our built environment – restoring a balance in our shared ecosystems.

¹⁹⁹ For the purposes of this document we use the language "working and natural lands" as described and used in the GWSA Statute, but note that this terminology should be further considered since all lands in fact "do work" including but not limited to forage, shelter, wildlife habitat, water quality, spiritual sustenance, and many other ecosystem goods and services.

²⁰⁰ https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/06/SR15_Full_Report_High_Res.pdf

²⁰¹ https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/28/

²⁰² https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Full_Report.pdf

²⁰³ Vermont Climate Assessment. 2021. Due to be publicly released in Nov of this year. Lead authors are Galford, Faulkner & Dupigny-Giroux

²⁰⁴ https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/18/

Climate change adaptation efforts, especially those that employ NbS and TEK, require upfront investments, but economists agree that the long-term savings are vast, given the rapidly increasing cost of climate change impacts²⁰⁵. Beyond economic returns, adaptation efforts yield myriad co-benefits – from building rural economic resilience to sequestering and storing carbon, improving soil health to maintaining habitat connectivity, and more.

The recommendations in this section aim to increase the adaptive capacity of Vermont's natural and working lands and waters, as well as enhance the resilience of our natural and human systems to a changing climate, through science-based, technical and traditional knowledge. The increased incidence of drought, extreme precipitation events, and changes in temperature patterns associated with climate change in Vermont have already begun to negatively impact our natural and human communities and systems. At the same time, features of Vermont's natural and working landscapes have absorbed and reduced climate risks, such as the impacts of extreme precipitation and associated floods. Broadly, the strategies that the State of Vermont must take to secure the health, resilience, and benefits of climate adaptation in natural and working lands include critical investments in the upfront costs of proactive implementation of adaptation practices, implementation of land use policies that support both appropriate, resilient development and natural resource conservation and protection, research and training to support land managers in making climate-informed plans and decisions, active integration of traditional ecological knowledge with science-based knowledge, innovative funding mechanisms to enable adaptation and resilience, greater support for floodplain and riparian restoration efforts, and enhanced protections of biodiversity.

²⁰⁵ <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WGIIAR5-Chap17_FINAL.pdf</u>

Pathway 1: Adaptation: Sustain, restore, and enhance the health and function of Vermont's lands and water to help both natural and human communities adapt to climate change

This pathway includes strategies and actions that apply science-based, technical, and traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) to the management of natural and working land that supports its capacity to absorb and recover from the impacts of climate change. In Vermont, climate change has already begun to negatively impact farms, forests, lands and waters through more frequent droughts, heavier rainfall events, and changes in temperature patterns. At the same time, features of Vermont's lands and waters have absorbed and reduced climate-risks, such as the impacts of extreme precipitation and associated floods.

Key Strategies & actions:

Strategy 1: Increase technical assistance, capacity, education, and resources to support private and municipal farm and forestland owners, planners, and managers for climate change adaptation.

Farms and forests are already experiencing the impacts of shifting seasons, altered weather patterns, and increasingly extreme weather associated with a changing climate, and though the majority of Vermont farmers understand their vulnerability to extreme weather associated with climate change, they report a lack of financial capacity and technical skills to adequately address climate-related risks and invest in adaptation²⁰⁶. Outreach, education, and technical assistance will enable Vermont's land managers to better anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from the impacts of climate change. Farmers and foresters already seek out this kind of advice from UVM Extension, other Vermont institutions of higher education, and other technical service providers, but climate programming is often soft-funded and dependent on competition with national grant opportunities. To meet the needs of Vermont's land managers in adapting to the impacts of climate change, dedicated funding to provide consistent expertise, education and assistance is essential.

- a. Enhance and support funding for technical assistance to farmers (e.g. fully fund UVM Extension to support climate adaptation training for agriculture and support other institutions of higher education in this endeavor), landowners (e.g. fund climate adaptation training through FPR's Forests & Climate program), and municipalities (e.g. fully implement Act 171).
- b. Increase funding to Regional Planning Commissions (RPCs) to hire and support natural resource staff, potentially through Natural Resource Conservation districts.

²⁰⁶ White, A., J. Faulkner, S. Sims, P. Tucker, and K. Weatherhogg. "Report of the 2017–2018 New England Adaptation Survey for Vegetable and Fruit Growers." *Department of Plant and Soil Science, University of Vermont, Burlington* (2018).

c. Develop & fund climate adaptation planning and training for all farmers and foresters.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Education and training for farmers and foresters will have a significant positive impact on adoption of climate adaptation practices and planning among land manager.

Equity: To enhance equity of this strategy, traditionally underserved and impacted communities will be identified. Then they will be included as subject matter experts during the design of trainings, and targeted programming must be developed to meet their contextually specific needs.

Cost-effectiveness: Proactively investing in climate adaptation on Vermont's lands costs far less than the cost of recovering and rebuilding from climate-related damages and is extremely cost effective.

Co-Benefits: This strategy is primarily focused on resilience and adaptation but will have mitigation benefits of carbon sequestration, as well as biodiversity, water quality, soil conservation, buffering damage to downstream built communities; create jobs in rural communities; enhance sustainability of rural and working livelihoods; and reach impacted communities.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Promote and incentivize Climate Adaptation forest management practices.

Integrating climate change adaptation considerations into planning and forest management can help reduce climate-related risks, such as declines in forest productivity, losses in forest cover and biodiversity, and disruptions to ecological processes. However, Vermont's forest managers need to know what those options are, how to implement them, and how to evaluate success, as well as to have the financial capacity to adopt new techniques. Resources on climate adaptation for northern forests have already been developed in part by regional efforts such as the Northern Institute of Applied Climate Science²⁰⁷ and the USDA Climate Hubs²⁰⁸ which can be used to create locally-specific guidance. Practice-based cost-share incentive programs are needed to enable land managers to make changes and adopt new practices.

- a. Develop education/outreach materials and training regarding climate adaptation forestry specific for Vermont forest types and conditions.
- b. Where appropriate, promote planting future climate adapted tree and crop species
- c. Make the state guide to maintaining and creating resilient forests more usable²⁰⁹
- d. Develop a 'pay-for-practice' incentive program and explore state tax policy incentives for forest landowners to adopt climate-adaptive management practices.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Education and support for foresters will have a significant positive impact on adoption of climate adaptation practices and planning in forests.

²⁰⁷ Northern Institute for Applied Climate Science (NIACS). Climate Change Response Framework. https://forestadaptation.org/ ²⁰⁸https://www.climatehubs.usda.gov/sites/default/files/USDA%20FS_2016%20Forest%20Adaptation%20Resources_GTR_NRS 87-2.pdf

²⁰⁹https://fpr.vermont.gov/sites/fpr/files/Forest_and_Forestry/Forest_Health/Library/Climate%20change%20report_final_v6-18-15a.pdf

Equity: To enhance equity of this strategy, traditionally underserved and impacted communities will be identified. Then they will be included during the design of trainings, and targeted programming must be developed to meet their contextually specific needs. Incentive programs must offer differentiated rates, incentives, and enrollment preference to address equity.

Cost-effectiveness: Proactively investing in climate adaptation on our lands costs far less than the cost of recovering and rebuilding from climate damage and is extremely cost effective. The cost effectiveness comes also from the protection of critical ecosystem services, e.g. if forests degrade from climate change, we will have to bear higher costs for water quality clean up, etc.

Co-Benefits: This strategy is primary focused on increasing the resilience and adaptation of forests but will have mitigation benefits of carbon sequestration and storage, as well as biodiversity, water quality, soil conservation, buffering damage to downstream built communities, create jobs in rural communities, enhance sustainability of rural and working livelihoods, and reach impacted communities.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Promote funding for nature-based solutions and traditional ecological knowledge efforts and incorporate into state funding and planning efforts.

Nature-based solutions (NbS) and traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) systems are knowledge domains that are leveraged to address climate change adaptation around the world. Integrating this expertise will have a positive impact on climate adaptation and resilience in Vermont. Nature-based solutions ²¹⁰ include actions like ecological restoration projects, which protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural and modified ecosystems in ways that simultaneously address climate change, protect biodiversity and support human well-being. Traditional ecological knowledge²¹¹ is defined as 'a cumulative body of Indigenous knowledge, practice, and belief, evolving by adaptive processes and handed down through generations by cultural transmission, about the relationship of living beings (including humans) with one another and with their environment.' TEK plays an important and sometimes central role in climate adaptation initiatives and can support detection of environmental changes, the development of adaptation strategies, and offer guidance or inspiration for cultural and psychological shifts in how society relates to nature. Investment in NbS and TEK efforts at both the funding and planning levels, will be invaluable in leveraging the role that our lands and waters can play in creating a resilient, climate-adapted future.

a. Complete a statewide audit of technical assistance, funding, and regulatory programs to review support for NBS and TEK and assess the degree to which they support or hinder climate adaptation, and use the findings to create planning and/or funding prioritization criteria that better align state programs.

²¹⁰ https://www.iucn.org/theme/nature-based-solutions

²¹¹ <u>https://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/pnw_gtr879.pdf</u>
- b. Develop financial mechanisms (e.g. a revolving loan fund, green bank, loan guarantees, pension fund investments, etc.) to de-risk capital investment in and support for NBS and TEK projects.
- c. Elevate the role TEK plays in climate adaptation and resilience and incorporate TEK into state-led climate assessments, planning efforts, and prioritization frameworks,
- d. Incentivize nature-based solutions (NBS) and traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) in state regulatory processes and funding programs
- e. Include local Indigenous people knowledgeable in TEK and Vermont's youth in state, regional and municipal resource management planning.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Investments in NBS and TEK can have a significant positive impact on both the climate resilience of our communities and infrastructure and the adaptation of both aquatic and terrestrial species and natural communities.

Equity: Local Indigenous experts must be included as content experts and be compensated for consulting the state on a process for integration of TEK. The way TEK is integrated will need to be approached with community directed, culturally sensitive methods to knowledge exchange and communication.

Cost-effectiveness: Mitigating major flood damage and losses of biodiversity through investment in natural solutions and TEK is extremely cost effective.

Co-Benefits: This strategy is primarily focused on resilience and adaptation in forests but will have mitigation benefits of carbon sequestration, as well as biodiversity, water quality, soil conservation, buffering damage to downstream built communities, create jobs in rural communities, enhance sustainability of rural and working livelihoods, and reach impacted communities

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Manage natural and working lands for biodiversity, forest health, and climate resilience.

The reality of a changing climate and a changing world means our lands and waters' biodiversity, forest health, and climate resilience is something that must be actively managed for, protected, and cultivated. Enhancements in soil health and increases in vegetative cover will slow the flow of water over land and increase filtration into the soil therefore mitigating downstream flood surges and improve water quality. While some management practices are well understood in their ability to enhance resilience, research is needed on new and emerging climate adaptation practices in our lands and waters. The cost of implementation of adaptation practices limits the degree to which land managers can make the necessary changes to promote biodiversity and climate resilience. The impacts of severe weather events have already proved devastating to farms, and Vermont farmers report a lack of financial capacity to adequately

address climate-related risks and invest in adaptation²¹². The same is true for Vermont forest managers, many of whom are also farmers. Resilience funds have been identified as helpful for recovery, but they are currently underdeveloped and under-resourced. Phenological variability and increased ground disturbance is altering Vermont's biodiversity, creating imbalance in Vermont's ecosystems.

- a. Fund increased investment in healthy soils education and implementation of practices.
- b. Enhance resilience funds to support the financial capacity of land managers to respond and adapt to natural hazard and climate impacts.
- c. Fund support for local academic institutions, researchers, and applied research to evaluate best climate management practices for our lands.
- d. Support research efforts to better understand forest ecosystems, local climate change, and impacts to farms, wetlands, forests, and ecosystem services.
- e. Incentivize and provide appropriate support for invasive species control efforts, specifically where populations threaten ecosystem function and processes.
- f. Through direction to VT Fish & Wildlife and VT Forests, Parks and Recreation, establish primary land management objectives of protecting and improving forest health and biodiversity on state lands, and private lands enrolled in UVA; and promote adoption of these objectives through outreach to regional and municipal planners.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Investing in the management of natural and working lands for climate resilience will have significant climate adaptation and resilience benefits to both landscapes and downstream built communities.

Equity: Traditionally underserved and impacted communities will first be identified for all actions. They will be included during the design of targeted programming to meet the contextually specific needs of these stakeholders. Impacted communities will be consulted during the development of programs and RFPs. Incentive programs will offer differentiated rates and enrollment preference to address equity.

Cost-effectiveness: Mitigating flood damage, irreparable biodiversity loss, and farm closures through proactive investment in natural solutions is extremely cost effective when compared to the cost of doing nothing.

Co-Benefits: This strategy is primary focused on resilience and adaptation but will have mitigation benefits of carbon sequestration, as well as biodiversity, water quality, soil conservation, buffering damage to downstream built communities, create jobs in rural communities, enhance sustainability of rural and working livelihoods, and reach impacted communities.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

²¹² White, A., J. Faulkner, S. Sims, P. Tucker, and K. Weatherhogg. "Report of the 2017–2018 New England Adaptation Survey for Vegetable and Fruit Growers." *Department of Plant and Soil Science, University of Vermont, Burlington* (2018).

Strategy 5: Plan and regulate for climate resilience and adaptation.

The integration of a 'climate lens' across existing state, regional, and municipal planning efforts and regulations can help meet the GWSA's resilience and adaptation goals via existing efforts. Likewise, increasing an awareness of other climate change efforts and climate action across state agencies and employees and creating power-balanced spaces for communication among staff from multiple levels could increase synergy among goals, education, and work across the State of Vermont agencies who will implement the recommendations of the Climate Action Plan.

- a. Establish "climate resilience zones" informed by existing data, bolstered with new research/science, to identify locations that have high resilience potential for both the natural and built environments and use to inform land use development and regulations
- b. Per the formula in statute, fully fund Regional Planning Commissions (RPCs) to ensure sufficient capacity necessary to address climate change in regional and municipal plans.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Identifying and protecting resilience zones will have significant climate adaptation and resilience benefits to both landscapes and downstream built communities. Greater climate awareness and coordination among agency staff may have impacts on climate adaptation and mitigation efforts

Equity: Inclusion of more voices and paying attention to power dynamics in the implementation of climate policy and programs embodies procedural equity. Impacted and historically underserved communities will be identified, and then seats for their voices will be included in all councils and committees that make decisions impacting these communities. Representatives from impacted communities will be compensated for their time to participate in meetings, and facilitators will be tasked with ensuring these voices not be marginalized by the group dynamic, overtly or through micro-aggressions. *Cost-effectiveness*: This strategy has the potential to be very cost effective, in that it draws on exiting efforts and structures, and may create synergy and efficiency through better

government coordination on climate change, and climate informed planning.

Co-Benefits: Equity, resilience, education, potentially others

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 6: Increase flood resilience of the natural and built environments.

Because of Vermont's topography, the state has always experienced flooding in low-lying areas; however, in recent years these events have become more common, more widespread, and more severe due to climate change. The 2010s saw a three-fold increase in federally-declared disasters from the previous decade, the majority of which were due to flooding. As noted in the Cliamte Change in Vermont Projections section of this plan, these trends will continue, and so Vermont must plan to promote flood-resilient human and natural communities and invest in and maintain our intact landscape to leverage nature-based solutions that can help mitigate the impacts of severe flooding.

- a. Incentivize water storage in natural areas to promote flood resilience and biodiversity through expansion of wetland, floodplain, and/or river corridor easements that better compensate landowners/managers.
- b. Ensure opportunities for floodplain reconnection and nature-based solutions are considered a high priority in the Statewide Conservation & Buyout Program through incorporation of multi-stakeholder developed prioritization criteria.
- c. Invest transportation funding in improving flood resilience and aquatic and terrestrial connectivity.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Increasing flood resilience through investments in nature-based solutions can have a significant positive impact on both the climate resilience of our communities and infrastructure and the adaption of both aquatic and terrestrial species and natural communities.

Equity: Impacted communities will be identified and compensated for consulting on implementation of these recommendations. This can be an equitable strategy provided investments are made where there is a willing landowner who is compensated fairly.

Cost-effectiveness: Mitigating major flood damage on Vermont's built environment and natural communities through investment in natural solutions is extremely cost effective.

Co-Benefits: This strategy is primary focused on resilience and adaptation but will often have mitigation benefits, especially when areas are maintained or restored to a natural condition where trees, shrubs and other vegetation can sequester and store carbon at a higher rate than the baseline.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 7: Promote healthy, connected river corridors, floodplains, and wetlands.

River corridors, floodplain, riparian, and wetland ecosystems support critical ecosystem functions that are foundational to biodiversity, ecosystem resilience, habitat, flood surge regulation, erosion mitigation, carbon storage, and climate adaptation of natural communities. A river corridor is the land area adjacent to a river that is required to accommodate the dimensions, slope, planform, and buffer of the naturally stable channel and that is necessary for the natural maintenance or natural restoration of a dynamic equilibrium condition and for minimization of fluvial erosion hazards.²¹³ Floodplains are the areas adjacent to rivers where inundation flooding occurs during high flow events, which are increasing in frequency and intensity due to climate change. Both the inundation flooding of floodplains and the dynamic, erosive flooding associated with river corridors pose a risk to health and safety when homes, transportation corridors or other permanent infrastructure are sited too closely to a river. Minimizing new encroachments in these areas helps to maintain adequate connections between a river and its floodplain and sufficient

²¹³ <u>https://floodready.vermont.gov/flood_protection/river_corridors_floodplains/river_corridors</u>

room for river corridors to meander overtime, without resulting in costly and potentially lifethreatening impacts. Riparian areas are the areas between aquatic (water) and terrestrial (land) ecosystems. Riparian areas can be found along streams, rivers, lakes, wetlands, and other waterbodies²¹⁴. These areas have critical ecological functions that connect the aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, thereby supporting unique habitats, natural communities, and high biological diversity^{9, 215, 216}. Riparian areas maintain high quality aquatic habitat by protecting water quality and by providing shade, organic matter, and structure necessary for healthy aquatic systems. Vegetated riparian areas also create bank stability, which reduces erosion during high precipitation events and in turn reduces impacts to water quality. They also help to physically protect our farms and towns by reducing flood surges. Riparian areas function as terrestrial wildlife habitat and travel corridors connecting larger areas of intact habitat and are critical for the species migration that climate adaptation necessitates. Wetlands are important and valuable ecosystems for Indigenous activities. The Carbon Budget²¹⁷ developed for the Vermont Climate Council confirms wetlands are a net carbon sink. In addition to these mitigation benefits, wetlands absorb storm water during high precipitation events, are a critical source of water during periods of drought and provide critical habitat for a range of species adapting to climate change. A UVM study, following Tropical Storm Irene, found that intact wetlands and floodplains have the ability to reduce flood damages by 54-78%²¹⁸. In Vermont, wetlands enjoy significant regulatory protection and benefit from a host of conservation programs; however, opportunities exist to do more.

- a. Support and fund research and design to strategically invest in floodplain and river corridor reforestation efforts. Specifically, develop an inventory of priority/critical headwater and floodplain storage areas, prioritize investments for restoration and protection in these areas, and use to inform Compact Settlement planning efforts.
- b. Expand support for riparian buffer enhancements to easements with a goal of increasing the amount of vegetation and biodiversity in riparian areas.
- c. Increase support for wetland restoration and protection.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Maintaining or increasing the functions and values of riparian areas across the state leading to a more resilient and adaptive landscape for a wide range of species. Identifying areas with critical floodplain and river corridor function to inform land use planning, conservation and regulatory efforts can result in river systems that have the room to safely flood and meander, reducing impacts on homes, infrastructure and other costly investments, resulting in a more resilient landscape. Wetlands are highly protected but expanded conservation and restoration efforts will yield increased impacts over the baseline.

²¹⁴ Riparian Science Technical Committee (RSTC). 2007. Analysis of the Current Science Behind Riparian Issues. Report to the Minnesota Forest Resources Council

 ²¹⁵<u>https://vtfishandwildlife.com/sites/fishandwildlife/files/documents/Conserve/RegulatoryReview/Guidelines/Riparian_Management_Guidelines_ANR_Lands_2015.pdf</u>
 ²¹⁶ Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (VT ANR). 2005. Riparian buffers and corridors: technical papers. Waterbury, VT.

²¹⁶ Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (VT ANR). 2005. Riparian buffers and corridors: technical papers. Waterbury, VT. http://www.anr.state.vt.us/site/html/buff/buffer-tech-final.pdf

²¹⁷ Galford G, Darby H, Hall F, and Kosiba AMK. 2021. A Carbon Budget for Vermont.

²¹⁸ <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S092180091630595X</u>

Equity: If the identification of critical areas ultimately results in a loss of certain land use or development rights, landowners will need to be compensated in a fair and equitable manner. Impacted communities will be identified and compensated for consulting on implementation of this recommendation. Can provide additional funding for landowners interested in protecting riparian areas but may result in some loss of use by other landowners in riparian areas. Generally, wetland areas have low commercial potential and are valued for their ecological, recreation and aesthetic qualities, so expanded protections are likely equitable.

Cost-effectiveness: Identification of these critical areas through remote sensing and analysis is relatively cost-effective, but will require some investment in state agencies, universities, or consultants to conduct the work. Site specific analysis will be more costly. However, if inundation flooding or significant fluvial erosion can is avoided through this strategy, the magnitude of savings to the state and individual landowners far exceeds the cost. Wetland protection and restoration is generally a cost-effective endeavor. The additive cost of riparian provisions in easements and the cost of riparian restoration is relatively low and the benefits can be great.

Co-Benefits: This strategy primarily has resilience and adaptation benefits, but if critical areas are identified and retained in a natural condition, they may reforest or otherwise see an increase in carbon sequestration and storage resulting in mitigation benefits. Vegetated riparian areas enable ecological adaptation to climate change, improve the resilience of our communities, and provide some mitigation benefits through the growth and maintenance of trees, shrubs and plants; there are also water quality benefits. Wetlands support climate mitigation, adaptation and resilience. *Technical Feasibility*: Yes

Pathway 2: Viability - Support and empower Vermont's natural and working lands owners, managers, and caretakers to enhance farm and forest viability and to make informed decisions to increase resilience and adaptation to climate change.

The actions under this pathway have a fundamental foundation in education, incentivization and economic stimulation which will support and empower farmers, foresters, and land managers, and enhance local markets with a positive focus on greenhouse gas emissions mitigation and climate change resilience benefits. Adaptation and resilience to climate change will require investments of resources and technical knowledge to ensure the implementation needed to address climate concerns, but the success of this effort is dependent on the ongoing viability and sustainability of those who own and manage the land on which these changes will be made. Without solid technical and financial support, landowners cannot learn about new technologies and practice changes, implement them accurately or maintain them for long-term impact, and fundamental to all of this is the ability for these changes to continue to support the functions of our natural lands and the livelihood and success of our land managers.

Actions that foster partnerships at all levels are essential to developing strategies that empower all of Vermont's working landowners to address climate change. State, federal and local partners provide ongoing education and support for new advances and best practices as well as additional financial resources, and these partnerships must grow to meet the demands of our changing climate and the need to address equity for beginning and socially disadvantaged landowners, those with less access to technical and financial resources, BIPOC and other marginalized communities or individuals. New equity models for land access and ownership should be created and expanded. In addition, creative land ownership, leasing or land access models that might include multiple users of large tracts of land, where feasible, should be researched. Currently, farmers and foresters must navigate a complex maze of regulatory and permitting frameworks in addition to state and federal funding opportunities; these systems will need to be assessed for equity and efficiency in order to streamline the process both for the benefit of the practitioners and for our lands and waters.

KEY STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Support and enhance local food markets for greater viability, mitigation, and resilience benefits:

The Vermont Farm To Plate (F2P) strategic plan states that Vermont will face considerable disruption to the local food system and farm viability because of climate change. Increasing instate and regional markets will reduce the risk to large food system disruptions while addressing

the needs of lower income communities. Implementation of the priorities in the F2P strategic plan is recommended.

Preliminary assessment

Impact: Providing farmers and producers of natural resource products with local outlets for their products increases resilience to changes in climate, disruptions to the national food system and inequitable impacts on marginalized communities and individuals. Local markets also decrease transportation impacts and fuel usage.

Equity: Increasing local markets addresses inequities among income levels in accessibility to sufficient, nutritious local food.

Cost-effectiveness: Increasing economic viability by ensuring markets is one of the most cost-effective ways to address climate resilience.

Co-Benefits: Having a viable local market helps to enhance the rural economy and increase landowner economic ability to address other natural resource needs such as water quality.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Foster partnerships at all levels (state, federal, nonprofit, and private sector): essential to recognizing, capacitating, and building strategies for landowners to address climate change and enhance community resilience:

Vermont's small size and community-based collaborations and networking have long enabled state and federal partners to support and assist landowners in the best methods for mitigating natural resource impacts. With the additional climate concerns, these partnerships must not only be maintained but strengthened to ensure the most efficient and effective means for maximizing opportunities for co-benefits of all programs.

- a. Dedicate funds to support Vermont Natural Resources Conservation Districts and farmer watershed organizations with the specific objective of allowing them to reach other farmers, foresters, and landowners, with education about climate resilient practice implementation.
- b. Maintain the Ag & Ecosystems Subcommittee through development and implementation of the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) and the Climate Action Plan (CAP) to cultivate, build and reinforce state, federal, nonprofit, and private sector collaborations.
- c. Fund a research project to fully understand household food insecurity in Vermont and how to invest in its elimination. The design and implementation of the research project should engage academics, advocacy groups, and impacted individuals, and include research on geographic spread, root causes, and costs to the health care, educational, and emergency response systems (as written in the 2021-2030 F2P Strategic Plan pg. 158). (Collaborate with Hunger Free Vermont, Vermont Foodbank, VT Releaf Collective).
- d. Work closely with USDA NRCS's 2021 Action Plan for Climate Adaptation and Resilience to leverage resources and increase efficiencies of practice education

and implementation. Coordinate with NRCS Ecosystem Restoration Program to make it more efficacious and accessible for Vermont in the wake of disasters.

Preliminary assessment

Impact: Providing technical assistance and education has long-proven broad positive impacts across the agriculture, forestry and natural resource sectors.

Equity: Increased partnerships and collaborations will provide access across communities and individuals with synergistic positive results.

Cost-effectiveness: Partnerships and collaborations have already proven that a modest outlay of financial support provides considerable payoffs.

Co-Benefits: Broader partnerships through a wide sector of the agricultural community benefits additional farmers, land managers, and landowners and provides opportunities for evaluating co-benefits of practice changes for the highest economic and environmental value.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Expand funding for existing programs dedicated to farmland access, forestland ownership, and conservation, and leverage this funding to increase land access through flexible and new ownership financing mechanisms, policies, and models:

Innovative financing is going to be critical to successfully expanding funding and resources needed to support climate change adaptation and resilience (e.g., performance mortgages, shared equity models, ground leases, appropriation of \$3 million in low-cost capital to a Community Development Financial Institution or other lender, policy incentives to encourage multiple tenants or owners on larger tracts of land, and low-cost and long-term farm leasing on publicly held lands). A particular emphasis on the needs of beginning, socially disadvantaged, and Black, Indigenous, and People Of Color (BIPOC) farmers (as written in the 2021-2030 F2P Strategic Plan pg. 30) is critical, especially education and support for navigating the financing, permitting and funding to ensure all landowners have access to the same resources and opportunities.

- a. Investigate innovative funding mechanisms which increase farmland access, forestland ownership, and conservation and will assist with implementation of climate smart agricultural practices, crop insurance for diversified Vermont-scale farms, and emergency recovery following extreme weather events, to better respond when climate change related events occur.
- b. Assist food, forest product, and farm businesses with navigation of municipal and state permit requirements and regulations. This will create a more supportive environment for business growth and diversification, especially as it relates to forest products processing and distribution, on-farm accessory businesses, farm employee housing, and development of off-farm distribution, and storage infrastructure (as written in the 2021-2030 F2P Strategic Plan pg. 33).

Preliminary assessment

Impact: Equal access to land, through conservation and other land access programs, for agricultural and forestry activities is critical and has high positive impact for climate resilience and adaptation.

Equity: For too long, the lack of access to land and the tools (such as capital) to operate that land have been negative strikes against our society. Managing this issue fairly and equitably is vital to our future success for managing our climate.

Cost-effectiveness: Very cost effective, to support and expand existing successful programs.

Co-Benefits: Multiple co-benefits to farm transition, when engaged and productive land managers produce high quality products in a way that increases climate adaptation and resilience.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathway 3: Economies: Grow and connect local and sustainable natural and working lands' economies, markets, and food systems while ensuring and providing equitable access to said economies, markets, and food systems for Vermont's people.

A clear co-benefit of thriving and resilient natural and working lands is our ability as citizens to benefit in reciprocal ways from sustainable stewardship. Protecting our natural environment for its social benefits of climate adaptation as well as flood resilience, water quality and food security does not mean a resulting negative economic impact. Improving and protecting our natural systems brings new opportunities for economic development, while addressing the untenable food insecurity issues faced by many Vermont citizens.

The Agriculture and Ecosystem subcommittee benefited greatly from the recent extensive process of developing the Vermont Farm to Plate Strategic Plan: 2021 – 2030. This is a valuable resource from a multi-stakeholder lens regarding how we might move forward to pursue a just and equitable agricultural economy. All three of the legislative directives for the strategic plan goals (increasing economic development and jobs; improving resilience of the working landscape in face of climate change; and improving access to healthy local foods for all Vermonters) directly support the work included in the strategies and actions below. The F2P plan also confirms the need to prioritize our agricultural land base, infrastructure, and food security in order to increase Vermont farm and food system resilience to the impacts of climate change ²¹⁹.

²¹⁹ Farm to Plate Strategic Plan -

https://www.vtfarmtoplate.com/assets/resource/files/Vermont%20Agriculture%20and%20Food%20System%20Strategic%20Pla n%202021-2030.pdf. P. 9

To that end you will find that we have pulled multiple actions from the F2P plan into our work that we felt complimented or elevated our pathways and strategies.

In our current frameworks, economies tend to trump all to the detriment of our natural resources. We instead choose to envision a future with a sustainable and robust working lands economy due to practices, harvesting, and methodologies rooted in the Indigenous values of reciprocity, responsibility, respect, reverence, and relationships. In layman's terms, if we take care of nature, nature will take care of us. Recognition of the intersection of the natural landscape and its benefits to our environmental and climate goals, to the well-being and security of Vermonters is the overarching priority of the strategies below.

KEY STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Develop, expand, and sustain local markets specifically for food, agricultural, and forest products in ways that ensure food sovereignty and security and provide for all Vermont's peoples.

The further development, expansion, and creation of robust and innovative local markets has both the potential to reduce GHG emissions from food waste and food miles, as well as build out more just and sustainable livelihoods for those living and working within our farm and forest sectors. For our natural lands to continue to provide us with climate adaptation and resilience benefits, our landowners, managers and citizens who support this work must also be supported. Additionally, ensuring food security for all Vermonters is not just a co-benefit of related climate actions but is a valuable strategy of its own. "Sustainable development meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. It is development that achieves economic viability, environmental sustainability, and social equity and well-being" ²²⁰.

It is critical moving forward to take the time to fully understand where we are and where we wish to go. We see the 2021-2030 Farm to Plate Strategic Plan as a good starting place and highly recommend the development of an equivalent forest sector strategic plan and the mapping of Vermont's agricultural land base and production capacity to better understand where we are and how we build just and equitable policies moving forward.

- a. Support robust funding for Working Lands Enterprise Initiative and prioritize funding to businesses that have climate/low carbon goals.
- b. Develop a strategic plan for the forest economy, modeled on the Farm-to-Plate strategic plan but improved to better incorporate impacted stakeholders and principles of equity, as well as examining our current language and approach to forest management.
- c. Develop supply chain substitutions which better support local products.
- d. Support research and development efforts, and expansion of new markets and opportunities for local wood products processing and manufacturing in Vermont.

²²⁰ Farm to Plate Strategic Plan,

https://www.vtfarmtoplate.com/assets/resource/files/Vermont%20Agriculture%20and%20Food%20System%20Strategic%20Plan%202021-2030.pdf.

- e. Develop alternative markets for low-grade wood, focusing on cellulose insulation, bioplastic composites, or biofuels.
- f. Research the efficacy of food hubs as public infrastructure (e.g libraries and public infrastructure).
- g. Map Vermont's agricultural land base and production capacity, including geographic data about predicted climate change impacts, aggregation and distribution infrastructure, and regional dietary needs (as written in the 2021-2030 F2P Strategic Plan pg. 32).
- h. Provide additional support for critical programs that help Vermont's agricultural sustainability and ability to address climate issues including:
 - Support the growth of VAAFM Meat Inspection and Agricultural Development programs, which will help expand Vermont products into the regional marketplace and develop consumer education and public awareness campaigns around the steps involved in getting meat products from farm to table;
 - Fund a pilot aggregation and sales system that effectively serves both the charitable food system and institutional and other market channels, through a structured partnership among established processors, aggregators, and gleaners. The pilot would include data collection on specific marketable surplus food products;
 - Support the Vermont Farm to School Network;
 - Support organizations in the charitable food system to source food directly from Vermont farmers;
 - Create a Local Food Access Funding Program;
 - Develop a distribution and logistics infrastructure investment plan to guide strategic transportation investments with the express purpose of improving the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of in-state and regional food distribution. Include a business plan analysis for a public/private Vermont wholesale terminal market that would provide cross-docking, cold storage, and logistical service between Vermont producers and regional wholesale buyers;
 - Using the infrastructure study as a guide, increase public-private investment in intermediated market distributors to improve operational efficiencies and overall sales through improved marketing, infrastructure, route optimization and shared transportation-management software, and access to logistics professional development and consulting.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: High impact, potential to reach entirety of state

Equity: Were the true language of food sovereignty applied as we move forward, positive implications for a more just and equitable future are huge. Within the context of funding, TA access, market, food, and land access there are massive amounts of work necessary to repair the damage of historic and present-day harms to our most impacted communities.

Cost-effectiveness: Moderate. Similarly, to the necessary transitions in the energy sector, the upfront cost is more, but the benefits in the long term pay for themselves.

Co-Benefits: High. Both from a mitigation and adaptation/resilience standpoint investing in this strategy could advance numerous components of broader societal benefit; public health, equity, economic prosperity, carbon storage and sequestration and workforce opportunities.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Promote workforce development in all working lands sector along all points of the supply chain:

So often in our quest for farm or forest business viability we are pursuing infrastructure investments. We need our focus to shift slightly: from *farm* and forest viability to *farmer* and forester/logger viability, business viability to land, water, and forest viability. As we have observed, public investment in infrastructure (manure pits, water quality projects) without an equal investment in farmers and farmworkers does not adequately support farmers, limiting their ability to support the needs of the climate crisis. When farms go out of business, we run the risk of losing the value that land brings to climate resilience.

Our current workforce is ready to rise to the challenge but needs support in developing a business system where becoming a farmer or farmworker, a forester, a logger, a logistics manager at a food hub, is a viable career path that supports the natural lands enterprises that research consistently shows are essential to address our climate adaptation strategies, immediately and into the future.

- a. Develop, endorse and implement fair trade and equitable labor practices and just livelihoods for the natural and working lands sector
- b. Better resource state programs to support landowners' personal and professional development, and where needed, develop additional affordable and accessible training programs such as apprenticeships, certificates, stackable credentials, and concurrent degrees. Provide training to natural land managers in securing, retaining and supporting employees.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: This strategy could have a high impact, particularly in our rural communities and natural communities. Resourced people are able to care for our natural and working lands far better. Additionally, as a state we all benefit from increased clean water efforts, food security, and more circular local economies.

Equity: A well-resourced workforce well equipped to steward our lands into the future will be critical. Particularly given costs of higher education, building out options to "earn while you learn" offer new pipelines to education and viable careers. Any new policies implemented should be created using the Just Transitions *Guiding Principles* and equity screening rubric.

Cost-effectiveness: Though it will require investment, existing revenue streams could be redirected and prioritized differently, with a climate resilience and equity lens to accomplish some of these goals.

Co-Benefits: Immense. A state where the working lands economy sustained just livelihoods would result in massive net benefits for all; could provide for an entirely

reinvigorated work force, enhanced circular economies, keeping more dollars in state, and the enhanced resilience of our natural and working lands and therefore our people.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Strengthen all aspects of working lands' supply chains and the associated infrastructure to support them:

Similar to above but focused on the necessary infrastructure investments and upgrades that will allow our working lands' sector to build out their production, distribution, and logistical capacity. Again, as we determine our methodologies for siting and development, we need to be transparent about potential impacts and harms and frame our new projects within the *Guiding Principles*.

- a. Make significant investment in storage, processing, and distribution infrastructure in order to enhance product innovation and quality across all Vermont food and forest products.
- b. Support product-specific value chain development through facilitation of producer, distributor and buyer matchups and supporting producer-driven aggregation, distribution, and marketing enterprises.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Moderate to High.

Equity: Though siting and development of infrastructure/processing would need to be implemented using the *Guiding Principles* equity screening rubric, building out our local supply chains and distribution cuts down on our upstream emissions and inequitable impacts in other places in the globe. The more we can source here, the less we emit, the less we degrade the environment's resources in other places though clearly our regulations a

Cost-effectiveness: Moderate. Initial costs are high, but long-term benefits equate to overall cost-effectiveness. Development of supportive and creative infrastructure is essential to the success of agricultural and forestry sustainability through market development.

Co-Benefits: If build out utilizing the *Guiding Principles* the co-benefits of these investments are high.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Ensure equitable access to local foods, culturally relevant foods, land, funds, grants, and technical assistance for people who have been historically marginalized and come from impacted communities:

The reality of Vermont is no different than anywhere else in the United States. The land we now know as Vermont is the ancestral and unceded homelands of the Abenaki and Mohican peoples that were appropriated by Europeans and their descendants. A Additionally, redlining and inequity that denies our BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, disabled, migrant worker and low-income

communities from accessing land, homes, loans, technical assistance, culturally relevant foods and access to just and dignified lives. Equitable access to all communities increases our ability to creatively and sustainably support our working lands economies and the related climate benefits.

- a. Build out and utilize TEK to build out connections to our Tribal and Indigenous communities in the development and utilization of traditional products, e.g. birch syrup, sumac spices, etc.
- b. Uplift and resource the work of the Vermont Releaf Collective and other BIPOC led organizations
- c. Improve funding opportunities and create equitable access for BIPOC organizations and BIPOC owned businesses by developing multi-year, unrestricted BIPOC centered grants and loan programs.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: High. The ability of all of Vermont's people to become resilient and adaptive is imperative to our shared future. Additionally, so many of the practices and tenants that we embrace as regenerative, organic, or sustainable can be directly attributed to global Indigenous traditions and it is incumbent upon us to restore both the appropriate attribution of these practices and the ability of our BIPOC communities to practice them.

Equity: Any endeavors that are pursued must be done so as directed and informed by the communities for whom they are created. Our typical power dynamics and structures must be flipped and the sovereignty of our BIPOC communities to self-determine the direction with which they nourish themselves, their land, and their communities must be the goal.

Cost-effectiveness: Moderate - High.

Co-Benefits: Repairs harms, sustains lands and communities, heals trauma and builds deeper connections for communities to land and their ability to sustain. *Technical Feasibility*: Yes

Strategy 5: Develop a Vermont food security and sovereignty plan, centered around a thriving food system, and inspired by community-based responses to food insecurity and disruptive events:

1 in 3 Vermonters are food insecure and additionally we know that many of our frontline and impacted communities face massive barriers to access when trying to sustain themselves and their families, including many of the very people who produce food for others. As was witnessed during COVID the brittleness of our food systems impacts our people quickly. The ability of our state to feed its people will be imperative as climate change and its realities take further hold.

a. Involve food insecure individuals as well as farmers in the planning, and investigate questions including, but not limited to, affordable housing, health care, transportation, siting of retail grocery stores, food distribution, and ensuring the continued production of food in Vermont to increase resilience and adaptation for all.

b. Work to adopt state and regional level policies, procedures, and plans to ensure that the Vermont food supply is sufficient to withstand global or national food supply chain disruptions caused by climate change and other disasters.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Substantial, particularly for our most vulnerable communities.

Equity: Actions in this realm must be undertaken utilizing the *Guiding Principles*. The traditional power dynamics and control must give way to the voices of those who are most impacted by food insecurity and disruptive events. Community engagement will be paramount and the needs expressed will need to be addressed vs. our more traditional pejorative approach. How do communities wish to access food? What do they need? Where do they want it? These questions apply to Strategy 4 as well.

Cost-effectiveness: Could be done in very cost-effective ways, but would need funding for compensation for community members asked to participate and lend their expertise.

Co-Benefits: Food is a fundamental right, ensuring Vermonters are fed, particularly our children, is a moral obligation.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Pathway 4: Land use - Shape land use and development that support carbon sequestration and storage, climate resilience and adaptation, and natural and human communities for a sustainable and equitable future

The way in which Vermonters live on and interact with the land will directly affect our ability to meet our goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, sequester and store carbon, and adapt and build resilience to the impacts of climate change. Further, the climate crisis is exacerbating other crises, including a shortage of housing, as more people move to Vermont to escape the impacts of harsher climates and harsh climate events elsewhere. Similarly, along with the climate crisis, we also have a biodiversity crisis. Past land use decisions have resulted in declines in the number of species, reductions in the size of populations, and losses of habitats across Vermont. It is critical that we use this opportunity to address all climate-related crises and create thoughtful land use planning for Vermont that helps to increase biodiversity and resilience to climate change, while accommodating the need for more housing and new sources of energy.

To meet these goals, we need policies and programs that protect our forests and fields, both those that are wild and unmanaged and those that are actively managed. Similarly, we need policies and programs to protect and restore our wetlands, floodplains, rivers, and lakes. At the same time, we need policies and programs that encourage the development of walkable and livable villages, town centers, and downtowns, along with complementary policies and programs that discourage the development of our remaining open spaces in the form of sprawl. Finally, we need policies and programs that guide decisions to help us appropriately site renewable energy projects and other components of the low-carbon energy infrastructure of the future so critical to our success in achieving our climate goals, while avoiding and minimizing impacts to our lands and waters.

This kind of work, developing policies and programs to protect our land, is not new to Vermonters. We have a structure of governance, laws, policies, and programs that further many of these goals already. Vermont has recognized that the maintenance of the ecological functions of the land and all the amazing diversity of living creatures is critical to our future prosperity and maintenance of our quality of life. This future depends upon protecting this landscape while continuing to draw sustenance from it. We also know that there are gaps in this system and that many people have not shared in the environmental, economic, and other benefits associated with our state's lands, our green hills and silver waters. We also worry that many people who now depend upon the land for their livelihood may get left behind.

To ensure a just transition to a system that fully realizes the potential of the land to sustain Vermont's communities into the future, we need an inclusive and transparent planning process that uses science to inform our decisions and does not place the needs of any one group of Vermonters over another. We also need to provide present and future landowners the information and tools they need to help us meet our climate goals while still making a living. To achieve our goals, we need to invite all Vermonters to understand, be part of and benefit from this transition. Finally, we need a system of accountability that ensures that we are all abiding by the plans and shared expectations that we establish for how we live on the land. The following recommendations are intended to provide important steps towards this shared vision for Vermont.

KEY STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Promote and incentivize compact settlement and reduce forest fragmentation:

Effective land use in Vermont requires understanding both sides of the land use coin – Vermonters need walkable and livable communities with sufficient housing and places to work and shop. We also need healthy forests, farms, fields, and waters. Our challenge is to plan for and guide development to the places where we already have or want to construct the necessary infrastructure for transportation, energy, communications, and human services, and away from the open spaces so critical to both our ecological and economic health. The Agriculture & Ecosystems subcommittee recognizes the value and importance of investing in and planning for compact settlement as a key strategy for conserving Vermont's natural and working lands and waters. Given the cross-cutting nature of compact settlement, which supports not only conservation, but also resilient, affordable housing, efficient transportation networks that reduce emissions, and more, the actions developed by this subcommittee that are specific to compact settlement have been captured in Section 15, under Compact Settlement.

- a. Provide enhanced technical assistance and support to municipalities and regions, including outreach and education for landowners and community members, to develop and implement town plans intended to maintain forest blocks and connecting habitat as authorized by Act 171, and effective zoning and subdivision bylaws to maintain forest blocks and connecting habitat.
- b. Develop required climate-based framework and/or criteria for state grant and regulatory programs.

Ltr.	Action and Timeline	Criteria
a.	Action: Provide enhanced	Impact: Local and regional plans, and landowner
	technical assistance and support to	understanding of and compliance with those plans,
	municipalities and regions,	are foundational to making informed land use
	including outreach and education	decisions
	for landowners and community	Equity: Transparent and inclusive planning
	members, to develop and	processes are essential to ensuring that all
	implement town plans intended to	Vermonters have a voice in determining an
	maintain forest blocks and	equitable balance of land uses
	connecting habitat as authorized	Cost-effectiveness: Investing in planning, plan
	by Act 171, and effective zoning	implementation, and plan communications and
	and subdivision bylaws to	outreach are among the most cost-effective means
	maintain forest blocks and	of informing and guiding development decisions.
	connecting habitat.	
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be	Co-Benefits: Making smart land use decisions,
	implemented in the near term	informed by science and robust public process will
		provide a full array of community and

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		environmental benefits in addition to supporting
		our climate goals.
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
b	Action: Develop required climate-	Impact: State agencies make a multitude of
	based framework and/or criteria	decisions that affect the way in which Vermonters
	for state grant and regulatory	live on and interact with the land. Leveraging
	programs.	funding and regulatory programs through
	Timeline to Implement: Can be	incorporating climate change criteria can enable a
	implemented in the near-term	significant collective impact
		<i>Equity:</i> The climate-based framework should also
		include elements that address equity in order to
		ensure that the benefits of the decisions are
		equitably distributed, and that the process used to
		reach those decisions are inclusive and transparent
		Cost Effectiveness: The time and expense of
		developing and implementing the framework
		across state government will need additional
		funding from the General Assembly
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be	<i>Co-Benefits:</i> Due to the breadth and scope of state
	implemented in the near term	decisions impacting the environment, it is difficult
		to speak with precision about the nature of the co-
		benefits, but the framework should be designed
		with the goal of optimizing co-benefits
		Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Include biodiversity and resilience goals in the planning and management of natural and working lands (both public and private).

Through careful study, monitoring, and planning, we can develop a shared understanding of how to optimize the many benefits of Vermont's lands and waters while making significant progress towards our climate goals. These plans need the support of strong policies and programs that provide both restrictions and incentives to guide land use in order to be effective.

- a. Improve statewide forest planning efforts on State and Federal Lands, including development of an action plan by ANR for how State Lands will help accomplish Vermont Conservation Design targets by 2030 and 2050, and collaborate with the U.S. Forest Service (Green Mountain National Forest) planners for more unified forest planning across the state.
- b. Support efforts to research, educate about, and implement practices informed by traditional ecological knowledge such as using fire to promote regeneration and coppicing, where appropriate for Vermont's forests and ecosystems.
- c. Examine the implications and consider establishing a state policy of no net-loss of natural and working lands (including active and passively managed forests, agricultural lands, and wetlands) accounting for the transitions of lands within and between these conditions, with aspiration for a net gain.

(1) As part of this effort, track land use trends to quantify degree of no netloss, including aggregating data on subdivision, land transfers, and the loss and/or fragmentation of forests, agricultural lands and wetlands to inform progress and state policy.

(2) Develop a strategy to increase the area of land in functioning wetlands, with an initial focus on protecting and recovering the highest quality wetlands ("Class I Wetlands" in ANR's wetlands rules), consistent with the goal of ensuring no net loss of other categories of natural and working lands.

- d. Recommend amending the Use Value Appraisal (UVA) program to allow for
 - (1) greater development of old forest structure as articulated in the targets of Vermont Conservation Design;
 - (2) the enrollment of wildland reserves under the existing forestland category where conditions and eligibility criteria are met as defined by Forest Parks and Recreation, facilitating the development of old forest conditions through active restoration and/or passive management as a means of enrollment in the Old Forest ESTA (ecologically significant treatment area) category;
 - (3) privately held parcels with 'Forever Wild' easements on them, held by a qualified 501c(3), to be enrolled in the UVA Program in the Conservation Category; and
 - (4) the potential for, and implications of, developing a new category of enrollment for land in UVA which allows for passive management modeled on the 'open-space' designation included in similar programs elsewhere in New England.
- e. Identify lands needing conservation because they are in or adjacent to the built environment that have large impact to human health, wellbeing, and equity.
- f. Adopt legislation to authorize ANR to revise the Flood Hazard Area & River Corridor (FHARC) rule to incorporate statewide jurisdiction and permitting authority for river corridors for all kinds of development.

Ltr.	Action and Timeline	Criteria
a.	Action: Improve statewide forest	Impact: Through taking actions to implement the
	planning efforts on State and	Vermont Conservation Design goals, and
	Federal Lands, including	coordinating with the U.S. Forest Service regarding
	development of an action plan by	forest management in the Green Mountain National
	ANR for how State Lands will	Forest, Vermont will increase the amount of old
	help accomplish Vermont	forest, protect biodiversity, and work to advance
	Conservation Design targets by	resilience to climate change
	2030 and 2050, and collaborate	<i>Equity:</i> Taking action to protect the mix and range
	with the U.S. Forest Service	of principles reflected in the Vermont Conservation
	(Green Mountain National	Design goals, and goals for the Green Mountain
	Forest) planners for more unified	National Forest, and through engaging in a
	forest planning across the state.	transparent and inclusive planning process, Vermont
		can ensure that all voices are heard and considered
		in the decisions affecting the predominant land use
		type in Vermont
		Cost Effectiveness: The State of Vermont will need
		additional capacity to fully support and realize the

and available
effective and
veloped.
d taking
of natural
an
erns of land
ng this goal
actions
ion
it, and
nnical
l be read in
rage more
open and
areas
e for
individuals
ncies
structure,
5.
ng actions to
lize a broad
ige and
, wildlife
vellbeing,
ıl
m hag
m has
e past 50 eveloping
unities.
der the an
relating to
upport rural
ction of
hile
so support
ation and
ctly benefits

	 through active restoration and/or passive management as a means of enrollment in the Old Forest ESTA (ecologically significant treatment area) category; (3) privately held parcels with 'Forever Wild' easements on them, held by a qualified 501c(3), to be enrolled in the UVA Program in the Conservation Category; and (4) the potential for, and implications of, developing a new category of enrollment for land in UVA which allows for passive management modeled on the 'open-space' designation included in similar programs elsewhere in New England. 	ownership patterns, should be coupled with other policies that increase access to land ownership for people historically and disproportionately precluded from the same level of access to land ownership. We also need to consider the impacts of any changes on the people who depend upon forest management for their livelihood and enact policies to ensure that they can transition to this new vision for forest management. <i>Cost Effectiveness:</i> Depending on how these changes are structured, there could be a significant increase in the state resources needed to sustain the investment in the benefits of incentivizing landowners to keep their land undeveloped
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be implemented in the near to medium term.	<i>Co-Benefits:</i> Keeping land open and undeveloped brings with it the full panoply of environmental, economic, and community benefits associated with our working lands.
e.	<i>Action:</i> Identify Natural and Working Lands (NWL) for conservation in or adjacent to the built environment that have large impact to human health, wellbeing, and equity.	Technical Feasibility: Yes Impact: In addition to the climate benefits of conserving more rural or remote lands that provide wildlife habitat and other purposes, due their remoteness from human populations, we will also realize climate benefits from conserving lands that are closer to developed lands and the most at risk for being converted to housing and commercial development.
		Equity:Equity:There is a substantial equity benefit ofincreasing the access of people living in developedareas to open and natural landsCost Effectiveness:This recommendation alone willnot hold a significant cost, but will require a targetedapplication of other conservation strategies on thislist that will have costs in terms of the expense ofbuilding state capacity to administer the programs,and to pay for land acquired or conserved throughthose programsCo-Benefits:While the benefits to wildlife may notbe as substantial as protecting larger blocks of land

		remote from population centers and the built environment, there will be benefits to species of insects, including pollinators, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals that tolerate proximity to humans, as well as to migratory wildlife such as birds that need food and shelter. Further, some of the most imperiled, and unique, natural communities are located in areas of significant development pressure such as the Champlain Valley. <i>Technical Feasibility:</i> Yes
f.	Action: Through legislation, authorize ANR to revise the Flood Hazard Area & River Corridor (FHARC) rule to incorporate statewide jurisdiction and permitting authority for river corridors for all kinds of development.	Impact:Functioning floodplains are one of Vermont's greatest assets in responding to the increase in the frequency and intensity of flood events caused by climate change. Local governments frequently lack the expertise and resources to implement floodplain and river corridor protections at a watershed scale as needed to achieve healthy ecosystems and flood resilient communities. Enhanced statewide oversight of development within floodplains and river corridors is a critical step for Vermont to be able to realize the opportunity to better protect floodplains and river corridors from development, and allowing those intact systems to, in turn, protect communities from flood-related impactsEquity:Communities that have been underserved and people in a lower income bracket frequently find housing in flood-prone areas. Regulating development in these areas both prevents housing from being developed where it is in harm's way, protects lives, and also reduces downstream flood damage. Further, because under-resourced towns often are unable to appropriately regulate development in those hazardous locations. This recommendation provides statewide consistency and equity in providing for Vermonters' safety.Cost Effectiveness:The state will need to incur the cost of building the additional capacity necessary to administer an expanded regulatory program, including improved guidance and technical assistance. The state will also reduce the costs of

responding to and recovering from flood-related disasters.
<i>Co-Benefits:</i> Floodplain forests are an important carbon sink in addition to providing climate resilience, are critical to protect water quality, and frequently provide important community natural space <i>Technical Feasibility:</i> Yes

Strategy 3: Invest in strategic conservation in order to increase the pace of permanent conservation towards 30x30 targets (described in federal report "<u>Conserving and Restoring America the Beautiful</u>"), with Vermont Conservation Design acting as the guiding plan for prioritization of efforts.

One of Vermont's great achievements over the past fifty years has been its investment in permanent land conservation, such as protecting natural and working lands from development through public ownership or purchasing development rights to be held by land trusts. We recommend taking advantage of the strong programs and Vermont's experience in doing this important work by increasing our conservation investments, with special attention and focus to those lands that best serve our climate goals, while also addressing the longstanding inequities present in our current patterns of land ownership.

- a. Expand use of the Water Infrastructure Sponsorship Program (WISPr) to improve accessibility and use for restoration projects.
- b. Promote statewide landscape connectivity and forest blocks conservation planning through robust support of the Staying Connective Initiative and use of Vermont Conservation Design and TNC's Resilient and Connected Landscape in state program prioritization frameworks.
- c. Through permanent conservation coupled with both active and passive restoration efforts on both public and private lands, allow approximately 9% of Vermont's forest to become (or be maintained as) old forest, specifically targeting 15% of the matrix forest within the highest priority forest blocks identified in Vermont Conservation Design to achieve this condition.
- d. Create a statewide environmental justice policy.
- e. Per the formula in statute, fully fund the Vermont Housing & Conservation Board (VHCB); including \$3M for the Farm & Forest Viability Program and increase annual VHCB funding above the statutory amount by 15%, targeting those funds for implementation of conservation actions recommended in CAP, especially those related to forests.
- f. Identify and protect climate refugia.
- g. Use best available data and mapping to analyze existing portfolio of conserved agricultural lands to identify forest, wetland and natural community restoration opportunities and prioritize funding for these projects.
- h. Maintain a suite of Farmland Conservation & Protection tools ranging from voluntary, regulatory and planning (e.g. easements, Act 250, planning, zoning).

Ltr.	Action and Timeline	Criteria
a.	Action: Expand use of the Water Infrastructure Sponsorship Program (WISPr) to improve accessibility and use for restoration projects.	Impact: Through innovative financing, local governments can support ecological restoration that provides important ecosystem services and reduced flood damage, while also using methods that sequester and store carbonEquity: If implemented in a manner that promotes natural climate solutions and green infrastructure in developed areas, this program has the potential to benefit people in communities with less access to green or natural space. Given the current complexity and design of this program, accessibility for under-resourced municipalities is a concern, so revising the program would create greater access and ensure that public funding is
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be implemented in the near term	effective means of using bonds and state financing to support projects that are less expensive than traditional water infrastructure projectsCo-Benefits: Restoration projects funded through WISPr include benefits to wildlife, access to open and green space in communities, as well as a primary purpose to protect water qualityTechnical Feasibility: Yes
b.	<i>Action:</i> Promote statewide landscape connectivity and forest blocks conservation planning through robust support of the Staying Connective Initiative and use of Vermont Conservation Design and TNC's Resilient and Connected Landscape in state program prioritization frameworks.	Impact: Through strategically conserving forestland, Vermont will increase the amount of carbon sequestered and stored in our forests as well as allow for wildlife and plant movement across the landscape, protect biodiversity, protect climate refugia, increase resilience to extreme weather and improve water quality.Equity: Taking action to conserve forests, and through engaging in a transparent and inclusive planning process, Vermont can ensure that all voices are heard and considered in the decisions affecting the predominant land use type in Vermont.Cost Effectiveness: The State of Vermont will need additional capacity to fully support and realize the actions needed to meet these conservation goals
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be implemented in the near term	<i>Co-Benefits:</i> Protecting forests through an inclusive planning process will ensure that we optimize the ecological, economic, and other intangible benefits of Vermont's forests

		Technical Feasibility: Yes
с.	Action: Through permanent	<i>Impact:</i> See 3.b. above
	conservation coupled with both	<i>Equity:</i> See 3.b. above
	active and passive restoration	Cost Effectiveness: See 3.b. above
	efforts on both public and private	<i>Co-Benefits:</i> See 3.b. above
	lands, allow approximately 9% of	<i>Technical Feasibility:</i> See 3.b. above
	Vermont's forest to become (or be	
	maintained as) old forest,	
	specifically targeting 15% of the	
	matrix forest within the highest	
	priority forest blocks identified in	
	Vermont Conservation Design to	
	achieve this condition.	
d.	Action: Create a statewide	Impact: By ensuring that the various programs
	environmental justice policy.	proposed to address climate change in this report
		are evaluated in light of environmental and climate
		justice concerns, the state will ensure that a
		broader range of the public benefit from and
		support the actions taken under this plan, ensuring
		the long-term stability of programs that need to
		continue for decades in order to be effective.
		<i>Equity:</i> By definition, the creation of an
		environmental justice policy will further equity.
		Cost Effectiveness: The cost of this
		recommendation is the time and effort of state
		agency staff and leadership who will develop and
		adopt this policy across all relevant state
		programs. The benefits in terms of achieving a
		broader and more equitable distribution of the benefits of environmental protection and
		conservation will easily exceed those costs.
		Co-Benefits: A central benefit of pursuing
		environmental justice is the engagement of all
		Vermonters in the critical work of taking actions
		to address climate change.
		Technical Feasibility: Yes.
e.	Action: Per the formula in statute,	<i>Impact:</i> Through conserving forest and farmland,
	fully fund the Vermont Housing &	Vermont will increase the amount of carbon
	Conservation Board (VHCB);	sequestered and stored in our forests and farms, as
	including \$3M for the Farm &	well as an array of other environmental and
	Forest Viability Program and	economic benefits described above, and prevent
	increase annual VHCB funding	the conversion of those lands to development, with
	above the statutory amount by	the added benefit of preventing sprawl and carbon
	15%, targeting those funds for	intensive development patterns and creating the
	implementation of conservation	space for the natural and working lands economy

	actions recommended in CAP,	and those that depend on it to continue to steward
	especially those related to forests.	their land sustainably.
		Equity: Taking action to conserve forests and
		farms, and through engaging in a transparent and
		inclusive public funding process, Vermont can
		ensure that all voices are heard and considered in
		the decisions affecting the working and natural
		lands in Vermont
		Cost Effectiveness: The State of Vermont will
		need to increase funding for this purpose over the
		long-term, as well as to invest in building the
		additional state and non-profit land trust capacity
		to fully support and realize the actions needed to
		meet these conservation goals
		Co-Benefits: Protecting forests and farms will
		ensure that we optimize the ecological, economic
		and other intangible benefits of Vermont's forests
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
f.	Action: Identify and protect	<i>Impact:</i> Vermont's plants and wildlife will be
	climate refugia.	impacted by climate change, as will plants and
		wildlife throughout the region and the nation.
		Protecting natural and open working lands and
		waters in Vermont may be one of the only hopes
		for many species
		<i>Equity:</i> People need the same benefits provided by
		open and natural lands as plants and wildlife –
		increasing investments in the places our wild flora
		and fauna need to thrive is an investment in the
		same places that people need to thrive
		Cost Effectiveness: The cost of implementing this
		action is tied to the other related proposed actions
		to conserve and protect natural lands
		Co-Benefits: The ecosystem services of the land
		we protect as climate refugia will provide
		community benefits such non-material benefits
		like outdoor recreation, clean water, and flood
		resilience
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
g.	Action: Use best available data and	Impact: Through careful analysis and
	mapping to analyze existing	prioritization, Vermont will be able to optimize the
	portfolio of conserved agricultural	use of public funds to have the greatest impact at a
	lands to identify forest, wetland	landscape scale
	and natural community restoration	Equity: Taking action to prioritize the land we
	opportunities and prioritize	conserve will provide an important opportunity to
	funding for these projects	also consider historic inequities related to access

		to open and natural spaces for underserved
		communities.
		Cost Effectiveness: The cost of carefully analyzing
		and evaluating data about land conservation will
		be outweighed by the ability to focus public
		resources where they will have the greatest impact
		Co-Benefits: Ensuring land conservation programs
		to have the greatest climate benefits will also
		ensure that we optimize the ecological, economic
		and other intangible benefits of Vermont's natural
		and working lands
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
h.	Action: Maintain a suite of	Impact: See 3.e. above
	Farmland Conservation &	Equity: See 3.e. above
	Protection tools ranging from	Cost Effectiveness: See 3.e. above
	voluntary, regulatory and planning	Co-Benefits: See 3.e. above
	(e.g. easements, Act 250, planning,	Technical Feasibility: See 3.e. above
	zoning).	

Strategy 4: Increase technical assistance, capacity, education, and resources to support private farm and forest land owners in addressing the trends relating to intergenerational transfer.

The vast majority of Vermont's natural and working lands are privately owned. Currently, Vermont is facing a significant demographic shift in land ownership, including working forests and farms, with the risk of a concurrent shift in the use of these lands from forests and farms to development. Providing present and future landowners with the tools to manage this transition will help ensure that we protect our lands and waters and that we proactively and appropriately resource the next generation of forest and farm landowners and managers.

- a. Support forestland succession/estate planning efforts to reduce forest parcelization and fragmentation through implementation of the Act 171 'Intergenerational Transfer of Forestland Working Group Recommendations' of 2017.
- b. Develop and implement a farmer retirement program to facilitate the transfer of intact farmland.

Ltr.	Action and Timeline	Criteria
a.	Action: Support forestland	Impact: Keeping forests as forests, with all of the
	succession/estate planning	ecosystem services that forests provide including
	efforts to reduce forest	climate mitigation and adaptation benefits associated
	parcelization and fragmentation	with forests, requires that the many and private
	through implementation of the	forest landowners have access to the technical and
	Act 171 'Intergenerational	legal resources necessary to keep those forest
	Transfer of Forestland Working	parcels intact
	Group Recommendations' of	<i>Equity:</i> Managing through this demographic shift in
	2017.	land ownership patterns creates opportunities to

		enhance the access of people traditionally excluded
		from land ownership in Vermont
		Cost Effectiveness: Support and technical assistance
		to private landowners requires resources and
		capacity. The state can invest in expanding existing
		programs within FPR, VHCB and other non-profit
		organizations to provide these services at a
		reasonable cost, with significant public benefits
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be	Co-Benefits: At the same time that this program
	implemented in the near term	provides helpful information to landowners about
		succession planning, these interactions will build
		trust and the opportunity to educate landowners
		about the best conservation practices to manage
		forests sustainably
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
b.	Action: Develop and implement	Impact: Keeping farms as farms, with all of the
	a farmer retirement program to	ecosystem services, including climate mitigation and
	facilitate the transfer of intact	adaptation benefits, associated with farms, requires
	farmland	that farmers have access to the technical and legal
		resources necessary to keep their farms from being
		sold for development
		<i>Equity:</i> Managing through this demographic shift in
		land ownership patterns creates opportunities to
		enhance the access of people traditionally excluded
		from land ownership opportunities in Vermont
		Cost Effectiveness: Support and technical assistance
		to farmers requires resources and capacity. The state
		can invest in expanding existing programs within
		AAFM, VHCB and other non-profit organizations to
		provide these services at a reasonable cost, with
		significant public benefits
		Co-Benefits: At the same time that this program
		provides helpful information to farmers about
		succession planning, these interactions will build
		trust and the opportunity to educate landowners
		about the best conservation practices to manage
		these lands sustainably
		Technical Feasibility: Yes
·	1	· · ·

Strategy 5: Avoid, minimize, and mitigate the negative impacts of renewable energy generation, like other land development, on natural and working lands.

Vermont's transition to a future in which renewable energy is a major source of energy is necessary to achieve our greenhouse emission goals. At the same time, to date, that transition has been a bumpy one, with significant controversies over the places if and where biomass, wind, hydropower, and solar projects will be located, and a long-standing controversy over the use of electricity generated from nuclear and from hydro outside the state, such as in northern Quebec on Indigenous land.

Critically, if alternative sites are reasonably available, new renewable generation infrastructure, like other land development, must avoid and minimize to the greatest extent possible impacts on Vermont's forests, which support a range of ecological services critical for climate resilience and adaptation and provide the single largest source of carbon sequestration and storage in the state. Analysis conducted for the Council by Cadmus indicates that Vermont has seen a steady decline in sequestration. If that historic trend continues the state will not meet the GWSA's 2050 net zero target, even if the 2025 and 2030 emission reduction targets are achieved. The Cadmus analysis indicates Vermont must maintain sequestration at or above projected 2035 levels in order to be net zero by 2050 – since forests provide by far the greatest share of the state's sequestration, all efforts should be made to locate new renewable energy infrastructure outside of forests and minimize tree clearing associated with new plants.

To achieve this goal, we must do the heavy lifting of engaging at the local, state, and regional level to establish a process, guidelines, and expectations for how we plan for, design, and transition to a low-carbon energy future, while simultaneously reducing impacts to our natural and working lands and waters. Work by Regional Planning Commissions and Municipalities to inventory potential renewable energy sites as part of their Regional Enhanced Energy Plans is an important step. We need to evaluate the effectiveness of these planning efforts. In addition, an underutilized strategy is to use a combination of siting requirements and incentives to encourage the development of renewable energy projects in areas that are already developed, like buildings and parking lots.

- a. Evaluate the effectiveness of the program of Regional and Municipal Enhanced Energy Plans and the application of these plans to decisions by the PUC in terms of their ability to direct the siting of renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids the conversion of working and natural lands and the loss of the carbon storage and sequestration, climate resilience, and other co-benefits that are associated with those lands if alternative sites are reasonably available. If the process of developing and implementing the system of Regional and Municipal Enhanced Energy Plans is not achieving this goal, then adjust the laws and regulations applicable to renewable energy siting to ensure the effectiveness of the plans.
- b. Incentivize or carefully consider mandating solar and wind capacity on new buildings as well as in previously-disturbed/developed areas and avoid and minimize forest clearing for renewables through incentives and other siting polices, rules, and regulations.
- c. Consider the need for incentives to site new renewable energy generation on parking lots, rooftops, and already altered locations. And discourage siting of new renewable energy generation on intact ecosystems, forests, and natural land if alternative sites are reasonably available.

Ltr.	Action and Timeline	Criteria
a.	Action: Evaluate the effectiveness of the	Impact: Engaging local governments at a
	program of Regional and Municipal	regional level to evaluate and prioritize
	Enhanced Energy Plans and the application	locations for siting renewable energy

 of these plans to decisions by the PUC in terms of their ability to direct the siting of renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids the conversion of working and natural lands and the loss of the carbon storage and sequestration, climate resilience, and other co-benefits that are associated with those lands if alternative sites are reasonably available. If the process of developing and implementing the system of Regional and Municipal Enhanced Energy Plans is not achieving this goal, then adjust the laws and regulations applicable to renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on natural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on natural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on natural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on natural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy projects in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on natural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy regices in a manner that avoids or minimizes the impact on satural and working lands. <i>Equity:</i> Taking action to site renewable energy regices in a manner that avoids or minimize to mease the energy endicity in the state agencies including ANR, PSD and PUC. At the same time, resolving conflicts in advance through enganing process can avoid the costs of delay and conflict associated with contexted siting decisions. <i>Co-benefit:</i> Vermont needs to grow the renewable energy capacity in the state agencies to make decisions that are informed both by community interests and by an accurate assessment of the impacts of climate change. The balancing of these two climate the induct to the induct to the dudet to t
mandating solar and wind capacity on new renewable energy developed in harder-to-
Technical Feasibility: Yes.

	buildings as well as in previously- disturbed/developed areas and avoid and minimize forest clearing for renewables through incentives and other siting polices, rules, and regulations.	develop locations will result in reduced market pressures to develop those projects on open and undeveloped land that is providing important ecosystem services, including the storage and sequestration of carbon <i>Equity:</i> Reducing barriers to accessing renewable energy resources in more intensively developed areas, including multi-family housing, will extend the benefits of renewable energy to people who might not otherwise have access <i>Cost Effectiveness:</i> A central barrier to the development of renewable energy projects in already developed areas are the costs. The state will have to consider a combination of greater incentives for renewable energy installation, and carefully consider increased restrictions on projects on undeveloped land, both of which will have real economic costs. At the same time, extending the benefits of renewable energy to more people in more densely populated areas has the potential to become more efficient and effective once the necessary investments in technology and infrastructure are made
	<i>Timeline to Implement:</i> Can be	Co-Benefits: Keeping natural and working
	implemented in the near term	lands open and undeveloped provide a much greater degree of environmental co- benefits including clean water and wildlife habitat than when developed <i>Technical Feasibility:</i> Yes.
c.	Action: Consider the need for incentives to	Impact: See 5.b. above.
	site new renewable energy generation on	<i>Equity:</i> See 5.b. above.
1	parking lots, rooftops, and already altered	Cost Effectiveness: See 5.b. above.
1	locations. And discourage siting of new	Co-Benefits: See 5.b. above.
	renewable energy generation on intact	Technical Feasibility: Yes.
	ecosystems, forests, and natural land if alternative sites are reasonably available.	
L	anomative sites are reasonably available.	<u> </u>

Pathways for Sequestration and Storing Carbon

Vermont's natural and working lands and waters are our greatest asset in mitigating the impacts of climate change. Natural climate solutions (as outlined in section 13) are conservation, restoration and improved land management actions that increase carbon storage or avoid greenhouse gas emissions in landscapes and wetlands across the globe. Combined with taking action to reduce our emissions through the preceding strategies and actions, natural climate solutions offer Vermont some of our best options in the response to climate change. These strategies and actions will help us build a more resilient and adaptive Vermont while also helping us sequester and store more carbon. Natural and working lands (NWL) in Vermont currently store over 2,000 MMT CO₂–e, and sequester carbon at a current annual rate of -2.91 MMT CO₂ –e ²²¹. We must act to ensure that we maintain and enhance this sequestration and storage capacity.

Those that live and work in these ecosystems have a critical role in helping us reduce our net emissions. Management decisions on NWLs can result in both the reduction of emissions and also increased absorption and storage of carbon dioxide (sequestration) from the same unit of land – these management choices also result in net sequestration across forestry, wetlands, and agricultural emissions.²²² Therefore, it is critical that we empower landowners and those within the farm and forest sectors to select the best management decisions to both ensure the continued sequestration and long-term storage of carbon. A critical component of moving into a resilient and adaptive future in the face of our changing climate lies squarely in our ability as a state to empower, embrace, and increase the inherent resilience of our natural and working lands and ecosystems to provide for our shared future.

Outlined below is a set of pathways, strategies, and actions that will not only empower our land to store and sequester carbon, but uplift and resource the land stewards, landowners, farmers, foresters, and caretakers who interact with our natural and working lands every day. As a result, Vermont will also realize significant co-benefits, including buffering the impacts of extreme precipitation and drought stress, reducing downstream flood risks, supporting biodiversity, protecting water quality, and enhancing productivity and investing in healthy soils that benefits all of us immensely. This work also seeks to acknowledge the systems in which we are currently mired, those that capitalized farmers and foresters into extractive methods of production and resulted in both deep inequity to our land base, historically marginalized populations, frontline, and impacted communities, including farmers and foresters themselves.

Efforts to invest in the capability of our natural and working lands to sequester and store carbon are being undertaken by farm and forest managers on their lands throughout Vermont today, but as we face increased extreme weather events, generational land transfer, exacerbated income and

²²¹ Galford et al. 2021. A Carbon Budget for Vermont. Insert link

https://outside.vermont.gov/agency/anr/climatecouncil/Shared%20Documents/Carbon%20Budget%20for%20Vermont%20Sept %202021.pdf

²²² Ex-ACT modeling from carbon budget.

https://outside.vermont.gov/agency/anr/climatecouncil/Shared%20Documents/Carbon%20Budget%20for%20Vermont%20Sept %202021.pdf

social inequity it will be incumbent upon us all to transform the manner with which we design and implement our approach moving forward to ensure that both an equity and climate lens are first and foremost in how we prioritize our actions. Vermont is fortunate to have large forest, wetland, and agricultural carbon stocks but we must take action to maintain them. While carbon sequestration rates are not constant, we can invest in conservation practices and more while also further advancing research and monitoring to foster a deeper understanding of Vermont's sequestration potential.

To meet the required objectives of the GWSA and mitigate drivers of climate change, it is critical that the State of Vermont invest in measures to protect existing carbon stocks, increase the net balance of carbon sequestration in natural and working landscapes, better understand the way land management changes influence the storage and sequestration of carbon, and accurately track changes over time. These strategies and actions give land managers the financial and technical support to implement practices that protect and increase carbon in the landscape so that Vermont can complement its emission reductions with sequestration and storage goals that get the state to net zero.

Pathway 1: Maintain and expand Vermont's natural and working lands' role in the mitigation of climate change through human interventions to reduce the sources and enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases.

KEY STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Leverage, expand, and adapt existing State of Vermont programs that support the agricultural sector's mitigation of climate change through:

- Prevention—of emissions to the atmosphere by conserving existing carbon pools in soils or vegetation, or by reducing emissions of methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N₂O);
- Sequestration—by increasing the size of existing carbon pools, and thereby extracting carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere; and
- Substitution—substituting of biological products for fossil fuels or energy-intensive products, thereby reducing CO₂ emissions.

Actions

- a. Implement agronomic practices that reduce tillage and increase vegetative cover, e.g. no-till, cover crop.
- b. Expand Capital Equipment Assistance Program (CEAP) program to extend beyond water quality and incorporate climate change criteria.
- c. Implement grazing practices that increase vegetative cover and forage quality, e.g. rotational grazing.
- d. Implement agroforestry and silvopasture practices that integrate woody vegetation in agricultural production.

- e. Implement edge-of-field practices that increase herbaceous and woody vegetation, e.g. riparian forest buffer (e.g. CREP).
- f. Implement natural resource restoration practices that support climate mitigation and resilience, including river corridor easements, wetland restoration, and afforestation practices with consideration to agricultural land loss.
- g. Implement Nutrient Management and Amendments (e.g., biochar, compost) on cropland and grazing land.
- h. Implement methane capture and energy generation on farms, e.g., anaerobic digesters and covers.
- i. Research into improved manure management and storage.
- j. Research and develop a climate feed management program, including both feed amendments (e.g., seaweed, biochar) and feed quality (e.g., forage quality) to reduce enteric methane emissions; consider downstream impacts, sustainability, and equity.

It should be noted that the pathway, strategy, and actions listed in this section can also be found in Section 11 - Pathways for Agricultural Mitigation. As these actions have co-benefits for both GHG mitigation and carbon sequestration, they can be found in two places in this plan.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Over 300,000 acres of cumulative agricultural conservation practices have been implemented by farmers in Vermont since 2016.²²³ The adoption of natural climate solutions (NCS) and technologies to address water quality impacts on farms have co-benefits for GHG mitigation goals in Vermont. USDA reports that mitigation efforts across agricultural cropland management, manure management, and pasture management can provide over 70 MMT of CO₂-e emission reduction across the United States.²²⁴

Equity: Soil health can be improved across all sectors of Vermont agriculture. Access to state programs is coordinated with federal programs to attempt to provide the most coverage for different sized farms with different planning and management goals.

Cost-effectiveness: A 2021 study reports that in Canada, agricultural cropland management could provide the most GHG mitigation potential by 2030 at the most cost-effective price point across all natural climate solutions evaluated, with over 68% of the 44.4 MT CO₂-e mitigation potential for agriculture costing less than \$100/MT CO-e.²²⁵ Quantifying the mitigation benefit of existing agricultural conservation practices and extending their reach is an immediate first step Vermont can take to mitigate agricultural GHG emissions.

Co-Benefits: Vermont's air, biodiversity, soil, water, and social considerations are improved through the implementation of existing agricultural conservation

²²⁵ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada." *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034.

²²³ Clean Water Interactive Dashboard based on data from Vermont Clean Water Initiative 2020 Performance Report. January 15, 2021.

https://app.powerbigov.us/view?r=eyJrIjoiNTI5Y2QxZDEtODY3Ni00ZmYwLThjZTAtNjdiNTM3YTQyZjRkIiwidCI6IjIwYjQ 5MzNiLWJhYWQtNDMzYy05YzAyLTcwZWRjYzc1NTljNiJ9. ²²⁴ Pape, D., J. Lewandrowski, R. Steele, D. Man, M. Riley-Gilbert, K. Moffroid, and S. Kolansky, 2016. "Managing Agricultural

²²⁴ Pape, D., J. Lewandrowski, R. Steele, D. Man, M. Riley-Gilbert, K. Moffroid, and S. Kolansky, 2016. "Managing Agricultural Land for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation within the United States." Report prepared by ICF International under USDA Contract No. AG-3144-D-14-0292. July 2016. <u>https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/White_Paper_WEB_Final_v3.pdf</u>.
programming described in actions (a) - (j) above. Specific examples of co-benefits in addition to GHG mitigation potential include:

- Overall adaptation, resilience, and water quality benefits
- Reduced soil erosion
- Reduced nutrient runoff
- Increase in soil organic matter (soil health, infiltration, water storage)
- Reduced flooding
- Resilience to drought and extreme rain events
- Reduced nitrogen fertilizer if planting legumes
- Reduced ground temperatures due to albedo effect of plant cover

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Create a system for tracking and accounting metrics and indicators for natural and working lands.

The tracking and accounting for emissions reductions and sequestration are inadequate for natural and working lands and need improvement and additional resources for development and maintenance. Extensive datasets exist for water quality implementation but need specific quantification for climate mitigation (a). Additionally, the current tools used for quantification are inadequate for the complicated management mechanisms and natural processes occurring on natural and working lands that lead to climate mitigation (b). Finally, update the state's inventory to reflect guidance set by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) of the United Nations to account for net emissions. Adequately tracking and accounting for emissions gains and losses from natural and working lands is essential to justly credit and further incentivize ongoing climate mitigation work by farmers, foresters, and other land managers.

Actions

- a. Develop a methodology and protocol for quantifying climate mitigation, resilience, and adaptation impacts of existing state and federal water quality implementation programs as reported through the annual Clean Water Initiative Performance Report. The Clean Water Initiative Performance Report "summarizes the State of Vermont's clean water efforts and demonstrates how investments are making a difference through accountability measures." As mentioned, most water quality conservation practices and programs also have climate mitigation, resilience, and adaptation benefits. Recommend using existing tracking systems and quantify the climate benefits from this existing implementation and data tracking. The data spans state and federal funding programs and regulatory programs that drive clean water efforts and coordinates across agencies to track these efforts and monitor progress.
- b. The Vermont Climate Council has recommended developing and issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) that will review and analyze methodological gaps of emission inventory tools currently used by the State of Vermont to quantify greenhouse gas emissions for evaluating changes in the Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) sector and the tools' alignment with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and peer state methodologies and approaches. The specific recommendations for this RFP can be found in the Carbon Budget Report memo found in Appendix 10.

c. Based on the findings of the technical RFP mentioned in action step (b) of this strategy, the VCC should consider recommending that the State of Vermont GHG emissions inventory protocol established in 10 V.S.A. § 582 be amended to include an inventory of GHG emissions that align with the intent and standards of the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories that will include a net GHG emission accounting for the agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria Impact: Currently, agricultural mitigation in the form of management practices that reduce emissions as sequestration on agricultural cropland is not counted in the current GHG Emissions Inventory. With over 300,000 acres of agricultural conservation practice implementation since 2016 that have GHG mitigation potential and associated Emission Reduction Coefficients - these practices are currently tracked but not counted for agricultural mitigation. The scale of mitigation from the agricultural sector has been identified by a Canadian study to be the largest single opportunity amongst all evaluated natural climate solutions (NCS) – the potential scale of impact for mitigation is large in Vermont if mitigation potentials are counted through the VT GHG Emission Inventory. Equity: The current GHG Emissions Inventory only quantifies non-CO₂ emissions from the agricultural sector. Absent from current emission accounting are the stocks and fluxes of CO₂ from agricultural cropland – management impacts are also not provided for in the current GHG Emissions Inventory for agriculture. Ensuring that the State of Vermont GHG Emissions Inventory comports with IPCC standards is essential for an equitable accounting for the AFOLU sector. Cost-effectiveness: Over 300,000 acres of agricultural conservation practices have been tracked on an acre-by-acre basis through state and federal programs since 2016 for water quality improvement metrics. Development and implementation of a protocol to count existing agricultural programming that has co-benefits for agriculture is a cost-effective approach that leverages existing programs. Co-Benefits: Co-benefits for water quality are tracked through existing state and federal tracking mechanism which are quantified for phosphorus reduction benefits for water quality.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Implement a Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) program for natural and working lands.

Managers of natural and working lands, including farmers and foresters, provide important environmental or ecosystem services to the public, such as clean air and water, reduced flooding, or sequestration and storage of carbon. A Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) program provides a quantifiable and verifiable framework to credit and compensate for the benefits of stewardship that produces numerous ecosystem goods and services. PES programs vary in design and could focus on particular ecosystem services or land uses, i.e. (a) farms or (b) forests, or both, and could offer direct payments or other financial compensation, such as tax credits (c). Regardless of design, PES is an innovative and important mechanism to further climate mitigation occurring on natural and working lands.

Actions

- a. Develop and implement a PES program for healthy soils and soil carbon sequestration on farms. Act 83 of 2019 convened the Payment for Ecosystems Services Working Group whose purpose is to recommend financial incentives designed to encourage farmers in Vermont to implement agricultural practices that improve soil health, enhance crop resilience, increase carbon storage and stormwater storage capacity, and reduce agricultural runoff to waters. Final program recommendations from the PES Working Group are due in January 2023.
- b. Develop and implement a PES program for forestland owners including water filtration/cycling, carbon sequestration, etc.
- c. Incentivize management for ecosystem services through a tax credit system that compensates landowners/managers for maintaining or restoring ecosystem services.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: A PES program – as conceived for Vermont – seeks to leverage Vermont's natural and working lands (NWLs) to deliver ecosystem services on a performance basis that provides cost-effective additionality to Vermont's existing environmental programming. Quantifying whole-farm and whole-parcel management and the entirety of bundled or stacked practices can help provide a fuller picture of ecosystem service benefit Vermont's NWLs can deliver for Vermont's climate goals.

Equity: A core consideration for the development of a PES Program in Vermont is how to ensure a program is implemented equitably – should payments be based on annual, incremental environmental benefit, or threshold based with payments above a set additionality. Goals of a PES Program are to be inclusive of the multiple agricultural sectors in Vermont, not just the largest land users and managers.

Cost-effectiveness: Literature suggests that natural and working lands and the application of natural climate solutions (NCS) are cost-effective and immediate contributions to GHG mitigation efforts that can be deployed across land uses at cost-effective rates.²²⁶

Co-Benefits: The PES Working Group has identified water quality, climate change mitigation, and watershed resilience as the three focus areas for evaluation and payment in a PES program around soil health. Multiple more co-benefits can be quantified through the enhancement of soil health.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Address upstream waste and downstream emissions from food waste and synthetic fossil-fuel based inputs.

²²⁶ Drever, C Ronnie et al. "Natural Climate Solutions for Canada<u>.</u>" *Science Advances* 7, 1 (June 2021). <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd6034</u>.

Actions

- a. Develop program for tracking and limiting the use of chemicals, substances, or products that contribute to climate change in Vermont and leverage existing legislative activity on this topic.
 - i. VAAFM currently tracks statewide commercial pesticide use as well as statewide fertilizer use. This data is currently used to establish trends in the use of these inputs as our agricultural systems evolve.
 - ii. Programs to track these agricultural inputs already exist at VAAFM but have not been assessed through the lens of contributions to climate change. VAAFM or the new newly established Agricultural Innovation Board (AIB) established by Act 49 of 2021 can prioritize an assessment of the impacts and benefits our agronomic management systems have on offsetting climate change.
 - iii. An assessment of Vermont's different agronomic practices and management, such as, conventional, organic, no-till, and cover cropping, should be weighted for impacts on climate change based on agricultural inputs, fuel consumption, carbon sequestration and other measurable factors.
- b. The state should identify simple, low- and no-cost mechanisms to increase organics diversion and provide incentives and business and workforce development to private organics haulers and composters (including farms).
 - i. Act 41 of 2021 created an Agricultural Residuals Management Program to be administered by VAAFM. The purpose of this new chapter of law is to establish a program for the management of residual wastes generated, imported to, or managed on a farm for farming in Vermont.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Both actions may help to identify and implement further actions and strategies to reduce GHG emissions.

Equity: The AIB includes 13 members named by the General Assembly which represent multiple stakeholders in the process – farmer input is embedded in the process with required farmer surveys in every county to better help understand farm use of inputs.

Cost-effectiveness: Leveraging existing state processes to the extent possible ensures no duplication of efforts and that available resources are targeted for maximal impact. *Co-Benefits:* Reduction of external inputs for farming operations can help reduce

operating costs and increase farm profitability. Co-benefits for soil health if composting of food residuals and application to cropland is conducted in conformance with state requirements.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 5: Develop and implement programs which incentivize management practices which maintain or increase forest carbon storage.

Approximately 80% of Vermont's 4.5 million acres of forestland are in private ownership. Private forestland owners in Vermont have the opportunity to take meaningful action towards mitigating the impacts of climate change and building resilience in our forests but are generally excluded from traditional carbon markets (and the associated revenue, which could enable these actions) due to the average parcel size and the high up-front cost associated with developing such complex projects. Models which provide for incentive payments to landowners who adopt specific Improved Forest Management practices which measurably enhance carbon sequestration could provide the economic opportunity which is currently missing.

Actions

- a. Create or adopt existing certification standards where management activities account for principles of Improved Forest Management towards increased carbon storage, as well as maintaining and creating resilience (as described in existing state guidance such as *Maintaining and Creating Resilient Forests in Vermont: Adapting Forests to Climate Change*, VTFPR 2015, or as modeled in existing programs such as the American Forest Foundation's *Family Forest Carbon Program*).
- b. Apply these certification standards to the procurement of forest products utilized in energy or thermal generation facilities subject to PSB oversight (parallel to the existing review for state mapped deer winter yard, etc.) through potential revisions to the renewable energy standard.
- c. Explore additional market opportunities for certified products, expanding the potential revenue base to support Improvement Forest Management (parallel FSC, SFI, etc.)

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: 80% of Vermont's forests are in private ownership. Vermont's forests store over 1.7 billion metric tons (Mt) of CO2 equivalent (CO2e) and sequester (take in) more than 5 million Mt CO2e each year

Equity: Expands opportunity to smaller 'Vermont Scale' forest landowners who would otherwise be excluded from traditional carbon markets; removes significant upfront capital requirements which would otherwise be a barrier

Cost-effectiveness: Potentially allows smaller forestland owners to access climate finance (private capital) from existing markets; leverages market-based incentives for adoption of practices

Co-Benefits: Sustaining all fundamental ecological functions of intact forests *Technical Feasibility*: Yes

Strategy 6: Leverage market-based solutions, such as existing or new regional carbon market opportunities, to incentivize forest management practices which sequester and store greater amounts of carbon in our forests.

Carbon markets provide a largely untapped opportunity for forestland owners in Vermont. The generation of carbon offset credits can provide a significant revenue stream to forestland owners, increasing capacity for improved management, and the ability to hold and maintain intact forestland. Financially viable projects generally require large (5,000+ acre) ownerships, therefore aggregation for parcels (generally 200+ acres in size) is critical for viability, Aggregation is currently allowed for under existing Voluntary Market standards. These opportunities build on existing incentives and/or provide synergy from multiple stewardship mechanisms. Recent

spatial analysis by the Vermont Land Trust and UVM Carbon Dynamics Lab has identified close to 330,000 acres in privately held forest parcels > 500 acres in size which could be eligible for such aggregation opportunities. In terms of conservation priority, these parcels present the greatest opportunity for aggregated carbon projects to contribute to the sustainability and ecological functionality of Vermont's working landscape through the maintenance of these forests and the Improved Forest Management practices employed.

Actions

- a. Work to develop a new Vermont-Based or regional (modeled on RGGI) Carbon Credit marketplace with necessary research and standards which address concerns around the efficacy of baseline establishment, accounting for additionality, the potential for leakage, and address equity for the diversity of wood lot owners across the state
- b. Incentivize the in-state purchase of carbon credits developed by Vermont-based or regional carbon projects through a system which addresses concerns of accounting (i.e., additionality and leakage)

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: 80% of Vermont's forests are in private ownership. Vermont's forests store over 1.7 billion metric tons (Mt) of CO2 equivalent (CO2e) and sequester (take in) more than 5 million Mt CO2e each year

Equity: Such models could still exclude smaller forest holdings (<200 acres, which could potentially access practice-based payments as described in preceding strategy) but would provide an opportunity for mid-sized forestland owners currently excluded from existing markets.

Cost-effectiveness: Potentially allows smaller forestland owners to access climate finance (private capital) from existing markets; leverages market-based incentives for adoption of practices

Co-Benefits: Sustaining all fundamental ecological functions of intact forests *Technical Feasibility:* Yes

Strategy 7: Increase tree coverage.

Trees remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere through the process of photosynthesis and capture that carbon in the form of wood or other organic matter. Trees remain the most efficient and cost-effective form of carbon capture technology at scale presently available.

Actions

- a. Expand tree and other planting efforts on private land to promote restoration efforts to reforest riparian areas, wetland buffers, and degraded lands.
- b. Expand funding and support to the Vermont Community Canopy Program.
- c. Provide incentives for restoration and expansion of floodplain forests.
- d. Increase funding to tree planting via Renewable Energy Standard (RES).

e. Increase support, funding, and education for increased urban tree planting efforts expansion to increase access to natural spaces and improve carbon sequestration/storage in the urban environment.

 Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

 Impact: Impact is scaled to the degree of increased tree cover; a typical hardwood tree can absorb as much as 48 pounds of carbon dioxide per year, sequestering approximately 1 ton of carbon dioxide by the time it reaches 40 years old

 Equity: The financial capacity to support planting efforts presents a potential barrier.

Afforestation efforts will need to account for land use changes which impact existing use. *Cost-effectiveness*: High

Co-Benefits: Mitigation of heat island effects in urban areas; associated impacts to water quality and landscape scale restoration; wildlife habitat enhancement; aesthetics *Technical Feasibility*: Yes

Pathway 2: Support and empower Vermont's farmers, foresters, and land workers to capacitate renewable energy and building product transitions

Vermont's farmers, foresters, and land workers can be key actors in harnessing appropriate renewable energy on farms and working lands while protecting those lands, soils, and resources for the valuable multiple benefits they provide from food to biodiversity to clean water. In addition, farmers, foresters, and workers can bring materials from wood to straw to building markets which can reduce the use of high carbon footprint materials like steel and concrete. Overall, the state must track the sustainability of the climate transition to be aware of and mitigate the potential adverse consequences of renewable energy and materials to people and natural resources.

STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Educate, track, and appropriately reward on-farm renewable energy:

Farms can be the source of renewable electricity like solar. However, farmers need information about how best to undertake analysis for their farm's potential, what incentives are available for installation, and how to protect valuable farmland, soils, and forests while contributing to renewable energy production.

Actions

a. Reward and support renewables on farms on rooftops, barns, storage facilities, and minimize or avoid loss of working and natural lands to renewables development by siting solar in areas that retain some agricultural use, such as grazing, or in locations that do not meaningfully diminish the agricultural viability of a farm.

b. Increase outreach and incentives to on-farm solar installation on existing built infrastructure.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Can increase distributed, renewable energy sourcing across the state on farms while protecting working lands for other climate purposes.

Equity: Provides for equity among farm sizes to both produce electricity and receive payments for doing so. Directing renewables onto the built part of farms would ensure lands, waters, and soils remain for climate storage, adaptation and resilience.

Cost-effectiveness: Through utilizing such federal grant programs as Rural Energy for American Program (REAP), could lower costs for farmers and makes time to payback to farmers and Vermont achievable.

Co-Benefits: Preserves working lands for other climate benefits; provides additional income stream/cost savings for farmers and foresters that improves their viability and provides more resources for additional climate related practice implementation in land management.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Promote and incentivize use of local wood and agricultural products to reduce embodied carbon footprint. Vermont can be an important source of its own and other adjoining states' building materials that can reduce the carbon footprint of construction. Steel and concrete require extensive carbon to produce and create emissions in their production. Materials can include wood, straw, and other materials.

Actions

- a. Promote and incentivize use of agricultural and sustainably harvested wood -based construction materials (subject to existing certification criteria or procurement standards to be developed) over imported wood and/or non-wood materials with high carbon footprints (such as steel, concrete, etc.) Continue to research life-cycle accounting of these products for greatest impact.
- b. Through state procurement standards, require that publicly funded building projects use chain of custody certified wood products (MASS timber, cellulose insulation, etc.) that have been harvested under sustainable procurement standards over materials with a higher carbon footprint (such as steel, concrete, etc.). Prioritize locally sourced wood products when possible.
- c. Develop a regional certification standard for forestry to validate carbon storage values for forest building products (methodologies supporting supply chain validation for carbon storage are frequently using FSC as a proxy; regional-scale certification standards focused on net carbon benefit are needed for product transparency)
- d. Develop alternative markets for non-timber wood, focusing on cellulose insulation, bioplastic composites, or biofuels

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact: Can reduce carbon-intensive building materials in Vermont while increasing local economies providing local materials for local building and construction as well as other products such as bioplastics.

Equity: Provides additional markets for local farmers and foresters. Would need to be designed well to allow small lot owners to participate. However, if not implemented appropriately, could do harm to natural lands, wildlife, and foraging in forests.

Cost-effectiveness: For relatively low cost can harness market forces. Though, certification programs will require costs to create and maintain.

Co-Benefits: Provides additional income to farmers, foresters, and forestry-related businesses to increase their viability while increasing a more locally-driven construction and other products' supply chain.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Transition fuel sources for the forestry and maple sector. To also reduce GHG emissions from the forestry and maple sectors, incentives and support can be provided to move to lower carbon fuels for such activities evaporators and vacuum pumps for maple production and biofuels for forest equipment.

Actions

- a. Provide funding to incentivize sugar makers to switch evaporators from fossil fuels to wood pellets and incentivize elimination of diesel generators for sap vacuum pumps.
- b. Incentivize alternative fuels such as biofuels or offsets for logging equipment.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Reduce carbon footprint of local sugar maple industry and logging

Equity: Provides incentives for often small-scale producers and businesses to convert to lower carbon alternatives so they too can have support and be part of the overall transition.

Cost-effectiveness: Requires up front technical support and incentives for change with longer-term benefits of reduced GHG emissions from Vermont enterprises.

Co-Benefits: Provides potential cost-savings and payback to producers over time.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 4: Sustainably source renewable energy products and materials. While Vermont will benefit from renewable energy sources and materials produced in Vermont and elsewhere, these sources and materials may also have adverse impacts to air and water quality (e.g., the mining of rare earth metals for batteries), natural lands (the fragmentation of habitat due to wind or solar projects), indigenous peoples (the harm to sacred sites, traditional hunting and foraging lands from large-scale hydro), and environmental justice populations. Vermont should develop and deploy ways to ensure our efforts to address climate do not pose undue and unintended consequences to nature or people.

Actions

a. Fund competitive research to track and innovate on the sustainability and ethical implications of renewable energy materials and products being consumed to meet the CAP including solar, wind, biomass, energy storage, and recycling of materials.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Over time ensuring that climate choices supported by the State do not create adverse consequences.

Equity: Can provide an important screen for choices that may affect traditionally marginalized populations.

Cost-effectiveness: Requires cost upfront without direct benefit initially but over time can inform and shape transition choices in a more responsible and equitable way.

Co-Benefits: Reduction in harm to air, water, soils, wildlife, and people.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Cross-Cutting Pathways

The recommendations found in this plan are intended to lay the foundation for the state to better adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change, while also seeking economic opportunities. Many of the climate solutions put forward in the preceding sections, while organized around emission reductions, improving resilience and adaptation, and sequestering and storing carbon, have co-benefits beyond their primary objective. As the legislature, state, regional entities, municipalities, non-governmental organizations, and others work to advance this multi-stakeholder plan, this Council recommends lifting up actions that will help Vermont meet multiple objectives. While many of the recommendations have co-benefits, several pathways are particularly impactful, and a coordinated approach will ensure a focus on maximizing climate action benefits in all areas. As such, the following pathways were pulled from their respective sections to be represented here.

Compact Settlement

Pathway 1: Support compact settlement patterns that contribute to the reduction of GHG emissions, enhance community and built environment resilience, and help conserve natural and working lands.

Compact settlement, sometimes referred to as "smart growth", is a key strategy for addressing climate change. Vermont's city, town and village centers, and other areas with the density and a mix of uses are characterized as "compact settlement" or "smart growth", as described in Vermont planning law ((24 V.S.A. §4302) l: (1) To plan development so as to maintain the historic settlement pattern of compact village and urban centers separated by rural countryside.

Compact settlement has been at the core of Vermont's land use goals as it provides numerous economic, health, quality of life, and environmental benefits. When thoughtfully planned, compact settlement, including infill and redevelopment, can also support many of the State's climate goals and actions, including energy efficiency, greenhouse gas emissions reductions, community climate resilience and adaptation, and preservation of the resilience and sequestration benefits provided by healthy natural and working lands.

As an alternative to sprawl, compact settlements do the following:

- facilitate mobility options that are more efficient and produce less GHG emissions, such as walking and bicycling, by making the most common places people to need to get to and from closer to one another;
- create densities necessary for providing reliable transit options that are more efficient and produce less GHG emissions
- enable a shared network of electric vehicle charging stations for home and destination charging;

- create densities necessary for more efficient and resilient energy supply systems, such as district heating and cooling and microgrids;
- enable development of housing at a scale that meets the needs of current and future Vermonters, including energy efficient multi-family housing options;
- protect and conserve natural and working lands, critical to ecosystem and public health, natural and community resilience, and Vermont's economy;
- create opportunities to retain and expand commercial and social services that serve local customers that are in close proximity to the goods and services offered;
- reduce social isolation and provide more opportunity for neighbors to congregate.

In the absence of achieving compact settlement, as Vermont grows the state will continue to see rural sprawl that causes fragmentation of intact forests, loss of agricultural land, an increase in cars and trucks on Vermont roadways and an increase in traffic, congestion, and emissions associated with vehicle travel, and a decline in community cohesion, among other negative impacts²²⁷²²⁸.

Recognizing these negative trends, the Vermont Legislature has enacted several laws that aim to promote and enable compact settlement²²⁹. Similarly, three subcommittees of the Vermont Climate Council (Agriculture & Ecosystems, Cross-Sector Mitigation, and Rural Resilience & Adaptation) prioritized compact settlement as a key tool for comprehensively addressing climate change. In acknowledgement of this uniquely cross-cutting approach, actions from each of these subcommittees have been elevated into the strategies below, which highlight the importance and value of investing in compact settlement.

Strategies

Strategy 1: Increase investment in the infrastructure (sewer, water, stormwater, mixed-use development, housing, sidewalks, bike lanes, EV charging, broadband, energy supply) needed to support compact, walkable development.

To function properly as part of the solution to climate change, compact settlement needs well designed infrastructure to create places that are desirable, in addition to reducing emissions and being more resilient to climate impacts.

Community drinking water and wastewater disposal are fundamental elements of functional compact developments. For existing compact settlements with existing community water and wastewater systems, those systems must be maintained and upgraded to meet health and water quality standards, and their capacity must grow as population increases and/or new establishments require service. Existing compact settlements that do not have community water supply and wastewater systems will require the establishment of such systems to enable retention

227

https://fpr.vermont.gov/sites/fpr/files/About_the_Department/News/Library/FOREST%20FRAGMENTATION_FINAL_rev06-03-15.pdf

²²⁸ <u>https://www.vtrural.org/sites/default/files/content/futureofvermont/documents/VTTransitions_Ch3.pdf</u>

²²⁹ 24 V.S.A. § 4302, 10 V.S.A. § 6086, 24 V.S.A. § 2793c, 24 V.S.A. § 2791, 10 V.S.A. § 6301, 10 V.S.A. § 6604c, Act 183, Act 171

and compact growth of residential and commercial. In most villages, small lot sizes coupled with poor soils and existing well water and septic systems make it challenging to build a conventional on-site wastewater treatment systems. Many Vermont-scale settlements also do not have the density of users necessary to afford traditional wastewater treatment facilities. In these instances, community-scale soil-based wastewater treatment systems are an important option for wastewater management that can be integrated with existing use of private wells and either replace or coexist with existing septic systems.

Public drinking water systems should be designed to accommodate climate change impacts, such as more droughts and more wet periods. Wellhead protection areas should take these swings into account and can be integrated into land conservation and recreation objectives.

Because many of Vermont's existing compact settlements grew up along waterways, promoting compact settlements also requires improved resilience. Managing flood and fluvial erosion hazards in Vermont's compact settlements will be a critical component of a successful climate response. Stormwater infrastructure is needed to protect structures and property as well as water quality and can be integrated with public green spaces that provide benefits beyond stormwater management. Managing flooding in compact settlements has both upstream and downstream implications, and land use and land conservation policies should address floodwater attenuation and mitigation capacity that anticipates greater flood frequency and intensity.

New, infill and redeveloped housing should frame public spaces, provide a diversity of housing options for different stages of life, be energy efficient, and safe and comfortable spaces as our climate changes. Connected bicycle, pedestrian and public transit infrastructure should be developed or improved to provide affordable, safe, and healthy ways of getting around that do not require a vehicle. Compact settlement-centered microgrids can facilitate renewable energy production, storage, and resilience against outages. Similarly, compact settlements can be internet connectivity hubs where both wired and wireless systems can serve a greater concentration of users. Physical planning and design can bring all of these elements together to create a vision for the community, serve as the foundation for policy and bylaw development, and inform capital improvement planning and budgeting, including grant and financing strategies.

Actions

- a. Increase investment in municipalities to improve, expand and build new drinking water and wastewater infrastructure to support compact development, including asset management tools to support long-term operation and maintenance.
- b. Make village centers permanently eligible for the downtown transportation fund that builds infrastructure needed to increase walking, biking and transit.
- c. Increase weatherization investments, and incentives, for energy efficient projects in buildings located in energy cost-burdened communities and communities with greater concentrations of older buildings, rental property, and low and moderate incomes.
- d. Expand the existing downtown and village tax credit program eligibility to offset the cost to elevate or flood proof existing buildings located in areas with increased flood risks.
- e. Support public private partnerships to fund the design and construction of new infill housing in existing neighborhoods.

- f. Expand the eligibility of the existing downtown and village center tax credit programs to revitalize neighborhood housing in and around state designated centers.
- g. Increase Municipal Planning Grant (MPG) funds to support physical planning and design, zoning modernization and bylaw adoption that creates housing growth opportunities and more housing choices.
- h. Establish a rolling planning grant for communities in need of consulting assistance to prepare Neighborhood Development Area (NDAs) applications. This designation works to align state and local regulations to increase housing options within compact centers.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: All growth and development has some impact, but compact development reduces climate change impacts, as well as other impacts such as those to natural resources and public expenditures. Impact of compact development on climate change goals should be assessed by comparing it to the climate change impacts of the alternative, which is dispersed, sprawling development. Compact development cannot be part of the climate solution without the infrastructure to support and make compact settlements a preferred and accessible choice for where people live, work, and meet their basic daily needs. While increasing investment for the infrastructure needed to support compact settlement is imperative, the processes that go into infrastructure projects have long lead times, meaning it can take years to bring a project from concept to completion. In addition to long lead times for infrastructure projects, impacts are often slow to accumulate or are indirect, making them difficult to measure and attribute progress towards climate goals to individual infrastructure projects. Further work is needed to ensure that the infrastructure itself is also resilient to climate impacts (see Section 12).

Equity: Investment in infrastructure should ensure that those most impacted by climate change experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of infrastructure investments to address climate change. Due to historic inequities black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more vulnerable to climate change. While compact development can improve resilience and equitable and affordable access to housing, transportation and amenities, investments in infrastructure have historically caused harm to these communities by siting infrastructure in a way that burdens them with negative environmental consequences and limits or excludes them from receiving the benefits²³⁰. Infrastructure projects, including the physical planning and design of communities, should include the voices of those most impacted by climate change, and work towards correcting past inequity (e.g. lack of investment or representation in infrastructure development) while preventing the exacerbation of existing inequities (e.g. investment cannot lead to displacement). Cost-effectiveness: Additional work is needed to identify a cost effectiveness metric for actions that have both emissions and resilience impacts across many sectors, and to establish a "business as usual" scenario baseline against which cost-effectiveness can be measured. *Co-Benefits:* Compact development can reduce emissions and improve resilience. It reduces development pressure on natural and working lands, increasing their ability to sequester carbon. It also creates communities that are more vibrant, diverse, walkable, and economically stable, especially if designed with universal accessibility in mind. Increased investment in the infrastructure that's needed to support compact communities also has

²³⁰ https://ejatlas.org/#

public health, economic prosperity, and workforce opportunity benefits. Further research and analysis is needed to identify and quantify specific benefits that are associated with specific patterns of compact settlement and specific types of infrastructure investments. *Technical Feasibility:* Yes

Strategy 2: Update state and local land-use governance, regulations, and practices to remove barriers to compact settlement and improve coordination on land use issues across agencies, departments, municipalities, boards, commissions, and authorities.

For the past 50 years, Governors, state agencies, the General Assembly, non-profit advocacy groups, regional entities, and cities and towns have worked collectively and intentionally to strengthen Vermont's downtowns and villages and the state's historic settlement pattern of compact centers surrounded by farms and forest lands. The dramatic turnarounds of downtowns like St. Albans, Bennington, White River Junction, and St. Johnsbury and in villages like Newbury, Albany and Putney are the results of many years of thoughtful and incremental actions. These resulting partnerships, networks, and policy frameworks create a strong foundation to help communities adapt to a changing climate and become more sustainable, affordable, equitable, and prosperous. A thoughtful review and objective assessment of Vermont's land use planning and regulatory framework is necessary to understand what key policies can be implemented statewide and in a timely manner.

Based on the outcome this assessment, policymakers can modernize the state's existing framework of regulations and incentives to not only strengthen Vermont's brand, economy, and communities -- but drive down emissions, expand equity and environmental justice, prepare communities for warmer and wetter weather, and remove the carbon already in the atmosphere. Because local plans and plan implementation are left to the option of municipalities, including whether or not to have a plan, some critical land use policies, especially those related to life and safety, may need to be implemented at the state level.

Actions

- a. Hire a consultant to review and assess the state designation programs that recognize and support Vermont's compact settlement areas.
- b. Encourage the Legislature to authorize the creation a multi-stakeholder committee process with funding to support the development of a statewide land use planning policy and implementation plan that guides development to growth areas, town centers, and appropriate rural locations, and limits the development within ecologically sensitive/risk-prone areas. The Legislature should clarify how and if this plan informs or directs land use planning, policy and regulation at the local, regional, and state level.
- c. If a statewide land use planning policy and implementation plan is authorized, explore creation of a State Planning Office and/or other potential structures within the executive branch to implement the Plan at the state level.

- d. Create a State-wide redevelopment authority to bank land²³¹, underwrite acceptable risk, address blight, vacancy, and brownfields, improve building flood resilience in settled areas, and plan for new neighborhood development and infrastructure.
- e. Prioritize public funding for mixed-use developments near transit hubs in regional and rural centers²³²
- f. Provide enhanced technical assistance and support to municipalities and regions, including outreach and education for landowners and community members, to develop and implement town plans intended to maintain forest blocks and connecting habitat as authorized by Act 171, and effective zoning and subdivision bylaws to maintain forest blocks and connecting habitat. Because forest and habitat blocks do not end at state and national boundaries, support engagement in interstate and bi-national forest block and habitat connectivity efforts such as the Staying Connected Initiative at both the state and regional levels.
- g. Update Act 250 to promote compact settlement by:
 - i. waiving the mitigation fees for prime agricultural soils²³³ for alternative or community wastewater systems that will serve a state designated center.
 - ii. removing the population-based caps on the Act 250 exemption for priority housing projects
 - iii. including criteria that better address climate change, forest fragmentation and forest loss, to incentivize growth in the state's designated centers and better address the specific challenges to working lands enterprises;
 - iv. updating its governance, staffing, public engagement, and the role of State Agency permits in the Act 250 process to create the enterprise capacity necessary to implement new climate related criteria and respond to future land use pressure from climate change and in-migration of climate refugees.
 - v. removing Act 250 jurisdictional thresholds for housing development within and immediately adjacent to certain state designated centers to incentivize compact, dense settlement in areas with adequate local land use laws and existing infrastructure, reducing development pressures on open spaces such as greenfields and forested locations. These centers should grow in a manner by which walking and biking are preferred means of mobility, and mobility infrastructure should be designed for universal accessibility.
- h. Amend Neighborhood Development Area (NDA) enabling statute to allow the inclusion of river corridors upon local adoption of River Corridor bylaws.
- i. Create an office of Strategic Investment and Coordination that supports achievement of land use planning goals by aligning and resolving conflicts in state and local regulations and funding and provides a permitting platform from both the customer and policy objective perspective.
- j. Align development regulations and remove financial barriers to compact development in and around downtowns and village centers (i.e., Act 250, local zoning, aging

²³¹ to manage and repurpose an inventory of <u>underused</u>, <u>abandoned</u>, <u>or foreclosed property</u>

²³² http://maps.vermont.gov/ACCD/PlanningAtlas/index.html?viewer=PlanningAtlas

²³³ <u>10 V.S.A. § 6093.</u> If a project subject to Act 250 jurisdiction contains soils that are mapped by NRCS as prime agricultural soils, or soils in recent agricultural use, offsite mitigation fees are paid by the project into the Vermont Housing and Conservation Trust for the purpose of preserving primary agricultural soils of equal or greater value.

infrastructure, etc.). Provide statewide guidance and incentivize housing in built up areas to encourage development away from open fields and forests, and river corridors.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: State and local land use regulations play a significant role in shaping growth patterns in Vermont. Removing barriers, reducing burdens, and providing incentives can have significant impact in directing growth to compact settlement.

Equity: Any changes to land use governance, regulations and practices need to ensure that those most impacted by climate change experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in the implementation of this strategy. Due to historic inequities black, indigenous, and low-income communities, people of color, and persons with disabilities are often more vulnerable to climate change. Governance structures, regulations and procedures have explicitly prevented black and indigenous communities and people from participating in wealth generating activities associated with land ownership and use of land and resources for economic growth. Changes to governance, regulations and procedures should include the voices of those most impacted by climate change, and work towards correcting inequity in ownership and use of land resources.

Cost-effectiveness: Administrative and regulatory changes do require staff time and effort, and occasionally require consultant support. However, these are one time, relatively low costs that unlock social, environmental and economic benefits and cost savings that are associated with compact development. Coordination across the stakeholders responsible for and engaged in land-use decisions requires regular and sustained human capacity (time, expertise and decision-making authority) to participate in, inform and build consensus around land use decisions.

Co-Benefits: Co-benefits of updating state and local land-use governance, regulations and practices include improved efficiency in government operations, an improved customer service experience for constituents, and better collaborative relationships between stakeholders involved in governance and regulatory processes.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: Fund research, data collection and digital maps to provide insights on land use decisions in Vermont and the impact it can have on climate and resilience goals and outcomes.

Land use choices play a foundational role in meeting the States climate goals, and can either enable or impede meeting our emissions reductions, carbon sequestration and climate resilience goals. The impacts of land-use decisions on greenhouse gas emissions, carbon sequestration and improved resilience are often slow to accumulate and can be indirect, making them difficult to measure and attribute to specific land-use decisions over time. Research and data on land use in other states and jurisdictions is difficult to scale to Vermont with enough confidence to support decision making, as the rural nature of the State is assumed to have a significant impact on the outcomes of different land use decisions.

The lack of quantitative and Vermont specific data that demonstrates the value and tradeoffs of different land use decisions, particularly of compact development over dispersed land use patterns, and particularly related to meeting climate goals, presents a challenge to making sound

land use decisions that are coordinated to balance multiple and sometimes competing objectives, and build consensus around land use decisions that achieves the greatest possible outcomes across multiple goals and objectives. For example, data on energy demands for different land use patterns could inform land use planning that is sensitive to and supportive of planning for energy systems that can meet our climate and energy goals, including emissions reductions, energy resilience, and lower energy costs. While land use planning can often serve complementary objectives, tensions between competing land uses inevitably arise. For example, Title 24 Chapter 117 calls for compact development in historic settlements, which relieves development pressure on natural and working lands and revitalizes and retains the character of Vermont's historic settlement pattern. However, existing settlements are often along river corridors, raising concerns that focusing new development in these areas to advance compact settlement patterns will create more infrastructure that is at risk of inundation flooding or fluvial erosion hazards. The tension between the goals to encourage growth in compact historic centers, reduce the vulnerability of built infrastructure, and protect natural resources requires objective information to facilitate negotiation and consensus building around land use decisions that can achieve the greatest possible outcome for multiple, and sometimes competing objectives.

Actions

a. Fund a study that quantifies the vehicle miles traveled and GHGs for both compact and dispersed areas of development as well as the co-benefits of compact centers.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Robust data and analysis supports and ensures that actions to support compact development support the goals of the Climate Action Plan and deliver on co-benefits. This strategy will be particularly impactful in continued development and implementation of climate action, as research and analysis on the costs and climate benefits of compact development, particularly in Vermont and particularly in relation to climate resilience, is far less developed than that of emissions reductions actions in the transportation, buildings, and energy supply sectors.

Equity: While data and research are often considered to be objective and neutral, data collection processes and analysis methods can be inherently biased, leading to programs and policies that further exacerbate inequities²³⁴. Ensuring equity in this most basic first step of policy and program development is critical to ensuring more equitable policy and programs²³⁵. Data collection, research and analysis that can provide insights on the impacts that land use decisions can impact climate goals needs to ensure that those most impacted by climate change experience contextual, procedural, corrective, and distributive equity in its implementation.

Cost-effectiveness: Data acquisition, research and analysis is likely to require both staff time and consultant support. A climate focused research agenda will likely need to be pursued over many years. Onetime costs for discreet projects to answer specific questions about climate action would be needed. Investment in robust data and analysis would ensure that climate actions are pursued in a cost-effective way. Partnerships could reduce the costs of

²³⁴Richardson, Rashida and Schultz, Jason and Crawford, Kate, Dirty Data, Bad Predictions: How Civil Rights Violations Impact Police Data, Predictive Policing Systems, and Justice (February 13, 2019). 94 N.Y.U. L. REV. ONLINE 192 (2019), Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3333423</u>

²³⁵ https://www.adalovelaceinstitute.org/blog/structural-racism-impact-data-ai/

ongoing research, help to build the collective knowledge of stakeholders, and enable consensus building around climate action.

Co-Benefits: The data, research and analysis needed to evaluate, develop and implement compact settlement actions in support of climate goals may also be useful to other community or State decision making processes.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Education

Pathway 1: Create accessible, equitable research, partnerships, and education; promote shared understanding; and invest in sustainable workforce development for the natural and working lands sector.

Education and understanding, especially around our ecosystems and land in this state is a vital part of solving the climate crisis. This must include the risks and changes that will follow inaction with regard to the climate crisis as well as the strategies to address this crisis, to ensure equitable access to opportunities and a shared knowledge that will build our transition to a better future. Education of people around the state about the actions to slow climate change as well as an enabling set of actions that will allow for creating capacity for the future is critical to all ages, but especially for intergenerational equity.

Education also strengthens the success of every other pathway towards resilient climate adaptation. Agricultural, forestry and natural resource landowners and managers need education to implement nature based practices that will mitigate and sequester greenhouse gases, that will positively affect their viability, and help them, and future land managers to adapt to a changing climate in a positive and vibrant manner.

STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

Strategy 1: Provide funding for climate-related education at all levels, outreach, research, and technical assistance programs:

Investment in climate related education will create the support and understanding around the need to implement climate mitigation, resilience, and adaptation actions. Education programs for land owners, practitioners, students, and teachers about climate change, its impacts and steps that can be taken now, are necessary to influence personal and systemic action and build workforce capacity and general knowledge with regard to the impacts of climate change and the strategies necessary to prevent it.

a. Enhance education, outreach, and technical assistance programming to support farmer learning and adoption of climate smart agricultural practices and ensure equitable access

through the creation of two full time UVM Extension staff and part time staff for each National Resource Conservation District.

- Grow the capacity of additional VT academic institutions and indigenous-led & BIPOC organizations to offer technical support to farmers and foresters, such as Middlebury College perennial program with TEK.
- b. Establish and fund an educational program that explains the role that Vermont farmers and foragers and their high-quality, local food products play in maintaining a low climate impact
- c. Create a climate curriculum teachers fellowship program to engage teachers in leading and sharing their climate curriculum ideas with other teachers
- d. Amend the Vermont State Board of Education's Education Quality Standards to incorporate environmental and climate change education at all grade levels (consider folding under "Science" and "Social Studies" curricula)
- e. Redesign the state education funding model so that Career and Technical Education centers have independent funding streams and budgets. Create and fund legislation to support other educational programs that strengthen the workforce pipeline, including a range of accessible postsecondary educational models (e.g. apprenticeships, concurrent enrollment, and stackable credentials)
- f. Support increased investment in healthy soil education through educational mini-grants for teachers to all audiences (including agriculture, homeowner, forestry, publications, K-12 schools and institutions of higher learning) and implementation of practices through funding of Best Management Practices challenges, technical assistance programs, and cost shares.
- g. Develop and make available accessible outreach and educational materials that communicate the issue of climate change and local impacts to the general public, which include and highlight the role that Vermont's natural and working lands play in providing solutions to climate change.
- h. Establish stronger relationships between state agencies and regional planning commissions, and faculty at Vermont and adjacent state institutes of higher learning, creating opportunities for state and regional research needs to become an aspect of faculty research agendas.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Education of our current land managers is the most critical enabling action to create immediate and long-term impacts on greenhouse gas reduction or mitigation and adaptation. Additionally, the impact of the given strategies will result in a proactive approach to climate issues through increased education of future generations who will sustain these actions over time.

Equity: This strategy will advance equity by providing opportunities for all and increased opportunities. A focus on accessibility and funding will ensure that this strategy and these actions have the potential to create progress towards environmental justice and equity.

Cost-effectiveness: This strategy is very cost effective given the many co-benefits and huge cost of inaction. Though there is not an ability to have cost per outcome at this time, investment in education, especially climate education is a no regrets policy.

Co-Benefits: Educational strategies by design have many co-benefits simply by increasing the amount of climate mitigation practices, future management that will proactively address climate and a society with a better understanding of its role in climate action. These actions will have numerous co-benefits to the land and people, thereby improving the wellbeing of communities. Increased education about the issues facing our community will develop understanding of additional ways to solve them.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 2: Develop and promote climate-related educational materials for private landowners to empower them to make climate-informed decisions about their land and waters:

The majority of Vermont land is privately owned. Therefore, it is important to create educational programs to encourage more climate friendly practices and learning.

Actions

- a. Create and deploy river corridor and floodplain buffer extension-type program, that provides educational material and technical assistance for private landowners
- b. Identify and explain practices that create and enhance pollinator habit, wildlife habitat and biodiversity
- c. Promote the values of planting future climate adapted tree species and crops in an effort to expand tree planting efforts on private land. Thereby promoting restoration efforts to reforest riparian areas, wetland buffers, and unhealthy soil.
- d. Expand infrastructure and educational programs around community and backyard composting and recycling
- e. Further educate Vermont landowners about the benefits of reducing lawn mowing frequency, and amount of mowed lawns to increase biodiversity and ecosystem health, and ultimately reduce emissions.

Preliminary Assessment of <u>Strategy</u> against Criteria

Impact: Although some of these actions may appear to some as having a low impact the suite of actions in this strategy is important. These enabling actions create capacity for future plans, build awareness and create collateral to harness the power of the majority of the state land.

Equity: There are extreme equity issues with regards to land ownership in this state. Therefore, in order for this strategy to be truly equitable it must be coupled with the development of plans to promote equitable access to land ownership, developed by the state and incorporated in future plans. That said, this strategy includes actions to educate the general public greater than the land-owning population, and seeks to make the action of owning land in Vermont more equitable through the adoption of better land use management practices. The education of land owners to encourage better management practices will improve communities as a whole, providing benefits to non-land-owning residents thereby improving equity. The implementation of this strategy should carefully consider the recommendations set out in the equity rubric.

Cost-effectiveness: Investment in education is extremely cost effective. These actions are small investments that go into creating materials and programs will have wide reaching impacts. Education and increased understanding of the potential impacts of and actions needed in the face of a changing climate are essential to achieving the rate and scale of the mitigation, adaptation, and resilience measures needed to achieve both the immediate and long term requirements of the GWSA.

Co-Benefits: This strategy will have an extremely high number of co-benefits. Education in all capacities is important, but these actions will lead to increased climate resilience, healthier environments, increased cultural capital, more understanding and many other co-benefits.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Strategy 3: The language in Vermont agencies must be reviewed and updated to be more equitable.

Actions

- a. Educate state staff on the history of Vermont, the harm that has been done in the name of conservation, the history of state involvement in the eugenics movement, and the role that language plays in the continuation of oppression and misunderstandings.
- b. Identify and develop alternative terms and words to those that are rooted in historical injustices, and invest in community outreach to create broad understanding of de-colonized words.
- c. Recommend that the legislature create a board to systematically review state government institutions to ensure that language intrinsically tied to historical injustices is removed from all active documents and policies.
- d. Leadership in all levels of state government must make this a top priority.

Preliminary Assessment of Strategy against Criteria

Impact:

Background: The General Assembly recognizes that further legislative action should be taken to address the continuing impact of State-sanctioned eugenics polices and related practices of disenfranchisement, ethnocide, and genocide. (*No. R-114. June* 2021 -- Joint resolution sincerely apologizing and expressing sorrow and regret to all individual Vermonters and their families and descendants who were harmed as a result of State-sanctioned eugenics policies and practices (J.R.H.2)). Rural Vermont Plan for the Future 1931, Chapter 4 on Topography and Climate, Chapter 5, Soils, Chapter 6, Agriculture, Chapter 7 Forestry was the beginning of, and foundation for, the language and philosophy of that various Vermont Agencies that echoes the beliefs of the Eugenicist from 1920's and 1930's and is inequitable and inappropriate (i.e., ANR and Vermont Dept. of forests parks and recreation and other agencies' foundational documents used words, ideas, and categorizations for people like "defected people, defected children," "people of average talent," "deplorables," "crippled," and for nature "loss bearing low grade trees," "eliminate poor trees and inferior species," "soil has little to no agricultural value," and "waste land, and "idle hillsides" that echo in language used today) (see also UVM President Sullivan's apology letter of UVM's role in eugenics from June 21, 2019).

Therefore: This strategy will work on changing the systems that have lead to great harm of communities especially indigenous communities. This strategy will have a big impact on the way that we view this work and the extent to which that view is formed by the eugenics movement and therefore will greatly improve our state, having a high impact.

Equity: This strategy at its core creates equity through the consideration of indigenous knowledge and the movement away from a system based in eugenics.

Cost-effectiveness: This strategy is highly cost effective. Any costs that this may create are necessary for equity and to undo the harms created by the Vermont eugenics movement.

Co-Benefits: There are so many co-benefits to this action because it is changing the way we regard a variety of topics throughout state government and it will change the way we think in turn improving our communities and creating co-benefits along the way.

Technical Feasibility: Yes

Personal Action That Individual Vermonters Can Take to **Reduce Emissions**

The Climate Action Plan sets Vermont on a path to making the transformative change needed to realize a resilient future. While large-scale, systemic changes are needed at the international, national, and state level regarding public policy and market transformation, Vermonters have an individual role to play as well. As of 2018, statewide greenhouse gas emissions totaled 8.64 million metric tons of CO2 equivalent (CO2e).²³⁶ With an estimated 2018 Vermont population of 626,299 people,²³⁷ per capita emissions were approximately 13.8 tons of climate pollution – higher than the per person average of any other New England state.²³⁸

The primary reasons for our relatively high per capita GHG emissions are our significant use of fossil fuels for transportation and heating. Together, those two sectors make up 74% of Vermont's total in state climate pollution.²³⁹ Specifically, the largest sources of Vermont's GHG emissions are the use of fossil fuels like gasoline and diesel for transportation, and of fuel oil, propane, and natural gas for home and building heating.

It is important to note that higher income households, on average, create much more climate pollution than lower-income households.²⁴⁰ While individual circumstances vary²⁴¹, for most Vermonters,²⁴² the single highest impact personal decision they can make is to commit, whenever practicable, to never again purchase brand new pieces of fossil-fuel dependent equipment. This is especially true of vehicles and space heating systems, but is also relevant for water heaters and smaller pieces of equipment like lawn mowers and snow blowers. Today there are the technologies available to do nearly all of the things fossil fuel dependent equipment has done in the past, but now with less pollution and often at lower cost thanks to modern electric options or sustainable use of renewable fuels such as wood heat or B100 biodiesel.

It is also important to recognize that for many households living with low incomes, limited credit access, renters without control of their heating system, and/or who confront a confusing marketplace, especially when language barriers are present, the desire and commitment to purchase cleaner equipment may not be enough. That is why this CAP includes many recommendations regarding policies, programs, and incentives that are necessary to ensure an equitable transition beyond fossil fuels. Specifically, it is important to design programs and incentives such that the up-front costs of the cleaner alternative are no more than the cost of the

change/documents/ Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2017_Final.pdf 237https://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/HS_STAT_2018_Population_Estimates_Bulletin.pdf ²³⁸ See page 10, https://www.eanvt.org/tracking-progress/annual-progress-report/2019-progress-report/

²³⁶ See Table 10 on page 36. https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/agc/climate-

²³⁹ Vermonters consumption of goods that are produced elsewhere are not accounted for in Vermont's in-boundary emissions inventory but also play a role in global climate pollution. Therefore, being aware of the carbon footprints of consumer purchases and opting for more climate friendly alternatives can play a role as well.

²⁴⁰ See "Wealthier families have a larger footprint" <u>https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/5-charts-show-how-your-household-</u> drives-up-global-greenhouse-gas-emissions

²⁴¹ It is important to note that wealthier households, on average, create much more climate pollution than lower-income households. See: https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/5-charts-show-how-vour-household-drives-up-global-greenhouse-gas-

emissions ²⁴² While individual Vermonters may have specific and important opportunities to reduce climate pollution and/or preserve carbon sinks by virtue of individual circumstances, for instance their profession (such as farming) and/ or other factors (like being a forest-land owner), this section focuses on actions that are available to the vast majority of Vermonters.

more polluting option, whether via incentives or via financing options that recognize lifetime savings, and to make sure those are accessibly communicated and provided.

Purchasing brand new fossil-fuel dependent equipment not only often locks in decades or more of climate pollution that we can no longer afford if we are to meet our emissions reduction commitments: it also often locks in dependence on higher-cost, more price-volatile fossil fuels that strain the budgets of Vermont consumers and create a drain on the Vermont economy. In contrast, efficient electric and renewable alternatives significantly cut climate pollution; often cost less over their lifetime, with lower and more stable energy prices²⁴³; and do more to strengthen the Vermont economy and support local jobs because they help keep more of our energy dollars recirculating locally.²⁴⁴ With existing and future incentives and increasing market adoption, clean and efficient options to fossil-fuel dependent equipment are not only becoming more available, they are becoming more affordable as well.

A. Transportation

On average, more vehicle miles per person per year are traveled in Vermont (11,773 in 2019²⁴⁵) than in any other New England state.²⁴⁶ The vast majority of these miles are currently driven in fossil fueled vehicles. Per person, the largest single source of climate pollution created by most Vermonters comes from their transportation, specifically the use of gasoline and diesel fueled

vehicles. On average, of the 13.8 tons of GHG pollution that Vermonters emit, per capita per year, around 5 tons per year comes from fossil-fueled transportation.²⁴⁷

Recognizing again that individuals face different circumstances, that higher income Vermonters tend to consume more fuel and thus bear a greater responsibility to reduce pollution, and the vital role that public policy and programs need to play to make clean choices more equitably accessible, the most effective ways for Vermonters to reduce emissions from transportation generally include:

The most effective ways for Vermonters to reduce emissions from transportation include:

• When purchasing a new vehicle, choosing electric vehicles instead of new fossil fueled vehicles, whenever practicable. For the full array of electric vehicle models available in Vermont, see https://www.driveelectricvt.com/find-your-ev/compare-models

²⁴³ Whether and to what degree electrification lowers heating costs depends on an array of factors, including but not limited to: utility territory (i.e., differential electricity rates); the fuel it is displacing (i.e., savings potential is greater for fuel oil and propane users, often not for natural gas users) variable efficiencies depending on temperature (i.e. heat pumps are less cost effective when temperatures drop below zero); and proper programming and use.
²⁴⁴ See page 8, <u>https://www.eanvt.org/tracking-progress/annual-progress-report/2021-annual-progress-report/</u>

²⁴⁵ Federal Highway Authority: Highway Statistics, 2019.

²⁴⁶ See page 16, <u>https://www.eanvt.org/tracking-progress/annual-progress-report/2021-annual-progress-report/</u> Here again, wealthier households are responsible for more pollution, with Northeastern US households earning over \$100,000/ year driving about 50% more miles/year than households earning under \$25,000/year. See slide 21 here: <u>https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2022/WorkGroups/Senate%20Natural%20Resources/Energy/W~Jared%</u> <u>20Duval~Energy,%20Emissions,%20Economy,%20Equity~2-3-2021.pdf</u>

²⁴⁷ The data bear this rough estimate out whether you divide statewide transportation emissions by total population (top-down estimate) or whether you add up transportation emissions using, for instance, total VMT and average fleet fuel efficiency (bottom-up).

Note that, with Federal, State, utility, manufacturer, and/or other incentives combined, EVs (whether new or used) are often less expensive up front than fossil fuel alternatives. EV's are also less expensive to operate over their lifetimes, due to fuel and maintenance savings. The Union of Concerned Scientists, for instance, estimates that EV drivers in rural Vermont can average over \$1,500 a year in combined fuel and maintenance savings compared to fossil fuel drivers.



Comparison of Vermont transportation fuel costs, 2005-2021

- Reducing vehicle miles traveled when practicable, including utilization of transit services
- When electric vehicles, transit, or other mentioned options are not feasible choices, it is better (both from a pollution and cost reduction standpoint) to use (or make a next vehicle choice) that is more fuel efficient. More fuel-efficient options include: plug-in hybrids (PHEVs), hybrids, or otherwise more fuel-efficient models.
- Minimizing unnecessary air travel.

Resources:

Drive Electric Vermont: https://www.driveelectricvt.com

MileageSmart: <u>https://www.mileagesmartvt.org</u>

Go! Vermont: https://www.connectingcommuters.org

Fuel Economy: <u>https://www.fueleconomy.gov</u>

B. Heating

After transportation, the second largest source of per capita GHG emissions in Vermont comes from fossil fueled heating systems. 72% of Vermont's heating energy sources come from fossil fuel (primarily fuel oil, natural gas, and propane).²⁴⁸ On average, of the 13.8 tons of GHG pollution that individual Vermonters emit per capita, per year, over 4 tons per year come from fossil-fueled heating (including space and water heating).

²⁴⁸ Page 22, <u>https://www.eanvt.org/tracking-progress/annual-progress-report/2021-annual-progress-report/</u>

The most effective ways for individual Vermonters to reduce their emissions from heating (often while saving money and improving health²⁴⁹) include:

- Home weatherization
- When possible, switching from fossil-fuel dependent heating systems to cleaner and more efficient systems, including: heat pumps, advanced wood heating options, and/or B-100 biodiesel.
- Note: while not as high impact as the options listed above, it is also beneficial to, when possible, use smart thermostats (also known as programmable or set-back thermostats) to lower temperatures when the home or building is empty, thereby lowering heating costs without sacrificing comfort.

Cost comparison of different heating options over time



• Note: Net savings or costs related to heating changes vary considerably based on a number of variables, including what the prior heating source(s) was and what the new source(s) becomes. Generally speaking, the greatest cost-savings will be available to homeowners and renters who are able to move away from heating with old resistance electric systems, propane, and/or fuel oil, especially when moving toward efficiently used heat pumps in electric territories with lower rates, and/or heating with efficient pellet and wood stoves. In contrast, moving from natural gas (historically the lowest cost and most price stable fossil heating option for Vermont consumers) to an electric or renewable alternative could increase heating costs.

Resources:

- Vermont Energy Saver website: <u>https://energysaver.vermont.gov/</u>

²⁴⁹

For example, on the health benefits of weatherization: https://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/ENV_CH_WxHealth.pdf

- A Vermonters Guide to Residential Clean Heating and Cooling²⁵⁰
- Vermont Home Energy Profile: <u>https://www.clearlyenergy.com/vermont</u>
- Efficiency Vermont: <u>https://www.efficiencyvermont.com/services</u>

C. Refrigerants and Consumption-Based Emissions

While vehicles, heating systems, and other equipment purchases are usually the single most consequential climate-related decisions that most individual Vermont consumers make, such purchases are infrequent, sometimes only happening once every decade or more. And it is not just the time of purchase that matters: when and how these pieces of equipment are disposed of also matters. Specifically, it is very important to dispose of any items containing refrigerant (refrigerators, freezers, air conditioners, vehicles, heat pumps, etc.) correctly, as they contain very potent greenhouse gases.²⁵¹

Other more frequent consumer decisions and actions, while less significant on their own, can add up over time to make a difference as well. Climate-conscious purchasing decisions can include trying to be aware of and taking into account the "carbon footprint" of consumer products and choosing climate friendly options (to the degree information is available); purchasing goods locally when possible; minimizing purchases of carbon-intensive products; and following the timeless wisdom of "reduce, reuse, recycle".²⁵² It is important to note that many of the "upstream" or "lifecycle" emissions related to Vermonters' consumption do not show up in Vermont's Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory, because such emissions often occur in other states or countries. However, regardless of location, if our demand for and consumption of such products and services is leading to emissions, we can be at least partially understood to be responsible for them.²⁵³

Resources:

Carbon Footprint Calculator: https://coolclimate.org/calculator

²⁵⁰<u>https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/A%20Vermonter%27s%20Guide%20to%20Residential%20Clean%20Heating%20and%20Cooling%20%282021%29.pdf</u>

²⁵¹ For proper safe and proper disposal of equipment containing refrigerant, see: <u>https://www.efficiencyvermont.com/news-blog/news/no-cost-curbside-appliance-recycling-helps-free-up-space-bring-in-cash</u> and <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wmp/SolidWaste/Documents/SWRule.final_.pdf</u>

²⁵² See: <u>https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/5-charts-show-how-your-household-drives-up-global-greenhouse-gas-emissions</u>

²⁵³ For more on the difference between in-boundary emissions vs. consumption-based emissions, see Appendix ... (EFG paper on the GHG Inventory and Supplemental Analysis).

Cross-cutting Themes

Throughout the development of the Climate Action Plan, five themes were identified which do not have an immediate impact on reducing emissions, resilience to climate impacts, and sequestering carbon, but are nonetheless foundational in supporting the implementation and efficacy of the actions that are being recommended in this plan. This section highlights those cross-cutting themes that the Council recognizes are foundational to the work of climate action but given the timeline to develop this Climate Action Plan, need additional discussion and work from the Council.

A limited suite of actions are outlined below for three of the five themes. Those actions were developed in subcommittee discussions and were identified as relating to the broader crosscutting bodies of work that are needed to enable transformative climate action. The themes of State Government, Community, and Partner Capacity; and identification and consideration of the tradeoffs associated with choices, do not identify specific actions, but rather speak to the broader themes that are referenced in many actions throughout this plan. As noted earlier in this section, further work will be needed after the adoption of this initial Climate Action Plan to ensure the scope of the themes below capture the importance of these recommendations in supporting and enabling climate solutions.

Environmental Justice Policy

Environmental justice is the equitable access to environmental benefits, proportionate distribution of environmental burdens, fair and equitable treatment and meaningful involvement in decision making, and recognition of the unique needs of people of all racial and ethnic groups, cultures, socioeconomic statuses, and national origins. It works to redress structural and institutional racism, colonialism, and other systems of oppression and harm done to Black, Indigenous and People of Color (BIPOC) and other communities and ecosystems that have experienced marginalization and degradation. Environmental Justice (EJ) also seeks to address insufficient governmental responses at the local, state, and federal level to environmental crises due to the racial/ethnic demographics, national origin, or socioeconomic status of highly-impacted communities.

Unlike many states, Vermont does not yet have a state-level Environmental Justice policy. This is a glaring omission in state policy that has been recognized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Lack of a clear state EJ policy results in a piece-meal, radically insufficient approach to understanding and addressing – with clear definitions, metrics, and essential procedural and language-access strategies – environmental justice. It also potentially puts Vermont at a distinct disadvantage, likely limiting the state's ability to access federal transportation funds and potentially other federal funding sources.

Vermont must take a comprehensive approach to supporting efforts within communities across the state to alleviate environmental burdens and enhance environmental benefits while sharing responsibility for that work in a just and transparent way. Issues of poor water and indoor air quality, energy cost burdens, lack of transportation, food insecurity, vulnerability to natural disasters, and associated health risks disproportionately affect low-income and BIPOC populations in the state.

The Just Transitions Subcommittee developed the *Guiding Principles for a Just Transition*, which were used to evaluate and prioritize the recommendations presented in this Climate Action Plan. Additional detail on the Guiding Principles and their creation can be found in section 7 -Building Equity into the CAP. The Guiding Principles will continue to be used to guide and evaluate the work of the Climate Council, but the Council recognized that additional work is needed to ensure that environmental justice is incorporated into state policy and program development and evaluation.

The state needs a comprehensive policy for identifying and addressing these disproportionate impacts. That is why the Vermont Climate Council supports the adoption of a statewide Environmental Justice policy to be incorporated into the work of agencies and departments across state government. Such a policy should support the delivery of environmental benefits to disproportionately burdened communities in the form of access to clean air and water, affordable clean energy and transportation options, healthy food, climate resilience, and local green jobs. An EJ policy is important to pursue and should also be approached as an iterative process that centers the needs of most impacted communities and offers real, community-based solutions to environmental problems.

Workforce Development

From 2019-2020, clean energy jobs²⁵⁴ grew by 0.1 percent, which is just under the overall statewide employment growth rate of 0.2 percent over the same time frame. Like Vermont's overall statewide labor market, the growth in clean energy jobs has remained steady over the past three years.²⁵⁵

Throughout the Climate Action Plan, actions identify the need for expanded training and resources for workforce development in sectors that cross GHG mitigation, climate adaptation, resilience, and carbon sequestration work. Actions focused on workforce development can be tied directly to measurable GHG emissions reduction, and resilience, adaptation, and sequestration work, and are nonetheless essential to supporting climate change solutions. As such, this has been identified as a cross-cutting theme in the Climate Action Plan.

The Council recognizes the importance of workforce development and acknowledges the need to further expand upon recommendations in this Plan. The actions listed below are examples of steps that can be taken related to workforce development. These actions represent the areas

²⁵⁴ A clean energy job is defined as any worker that is directly involved with the research, development, production, manufacture, distribution, sales, implementation, installation, or repair of components, goods, or services related to the following sectors: Renewable Energy Generation; Clean Grid and Storage; Energy Efficiency; Clean Fuels; and Clean Transportation. These jobs also include supporting services such as consulting, finance, tax, and legal services related to energy.

https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Renewable_Energy/CEDF/Reports/2020%20VCEIR%2 0Final.pdf 255

https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/Renewable_Energy/CEDF/Reports/2020%20VCEIR%2 0Final.pdf

where the Climate Council has specifically identified the need for additional workforce development programs, but it does not represent the full set of recommendations needed. Expansion of workforce development is a broad reaching goal of this Climate Action Plan and will require additional stakeholders outside of the Climate Council and the State to work urgently towards addressing a predicted workforce shortage.

As this Plan is further refined and implemented, a greater emphasis will be placed on the study and implementation of workforce development programs, to include a focus on programs that support historically marginalized communities. Programs will support sectors both impacted by the implementation of climate change policies, and sectors focused on GHG mitigation, climate adaptation and resilience, and carbon sequestration, to ensure current and future generations are equipped to deal with climate change.

Strategies and Actions

Strategy 1: Support workforce development in trades and skills that are needed to implement the Climate Action Plan.

Actions

- Provide workforce training and professional development to cultivate expertise in resilient and energy efficient building practices.
- Create an apprentice program to support more Vermont-based builders with expertise in resilient and energy efficient building practices.
- Appoint a member of the administration to be responsible for coordinating executive agency weatherization workforce development efforts to: ensure the scaling up of workforce necessary to achieve the GWSA requirements; to increase coordination among the wide variety of public and private entities involved in worker recruitment, training, placement, and retention, and to avoid duplication of efforts across state government.

Strategy 2: Promote workforce development in all working lands sectors, along all points of the supply chain.

Actions

- Develop, endorse, and implement fair trade and equitable labor practices and just livelihoods for the natural and working lands sector.
- Better resource state programs to support landowners' personal and professional development, and where needed, develop additional affordable and accessible training programs such as apprenticeships, certificates, stackable credentials, and concurrent degrees. Provide training to natural land managers in securing, retaining, and supporting employees.
 - The state should identify simple, low- and no-cost mechanisms to increase organics diversion and provide incentives and business and workforce development to private organics haulers and composters (including farms). Act 41 of 2021 created an Agricultural Residuals Management Program to be

administered by VAAFM. The purpose of this new chapter of law is to establish a program for the management of residual wastes generated, imported to, or managed on a farm for farming in Vermont.

State Government, Community, and Partner Capacity

Many actions throughout this Climate Action Plan identify the need for new programs and policies without explicitly calling out how those new requirements would impact the existing capacity of the organizations that would support those efforts. Achieving our emissions requirements and adaptation, resilience, and sequestration targets in a way that is equitable, affordable, cost effective, and sustainable will require significant and on-going effort. The challenge is immense, and the Council acknowledges that the existing capacity of state government and partner organizations such as the Community Action Agencies, Regional Planning Commissions, smaller stakeholder groups, etc. will need to be adequately resourced to tackle the challenge.

The steps and action needed to implement this plan and to impact climate action will take significant work and coordination across state agencies, private and non-profit partners, municipalities, and impacted communities. To that end, the Council recommends the following actions:

- 1. Invest in and appropriately expand state government and community partner capacity (e.g. Regional Planning Commissions, Community Action Agencies, etc.) to support necessary integrated climate action planning and implementation with specific investment in and capacity built for community outreach, translation, and language access.
- 2. Create a mechanism, position, or body within the Executive Branch to ensure coordinated climate action across state government with just transitions and environmental justice expertise. This interagency body or mechanism is intended to connect actions both within and beyond the scope of the GWSA-required Climate Action Plan, with a goal of ensuring effective communication across agencies that work together to promote climate change mitigation/adaptation/resilience, and adding a consistent climate lens to the myriad of regulatory and funding programs.

As the actions in this Plan are readied for implementation, the capacity of organizations to take on actions must be considered. In addition, the Council has identified the need to further build out the recommendations around state government, community, and partner capacity, to ensure careful thought is put into how, and at what level, actions are assigned and implemented.

Building Codes

Recommendations regarding building codes and standards can be found in GHG mitigation and climate adaptation and resilience sections, highlighting building codes as a cross cutting theme in this Climate Action Plan. Whether it be for energy efficiency, ability to handle increased electrification demands, renewable energy siting, or for increased resilience to the impacts of climate change, building codes and standards stand out as an important tool to address climate change.

Outside of larger municipalities, many Vermont towns do not have buildings codes, or lack the ability to enforce them. The actions listed below reflect the initial recommendations from the Climate Council regarding building codes and standards. The Council however acknowledges that additional work will need to be done to develop a set of recommendation regarding building codes that wholistically recognize the importance of codes and standards to impact emissions reduction and resilience to climate change impacts.

Actions

- Regularly update the statewide residential building energy code, resulting in achieving a net zero building energy code by 2030.
- Develop and fund a state-level Energy Code Circuit Rider initiative that provides code training and enforcement assistance to municipalities throughout Vermont to ensure awareness of and compliance with existing and future building energy codes.
- Audit existing residential building codes to ensure that standards account for anticipated climate change impacts to Vermont, including but not limited to increased temperatures extremes and precipitation.
- Develop sample building standards for resilient design and construction.
- Revise state building energy codes and standards to require a minimum 200 Amp service for new construction as electrification expands.
- Incentivize or mandate solar and wind capacity on new buildings as well as in previously disturbed/developed areas and avoid and minimize forest clearing for renewables through incentives and other siting polices, rules, and regulations.
- Authorize the adoption of efficiency standards for rental properties, beginning with expanding the definition of "fit for human habitation" in 9 V.S.A. § 4457(a) by developing and passing legislation requiring owners of [a TBD minimum number of units] of rental housing to ensure that the efficiency of their rental units meets minimum standards [TBD efficiency code level] by December 31, 2030.

Benefits and Burdens of Energy Choices

One theme that emerged consistently across subcommittees when considering pathways to mitigate GHG emissions, or find ways to adapt to our changing climate, is the need to identify and consider the tradeoffs associated with any choice we make. For example, in the consideration of transportation, changing from fossil-fuel based internal combustion engines to battery electric vehicles will significantly cut GHG emissions in a key polluting sector in Vermont, and will help reduce overall dependence upon the polluting fossil fuel industry. However, rare earth mining necessary for battery technology also has ecological, economic, and cultural impacts in the areas where these resources exist. Those same minerals are necessary for both residential- and grid-scale batteries that could help store solar and wind power for times when needed.

Meanwhile, solar PV is free of GHG emissions at the point of generation and has the ability to reduce our reliance on fossil fuels for electricity generation regionally and create greater resilience locally, but requires the use of several industrial materials and rare earth minerals to create the panels far from Vermont. Hydroelectric power, particularly large reservoir systems such as deployed by HydroQuebec, is created by damming rivers and flooding forestland that

also can displace Indigenous people and harm their cultural resources; yet it produces abundance baseload power at times that the sun does not shine and the wind does not blow. Its output is, over the long run after accounting for forest loss and emissions from the biomass flooded by the dams, GHG-free and is significantly less polluting that fossil fuel sources even in the shorter term. Nuclear power creates GHG-free electricity in abundance but has significant impacts associated with spent radioactive fuels and enhanced safety risks from operations. Biomass, wind turbines, and lower-carbon fuels like biofuels and natural gas — all have impacts associated with their extraction, processing and use that must be weighed against the GHG emissions of the alternatives that otherwise would be utilized.

More examples were raised throughout our process for creating this Climate Action Plan; indeed, every choice has potential benefits and burdens, including their effects on frontline individuals and communities. Overall, our purpose in crafting this initial plan has been to address the overriding, existential problem confronting us now: global GHG emissions must decline, rapidly and permanently, if we are to avoid the worst effects of climate change and maintain a livable planet for future generations. The speed with which Vermont and the rest of the world must transform calls for us to utilize existing technology wherever possible. That is why throughout this Climate Action Plan we recommend transformation away from fossil fuel sources of energy, while recognizing that there are burdens and impacts associated with these choices that should be recognized. While these impacts should also be mitigated where feasible, the imperative of reducing GHG emissions must be paramount.

Climate Action Plan Progress Assessment and Implementation

Measuring and Assessing Progress

As part of this initial plan, the Council must set forward a framework for assessing progress. Specifically, the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) requires that the state track key components of its climate action, including:

- a. The State's greenhouse gas emissions and progress towards meeting reduction requirements;
- b. The effectiveness of the initiatives, programs, and strategies set forth in the CAP;
- c. The effects of climate change on the State's climate, wildlife, and natural resources; and
- d. Progress towards improving existing resilience of the State's communities, infrastructure, and economy to current and anticipated effects of climate change.

Vermont is fortunate to have a solid foundation to inform this work. Vermont's Energy Action Network (EAN) has long maintained a Vermont Energy Dashboard. EAN's dashboard aggregates efficiency, heat, electricity, and transportation measures implemented at the state and local level in support of Vermont's goal to achieve 90% of its energy needs through increased efficiency and renewable sources by 2050. In addition, the Department of Public Service (DPS), in partnership with EAN and other stakeholders, is in the process of updating its data management infrastructure with the aim of developing a sustainably supported and streamlined process for reporting, managing, and transparent sharing of energy-related data in the state. This process has begun to map data flows in the energy sector with plans for a data infrastructure pilot to be advanced in conjunction with Vermont's Agency of Digital Services. Any database developed in support of the CAP can and should be pursued in conjunction with this effort.

This section seeks to summarize ongoing discussions on how Vermont can track progress on the actions, strategies, and pathways that are intended to drive progress on Vermont's CAP and inform next steps for database development.

Tracking Objectives

As Vermont implements the initial CAP, the State will develop a data infrastructure that can help inform future decision-making on the policies, programs, and initiatives needed to mitigate the impacts of climate change. With regards to the GWSA requirements listed above, it is anticipated that a CAP Monitoring and Evaluation Database (database) should:

- Demonstrate progress on meeting emissions reductions requirements (per Requirement A), which should be achievable in the near-term based on the GHG inventory baseline and existing data sources.
- Demonstrate progress on increasing resilience (per Requirement D), which will require the development of a resilience baseline and the collection of new data by which the State can measure impacts on communities, infrastructure, and the economy.
- Provide data with which the State can conduct impact evaluations, as well as performance measures to track outputs and indicators to track outcomes, to ensure
compliance with the requirement to evaluate the effectiveness of programs (Requirement B). The database will not replace program tracking but will help catalyze complete and consistent tracking across multiple initiatives. Performance measures and indicators will include both technical impacts as well as equity of access, participation and impacts.

The database will not be utilized to measure the effects of climate change on the State's climate, wildlife, and natural resources (Requirement C). Instead, the Council recommends this remain under the jurisdiction of the Vermont Climate Assessment. Future discussion on how these two resources should be coordinated is warranted.

Based on these objectives, the four primary goals for the database are the following:

- 1. **Policy-Decision Support Tool:** Support the State and its partners in making climate policy decisions with best available information.
- 2. Sustainable Data Management: Create a data governance plan, flexibly accommodate future data needs, and coordinate relevant data and reporting across multiple private and public entities.
- 3. **Open and Accessible Data:** Provide access to key data sources to organizations and members of the public engaged in climate action that wish to utilize Vermont's data to support their work.
- 4. **Public Education:** Inform the public about progress on achieving GWSA commitments, including emissions reductions, sequestration, adaptation, resilience, and equity.

Policy-Decision Support Tool

The accelerated pace at which Vermont will need to implement the strategies and actions in the CAP is imperative for meeting the reduction requirements in the GWSA. While the State's GHG Inventory provides essential insights into Vermont's GHG emissions over time, the data sources upon which it relies inherently means that there will always be a lag in its production. To support policy-decision makers in implementation of the CAPs, which must be updated every four years, the Council anticipates it is essential that a database provide more close-to real-time data on key implementation metrics than is currently feasible through the Vermont Greenhouse Gas Inventory. This will mean, for example, the database will be structured to accept regular updates on activities from implementing organizations and published with minimal lag. It will be important to have the Database and Inventory remain aligned over time, where applicable and feasible. Where not, it will be essential that the Council and that State articulate the methodological differences that cause any varying results and what insights, if any, policy makers and the public should draw from those variation.

To support the development of policy, the Council recommends that a logic model be used to help clearly articulate the ways in which the data being collected and reported relates to the goals of the CAP and to the performance measures that the State has control over. A logic model requires the mapping of each of action to a desired result and can help identify what else should we be tracking as an indicator of progress toward the requirements of the GWSA. This will require mapping backwards from the outcomes intended from the policies and strategies delineated in the CAP to the key metrics that must be achieved; to the data with which the State can evaluate progress; and finally, to the data which are available (now or in the future) from implementing organizations and state agencies. For example, to track and report on a Clean Heat Standard, it would be necessary to keep track of the levels of activity across multiple strategies, such as heat pump installations, weatherization and increased consumption of biofuels blends. This would also be supported by select impact evaluations, for example to better understand the energy and emissions impacts and costs from each measure as they are installed and used by customers.

Sustainable Data Management

Sustainable Data Management is a multi-faceted issue that must be addressed during the development of the database. First, a clear plan for data governance will be necessary to define the availability, usability, integrity, and security of the database. The data governance plan should include a governance team, a set of data standards and policies (including data security), a quality assurance process, and implementation procedures.

Second, the database must be designed to flexibly adapt to changes over time. The data needs may shift to support future CAP updates and/or in response to program evaluations. Developing a solution capable of handling these changes is important to recognize at the onset.

Third, it is essential to create an infrastructure for sustained coordination on data sources. The responsibility for implementing the CAP strategies and actions is likely to span Vermont's agencies and include numerous outside parties. The database infrastructure must allow for ease of reporting by responsible parties, with limited additional reporting burden, and should support data managers across Vermont state government to share resources that can and should inform key decisions. This may include a pathway for voluntary data reporting by partners, with the goal of obtaining a more complete understanding of the actions that are supported by the CAP.

Open and Accessible Data

The Council recognizes that while the database cannot be all things to all people, actors across Vermont are going to wish to use the data to inform their own decision making. The Council recommends that while the database be constructed in a manner that primarily supports State policy design, that it also be structured in a manner that ensures the data is open, accessible, and exportable whenever legally permissible. This is intended to ensure that users can utilize this important data set to conduct their own analysis to suit their needs.

Public Education

A final goal of the database is to create a narrative for the public from the numbers. To this end, the Council recommends that the database be built with the long-term goal of data visualization in mind. In particular, it will be important for the database to support the development of key findings and graphics for the first update of the CAP in or before 2025, including the types of measures that can identify areas where progress is being made and where we are lagging. Furthermore, the database may be useful in tracking metrics that can help identify barriers to changes in individual behavior needed to achieve the goals of the CAP.

Database Development Next Steps

The Council anticipates a series of steps will be necessary to develop the database:

- Logic model and metric creation: The database development will begin with mapping the logic models for how Vermont anticipates achieving greenhouse gas reductions, as well as increases in sequestration, resilience, and equity. As part of these models, the key metrics through which Vermont anticipates driving changes should be clearly identified and linked to the performance measures that the State and its partners have control over.
- **Data mapping**: With these logic models and metrics in place, it will be possible to map the data sources and flows that currently exist, as well as identify gaps in data that will need to be filled. This process should include reviewing work done to date by DPS and EAN to determine the best way to align their efforts with database development efforts. As part of this data mapping process, the Council recommends that key data reporting partners be identified, and the reporting relationship codified between them and the State of Vermont.
- **Data governance**: A data governance plan should be drafted, and a governance team identified, to guide the development of the database. The data architecture, database design, storage, and security are all informed by the data governance plan.
- Infrastructure recommendation and development: A database infrastructure will be selected and developed based on the objectives and goals listed above, with both near-term and long-term priorities in mind. To the extent possible, this should create sustainable long-term engagement across state agencies managing data to efficiently aggregate data that already exists, rather than developing new reporting or input requirements for staff or reporting entities and be built with readily available tools, so that it is accessible to a wide variety of users.
- **Testing and iteration**: Once developed, the Council anticipates it will be important to continue iterating on the database to ensure stakeholder feedback improves its usability over time.

<u>Beyond</u> these immediate steps, the Council will consider how to measure and assess progress related to the goals developed for the pathways for adaptation and building resilience in communities and the built environment. These goals were developed around the same time periods established for the emission reduction requirements: 2025, 2030, and 2050. Measurements for success towards these goals have not been developed but should be considered as part of a larger initiative to measure and track progress for the CAP.

Pathway: Increase local, regional, including community and civic networks (local volunteer efforts, non-government organizations, faith-based groups, etc.), and state agency capacity, for resilience planning and implementation, and address inequities of under-resourced communities.

2025: Tools and resources for assessing community vulnerability to climate change impacts have been created and made available to RPCs, local planning commissions, civic networks, and state agencies.

2030: 100% of municipalities have sufficient human resources to have a completed vulnerability assessment and preparedness plan.

2050: All Vermont's communities have prepared for the impacts of climate change; they adapt daily functions and needs to be responsive to unavoidable climate impacts, and maintain critical services, and minimize disruption, during major weather events.

Pathway: Proactively and strategically invest to enhance resilience in

transportation, communications, water/wastewater, and energy infrastructure statewide.

2025: Climate threat and threat aspects have been assessed, and climate-resilient design standards for infrastructure projects have been created and are incorporated into planning of publicly funded critical infrastructure projects.

2030: Infrastructure projects are designed, evaluated, and constructed to meet climate resilient design standards. A metrics framework is in place to measure the impact of these projects.

2050: Rural communities are minimally disrupted by climate impacts to infrastructure.

Pathway: Change Vermont's land-use policies so current and future land development will be adaptive and resilient to climate change impacts by promoting compact development, enhancing the capacity of natural and working lands, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

2025: The portion of residential and non-residential development and redevelopment that is within an eligible state designated area has increased.

2030: The portion of residential and non-residential development and redevelopment that is within an eligible state designated area has increased over 2025 and has been built to flood standards. No new development or redevelopment occurs outside the state designated area that exacerbates risk to structures in the river corridor.

2050: Vermont's people and communities work in harmony to support the adaptive capacity of Vermont's natural, working, and settled lands with no net increase in greenhouse gas emissions from new development.

Pathway: Ensure that all people have access to safe, accessible, energy efficient, and affordable housing in location-efficient, compact, and mixed-use neighborhood areas with diverse housing types and equitable access to jobs, services, schools, recreation and diverse transportation options.

2025: Total, livable housing units has increased and diversified in cost and size to meet the needs of Vermont's population.

2030: Vermont's people and communities work in harmony to support the adaptive capacity of Vermont's natural, working, and settled lands with no net increase in greenhouse gas emissions from new development.

2050: 100% of Vermont households earning the AMI by county spend less than 45% of their income on total cost of housing and transportation (including energy expenditures) combined.

Ensuring that Vermont is making swift and steady progress towards action on the GWSA will require that decision-makers across Vermont state government and its CAP partners have access to up-to-date information on the key metrics anticipated to drive change in the CAP. In doing so, the monitoring and evaluation database will support an evaluation of compliance with the requirements of the GWSA. The Council recommends prioritizing this key use case in the near-term, while also ensuring the data is open and accessible to anyone that wants to utilize it and, ultimately, presented in an easily digestible format for the public.

Implementing Vermont's Climate Action Plan

The adoption of an initial Climate Action Plan (CAP) is a critical step in ensuring Vermont meets the requirements of the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA), setting the stage for action. Implementing the plan will require government leaders to move with urgency to achieve its outcomes. Significant resources will be needed do this, as will continued engagement with Vermonters and transparent metrics to ensure accountability and communicate where progress is occurring, and where more work is needed. While significant investments are needed in the coming years, the successful implementation of this plan, and future iterations thereof, will help ensure a vibrant and resilient Vermont for future generations.

This Plan provides a roadmap for actions that will meet the state's greenhouse gas reduction requirements and resilience goals. For this plan to be successful, however, the support and engagement of Vermonters is critical — to mobilize a broad coalition of state, local, and regional governments, nonprofits, academic institutions, and private interests taking collaborative, decisive action. Significant and sustained investments, well-financed programs, properly capitalized lending entities and individual financial commitments will all be needed to implement the Climate Action Plan and realize important outcomes like the weatherization of Vermont's current housing stock and the development of new, energy-efficient housing that helps the state address its housing shortage; resilient natural and working lands to provide natural climate solutions and a myriad of co-benefits such as supporting our recreational economy; transportation infrastructure resilient to more frequent, intense storms; and enhanced incentive programs that make cleaner vehicles and heat switching within reach of everyone.

No single funding stream will achieve our climate goals. Climate action requires leveraging a variety of sources — existing and new, private and public, local, state, and federal — and innovative financing mechanisms to support sector-level transformations and the ability of Vermont lenders to make crucial long-term investments in climate-focused projects and initiatives. Further, the unprecedented federal recovery funding for the COVID-19 pandemic, coupled with the recent passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, gives Vermont an opportunity to move quickly to accelerate implementation and to do so equitably.

The objectives put forward in this plan will require the investment of significant public dollars. But investments and market forces will not necessarily create a just and equitable transition to a decarbonized economy without concrete actions to ensure a Just Transition. Equity must be at the center of how the State implements this plan, including a focus on strategies that consider the disproportionate impact of climate change on rural, low income, and marginalized communities. Programs and incentives for building resilience must be designed to be accessible to all Vermonters and to not unfairly burden any groups, communities, geographic locations, or economic sectors.

Moving from Policies to Program Design

A critical next step for the Council will be to develop a robust understanding of the long-term funding needs and financing options for climate action. The actions put forward in this plan are

at varying stages of development, with many of them requiring specific program design and further work to understand the financial opportunities and costs prior to implementation. The legislature will play a critical role as it takes up actions, but the Council and its subcommittees will also need to transition to organize to develop a framework needed to further refine actions and ready them for implementation and consider funding options. This will mean aligning the Council's budget and resources, including Councilors, subcommittees, and staff to advance priority actions.

Further Economic Analyses for High-Priority Actions

An economic impact analysis was completed for this initial CAP was to inform the Council on the macroeconomic impacts of the transitions identified as necessary to comply with the GWSA. The impacts considered changes in employment, labor income, value-added activities, and outputs compared to Vermont's baseline. This analysis evaluated the aggregate economic impact over the full period of implementation, including both capital and on-going operational costs (and savings), and was not intended to consider point-in-time costs that would potentially be borne by individual Vermonters and/or businesses to support implementation. The modeling did compare pathways and made observations on the strongest drivers of economic impacts and areas where impacts may be improved through future policies. With the current modeling, substate level economic analysis examining two regions within Vermont is possible if data are available at that granularity. This was discussed with the technical consultants, and all agreed to reserve this as a potential follow-up task at this time.

With the creation of its first CAP, the Council was charged with identifying both *what* Vermont must do to reduce emissions and increase resilience and equity, as well as *how* to do it. The former task identifies the transformations needed to achieve emissions reductions and is the focus of the LEAP analysis and economic modeling described in Section 11. The latter is concerned with the mechanisms available to achieve desired policies, which requires analysis beyond economic impact modeling. This analysis includes assessment of costs, co-benefits, market readiness, etc. and is an essential next step to be able to address specific policy-related questions.

Recommendations for American Rescue Plan Act Funds

With the passage of the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), which includes a \$2.7 billion package of aid for Vermont, Vermont has an unprecedented opportunity to strengthen our economy and communities through significant infrastructure investments. More than half of these dollars are directly appropriated to federal agencies, individuals, local governments, education institutions, and others. Leaving approximately \$1 billion for the state to expend over the next three years.

The Climate Action Plan includes projects that are well-suited to ARPA funding and important to meeting the requirements of the GWSA. Recognizing this opportunity, the Governor and Legislature have committed up to \$200 million dollars to support implementation of the Climate Action Plan. This is in addition to the ARPA dollars that have already gone to support initiatives such as weatherization, fuel-switching, and the hazard mitigation buyout program in State Fiscal Year 2022. To make recommendations to the Governor and Legislature on how best to utilize this funding, the Council will convene in December to prepare an addendum to this plan with its

recommendations for ARPA funding that will ensure a successful first step to committing to the priorities put forward within .

Future consideration will also need to be given to funding coming to Vermont from the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, a once-in-a-generation investment in our nation's infrastructure and competitiveness. This funding is focused on rebuilding America's roads, bridges and rails, expanding access to clean drinking water, ensuring every American has access to high-speed internet, tackling the climate crisis, advancing environmental justice, and investing in communities that have too often been left behind. As these funds align with the Climate Action Plan recommendations, prioritization of these funds will be critical to further advancing the recommendations included here.

Just Transition, Public Engagement and Equity Considerations in Implementation

The Just Transitions Guiding Principles adopted by the Vermont Climate Council provide a framework for climate action to be utilized during the continued development and ongoing implementation of the Climate Action Plan. These principles set expectations for the Climate Council and its sub committees to conduct their work, what recommendations they make and how investments, implementation and oversight of the plan must occur. The Guiding Principles have helped shape the beginning of a process of engagement and the assessment of the recommendations as they speak to equity and justice. The Climate Council has taken some important first steps. However, the Council and Just Transitions Subcommittee have also heard significant frustration around the timeline which has prevented adequate and inclusive engagement. Time and resource constraints have prevented a robust equity analysis and public engagement process. Both are essential to move away from a status quo that exacerbates inequities and places impacted communities at greater risk from climate change. Historically, impacted, and frontline communities have been marginalized by insufficient governmental responses to environmental crises at the local, state and federal levels. Procedural equity requires that impacted communities have the opportunity to provide input and support development of the climate policies that directly affect them, and policy makers have the opportunity to collaborate with communities so that Vermont's climate solutions meet community needs.

It is essential for just transitions principles to be promoted in the development of legislation, the development of budgets and appropriations, the development and implementation of rules, regulations, and programs, and the evaluation of the execution thereof. For these reasons, it is crucial for the next steps of the Climate Council, the legislature, and the executive branch to consider the following:

Expand Public Engagement

- Provide adequate funding and staffing resources for the Climate Council, the legislature, and agencies responsible for taking action to adopt more inclusive and innovative engagement strategies.
- Present key materials in plain and accessible language, including translation into multiple languages during ongoing planning and implementation efforts, particularly outreach materials intended for a public audience.

- Provide transparent information and opportunities to engage in many ways and via various media to create a more inclusive process. Specifically, ensure materials and resources are easy to navigate and provide a clear path and timeline for engagement.
- Create opportunities for engagement that empowers impacted communities and is ongoing, allowing for dialogue over time, rather than one-time or one way communication. Direct and inclusive engagement is different from traditional marketing or public hearing processes. Engagement is meant to be collaborative with a goal of co-creation, which requires meeting people in their communities and using trusted organizations and community members to facilitate outreach.
- Create opportunities to hear from Vermonters during all processes created by the Global Warming Solutions Act, including: all future generations of the Climate Action Plan, throughout legislative and rulemaking processes, during implementation, and so on.
- Coordinate public engagement efforts related to climate actions. The Climate Council's public engagement plan calls for supporting and working through and with trusted community organizations to reach impacted communities. This essential strategy is critical to reaching impacted communities and requires coordination and support. The Climate Council is well-poised to support coordination.

Commit to Ongoing Use of the Guiding Principles

- Use the Guiding Principles, assessment questions and rubric during the legislative, rulemaking, and ongoing implementation and monitoring and assessment efforts. The Climate Council has adopted the Guiding Principles and should play a lead role in supporting their ongoing use by all relevant stakeholders.
- Provide training to support the ongoing use of the Guiding Principles by the Climate Council, the legislature, and the executive branch.
- Encourage incorporation of the Guiding Principles Assessment Questions into the Equity Impact Assessment (EIA) tool currently used by the executive branch for evaluating budget needs, new program development and policy recommendations. This will enhance and broaden the EIA, as well as add consistency to ongoing climate efforts.
- Encourage the Legislature and Joint Fiscal Office to use the Guiding Principles in its work, specifically the role that the assessment questions and rubric could play in priority setting and policy and budget development. Budgets are the ultimate policy statement and just transitions must be elevated among the GWSA's implementation priorities.
- Encourage the Legislature to include strategies to mitigate burdens and maximize benefits for impacted communities in climate-related legislation, which could include establishing a minimum percentage of climate action investments for impacted communities.
- Ensure that future Legislative deadlines consider procedural equity and allow adequate time and resources to support the necessary public engagement.

Remain Accountable to Achieving a Just Transition

• All climate action strategies and actions should include metrics to measure equity impact. The Climate Council will play an ongoing role in monitoring and tracking progress and results during implementation and future planning. Metrics related to equity impact

should be part of regular, ongoing reporting and will help the Climate Council understand whether Vermont is achieving its goals related to a just transition.

- Keep impacted communities informed of results and changes through deliberate and focused communication with organizations directly involved with rural, marginalized and frontline communities, as the Council assesses the equity impact of climate actions; providing materials to be shared with members.
- Implement a monitoring and assessment approach that brings together and presents data in meaningful ways that both holds provides accountability and communicates the impact and implementation status of the GWSA with all communities. Consider a dashboard that shares progress, captures data and depicts implementation gaps.
- Conduct a regular review of progress and issue a Just Transitions progress report every two years. A report is an important tool for accountability and offers an opportunity to share strategies and establish best practices in reaching Vermont's climate equity goals.

Legislative Action and Rule Making

Legislative Action and Rule Making for Emissions Reductions

The implementation of the CAP will require the legislature to pass significant legislation and the funding necessary to support the actions. The GWSA also requires that the Secretary of the Agency of Natural Resources adopt rules consistent with the initial Climate Action Plan, as adopted, on or before December 1, 2022. The following is a collective suite of actions that will require legislative action or rulemaking to achieve the GWSA requirements for curbing greenhouse gas emissions. These are all considered by the Council to be a high priority for immediate action. Other high priority actions not related to emissions reductions, as well as adaptation, resilience and sequestration, are contained within the plan but not shown here.

Sector	Action	Need Legislative Action	Ready for Rule/ Order ²⁵⁶	Notes
Transportation	CARB Advanced Clean Cars II (ANR, pursuant to 10 V.S.A. 567 and 558)		Yes	
Transportation	CARB Advanced Clean Trucks, Low NOx Omnibus, and Phase II GHG for Truck Trailers Rule (ANR, pursuant to 10 V.S.A. 567 and 558)		Yes	
Transportation	TCI-P Model Rule (ANR, pursuant to 10 V.S.A. 558)		Yes*	*Adopt rule when regional market viability exists.
Transportation	Authorize, direct, staff, and fund AOT to evaluate and expand the EV Purchase Incentive program,	Yes		

²⁵⁶ The Public Utilities Commission should be allowed to determine whether or not to implement policies via rule or order.

-

	potential Build Back Better Act federal funds, other eligible federal funds, and corresponding state match funds to implement allowed investments in transportation infrastructure and other projects that align with AOT prioritization process and with the actions within the Transportation Sector Pathways of the CAP		
Buildings/ Thermal	Adopt legislative or administrative recommendations consistent with those set out by the Weatherization at Scale Working Group (WWG) with the goal of weatherizing 90,000 additional homes by 2030	Yes	
Buildings/ Thermal	Authorize implementation of a plan for coordinating and enhancing energy and financial coaching services for Vermonters with low and moderate incomes	Yes	This will be an element of Weatherization Working Group recommendations.
Buildings/ Thermal	Authorize electric and gas utilities to offer their customers on-bill financing tariffs	Yes	
Buildings/ Thermal	Adoptefficiency standards for rental properties, beginning with expanding the definition of "fit for human habitation" in 9 V.S.A. § 4457(a) by developing and passing legislation requiring owners of [a TBD minimum number of units] of rental housing to ensure that the efficiency of their rental units meets minimum standards [TBD efficiency code level] by December 31, 2030	Yes	
Buildings/ Thermal	Regularly update the statewide residential building energy code, resulting in achieving a Zero Energy Ready building energy code by 2030.	Yes	PSD regularly updates RBEC; legislation indicated to require ZER

Buildings/ Thermal Buildings/ Thermal Buildings/	Develop and fund a state-level Energy Code Circuit Rider initiative Adopt legislation authorizing the Public Utilities Commission to administer a Clean Heat Standard With neighboring states, require	Yes Yes Yes		
Thermal	electric water heaters for sale to have a modular demand response communications port			
Non-energy	Limit greenhouse gas process emissions from the manufacturing of semiconductors (10 V.S.A. §558)		Yes	Note that this rulemaking may not be required if these reductions are achieved through a Public Utilities Commission order or a Certificate of Public Good requiring GHG emissions reductions from Global Foundries that align with proportional emissions reductions necessary to achieve the requirements in the GWSA.
Non-energy	Appropriate funds to ANR (or another entity) to implement an incentive program to fund cost sharing for the installation of permanent leak detection systems for facilities using over a certain threshold of high GWP refrigerants.	Yes		
Non-energy	Appropriate funds to ANR (or another entity) to incentivize businesses to transition from high GWP refrigerants to lower GWP alternatives.	Yes		

Technical Analyses Next Steps

A near-term next step for the Council after adopting the initial CAP will be to prioritize further technical analyses needed to advance climate action and future iterations of the CAP and the budget to support such. Significant technical analyses and modeling efforts been completed to inform the recommendations in this plan, but many of the recommendations need additional, more detailed evaluation to inform program design. Future analyses will also need to be coordinated with related work being done by agencies, to ensure a consistent approach to climate action in state policies and programs. Examples include the Comprehensive Energy Plan led by the Department of Public Service and the Payment for Ecosystem Services working group led by the Agency of Agriculture and Food Markets.

With the initial Plan adopted, the Joint Fiscal Office of the Vermont General Assembly (JFO) is required "...to prepare an analysis of the economic, budgetary and fiscal costs and benefits of the Plan." As the need for further economic modeling is highlighted in the CAP, it will be important to ensure any work advanced through the Council is complimentary and additive to JFO's analysis. Also required in the GWSA is the development of a municipal vulnerability indice. This was not completed for this initial CAP and is a priority as the Council advances future work. The main suite of actions highlighting the continued need for technical analyses are summarized in the table below; in general, the analyses identified exceed resources available in the current annual appropriation to ANR to support the work of the Council and will require additional funding.

Technical Analysis Required	Section Detailed in CAP
Advance supplemental accounting and further research and data	(9) Greenhouse Gas
gathering is also called for supplemental upstream and/or lifecycle	Inventory
accounting of emissions related to the use of energy in Vermont.	
Developing and issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) that will	(9) Carbon Budget
review and analyze methodological gaps of emission inventory tools	
currently used by the State of Vermont to quantify greenhouse gas	
emissions for evaluating changes in the Agriculture, Forestry and	
Other Land Use (AFOLU) sector.	
Establishing a periodic and consistent carbon budget and forecast for	(9) Carbon Budget
Vermont.	
Develop a "municipal vulnerability index" to identify those	(12) Pathways for
communities that may be most adversely affected by climate change.	Adaptation and Building
	Resilience in
	Communities and the
	Built Environment
Hire a consultant to review and assess the state designation programs	(15) Cross-Cutting
that recognize and support Vermont's compact settlement areas.	Pathways
Hire a consultant to engage stakeholders and support the	(15) Cross-Cutting
development of a state Land Use Plan that guides development to	Pathways
growth areas, town centers and appropriate rural locations and limits	
development within ecologically sensitive / risk-prone areas.	
Fund a study that quantifies the vehicle miles traveled and GHGs for	(15) Cross-Cutting
both compact and dispersed areas of development as well as the co-	Pathways
benefits of complect centers.	

Climate Council Next Steps

The Climate Action Plan is the result of more than a year of work that included input from five subcommittees. Adopting an Initial Climate Action Plan is an important milestone, but it is only the first step. The work of the Climate Council is ongoing, and the Council has been clear that this is an initial plan. Climate science and climate action are incredibly complex and multi-faceted with significant potential implications for every aspect of modern life. The timeline made it very challenging to address all the objectives identified in the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) to the level of detail needed to fully weigh and consider what is being proposed, and there are several pathways for further work beyond December 1.

While the Legislature, the Agency of Natural Resources, and other agencies of state government will work to advance numerous actions put forward in this plan through legislative action and rulemaking, the Council will work to further advance work efforts in several key areas, including but not limited to:

- Funding and financing options, with an immediate emphasis on recommendations related to the expenditure of the American Rescue Plan Act dollars to support implementation of the CAP and ultimately the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) as well.
- Overseeing the rollout of the Climate Action Plan and on-going public engagement.
- Furthering the implementation of the Guiding Principles and the Scoring Rubric in the program design of priority actions.
- Considering tabled actions and next steps to further their advancement.
- Organizing the subcommittees work to focus on next steps to further the resilience adaptation and sequestration actions prioritized in this plan.
- Prioritizing additional and finalize ongoing technical analyses needed to inform future iterations of the CAP.
- Identifying the means to accurately measure the impact of implementation of the Plan.
- Conduct further work to develop strategies for transportation sector emissions reduction.
- To make progress on all these fronts, the Council will need to determine what the necessary organizational structure and resources will be in the coming year.

A particular focus of the Council in the near-term will be to further consider tabled actions. These actions were tabled because of a lack of time to fully understand their implications and reach a consensus decision on how to frame them. Tabled actions were primarily focused on a single strategy to address biomass for thermal heat regarding climate mitigation, co-benefits and its impacts. Six actions were associated with this strategy and are listed below. The Council acknowledged that there was not enough time for the Agriculture & Ecosystems and Cross Sector Mitigation subcommittees to come together discuss the issue of biomass, and as such, seek to work in the months ahead with an aim to provide resolved language in spring 2022, recognizing the Council may require additional time to thoughtfully engage. To review the work done thus far and draft recommendations, reference the Agriculture & Ecosystem Subcommittees matrix in the appendix.

In addition, one other action regarding a land value taxation study was tabled. That action can be found below and referenced in the Rural Resilience and Adaptation Subcommittee's matrix in the appendix.

• Pilot a land value taxation study in five communities to evaluate grand list shifts and incentivize compact development as taxes are levied based only on the value of the underlying land and not on the value of any buildings or other improvements to the site

Given the ongoing work, we envision robust engagement by the Council for the foreseeable future, on behalf of Vermonters, to realize the transformative change needed to meet both the challenges and opportunities of climate change.

Connect with the Council

On September 22, 2020, the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) became law, creating the Vermont Climate Council, an assembly of scientists, industry and sector leaders, state officials, and engaged citizens charged to develop this Climate Action Plan.

Following the release of this Plan, the Vermont Climate Council will continue to meet regularly to further refine and help support the on-going implementation of this plan. The Council is charged with producing a revised Climate Action Plan at a minimum every four years going forward.

Pursuant to the GWSA, Climate Council members appointed by the Speaker of the House are appointed for an initial term of two years. Members appointed by the Committee on Committees are appointed to an initial term of three years. Thereafter, each appointed members shall serve a term of three years until earlier resignation or removal. The Council values the importance of having a diverse pool of applicants for future positions on the Climate Council. A diverse set of Councilors with varied professional and personal backgrounds is needed to ensure this and future Climate Action Plans propose climate change solutions that speak to the needs and experiences of all Vermonters. Anyone interested in serving on the Vermont Climate Council is encouraged to reach out to their Legislator to seek appointment to the Council.

The Council invites public comments and questions on the Climate Action Plan and its work to support implementation of the plan moving forward. The Council and subcommittee meetings are always open to the public, and an updated calendar of meetings can be found on the Council's website: <u>climatechange.vermont.gov/getinvolved/calendar/month</u>. The Council also welcomes public comments through the public comment portal found on the Council's website: <u>climatechange.vermont.gov/getinvolved</u>.

The Council looks forward to continuing to work with Vermonters on transformative climate action for this and future generations.

Definitions and Acronyms

- 1. Act 171
- 2. Act 250
- 3. Act 65
- 4. Active restoration
- 5. Adaptation
- 6. Advanced Clean Cars (ACC)
- 7. Advanced Clean Trucks (ACT)
- 8. AFOLU
- 9. Anaerobic digester
- 10. ANR
- 11. AR4, AR5, and AR6
- 12. Atmospheric lifetime
- 13. Automated wood pellet boilers
- 14. B100 biodiesel
- 15. Battery Electric Vehicle
- 16. bcf
- 17. Beneficial electrification
- 18. Biodiversity
- 19. Biofuels
- 20. Biogenic greenhouse gases
- 21. Biomass
- 22. Bioplastic composites
- 23. BIPOC
- 24. Burlington Electric Department (BED)
- 25. CAP
- 26. CARB
- 27. Carbon Budget
- 28. Carbon Flux
- 29. Carbon sequestration
- 30. Carbon sink
- 31. Carbon Stock
- 32. CEAP
- 33. Cellulose insulation
- 34. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD)
- 35. CHS
- 36. Clean Energy Industry Report
- 37. Clean Heat Standard
- 38. Climate refugia
- 39. Climate resilience
- 40. Climate resilience zones
- 41. CO2 allowance
- 42. CO2 equivalent (CO2e)
- 43. Cold climate heat pump
- 44. CREP
- 45. Criteria Air Pollutants

- 46. Cross-docking
- 47. Data Governance Plan
- 48. DEC
- 49. Demand response
- 50. Discount rate
- 51. Distributed Energy Resources
- 52. EAN
- 53. EFG
- 54. Electric vehicle
- 55. EPA
- 56. Equilibrium condition
- 57. Erosive flooding
- 58. ESTA
- 59. F2P
- 60. Fauna
- 61. FHARC
- 62. Floodplain storage
- 63. Flora
- 64. Fluvial
- 65. Food hubs
- 66. Food miles
- 67. Forest fragmentation
- 68. Forest parcelization
- 69. Forest productivity
- 70. Fossil fuel
- 71. FPR
- 72. Gleaners
- 73. Global warming potential (GWP)
- 74. Green Mountain Power (GMP)
- 75. Greenhouse gas
- 76. Gross emissions accounting
- 77. Gross State Product
- 78. GWP100
- 79. GWP20
- 80. GWSA
- 81. Headwater storage
- 82. Heat transfer fluids
- 83. HVAC
- 84. Hydrofluoric acid (HF)
- 85. Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- 86. Inundation flooding
- 87. IPCC
- 88. Just Transitions
- 89. Key Category
- 90. LEV
- 91. LGBTQIA
- 92. Lifecycle accounting
- 93. Light Duty Vehicle

- 94. Load management strategies
- 95. Logic model
- 96. Low NOx Omnibus Rule
- 97. Matrix forest
- 98. Medium and Heavy-Duty Vehicle
- 99. Methane (CH4)
- 100. Mileage Smart
- 101. Mitigation
- 102. MMTCO2e
- 103. MWh
- 104. Nature-based Solutions (NbS)
- 105. NCA5
- 106. NCEI
- 107. NCS
- 108. NEPOOL GIS
- 109. Net emissions accounting
- 110. Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)
- 111. Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- 112. Non-Energy Emissions
- 113. NRCC
- 114. NWL
- 115. Off-peak
- 116. Ozone depleting substances (ODS) substitutes
- 117. Passive restoration
- 118. pay-for-practice
- 119. Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- 120. Performance Indicators
- 121. Performance Measures
- 122. PES
- 123. Phase II GHG Rule
- 124. Phenological
- 125. Planform
- 126. Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle
- 127. Price parity
- 128. Price volatility
- 129. PSD
- 130. PUC
- 131. RAPs
- 132. REC
- 133. Redlining
- 134. Renewable
- 135. Renewable energy
- 136. Renewable energy capacity
- 137. Renewable Energy Standard (RES)
- 138. Renewable natural gas
- 139. Replace Your Ride
- 140. Resilience
- 141. Riparian

- 142. RMP 143. RPC **Rural Communities** 144. 145. SDSC 146. Seasonal growing degree days 147. Section 177 of the Clean Air Act Sequestration of carbon 148. 149. Short-lived climate pollutant (SLCP) 150. SMU Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) 151. 152. SOV 153. Statewide Conservation and Buyout Program Substitution 154. 155. Sustainability Sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) 156. 157. TA (p26) 158. TCI-P 159. Thermal efficiency 160. TNC (p48) 161. Total energy burden
- 162. Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)
- 163. Transportation Bill (T-Bill)
- 164. Union of Concerned Scientists
- 165. USDA
- 166. UVA
- 167. VAAFM
- 168. VELCO
- 169. Vermont Climate Assessment
- 170. Vermont Conservation Design
- 171. Vermont Energy Dashboard
- 172. Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory
- 173. Vermont State Climate Office
- 174. VGS
- 175. VHCB
- 176. VMT
- 177. Vulnerability
- 178. Weatherization
- 179. WISPr
- 180. Working lands
- 181. WWTF
- 182. ZEV

Appendices

- 1. Vermont State Climate Summary
- 2. Global Warming Solutions Act (Act 153)
- 3. Process Roadmap
- 4. Subcommittee Charges
- 5. Foundational Criteria Definitions
- 6. Guiding Principles and Scoring Rubric
- 7. Public Engagement Plan
- 8. Public Engagement Findings
- 9. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report
- 10. Carbon Budget
- 11. Social Cost of Carbon and Cost of Carbon Report
- 12. Cross-Sector Mitigation Subcommittee Pathway, Strategy and Action Matrix
- 13. Rural Resilience and Adaptation Subcommittee Pathway, Strategy and Action Matrix
- 14. Agriculture and Ecosystems Subcommittee Pathway, Strategy and Action Matrix
- 15. Dissenting Opinions
- 16. Councilor Signing Statements
- 17. Vermont Pathways Analysis Report

Attachments will be included with the initial CAP adopted on December 1, 2021, if ready, and will be added after December 1, 2021, when ready.