

## Building Resilience and Adaptation in Communities and the Built Environment

- On **eliminate statutory barriers to school and municipal solar participation**, the Council had concerns on the recommendation not accounting for the electricity customer cost-shift that occurs through net-metering. The sub-committee clarified that the focus of the recommendation was on financing and they did not discuss net-metering. The sub-committee will take up the suggestion of referring to cost-effective programs.
- On **water infrastructure language not aligned with typical approach**, the council was concerned about the water infrastructure text not being matched with ANR terminology. However, this alignment is now happening.
- On **the relocation of villages**, the council had concern about what this actually means for historic villages especially on rivers and in floodplains. The subcommittee said there is a not a one size fits all solution for these communities. The idea is to have tools that are accessible for these communities based on a vulnerability assessment of the risks to their villages. It may lead to relocation of structures. It could look at other solutions..
- On **road funding recommendation**, the Council asked for clarity on these funding recommendations for roads. The subcommittee clarified that they were trying to find how to make up money for the costs of these plans. The council and subcommittee felt it was too early to put these recommendations in here as it needs to be given to the legislature for discussion. It will be noted in the document that this is an issue to be addressed and find resolution for.
- On **drought impacts of drinking water**, Council members had concern that this was an issue that was absent from the draft. The subcommittee mentioned that impacts were not called out hazard by hazard. All aspects of hydrology will be up front in the section as it is not just about the drought impacts on drinking water; it's about drought as a whole. There were not any specific recommendations given, but people could send recommendations to the subcommittee to review.
- On **including high winds and heavy rain**, the Council asked for the toolkit to include how to plan for high winds and heavy rain. The subcommittee said this was the intent, but they will make it more specific.
- On **advance equitable resilience**, there was an ask to clarify was this section means as neither of the actions address that. The subcommittee clarified that it relates to the statutory charge and it was more about the assessment piece. There will be tables that address equitable program design. The subcommittee will also broaden P2 beyond ski and sugaring.
- On **communication action agencies**, council members recommended that the Community Action Agencies be identified as entities that the state can seek to resource and to address frontline issues. The subcommittee could change the name to Community Action Networks to expand the concept. There will be more discussion outside of the meeting to discuss individual assistance post-disaster for marginalized communities.
- On **relocating wastewater treatment facilities**, a question was posed about relocating wastewater treatment facilities away from rivers and lakes to reduce facility damage and to improve water quality. The subcommittee said that there is not a one size fits all solution to that issue, but it is a part of the toolkit and assessment. There was no specific suggestion, but language will be strengthened after the meeting.

- **On the link between pollution prevention programs and wastewater facilities,** a Council member asked to clarify the link between these two entities. The subcommittee stated that there is a sentiment that pollution in waterways means that a community needs help and there is a lot of intrusion in water treatment facilities. One council member felt that this could be stretch for climate action. Others felt that this is tapped into climate infrastructure.
- **On weatherization navigators,** Council members had a question on where to house this type of staff. This could be amended so that they are in multiple place. The idea would be to have increased capacity and “doors” to open to find that capacity. The language will also include the importance of coordination among navigators and how this is a whole system approach beyond weatherization.

Councilors asked about the timeline for submitting minor written comments (24 hours). Also, Jane Lazorchak also explained Councilors will review at a later meeting a section on funding. There will also be a specific section for the LEAP modeling and other funding information in the implementation section.