

Subcommittee ARPA Proposals	Estimated ARPA Investment Requested
Flood Resilience	\$40-50 million
Urban Tree Planting	\$1.5 million
Farm & Forest Economies	\$37.5-42.5 million
Agricultural Mitigation	\$9.56 million
Electric utility resilience upgrades	\$40-50 million
Transportation: State EV incentive program, Replace Your Ride, and Mileage Smart	\$100-150 million
EV charging and related electrical upgrades for low- to moderate-income households	\$27 million +
Weatherization and energy efficiency improvements in buildings and expanded workforce development activities to ensure sufficient weatherization workforce	\$122-\$147 million
Electricity: 200amp service and related building upgrades for frontline communities	\$45 million
Refrigerant management as a component of economic vitalization for grocery stores and other stores in rural community	\$20-40 million
<b>Total Requests</b>	<b>\$442.56-\$562.56</b>

\*These actions are not listed in order of priority.

\*\*The RRA sub-committee recommends setting aside funding to be allocated as grants to towns that are found to be the most vulnerable to address their most pressing climate change resilience needs in line with eligibility requirements of ARPA

# Memo – Key Messages to Include

- Attached is our best thinking as a Climate Council
  - We include a summary table in the memo and the full sub-committee documents as annexes)
- We recognize that the priority actions add up to more than the allocated ARPA funding.
  - The amounts we provide are guidance.
- We ask you to use the following principles to guide your decisions around these priorities for climate action
  - Balanced funding for emissions reductions and adaptation/resilience
  - Use the guiding principles and scoring rubric to inform decision-making around funding and the implementation of the programs
  - Funding should be directed primarily and overwhelming toward low-income Vermonters, consistent with ARPA funding conditions
  - We recognize some items are more straight-forward for meeting the criteria for ARPA funding, and others may have a higher risk of not meeting the criteria. We don't feel positioned to make the judgement today