THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENERGY AND
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
Department of Agricultural Resources
251 Causeway Street, Suite 500, Boston, MA 02114
617-626-1700 fax 617-626-1850 www.Mass.gov/AGR

Massachusetts Department of Agricultural Resources

METSULFURON METHYL

Common Trade Names: Escort, Escort XP (2)

Chemical Name: Methyl 2 E[C[(4-Methoxy—6-methyl-l,3,5-Triazifl—
2-yl) aminolcarbonyl] amino] sulfonyl.]benzoate] (9)

CAS NO.: 74223-64-6

GENERAL INFORMATION

Metsulfuron methyl is a sulfonyl urea herbicide initially registered by E.I. DuPont in 1986. It is a foliar herbicide registered for use on wheat and barley and non-cropland sites such as Right of Way (9).

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE

Mobility

Metsulfuron methyl is a relatively new herbicide. The studies reviewed here have been provided by the registrant, EI DuPont.

The soil water partition coefficients (Kd) of Metsulfuron Methyl have been determined in four different soils: Cecil sand, Flanagan silt loam, Fallsington silt loam, and keyport silt loam. The Kd values range from 0.36 for Cecil sand to 1.40 for Flanagan silt loam, and Kom values ranged from 29 for Fallsington silt loam to 120 for Cecil sand (100). The values for Kd and Kom indicate that metsulfuron methyl is not adsorbed well to soil and that the organic content of the soil is not the only adsorption component. The silt and clay contents appear to influence adsorption, but there are probably other factors also involved.

The previous study also determined the Rf values for soil. Thin layer chromatography was performed on four soils for metsulfuron methyl. The Rf values ranged from 0.64 to 1.00; only one value was less than 0.90 (100). This result confirms the validity of the Kd values, indicating that metsulfuron methyl is mobiie and that the organic matter content of the Soil is a significant component of adsorption.

Metsulfuron methyl was applied to tops of 12 inch columns [containing four different soils], and eluted with 20 inches of water in 20 hours. Following the percolation of the total volume of water, 106% of the metsulfuron methyl was eluted from the Fallsington sandy loam, 96% from the Flanagan silt loam, 81% for Keyport silt loam and 93% for Myakka sand (100). The breakthrough volumes for the Fallsington,

Flangan, Keyport and Myakka soils were 6.5, 4.5, 6.9 and 5.8 inches of water respectively (101).

Metsulfuron methyl is relatively mobile in most soils, but will be retained longer in soils with higher percentages of organic matter.

Persistence

There are two studies which have reviewed the persistence of metsulfuron methyl in the soil. One study was conducted in the southern United States and the second was in the northern United States and Canada. The results of the studies indicate a somewhat contradictory picture of the persistence of metsulfuron methyl.

The soil half-lives in Delaware, North Carolina, Mississippi and Florida were 1 week, 4 weeks, 3 weeks and 1 week respectively following an application in mid to late summer (102). The results are varied and indicate that either climatic or soil factors determine the persistence. The climate is sufficiently similar to be able to discount that as a factor. However, both of the locations where the shortest half-lives were observed had the highest organic matter content in the soils. Furthermore, the half—lives correspond with the organic matter content.

The half—lives following spring applications were 4 and 56 weeks for two sites in Colorado, 6 weeks in North Dakota and 28 weeks in Idaho (103). In contrast to the southern United States study there does not appear to be any correlation with climatic or soil characteristics. There appears to be a slightly shorter half—life in acidic soils in the same location.

Metsulfuron methyl was also applied in the fall and the half-lives determined in two sites in Colorado, North Dakota and Idaho. These half—lives were 8 weeks, 12 weeks, 42 weeks and 28 weeks respectively. As was expected there were longer half—lives following fall applications in North Dakota (6 weeks vs. 42 weeks) however, in Idaho there was no change at all, which is unexpected.

In Canada following spring applications the reported half-lives were 10 weeks, 4 weeks, 4 weeks and 6 weeks for Alberta, 2 locations in Saskatchewan and Manitoba (103). One would expect longer half lives in Northern locations due to the effects of temperature on degradation rates. The results from Canada are generally shorter than those in the U.S. locations, which is unexpected.

Therefore, the half-life of Metsulfuron methyl in the soil is variable and dependent on the location. It is shorter when applied in the spring but appears independent of other environmental factors in most locations.

TOXICITY REVIEW

Acute (Mammalian)

The toxicology database for Metsulfuron methyl has been reviewed and accepted by the EPA (9). DuPont supplied excerpts from their monograph on Ally herbicide (112). Summaries of studies were supplied by DuPont for subchronic, chronic and reproductive studies.

Technical metsulfuron methyl has been tested in two acute oral LD50 studies in Crl:CD Rats. In the first study the LD5O was greater than 5,000 mg/kg and in the second it was greater than 25,000 mg/kg (the maximum feasible dose) (112). Clinical signs included salivation, chromodacryorrhea, stained face, stained perineal area and weight loss (112).

In a 10—dose subacute study using male rats, a single repeated dose of 3,400 mg/kg/day for 10 days over a 2 week period was administered. This was followed by a two week recovery period. No deaths occurred and slight weight loss was the only clinical sign observed. In addition, no gross or microscopic changes were observed (112). The dermal LD50 is greater than 2,000 mg/kg in male and female rabbits (112).

Technical metsulfuron methyl caused mild erythema as a 40% solution in guinea pigs. There was no reaction observed at the 4% concentration. No response occurred when treated animals were challenged (112).

In rabbits, moderate areas of slight corneal clouding and severe to moderate conjunctivitis were observed in both washed and unwashed eyes following treatment with technical metsulfuron methyl. The unwashed eyes were normal in 3 days and the washed eyes in 14 days (112).

Metabolism

Elimination of metsulfuron methyl in the rat is rapid, with 91% of a radioactive dose excreted over 96 hours (9). The routes of elimination were not specified within the report.

Subchronic/Chronic (Mammalian)

Ninety day feeding studies have been done with metsulfuron methyl in rats and mice. The rat study was done in conjunction with a one generation reproduction study (see Developmental Study Section). In this study rats received 0, 100, 1000, or 7500 ppm (0, 5.7, 57, 428 mg/kg/d) (a) in their diets. Effects observed at the high dose were: a decrease in body weight and an increase in total serum protein in the females, and a decrease in liver weight and a decrease in cytoplasmic clearing of hepatocytes in the males the NOEL in this study was 1000 ppm (104).

The 90 day mouse study was done in conjunction with the 18 month mouse study. Groups of 90 mice per sex per dose received 0, 5, 25, 500, 2500 or 5000 ppm (0, 0.66, 3.3, 66.6, 333.3, 666.6 mg/kg/d) in their diets. Clinical evaluations were made at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12 and 18 months. Ten animals per group were sacrificed at the 90 day time point for pathological evaluation. The 2500 ppm group was sacrificed at 12 months. Sporadic effects were observed on the body weight, food consumption, and organ weights. These were not dose related, resulting in a NOEL of 5000 ppm in diet for mice (111).

In the twenty-one day dermal rabbit study, the intact skin of male and female New Zealand White Rabbits received doses of 0, 125, 500 and 2,000 mg/kg for 6 hrs/day for 21 days. Clinical signs observed were sporadic weight loss and diarrhea in a few rabbits. These effects were not dose related. Non dose related histological effects were observed in male rabbits. This effect was characterized as mild testicular atrophy occurring sporadically at all doses (112, 108).

Feeding studies in dogs have been done with purebred beagles. The animals received metsulfuron methyl in diets at dose levels of 0, 50, 500 and 5000 ppm (0, 0.2, 2, 20 mg/kg/d) for one year. There was a decrease in food consumption in the high dose males. There was a decrease in serum lactate dehydrogenase in all groups of both sexes at two or more doses these values were within the historical controls. The NOEL was 500 ppm in the males and 5000 ppm in females (112).

In a chronic feeding study in rats, the animals received metsulfuron methyl at doses of 0, 5, 25, 500, 2500 or 5000 ppm (0, 0.28, 1.4, 28.6, 143 or 286 mg/kg/d. Interim sacrifices were done at 13 and 52 weeks (105).

At the 13 week sacrifice there was a decrease in body weight in the 2500 and 5000 ppm groups; there was a decrease in absolute liver weight at 2500 and 5000 ppm males. There was a decrease in the relative liver weights in the 2500 and 5000 ppm females.

(a) In these discussions the assumptions made for estimated conversion of ppm (diet) to mg/kg/D were:

Species	Body weight (kg)	Intake (kg)
Rat	0.35	0.020
Mouse	0.03	0.004
Dog	10	0.4

When data were presented as ppm, the dose was estimated in mg/kg and is presented in parenthesis.

Findings at the 52 week sacrifice included increase in kidney weight (2500 ppm males) and increased absolute brain weights (at doses of 25, 500, 2500 and 5000 ppm) in males and at doses of 2,500 and 5000 ppm in females. There was an increase in absolute heart weight at 2500 ppm in males and at 2500 and 5000 ppm in females. The absolute organ weights were back to normal at termination. Relative brain weights of the 2500 and 5000 ppm groups were increased (105)

Oncogenicity Studies

There were no gross or histopathological changes observed in mice receiving up to 5000 ppm metsulfuron methyl in their diets (112. 111). Similar results were obtained in the 104 week rat study; there were no histopathological changes observed which were attributable to metsulfuron methyl (105, 112). EPA concludes that there were no oncogenic effects in rats or mice at the highest dose tested; 5000 ppm in both cases (9).

Mutagenicity Testing

Metsulfuron methyl was negative in the unscheduled DNA synthesis assay; in vivo bone marrow cytogenic assay in rats (doses were 500, 1,000, and 5,000 mglkg bw); CHO/HGPRT Assay; Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay four strains with and without S9 metabolic activation; and also in the in vivo mouse micronucleus assay at doses of 166, 500, 1666, 3000 and 5000 mg/kg (112). 'T¶e only positive mutagenicity assay was in the in vitro assay for chromosome aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary at high doses (greater than 2.63 mM, 1.0 mg/mL)). In this assay no increases in structural aberrations were observed at 0.13 or 1.32 mM(0.05 or 0.5 mg/mL) (112).

Developmental Studies

Several studies have been done to investigate the effects of Metsulfuron methyl on reproduction and development in rats and rabbits.

Pregnant Crl: COBS CD(SD) BR rats received metsulfuron methyl at doses of 0, 40, 250 or 1000 mg/kg by the oral route on days 5 to 14 of gestation. There were 25 rats per group. Maternal toxicity was observed at doses of 250 and 1000 mg/kg/d. The maternal toxicity NOEL was 40 mg/kg/d. There was no evidence of "teratogenic" response or embryo fetal toxicity (112).

In the rabbit study, New Zealand white rabbits received 0, 25, 100, 300 or 700 mg/kg/d on days 6 to 18 gestation. There was a dose related increase in maternal deaths; 1, 2 and 12 deaths at doses of 100, 300 and 700 mg/kg respectively. The maternal toxicity NOEL was 25 mg/kg/d and there was no evidence of teratogenic or embryolethal effects observed in this study (112).

Several multigenerational studies have been done with Metsulfuron methyl. A four litter reproduction study was done concurrently with the chronic bioassay. Rats from each treatment were separated from the main study and bred. The doses were 0, 5, 25, 500, 2500, and 5000 ppm (0, 0.28, 1.4, 28.6, 143 and 286 mg/kg/d). There was a dose dependent decrease in body weight in the parental (P1) generation at doses of 25 ppm and greater in males and females. This effect was not present in dams during gestation or lactation (106).

Overall fertility in the P1 and filial (Fl) matings was low in both control and treated groups with no apparent cause. There was a decrease in pup size in the Fla but not the Flb, F2a, or F2b litters. The gestation index was 100% for all groups in both filial generations with the exception of F2a when it was 90%. On the basis of the lower body weights and lower growth rates, the NOEL was 25 ppm for this study (106).

In a 90 day, 2 generation 4 litter protocol, rats received 0, 25, 500 or 5000 ppm (0, 1.4, 28.6, 286 mg/kg/d) Metsulfuron methyl in their diets for 90 days prior to mating. In this protocol the parental generation was bred twice first to produce the Fla and then the FiB. The FiB rats were then fed the appropridte diet for 90 days (after weaning). There was a decrease in litter size in the 5000 ppm group in the F2a generation, but not in any other generation. The NOEL for this study was 500 ppm (107).

In a 90 day feeding, one generation rat study, 16 male and 16 female rats received 0, 100, 1000 or 7500 ppm in their diet prior to mating. There were no differences observed in reproduction and lactation performance or litter survival among groups. There was an overall low fertility in the control and treated groups. This result made the effects of metsulfuron methyl on fertility difficult to assess from this study (104).

Tolerances and Guidelines

Tolerances have been set for metsulfuron methyl in barley wheat (from 0.05 to 20 ppm, depending on the commodity) and in meat and meat byproducts (0.1 ppm). The tolerance in milk is 0.05 ppm (8, 9). The acceptable daily intake is 0.0125 mg/kg/d based on a one year dog NOEL of 1.25 mg/kg/d using a safety factor of 100 (9).

Avian

Metsulfuron methyl has been tested in two species of birds, the mallard duck and the bobwhite quail. The acute oral LD5O is greater than 2150 mg/kg in the duck. Two, 8 day dietary studies have been done. The 8 day LC5O is greater than 5620 ppm in both the duck and the quail (9).

Invertebrates

The 48 hour LC5O for Daphnia is greater than 150 ppm and the acute toxicity in the honeybee is greater than 25 mg/bee (9).

Aquatic

Metsulfuron methyl has acute LC5O of greater than 150 ppm in both the rainbow trout and the bluegill sunfish (9).

Summary

Metsulfuron methyl has a moderate to high mobility in the soil profile and is relatively persistent in the environment, especially when applied in the fall. These factors would be of concern under most circumstances. However, metsulfuron methyl is applied at very low rates (3-4 ozs./A) and therefore the amounts which reach the soil are quite low. Consequently, Metsulfuron methyl should not impact groundwater as a result of leaching or migrate from the target area. Metsulfuron methyl has low toxicity (EPA Toxicity Category III) for acute dermal exposure and primary eye irritation and is category IV for all other acute exposures. The chronic studies indicate no oncogenicity response and the systemic NOEL's are 500 ppm in rats and 5000 ppm in mice. There was no evidence of teratological effects in the rat or the rabbit at the highest dose tested in both species. While there was evidence of maternal toxicity at 40 mg/kg/d in the rat and 100 mg/kg/d in the rabbits.

REFERENCES

2. Farm Chemicals Handbook: 1985
Dictionary, buyer's guide to trade names and equipment. Pub. by Meister Pub. Co.

9. EPA Pesticide Fact Sheet Metsulfuron methyl: 1986 Collection of pesticide chemistry
Pub. by US Government Printing Office 461-221/24041

100. DuPont Soil Column Leaching Studies with [14C] DPX-T6376] (AMR 82-82).

101. DuPont Adsorption of 14C DPX-T6376 on Soil (AI'IR-66-82).

102. DuPont Field Soil Dissipation Study of DPX-T6376 in Delaware, North Carolina, Florida, and Mississippi (AMR 66—82).

103. DuPont Field Soil Dissipation of [Phenyl (U) - 14C] Metsulfuron Methyl on United States and Canadian Soils (AMR 476-86).

104. DuPont HL 180-82; 90 day feeding one generation Reproduction Study in Rats.

105. DuPont HLO-61-85; Chronic Feeding Study with Concurrent Two Generation Reproduction Study in Rats - Chronic.

106. DuPont HLO-65-85 Chronic Feeding Reproduction Phase.

107. DuPont HLR-524-84 Two generation, Four Litter Reproductive Study in Rats.

108. DuPont HLR 137-83 Subchronic Dermal Study (21 Days) in Rabbits.

111. DuPont HLR 463-84 Ninety-Day and Long Term Feeding Study in Mice.

112. Ally Herbicide Product Monograph

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Prevention, Pesticides And Toxic Substances (7508W)
EPA-738-F-93-011
September 1993

R.E.D. FACTS

Glyphosate

Pesticide Reregistration

All pesticides sold or distributed in the United States must be registered by EPA, based on scientific studies showing that they can be used without posing unreasonable risks to people or the environment. Because of advances in scientific knowledge, the law requires that pesticides which were first registered years ago be reregistered to ensure that they meet today's more stringent standards.

In evaluating pesticides for reregistration, EPA obtains and reviews a complete set of studies from pesticide producers, describing the human health and environmental effects of each pesticide. The Agency imposes any regulatory controls that are needed to effectively manage each pesticide's risks. EPA then reregisters pesticides that can be used without posing unreasonable risks to human health or the environment.

When a pesticide is eligible for reregistration, EPA announces this and explains why in a Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) document. This fact sheet summarizes the information in the RED document for glyphosate.

Use Profile

Glyphosate is a non-selective herbicide registered for use on many food and non-food field crops as well as non-crop areas where total vegetation control is desired. When applied at lower rates, glyphosate also is a plant growth regulator.

Glyphosate is among the most widely used pesticides by volume. It ranked eleventh among conventional pesticides used in the U.S. during 1990-91. In recent years, approximately 13 to 20 million acres were treated with 18.7 million pounds of glyphosate annually. The largest use sites include hay/pasture, soybeans and field corn.

Three salts of glyphosate are used as active ingredients in registered pesticide products. Two of these active ingredients, plus technical grade glyphosate, are contained in the 56 products that are subject to this RED.

The isopropylamine salt, an active ingredient in 53 registered products, is used as a herbicide to control broadleaf weeds and grasses in many food and non-food crops and a variety of other sites including ornamentals, lawns and turf, residential areas, greenhouses, forest plantings and industrial rights-of-way. It is formulated as a liquid, solid or pellet/tablet, and is applied using ground or aerial equipment.

The sodium salt of glyphosate, an active ingredient in two registered pesticide products, is used as a plant growth regulator for peanuts and sugarcane, to modify plant growth and hasten the ripening of fruit. It is applied as a ground spray to peanut fields and as an aerial spray to sugarcane. Preharvest intervals are established for both crops.

The monoammonium salt of glyphosate is an active ingredient in an additional seven herbicide/growth regulator products. This form of glyphosate was initially registered after November 1984, so it is not subject to reregistration or included in this RED. However, in reassessing the existing glyphosate tolerances (maximum residue limits in or on food and feed), EPA included those for the monoammonium salt.

Regulatory History

EPA issued a Registration Standard for glyphosate in June 1986 (NTIS PB87-103214). The Registration Standard required additional phytotoxicity, environmental fate, toxicology, product chemistry and residue chemistry studies. All of the data required have been submitted and reviewed, or were waived.

Human Health Assessment

Toxicity

Glyphosate is of relatively low oral and dermal acute toxicity. It has been placed in Toxicity Category III for these effects (Toxicity Category I indicates the highest degree of acute toxicity, and Category IV the lowest). The acute inhalation toxicity study was waived because glyphosate is non-volatile and because adequate inhalation studies with end-use products exist showing low toxicity.

A subchronic feeding study using rats showed blood and pancreatic effects. A similar study with mice showed reduced body weight gains in both sexes at the highest dose levels. A dermal study with rabbits showed slight reddening and swelling of the skin, decreased food consumption in males and decreased enzyme production, at the highest dose levels.

Several chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity studies using rats, mice and beagle dogs resulted in no effects based on the parameters examined, or resulted in findings that glyphosate was not carcinogenic in the study. In June 1991, EPA classified glyphosate as a Group E oncogen--one that shows evidence of non-carcinogenicity for humans--based on the lack of convincing evidence of carcinogenicity in adequate studies.

In developmental toxicity studies using pregnant rats and rabbits, glyphosate caused treatment-related effects in the high dose groups including diarrhea, decreased body weight gain, nasal discharge and death.

One reproductive toxicity study using rats showed kidney effects in the high dose male pups; another study showed digestive effects and decreased body weight gain. Glyphosate does not cause mutations.

In one metabolism study with rats, most of the glyphosate administered (97.5 percent) was excreted in urine and feces as the parent compound; less than one percent of the absorbed dose remained in tissues and organs, primarily in bone tissue. Aminomethyl phosphonic acid (AMPA) was the only metabolite excreted. A second study using rats showed that very little glyphosate reaches bone marrow, that it is rapidly eliminated from bone marrow, and that it is even more rapidly eliminated from plasma.

Dietary Exposure

The nature of glyphosate residue in plants and animals is adequately understood. Studies with a variety of plants indicate that uptake of glyphosate or AMPA from soil is limited. The material which is taken up is readily translocated throughout the plant and into its fruit. In animals, most glyphosate is eliminated in urine and feces. Enforcement methods are available to detect residues of glyphosate and AMPA in or on plant commodities, in water and in animal commodities.

85 tolerances have been established for residues of glyphosate and its metabolite, AMPA, in or on a wide variety of crops and crop groups, as well as in many processed foods, animal feed and animal tissues (please see 40 CFR 180.364, 40 CFR 185.3500 and 40 CFR 186.3500). EPA has reassessed the existing and proposed tolerances for glyphosate. Though some adjustments will be needed, no major changes in existing tolerances are required. EPA also has compared the U.S. tolerances with international Codex maximum residue limits (MRLs), and is recommending certain adjustments to achieve greater compatibility.

EPA conducted a dietary risk assessment for glyphosate based on a worst-case risk scenario, that is, assuming that 100 percent of all possible commodities/acreage were treated, and assuming that tolerance-level residues remained in/on all treated commodities. The Agency concluded that the chronic dietary risk posed by glyphosate food uses is minimal.

A reference dose (RfD), or estimate of daily exposure that would not cause adverse effects throughout a lifetime, of 2 mg/kg/day has been proposed for glyphosate, based on the developmental toxicity studies described above.

Occupational and Residential Exposure

Occupational and residential exposure to glyphosate can be expected based on its currently registered uses. However, due to glyphosate's low acute toxicity and the absence of other toxicological concerns (especially carcinogenicity), occupational and residential exposure data are not required for reregistration.

Some glyphosate end-use products are in Toxicity Categories I or II for primary eye irritation or skin irritation. In California, glyphosate ranks high among pesticides causing illness or injury to workers, who report numerous incidents of eye and skin irritation from splashes during mixing and loading.

EPA is not adding any personal protective equipment (PPE) requirements at this time, but any existing PPE label requirements must be retained.

The Worker Protection Standard (WPS) for Agricultural Pesticides (please see 40 CFR 156 and 170) established an interim restricted entry interval (REI) of 12 hours for glyphosate. The Agency has decided to retain this REI as a prudent measure to mitigate risks to workers. During the REI, workers may reenter areas treated with glyphosate only in the few, narrow exceptions allowed in the WPS. The REI applies only to glyphosate uses within the scope of the WPS, so homeowner and commercial uses are not included.

Human Risk Assessment

EPA's worst case risk assessment of glyphosate's many registered food uses concludes that human dietary exposure and risk are minimal. Existing and proposed tolerances have been reassessed, and no significant changes are needed to protect the public.

Exposure to workers and other applicators generally is not expected to pose undue risks, due to glyphosate's low acute toxicity. However, splashes during mixing and loading of some products can cause injury, primarily eye and skin irritation. EPA is continuing to recommend PPE, including protective eye wear, for workers using end-use products that are in Toxicity Categories I or II for eye and skin irritation. To mitigate potential risks associated with reentering treated agricultural areas, EPA is retaining the 12 hour REI set by the WPS.

Environmental Assessment

Environmental Fate

Glyphosate adsorbs strongly to soil and is not expected to move vertically below the six inch soil layer; residues are expected to be immobile in soil. Glyphosate is readily degraded by soil microbes to AMPA, which is degraded to carbon dioxide. Glyphosate and AMPA are not likely to move to ground water due to their strong adsorptive characteristics. However, glyphosate does have the potential to contaminate surface waters due to its aquatic use patterns and through erosion, as it adsorbs to soil particles suspended in runoff. If glyphosate reached surface water, it would not be broken down readily by water or sunlight.

Ecological Effects

Glyphosate is no more than slightly toxic to birds and is practically non-toxic to fish, aquatic invertebrates and honeybees. Due to the presence of a toxic inert ingredient, some glyphosate end-use products must be labeled, "Toxic to fish," if they may be applied directly to aquatic environments. Product labeling does not preclude off-target movement of glyphosate by drift. EPA therefore is requiring three additional terrestrial plant studies to assess potential risks to nontarget plants.

EPA does not expect that most endangered terrestrial or aquatic organisms will be affected by the registered uses of glyphosate. However,

many endangered plants as well as the Houston toad (due to its habitat) may be at risk. EPA is deferring any use modifications or labeling amendments until it has published the Endangered Species Protection Plan and has given registrants guidance regarding endangered species precautionary labeling.

Ecological Effects Risk Assessment

Based on current data, EPA has determined that the effects of glyphosate on birds, mammals, fish and invertebrates are minimal. Under certain use conditions, glyphosate may cause adverse effects to nontarget aquatic plants. Additional data are needed to fully evaluate the effects of glyphosate on nontarget terrestrial plants. Risk reduction measures will be developed if needed, once the data from these studies are submitted and evaluated.

Additional Data Required

EPA is requiring three generic studies (Tier II Vegetative Vigor, Droplet Size Spectrum, and Drift Field Evaluation) which are not part of the target data base and do not affect the reregistration eligibility of glyphosate. The Agency also is requiring product-specific data including product chemistry and acute toxicity studies, as well as revised Confidential Statements of Formula and revised labeling.

Product Labeling Changes Required

All end-use glyphosate products must comply with EPA's current pesticide product labeling requirements. In addition:

- **Protection of Aquatic Organisms**

Non-Aquatic Uses - End-use products that are not registered for aquatic uses must bear the following label statement:

Do not apply directly to water, to areas where surface water is present or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwaters and rinsate.

Aquatic Uses - End-use products registered for aquatic uses must bear the following label statement:

Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwaters and rinsate. Treatment of aquatic weeds can result in oxygen loss from decomposition for dead plants. This loss can cause fish kills.

- **Worker Protection Standard (WPS) Requirements**

Any product whose labeling permits use in the production of an agricultural plant on any farm, forest, nursery or greenhouse must comply with the labeling requirements of:

- PR Notice 93-7, "Labeling Revisions Required by the Worker Protection Standard (WPS)," and

- PR Notice 93-11, "Supplemental Guidance for PR Notice 93-7."

Unless specifically directed in the RED, all statements required by these two PR Notices must appear on product labeling exactly as instructed in the Notices. Labels must be revised by April 21, 1994, for products distributed or sold by the primary registrant or supplementally registered distributors, and by October 23, 1995, for products distributed or sold by anyone.

- **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**

No new PPE requirements must be added to glyphosate labels. However, any existing PPE requirements on labels must be retained.

- **Entry Restrictions**

Products Not Primarily Intended for Home Use:

- Uses Within the Scope of the WPS - A 12-hour restricted entry interval (REI) is required for all products with uses within the scope of the WPS, except products intended primarily for home use. The PPE for early entry should be that required for applicators of glyphosate, except any applicator requirement for an apron or respirator is waived. This REI and PPE should be inserted into the standardized statements required by PR Notice 93-7.
  - Sole Active Ingredient End-Use Products - Labels must be revised to adopt the entry restrictions set forth in this section. Any conflicting entry restrictions on current labeling must be removed.
  - Multiple Active Ingredient Products - Registrants must compare the entry restrictions set forth in this section to those on their current labeling and retain the more protective. A specific time period in hours or days is considered more protective than "until sprays have dried" or "dusts have settled."

- Uses Not Within the Scope of the WPS - No new entry restrictions must be added. However, any entry restrictions on current product labeling with these uses must be retained.

Products Primarily Intended for Home Use:

- No new entry restrictions must be added. However, any entry restrictions on current product labeling must be retained.

Regulatory Conclusion

The use of currently registered pesticide products containing the isopropylamine and sodium salts of glyphosate in accordance with the labeling specified in this RED will not pose unreasonable risks or adverse effects to humans or the environment. Therefore, all uses of these products are eligible for reregistration.

These glyphosate products will be reregistered once the required product-specific data, revised Confidential Statements of Formula and revised labeling are received and accepted by EPA.

Products which contain active ingredients in addition to glyphosate will not be reregistered until all their other active ingredients also are eligible for reregistration.

For More Information

EPA is requesting public comments on the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) document for glyphosate during a 60-day time period, as announced in a Notice of Availability published in the Federal Register. To obtain a copy of the RED document or to submit written comments, please contact the Pesticide Docket, Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), US EPA, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 703- 305-5805.

Following the comment period, the glyphosate RED document will be available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, telephone 703-487-4650.

For more information about EPA's pesticide reregistration program, the glyphosate RED, or reregistration of individual products containing glyphosate, please contact the Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W), OPP, US EPA, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 703-308-8000.

For information about the health effects of pesticides, or for assistance in recognizing and managing pesticide poisoning symptoms, please contact the National Pesticides Telecommunications Network (NPTN). Call toll-free 1-800-858-7378, between 8:00 am and 6:00 pm Central Time, Monday through Friday.