VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION (VTRANS)

115

BENNINGTON STP 1000(23) INTERSECTION OF VT ROUTE 67A (NORTH BENNINGTON ROAD) AT MATTESON ROAD, SILK ROAD AND COLLEGE DRIVE







BENNINGTON STP 1000(23) INTERSECTION OF VT ROUTE 67A (NORTH BENNINGTON ROAD) AT MATTESON ROAD, SILK ROAD AND COLLEGE DRIVE

VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION (VTRANS)

SCOPING REPORT (DRAFT VERSION)

PROJECT NO.: 52741 TSK 03 DATE: APRIL 2020

WSP

WSP.COM

wsp

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Project Background1
1.2	Project Study Area Limits2
2	PURPOSE AND NEED 3
2.1	Purpose
2.2	Need
3	ROADWAY INFORMATION
3.1 3.1.1	Existing Roadway and Intersection Geometry
3.1.2	VT ROUTE 67A AT SILK ROAD AND RICE LANE
3.2	Existing Traffic Volumes
3.3	Future Traffic Volumes
3.4	Intermodal Uses
3.5	Safety Analysis
3.6	Floodplain
3.7	Right-Of-Way
3.8	Historic
3.9	Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
	Design Criteria
3.10	Design Criteria
4	ALTERNATIVES 13
4.1	Non-Preferred Alternatives13
4.2	Shortlisted Alternatives13
5	EVALUATION 20
5.1	Evaluation of the Shortlisted Alternatives20
6	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 21
6.1	Alternative 6: 5 Leg Elliptical Roundabout21

vsp

TABLES

TABLE 3.1: ANNUAL GROWTH RATE	5
TABLE 3.2: TOTAL CRASHES BY TYPE	6
TABLE 3.3: TOTAL CRASHES BY SEVERITYTABLE 3.4: TOTAL CRASHES	
BY SEVERITY	6
TABLE 3.5: CMF CLEARINGHOUSE MODELS	7
TABLE 3.6: PREDICTED CRASH FREQUENCY	7
TABLE 3.7: MUTCD SIGNAL WARRANTS SUMMARY	11
TABLE 3.8: DESIGN CRITERIA	12
TABLE 4.1: TWSC INTERSECTION & ROUNDABOUT LEVEL OF SERVICE	
CRITERIA	14
TABLE 4.2: SYNCHRO/SIMTRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS – EXISTING	
CONDITIONS YEAR 2019	15
TABLE 4.3: SYNCHRO/SIMTRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS – NO BUILD	
CONDITIONS YEAR 2040	16
TABLE 4.4: VISSIM ANALYSIS RESULTS – ALTERNATIVE 3 YEAR 2040	17
TABLE 4.5: VISSIM ANALYSIS RESULTS – ALTERNATIVE 5 YEAR 2040	18
TABLE 4.6: VISSIM ANALYSIS RESULTS – ALTERNATIVE 6 YEAR 2040	19

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: LOCATION MAP......2

APPENDICES

- A TRAFFIC COUNTS
- A-1 Turning Movements
- A-2 Traffic Volumes
- **B** ALTERNATIVES
- B-1 Non-Preferred Alternatives
- **B-2** Shortlisted Alternatives
- C COST ESTIMATES & BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS
- **D** EVALUATION MATRIX
- E RISK REGISTER
- **F** SELECT BOARD MEETING MINUTES
- **G** VISSIM RESULTS
- H SYNCHRO & SIMTRAFFIC RESULTS

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The purpose of this scoping report is to examine the feasibility and approximate costs of improvements to the intersection of VT Route 67A at Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane and the adjacent intersection of VT Route 67A at Bennington College Road in North Bennington to enhance safety, mobility, and access for all users. Historically, the intersections have experienced a high number of crashes. Vehicles exiting Silk Road experience difficulty accessing VT Route 67A due to speeding and limited sight lines.

This high accident location was first assessed in 1985 and revisited again in 1989 and 1992. A Local Concerns Meeting was held on October 13, 1994. A Final Scoping Report was prepared by Vermont Agency of Transportation Planning Division in February 1996. Three alternatives were proposed, Do-Nothing, a Roundabout, and a Reconstruct Off Alignment. The Roundabout was the preferred alternative by the Town to address all project needs along with traffic calming for the vehicles moving through the area. Most research studies show that roundabouts do not reduce crashes, but they dramatically reduce injury crashes.

In October 2013, the Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans) conducted a location review of the intersection that contemplated the safety concerns and potential solutions. At that time, a traffic signal was not warranted, however, short term safety improvements were suggested along with a long-term solution of a roundabout. A bike/pedestrian facility named "Ninja Path" was constructed along the Northside Drive commercial corridor to connect downtown Bennington, Bennington College and other nearby bike/pedestrian facilities. This shared use path crosses Silk Road and VT Route 67A at the intersection of VT Route 67A at Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane.

The recent construction of this bike path coupled with the safety concerns at the existing intersections prompted VTrans to initiate this scoping study to determine the feasibility of extensive and long-term safety improvements at this location.

As part of this scoping project, a local concerns meeting was held on February 7, 2019 and a Town of Bennington Select Board meeting was held on January 27, 2020. Seven proposed intersection improvement alternatives were presented to the Town Select Board. The alternatives were classified as either non-preferred or shortlisted and are as follows:

- Non-preferred Alternatives:
 - Alternative 1: 3 Leg Roundabout with Relocated Bennington College Access
 - Alternative 2: 4 Leg Roundabout with Relocated Bennington College Access
 - Alternative 4: 5 Leg Roundabout Shift West
 - Alternative 7: Traditional Stop Control or Signalized Intersection
- Shortlisted Alternatives:
 - Alternative 3: 5 Leg Oval Roundabout
 - Alternative 5: 1996 Study Modified 5 Leg Circular Roundabout
 - Alternative 6: 5 Leg Elliptical Roundabout

The Town Select Board members voted unanimously for Alternative 6 which is considered as the preferred alternative in this scoping study. The Town Select Board meeting minutes relevant to this project are included in Appendix F.

The purpose of this scoping report is to document the analysis and development of these improvement alternatives, as well as, the public feedback received throughout the process of the scoping study.

1.2 PROJECT STUDY AREA LIMITS

The project study area includes VT Route 67A from the west of its intersection with Bennington College Road to the east of its intersection with Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane in Bennington, Vermont. The projet study area is illustrated on Figure 1.



Figure 1: Location Map

2 PURPOSE AND NEED

2.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this scoping project is to examine the feasibility and approximate costs of improvements to the intersection of VT Route 67A at Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane and the adjacent intersection of VT Route 67A at Bennington College Road in North Bennington to enhance safety, mobility, and access for all users.

Historically, the intersections have experienced a high number of crashes. Short term modifications have been made at the intersections including a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) and installation of small islands along the center of VT Route 67A to highlight the bike path crossing and to encourage slower vehicular travel. It appears that the current crash mitigation measures have not solved the root cause of the crashes at this location. The combination of vehicle speeds and limited sight distance continue to pose a high-risk environment. Both factors need to be addressed with the alternative selected for this project.

2.2 NEED

The intersection of VT Route 67A at Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane and the adjacent intersection of VT Route 67A at Bennington College Road improvement alternatives shall:

- Provide a safer environment for all users,
- Improve sight lines, and
- Improve access for all modes.

The intersection of VT Route 67A at Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane and the adjacent intersection of VT Route 67A at Bennington College Road Scoping Report will:

- Present various improvement alternatives and the associated impacts,
- Document public feedback, and
- Propose a preferred alternative for further development and construction.

3 ROADWAY INFORMATION

3.1 EXISTING ROADWAY AND INTERSECTION GEOMETRY

WSP conducted field inventory of the study area intersections. The intersection geometry, traffic controls, land use, parking regulations, and pedestrian accommodations were evaluated.

3.1.1 VT ROUTE 67A AT SILK ROAD AND RICE LANE

At the east terminus of the study area, Silk Road from the south and Rice Lane from the north intersect with VT Route 67A to form a four-way intersection.

VT Route 67A is two-way separated by a double yellow centerline. In addition, the west leg is separated by two small raised traffic islands that act as a pedestrian refuge for pedestrians crossing VT Route 67A. The pedestrian crossing at this location features a rectangular rapid flashing beacons warning drivers to yield when activated by the pedestrian push buttons located on either side of VT Route 67A.

Matteson Road/Rice Lane, the northern leg of the intersection, is stop controlled and consists of a single lane in each direction separated by a double yellow centerline. No pedestrian accommodations are provided across Matteson Road/Rice Lane. A shared use path, known as the Ninja Path, is present along a portion of the west side of Matteson Road/Rice Lane connecting to the pedestrian crossing on the west leg of VT Route 67A.

Silk Road, the southern leg of the intersection, is also stop controlled and consists of single lane in each direction separated by a double yellow centerline. No pedestrian accommodations are provided across Silk Road at the intersection. The shared use Ninja Path runs along Silk Road approximately 30 feet to the west before crossing Silk Road to continue east. A pedestrian crossing is provided across Silk Road approximately 160 feet south of VT Route 67A at its intersection with the Ninja path. Further south along Silk Road a covered bridge spans the Walloomsac River. This structure limits large vehicles approaching VT Route 67A from Silk Road.

3.1.2 VT ROUTE 67A AT BENNINGTON COLLEGE ROAD

At the west terminus of the of the study area, Bennington College Road intersects VT Route 67A from the north forming a T intersection approximately 120 feet west of its intersection with Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane.

VT Route 67A is two-way separated by a double yellow centerline. Bennington College Road, the northern leg of the intersection, is two-way and operates under stop control. No pedestrian accommodations are provided along VT Route 67A nor Bennington College Road. The shared use Ninja Path runs along Bennington College Road and terminates approximately 85 feet north of the intersection.

3.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

VTrans classifies VT Route 67A as a minor arterial, while both Matteson Road/Rice Lane and Silk Road are classified as major collectors. Bennington College Road is a private road providing access to Bennington College. The most recent available AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) data from 2018 for VT Route 67A shows daily traffic volumes of 7,099 west of the intersection, while the most recent AADT from 2013 for Matteson Road/Rice Lane shows daily traffic volume of 1,900 north of the intersection. There is no AADT information for Silk Road. The speed limit on VT Route 67A is 40 mph while the speed

limit for both Matteson Road/Rice Lane and Silk Road is 35 mph in the immediate area. Bennington College Road was assumed to operate at a speed of 25 mph. The advisory speed on VT Route 67A at the intersection is posted at 35 mph.

The land use surrounding the intersection is mostly residential, except for the Bennington College located northwest of the intersection. There are businesses located approximately 1,000 feet east of the intersection.

Turning movement counts (TMC) from Monday, July 9th, 2012 for the PM peak period and Tuesday, July 10th, 2012 for the AM peak period were obtained from the VTrans Transportation Data Management System and are included in Appendix A-1. It was determined by these counts that the AM peak hour is from 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM and the PM peak hour is from 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM. WSP collected additional TMC on February 28th, 2019 for the established AM and PM peak hours. These counts are also included in Appendix A-1.

The volumes were used for the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) signal warrant calculations.

3.3 FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Future condition traffic volumes were developed by researching an appropriate background traffic growth rate. To calculate the annual growth rate, WSP gathered historical AADT from VTrans Transportation Management System. Table 3.1 shows the historical AADT and the calculated annual growth rate.

Year	AADT	Annual Growth Rate
2014	7,000	0.25%
2018	7,099	0.35%

Table 3.1: Annual Growth Rate

The existing peak hour traffic volumes were increased by 0.35 percent per year to determine the 2040 Future Conditions in the analysis and are included in Appendix A-2.

3.4 INTERMODAL USES

There are no pedestrian accommodations provided along VT Route 67A, Bennington College Road, Silk Road or Rice Lane roadways leading in and out of the intersection. VT Route 67A has minimal shoulders leading in and out of the intersection which do not enhance bicycle or pedestrian travel.

A bike/pedestrian shared use path known as "Ninja Path" was constructed along the Northside Drive commercial corridor to connect downtown Bennington and Bennington College and other nearby bike/pedestrian facilities. Ninja Path diverges pedestrian traffic from bicycle traffic about 160 feet south of VT Route 67A past its intersection with Silk Road. The pedestrian path crosses VT Route 67A about 45 feet west of the intersection with Silk Road/Rice Lane. The pedestrian crossing at this location consists of rectangular rapid flashing beacons warning drivers to yield when activated by the pedestrian push buttons located on either side of VT Route 67A. The shared use path connects to VT Route 67A directly across from Bennington College Drive access. The shared use path crossing with VT Route 67A is controlled by stop signs.

3.5 SAFETY ANALYSIS

The following sections discuss the recorded crashes at the intersection during a four-year period (2015-2018) as well as the expected crash reductions if a roundabout is constructed.

REVIEW OF HISTORICAL CRASH DATA

The total number of crashes recorded at the intersection for the analysis period (2015-2018) was seventeen (17). Out of the seventeen (17) crashes, six (6) were due to snowy or wet pavement conditions; one (1) crash was caused by a crossing deer; one (1) was due to an inexperience driver making a fast turn; and one (1) was due to a driver under the influence (DUI).

The remaining eight (8) crashes were due to poor sight distance, especially for vehicles approaching from Silk Road.

Table 3.2 and Table 3.3 summarize the eight (8) crashes by type and by severity for each year, respectively.

Crash Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Angle	1	0	5	2	8

Crash Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Possible Injury	0	0	0	1	1
PDO, Property Damage Only	1	0	5	1	7

 Table 3.2: Total Crashes by Type

Table 3.3: Total Crashes by SeverityTable 3.4: Total Crashes by Severity

CRASH MODIFICATION FACTOR ANALYSIS

The Highway Safety Manual (HSM) provides models to calculate a crash modification factor (CMF) which is used to predict the average crash frequency to assess the effects of a proposed design. However, the HSM does not provide specific models to calculate the predicted crash frequency for converting a two-way stop-controlled intersection into a roundabout or into a signalized intersection.

The CMF Clearinghouse contains a web-based database of CMFs along with supporting documentation that can be used to identify the most appropriate CMF. These CMFs are a result of research projects performed at specific locations but can be applied in other locations, if the traffic and geometric characteristics are similar. In many cases, these CMFs follow the HSM principles and guidelines.

For assessing the construction of a roundabout and the installation of a traffic signal, the CMF Clearinghouse provides the following models summarized in Table 3.5. Although the installation of a traffic signal is not warranted at this location, it was included in this analysis for comparison purposes.

Countermeasure	Crash Type	Crash Severity	CMF	Study
Conversion of a two-way stop- controlled intersection into single- or multi-lane roundabout	All	All	0.751	Evaluation of Roundabout Safety, Qin et al., 2013
Install a Traffic Signal	All All 0.85 Quantify Signalizatio		Development of Models to Quantify the Impacts of Signalization on Intersection Crashes, Pernia et al., 2002	

Table 3.5: CMF Clearinghouse Models

The CMF was used to calculate the predicted crash frequency for the roundabout alternatives and the installation of the traffic signal. It was assumed that the roundabout CMF value would be the same for all three roundabout alternatives. Table 3.6 shows the resulting crash frequency for each countermeasure.

Countermeasure	Total Crashes (4-year period)	Current Crashes per Year	CMF	Predicted Crashes per Year
Roundabout (Alternatives 3, 5 & 6)	8	2	0.751	1.5
Traffic Signal (Alternative 7)		Z	0.85	1.7

Table 3.6: Predicted Crash Frequency

Based on Table 3.6, a roundabout would provide a 25% reduction in crashes per year, while traffic signal would provide a 15% reduction in crashes per year.

3.6 FLOODPLAIN

The existing VT Route 67A is above the Walloomsac River 100-year Flood Plain at this location. There are no known elevation changes that have occurred in the vicinity of the project location, thus updated hydraulic data was not requested. Potential roadway work may occur within the Walloomsac 100-year Flood Plain along VT Route 67A east of the intersection with Silk Road and along Silk Road south of the intersection with VT Route 67A. This will be further considered as design advances.

3.7 RIGHT-OF-WAY

The existing VT State highway Route 67A right-of-way width is 3-rod (49.5 feet) throughout the project length. The existing right-of-way width of Town roads Silk Road and Matteson Road/Rice Lane is 3-rod (49.5 feet) within the project limits. Abutting along the north side of VT Route 67A is Bennington College who owns the property containing College Drive and both sides of Matteson Road/Rice Lane. Abutting Bennington College's property east of Rice Lane is private property (Book 422 – Page 96). Abutting along the south side of VT Route 67A is Bennington College who owns the property and both sides of Silk Road.

3.8 HISTORIC

The project study area includes two historic structures: the historic gate across Bennington College Drive and the historic "Silk Road" house (DHP building #0202-166) south of VT Route 67A and east of Silk Road. Both structures are located within Bennington College property.

The conceptual alternatives have been developed with the intent to minimize or avoid impact to the historic structures.

3.9 TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

WSP conducted a traffic signal warrant analysis for the intersection based on the methodologies outlined in the 2009 Edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). The MUTCD contains traffic volume thresholds for several conditions that would warrant the installation of a traffic signal.

WSP conducted the signal warrant analysis based on the following MUTCD warrants:

- 1 Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- 2 Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- 3 Warrant 3, Peak Hour Volumes
- 4 Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volumes
- 5 Warrant 5, School Crossing
- 6 Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System
- 7 Warrant 7, Crash Experience
- 8 Warrant 8, Roadway Network
- 9 Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

The warrant analysis was calculated for single lane approaches on VT Route 67A (the major street) and single lane approaches on Rice Lane/Silk Road (minor street). The warrant criteria were reviewed based on weekday traffic volumes. Given that the 2012 TMC obtained from the VTrans Transportation Data Management System includes 12-hour count data, that information is used for the traffic signal warrant. The TMC were forecasted to 2019 based on the 0.35% annual growth rate.

The traffic signal warrant calculations are included in Appendix B-1 and discussed in the following sub-sections.

WARRANT 1, EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume includes different volume threshold conditions based on the number of lanes at an intersection and the traveling speed at the intersection. To warrant a signal, the intersection must meet either Condition A – Minimum Vehicular Volume or Condition B – Interruption of Continuous Traffic. Condition A and Condition B have different traffic volume thresholds that must be met for eight hours for either condition to warrant a traffic signal. If the intersection does not meet the criteria for a signal under either Condition A or Condition B, the intersection could merit a signal under a combination condition. This combination method uses 80% of vehicular volumes and requires that both Condition A-Minimum Vehicular Volume and Condition B-Interruption of Continuous Traffic be met.

For the conditions at this intersection, major street volumes of 500 and minor street volumes of 150 would be required to meet Condition A. Major street volumes of 750 and minor street volumes of 75 would be required to meet Condition B.

The weekday traffic volumes did not meet the criteria for Condition A-Minimum Vehicular Volume, nor did it meet the criteria for Condition B-Interruption of Continuous Traffic of the eight-hour warrant. The weekday traffic volumes did not meet the criteria for the combination condition.

WARRANT 2, FOUR-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

The thresholds for Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume are presented as curves based on the number of lanes. For the four-hour warrant, at least four hours of data must have main street and side street traffic volumes fall above the proper curve. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the four-hour warrant.

WARRANT 3, PEAK HOUR

For Warrant 3, Peak Hour are presented as curves based on the number of lanes. For the peak hour warrant, only one hour of data must fall above the proper curve. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the peak hour warrant.

WARRANT 4, PEDESTRIAN VOLUME

For Warrant 4, pedestrian volume, the intersection must experience a minimum pedestrian volume of 100 for any four hours of an average day or a minimum pedestrian volume of 190 during any given hour. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the pedestrian warrant.

WARRANT 5, SCHOOL CROSSING

For Warrant 5, school crossing, the intersection must experience a minimum of 20 schoolchildren crossing during the highest crossing hour. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the school crossing warrant.

WARRANT 6, COORDINATED SIGNAL SYSTEM

For Warrant 6, coordinated signal system, one of following criteria must be met: on a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominantly in one direction, the adjacent traffic control signals are so far apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicular platooning; or on a two-way street, adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning and the proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the coordinated signal system warrant.

WARRANT 7, CRASH EXPERIENCE

To meet the criteria for Warrant 7, crash experience the following conditions must be met: Condition A specifies that the intersection must have gone through remedial measures to reduce the crash frequency; Condition B requires the occurrence of five or more crashes within a twelve-month period susceptible to correction by traffic signal control; and Condition C requires that thresholds be met for each of any eight hours of an average day or specific thresholds relating to pedestrian volume.

Remedial measures have been taken at this intersection to reduce vehicle crash frequency, such as the installation of Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB) and a pedestrian refuge. The intersection had five crashes in 2017 which are

susceptible to correction by traffic signal control but the thresholds for pedestrian volumes were not met at this intersection. Thus, the intersection did not meet the criteria for the crash experience warrant.

WARRANT 8, ROADWAY NETWORK

To meet the criteria for Warrant 8, the intersection of two or more major routes must meet one or both of the following criteria: Condition A - the intersection has a total existing, or immediately projected, entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday and has 5-year projected traffic volumes, based on an engineering study, that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday; or Condition B - the intersection has a total existing or immediately projected entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour for each of any 5 hours of a non-normal business day (Saturday or Sunday). The major street (Route 67A) is important for the through traffic in Bennington, however, the intersection is not a major intersection serving high traffic volumes. This intersection does not meet the criteria for the roadway network warrant.

WARRANT 9, INTERSECTION NEAR A GRADE CROSSING

To meet the criteria for Warrant 9, both of the following criteria must be met: Condition A - a grade crossing exists on an approach controlled by a STOP or YIELD sign and the center of the track nearest to the intersection is within 140 feet of the stop line or yield line on the approach; and Condition B - during the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, the plotted point representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding vehicles per hour on the minor-street approach that crosses the track (one direction only, approaching the intersection) falls above the applicable curve.

There is no rail crossing in the vicinity of the intersection, and hence, the intersection did not meet the intersection near a grade crossing warrant.

The summary of all nine (9) MUTCD signal warrants is shown in Table 3.7.

Description	Warrant Met?	Justification
Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	No	The basic minimum hourly volume criterion is not met. The major- street speed limit does not exceed 40 mph or the intersection is not in an isolated community with a population less than 10,000.
Four-Hour Vehicular Volume	No	For any four-hour, the combination of major-street and minor- street volumes per hour do not fall above the specified curve in MUTCD figure 4C-1.
Peak Hour	No	For the same one-hour, the volume on the minor-street approach does not equal or exceed 100 vph or 800 vph on the major street. For any hour, the combination of major street and minor street volumes does not fall above the specified curve in MUTCD figure 4C-3.
Pedestrian Volume	No	Pedestrian volumes per hour in any one-hour volume are too low and do not meet the criteria for signal installation.
School Crossing	No	School children are not using the intersection to cross the major street.
Coordinated Signal System	No	There is no nearby signalized intersection on the major street to be part of a coordinated signal system.
Crash Experience	No	Both criteria for crash experience are met, however, the 80 percent volumes of the combination of the major-street and minor-street volumes for any eight-hour do not meet the volumes specified in MUTCD Table 4C-1.
Roadway Network	No	The major street is important for the through traffic in Bennington, but the intersection is not a major intersection serving high traffic volumes.
Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No	The approach lanes of the intersection are not near a rail track crossing.
	Eight-Hour volume Four-Hour volume Peak Hour Peak Hour School Crossing Coordinated Signal System Crash Experience Roadway Network	Description Met? Eight-Hour No Four-Hour Volume No Peak Hour No Peak Hour No School Crossing No Coordinated Signal System No Crash Experience No Roadway Network No

3.10 DESIGN CRITERIA

The design standards for this intersection improvement project along Route 67A are the Vermont State Standards, dated October 22, 1997. Minimum standards are based on an ADT of 7,099, a DHV of 710, and a design speed of 40 mph for a Minor Arterial.

Design Criteria	Source	Existing Condition	Minimum Standard	Comment
Approach Lane and Shoulder Widths	VSS Table 4.6	11'/3' (28')	11'/5' (32')	Substandard
Clear Zone Distance	VSS Table 4.4		16' fill (1:4 or flatter) 14' cut (1:3) 14' cut (1:4 or flatter)	
Superelevation	VSS Section 4.13	5% (max)	8% (max) 6% (max) (at intersections)	Acceptable
Speed		40 mph	40 mph (Design)	
Horizontal Alignment	AASHTO 2011 Green Book Table 3-9	R = 301' (min)	Rmin = 1190' @ 5% Rmin = 643' @ 6%	Substandard
Vertical Grade	VSS Table 4.5	2% (max)	6% (max) for rolling terrain	Acceptable
K Values for Vertical Curves	VSS Table 4.1	No Issues	80-120 (crest) 70-90 (sag)	
Stopping Sight Distance	VSS Table 4.1	No Issues	325'-400'	
Corner Sight Distance	VSS Table 4.2	344'	495′	Substandard
Bicycle/Pedestrian Criteria	VSS Table 4.7	2' shoulder (min)	3' shoulder	Substandard

Table 3.8: Design Criteria

4 **ALTERNATIVES**

4.1 NON-PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

As part of the scoping project, six (6) roundabout conceptual alternatives were considered in addition to the signalized intersection alternative. Based on intersection signal warrant analysis and the need to maintain Bennington College Road access from VT Route 67A, Alternatives 1, 2, 3 and 7 were eliminated from the shortlisted alternatives. Alternative 4 was eliminated from the shortlisted alternatives because of lack of horizontal sight distance improvements on Silk Road approach to the intersection. In addition, the Bennington College historic gate would be impacted by these non-preferred alternatives which are identified as follows:

- Alternative 1: 3 Leg Roundabout with Relocated Bennington College Access
- Alternative 2: 4 Leg Roundabout with Relocated Bennington College Access
- Alternative 4: 5 Leg Roundabout Shift West
- Alternative 7: Traditional Stop Control or Signalized Intersection

The conceptual layouts of the non-preferred alternatives are included in Appendix B-1.

4.2 SHORTLISTED ALTERNATIVES

In order to maintain access to Bennington College from VT Route 67A and minimize impact to the Bennington College historic gate, the following three (3) conceptual alternatives were identified as the Shortlisted Alternatives:

- Alternative 3: 5 Leg Oval Roundabout
- Alternative 5: 1996 Study Modified 5 Leg Circular Roundabout
- Alternative 6: 5 Leg Elliptical Roundabout

The conceptual layouts of the shortlisted alternatives are included in Appendix B-2.

INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

The traffic operations of the study intersection were analyzed based on the methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6th Edition.

The level of service (LOS) is a calculation of control delay for an intersection. LOS is an indication of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and lost time. LOS is defined by an index from A through F, with A being the best and F being the worst. The HCM lists the following definitions for each grade:

- A = Free Flow
- B = Reasonably free flow
- C = Stable flow
- D = Approaching unstable flow
- E = Unstable flow
- F = Forced flow, volume is greater than capacity

The intersection under study is currently a Two-Way Stop-Controlled (TWSC), and the LOS for the TWSC is defined in terms of the average control delay for each minor-street movement (or shared movement) as well as major-street left-turns. This approach is because major street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay, a weighted average of all movements results in very low overall average delay, and this calculated low delay could mask deficiencies of minor movements. (Source HCM 2010)

Capacity is a measurement of the ability of an intersection design to accommodate all movements within the intersection. Delay is the measure of the user quality of service.

The LOS assignments for both TWSC intersections and roundabouts as compared to delay values are shown in Table 4.1.

Level of Service	Average Delay (seconds)			
А	≤ 10			
В	$>$ 10 and \leq 15			
С	$>$ 15 and \leq 25			
D	> 25 and \leq 35			
E	$>$ 35 and \leq 50			
F	> 50			



Trafficware's Synchro 10/SimTraffic software was used to perform the traffic analysis for the existing TWSC conditions for year 2019 and for year 2040 (No-Build). Synchro/SimTraffic provides delay per vehicle as well as queue length results.

PTV's Vissim 10 software was used to perform the traffic analysis for the shortlisted roundabout alternatives for year 2040: Alternative 3, Alternative 5 and Alternative 6. This program provides delay per vehicle as well as queue length results.

INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The Synchro 10/SimTraffic results for both the existing (2019 and 2040) Two-way Stop Controlled (TWSC) intersection analysis as well as the Vissim results for the three (3) roundabout alternatives are described in this section. All analyses were performed for the AM and PM peak hours. An average of ten (10) Vissim microsimulation runs was performed to provide delay and maximum queue length for each alternative. An average of ten (10) SimTraffic microsimulation runs was also performed to provide the 95th percentile queue length¹ for the signalized conditions while the delay was obtained in Synchro. Results for the ten (10) simulated runs in Vissim for each alternative are in Appendix G. The full print out of the Synchro results and the SimTraffic results are documented in Appendix H.

SYNCHRO/SIMTRAFFIC TWO-WAY STOP-CONTROLLED (TWSC) RESULTS

The Synchro/SimTraffic results for the existing Two-Way Stop-Controlled (TWSC) intersection for year 2019 are presented in Table 4.2.

¹ The 95th-percentile queue is defined to be the queue length (in feet) that has a 5-percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period.

Approach (VT Route 67A &	2019 AM Peak Hour				2019 PM Peak Hour			
Rice Ln/Silk Rd)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.3	A	26	LTR	0.3	A	46
WB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.1	A	<25	LTR	0.5	A	40
SB Rice Lane (Stop Control)	LTR	14.4	В	47	LTR	32.7	D	93
NB Silk Road (Stop Control)	LTR	12.9	В	39	LTR	21.1	С	64
Approach (VT Route 67A &	2019 AM Peak Hour				2019 PM Peak Hour			
College Road)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.6	А	28	LTR	0.2	А	<25
WB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.0	А	52	LTR	0.0	А	<25
SB College Road (Stop Control)	LTR	12.3	В	<25	LTR	18.2	С	77

Overall – Existing Conditions	AM P	eak	PM	Peak
Year 2019	Delay ¹	LOS	Delay ¹	LOS
VT Route 67A & Rice Lane/Silk Road	2.6	А	5.1	А
VT Route 67A & College Road	1.0	А	2.2	А

2 Queue length is measured in feet

Table 4.2: Synchro/SimTraffic Analysis Results – Existing Conditions Year 2019

The Synchro/SimTraffic results for the Two-Way Stop-Controlled (TWSC) intersection for year 2040 No-Build are presented in Table 4.3.

Approach (VT Route 67A &	2	040 AM P	eak Hour			2040 PM	Peak Hou	r
Rice Ln/Silk Rd)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.3	A	25	LTR	0.3	A	42
WB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.1	A	<25	LTR	0.5	А	47
SB Rice Lane (Stop Control)	LTR	15.2	С	48	LTR	42.5	E	95
NB Silk Road (Stop Control)	LTR	13.4	В	40	LTR	24.9	С	76
Approach (VT Route 67A &	2	2040 AM P	eak Hour			2040 PM	Peak Hou	r
College Road)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.6	A	33	LTR	0.2	A	36
WB Route 67A (Free)	LTR	0.0	A	<25	LTR	0.0	A	<25
SB College Road (Stop Control)	LTR	12.6	В	54	LTR	20.5	С	87

Overall – Future No-Build	AM P	eak	PMI	Peak
Year 2040	Delay ¹	LOS	Delay ¹	LOS
VT Route 67A & Rice Lane/Silk Road	2.6	А	6.1	А
VT Route 67A & College Road	1.0	А	2.5	А

2 Queue length is measured in feet

Table 4.3: Synchro/SimTraffic Analysis Results –No Build Conditions Year 2040

VISSIM ROUNDABOUT ALTERNATIVE 3 RESULTS

The Vissim results for the roundabout Alternative 3 for year 2040 are presented in Table 4.4.

WSP

Approach (VT Route 67A &	2	2040 AM P	eak Hour			2040 PM	Peak Hou	r
Rice Ln/Silk Rd/College Rd)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	3.8	A	55	LTR	12.2	В	210
WB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	4.7	А	75	LTR	17.1	С	310
SB Rice Lane (Yield)	LTR	5.2	А	40	LTR	13.8	В	65
SB College Road (Yield)	LTR	3.5	А	25	LTR	12.5	В	70
NB Silk Road (Stop Control)	LTR	6.3	А	35	LTR	9.7	A	55

Overall – Alternative 3	AM P	eak	PM	Peak
Year 2040	Delay ¹	LOS	Delay ¹	LOS
VT Route 67A & Rice Lane/Silk Road/College Road	4.4	A	14.5	В

2 Queue length is measured in feet

Table 4.4: Vissim Analysis Results – Alternative 3 Year 2040

VISSIM ROUNDABOUT ALTERNATIVE 5 RESULTS

The Vissim results for the roundabout Alternative 5 for year 2040 are presented in Table 4.5.

Approach (VT Route 67A &	2	2040 AM P	eak Hour			2040 PM	Peak Hou	r
Rice Ln/Silk Rd/College Rd)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	2.6	A	45	LTR	12.4	В	235
WB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	5.4	А	80	LTR	19.1	С	405
SB Rice Lane (Yield)	LTR	4.2	А	30	LTR	12.8	В	70
SB College Road (Yield)	LTR	2.5	А	25	LTR	8.5	A	90
NB Silk Road (Stop Control)	LTR	8.1	A	30	LTR	15.3	С	70

Overall – Alternative 5	AM P	eak	PMI	Peak
Year 2040	Delay ¹	LOS	Delay ¹	LOS
VT Route 67A & Rice Lane/Silk Road/College Road	4.1	A	15.5	С

2 Queue length is measured in feet

Table 4.5: Vissim Analysis Results – Alternative 5 Year 2040

VISSIM ROUNDABOUT ALTERNATIVE 6 RESULTS

The Vissim results for the roundabout Alternative 6 for year 2040 are presented in Table 4.6.

Approach (VT Route 67A &	2	2040 AM P	eak Hour			2040 PM	Peak Hou	r
Rice Ln/Silk Rd/College Rd)	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²	Lane Group	Delay ¹	LOS	Queue Length ²
EB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	1.9	A	50	LTR	5.9	A	160
WB Route 67A (Yield)	LTR	3.1	А	70	LTR	11.4	В	265
SB Rice Lane (Yield)	LTR	3.3	A	25	LTR	8.9	A	55
SB College Road (Yield)	LTR	2.4	А	25	LTR	9.5	А	65
NB Silk Road (Stop Control)	LTR	5.2	A	25	LTR	9.8	A	45

Overall – Alternative 6	AM P	eak	PM	Peak
Year 2040	Delay ¹	LOS	Delay ¹	LOS
VT Route 67A & Rice Lane/Silk Road/College Road	2.6	A	9.2	A

2 Queue length is measured in feet

Table 4.6: Vissim Analysis Results – Alternative 6 Year 2040

The overall delay and LOS for the existing condition show that the intersection operates well, except for the southbound Rice Lane approach with a delay of 32.7 seconds and a LOS D. The conditions worsen in 2040 which shows an approach delay of 42.5 seconds and a LOS E.

The shortlisted roundabout alternatives would provide similar results for the AM peak with delays and LOS A. The results are slightly different for the PM peak, being Alternative 5 with the worst results (although still acceptable) having an overall intersection delay of 15.5 seconds and LOS C.

The longest maximum queues are observed for the westbound Route 67A approach during the PM peak hour, with as long as 405 feet for Alternative 5.

5 EVALUATION

5.1 EVALUATION OF THE SHORTLISTED ALTERNATIVES

Appendix D includes the Evaluation Matrix which is a comparison of the shortlisted alternatives against the No-Build alternative.

The alternatives evaluation was based on the following factors:

- Construction Cost
- Level of Service and Queue Length
- Potential Right-Of-Way Impacts
- Horizontal Sight Distance Improvements
- Construction Duration
- Safety and Crash Reduction
- Environmental Impacts
- Benefit-Cost Ratio

The estimated construction cost of each of the three shortlisted alternatives is very close. Appendix C includes the construction cost estimates and Benefit-Cost analysis results of the three shortlisted alternatives. Each of the shortlisted alternatives would take about 18 months to build with phased construction. One travel lane in each direction will remain open at all times during construction. Each of the shortlisted alternatives has minimal right-of-way and environmental impacts.

6 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

6.1 ALTERNATIVE 6: 5 LEG ELLIPTICAL ROUNDABOUT

As part of this scoping project, a Town of Bennington Select Board meeting was held on January 27, 2020. The Non-Preferred Alternatives and the Shortlisted Alternatives were presented to the Town Select Board.

After evaluation of the shortlisted alternatives against the No-Build alternative, the Town Select Board members voted unanimously for Alternative 6 which is considered as the preferred alternative in this scoping study. The Town Select Board meeting minutes relevant to this project are included in Appendix F.

The evaluation matrix showed that Alternative 6 has the most positive benefit. The conceptual layout of Alternative 6 is included in Appendix B-2. Alternative 6 is recommended over the other short-listed alternatives for the following reasons:

- 1. Alternative 6 provides the lowest impervious area which offers greater area to mitigate stormwater runoff.
- 2. Alternative 6 has the most roadway deflection of the roundabout approaches which provides the highest traffic calming for vehicles moving through the area.
- 3. Alternative 6 provides the highest sight distance improvements.
- 4. Alternative 6 provides the lowest traffic delay and a traffic Level of Service A at both AM and PM peak periods.

Alternative 6 will provide a safer environment for all users, improve sight lines, and improve access for all transportation modes.



A TRAFFIC COUNTS

APPENDIX

A-1 TURNING MOVEMENTS

Turning Movement Count Report - Cars & Trucks

6:00 AM 6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	Thru 1 1 0 1 4 1 4 1 4 0	Right 0 1 0 3		Арр		Thru																
tart ime Left 6:00 AM 6 6:15 AM 6 6:30 AM 6 6:45 AM 7 7:00 AM 7 7:15 AM 7 7:30 AM 7 8:00 AM 8 8:00 AM 8 8:15 AM 1 8:30 AM 1 8:30 AM 1	1 1 0 1 4 1 4 1 4 0	0 1 0	Ped 0 0	App Total 2	Left	Thru																
ime Left 6:00 AM 1 6:15 AM 1 6:30 AM 1 6:45 AM 1 7:00 AM 1 7:15 AM 1 7:30 AM 1 8:00 AM 1 8:15 AM 1 8:30 AM 1	1 1 0 1 4 1 4 1 4 0	0 1 0	Ped 0 0	Total 2		Thru				SB				•	WB						1.1	0500
6:00 AM 6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	1 1 0 1 4 1 4 1 4 0	0 1 0	0 0	2			Diabt	Dod	App Total	Left	Thru	Dight		App Total	Left	Thru	П	liaht r		•••	Interval Total	PEDS
6:15 AM 6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	0 1 4 1 4 1 4 0	1 0	0			14 14	Right 0					Right	Ped 0	Total 2			к 18	Right P 2	2ea 1	10tai 21		
6:30 AM 6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 14 8:45 AM	4 1 4 1 4 0	0			0	29	6		35	1 5				9			18 15		0			
6:45 AM 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	4 1 4 0		0	5	0 0	29 49	4			3			1 0	9 11			33	1 2	0			
7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:30 AM	4 0	5	0	8	0	46								7			29	3	0			
7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:30 AM		3	0	7	1	42	7	0						, 5			25	4	0			
7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:30 AM	3 3	2	0	8	4	59		-				4		13			23	6	0			
7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	2 5	3	0	10	4	44							_	7			26	2	0			
8:15 AM 8:30 AM 14 8:45 AM 9	7 3	5	0	15	1	59	18					4		16			34	8	0			
8:30 AM 14 8:45 AM 9	5 3	6	0	14	3	54	12	0	69	13	5	0	0	18	0		30	4	0	34	135	
8:45 AM	8 9	3	0	20	1	57	10	0	68	7	2	1	0	10	3		39	7	0	49	147	
	.4 6	2	0	22	2	39	5	0	46	7	6	4	0	17	' 1		47	7	0	55	140	
	96	6	0	21	1	69	9	0	79	7	3	2	0	12	2		46	11	0	59	171	
9:00 AM	4 2	3	0	9	7	45	4	0	56	10	3	1	0	14	- 5		39	5	0	49	128	
9:15 AM	2 3	4	0	9	1	47	4	0	52	5	5	0	0	10	1		44	7	0	52	123	
9:30 AM	5 3	2	0	10	1	70	11	0	82	10	4	2	0	16	1		40	10	0	51	. 159	
9:45 AM	6 2	5	0	13	1	53	4	0	58	11	4	2	0	17	1		37	7	0	45	133	
	2 5	3	0	10	1	62		0	65	9	4	2	0	15	2		48	5	0			
	2 1	5	0	8	1	52						-		17			35	9	0			
	2 6	5	0	13	3	49								12			34	10	0			
	8 5	4	0	17	5	47	7	-		9		2		14			53	17	0			
	1 1	0	0	2	1	52	3	0				-		11			48	10	0			
	1 4	3	0	8	2	50	9	0	•-	13		3		18			58	9	0			
	2 2		0	7	6	47	5							14			54	13	0			
	5 2 1 75		0		3	47								20			47	9	0			
otal 10	11 /5		0	251		1182			1396					305			902	168	1	1117	3069	
pp % 40.2 otal % 3.2	2 29.9			0.7	3.5 1.6				45.5	59.3 5.9					4.2	8	8.0	15 5.5				

TRUCKS																	
NB					EB				SB				WB				
Start				Арр				Арр				Арр				Арр	Interval
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Total
6:00 AM	0	0	0	C) C	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	() (0	0	1
6:15 AM	1	0	0	1	. C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 1	. 0	1	2
6:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	() 2	0	2	4
6:45 AM	0	0	0	C) C	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	() 1	. 0	1	4
7:00 AM	1	0	0	1	. C	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	() 1	. 0	1	6
7:15 AM	0	0	0	C) C	3	0	3	C	0	0	0	() 1	0	1	4
7:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	. 0	2	2
7:45 AM	0	0	0	C) C	0	0	0	1	. 0	0	1	() 1	. 0	1	2
8:00 AM	0	0	0	C) C	1	0	1	C	0	0	0	() 1	0	1	2
8:15 AM	0	0	0	C) C	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	() 2	0	2	2
8:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	4	0	4	C	0	0	0	() 5	0	5	9
8:45 AM	0	0	0	C) 1	4	0	5	C	0	1	1	() 4	0	4	10
9:00 AM	0	0	1	1	. C	0	0	0	C	0	1	1	() 4	0	4	6
9:15 AM	1	0	0	1	. C	6	0	6	0	0	1	1	() 6	0	6	14
9:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	5	1	6	0	0	0	0	() 5	1	6	12
9:45 AM	0	0	0	C) C	2	0	2	C	0	0	0	() 2	0	2	4
10:00 AM	0	0	0	C) C	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	() 4	- 1	5	10
10:15 AM	0	0	0	C) C	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	() 3	0	3	6
10:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	8	0	8	1	. 0	0	1	() 3	2	5	14
10:45 AM	0	0	0	C) C	2	0	2	C	0	0	0	() 3	0	3	5
11:00 AM	0	1	0	1	. C	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	() 1	. 1	2	5
11:15 AM	0	0	0	C) C	5	0	5	C	0	1	1	() 1	. 0	1	7
11:30 AM	0	0	0	C) C	3	0	3	C	0	0	0	() 5	0	5	8
11:45 AM	0	0	0	C) C	2	0			. 0	0	1	() 2	0	2	5
Total	3	1	1	5	5 1	63	1	65	3	0	6	9	1	L 59	5	65	144
App %	60	20	20		1.5				33.3	0			1.5	5 90.8			
Total %	2.1	0.7	0.7	3.5	0.7	43.8	0.7	45.1	2.1	. 0	4.2	6.3	0.7	' 41	3.5	45.1	

NOTES: 1. Peak hour data is shown in red or bold text. 2. 2012 data is used for signal warrant analysis.

Turning Movement Count Report - Cars + Trucks

NT ID 3020	2825	ROAD RICE L					AD 2 67A				AD 3 < RD				ROAD VT-67						INITY GTON		TMC DATE	/10/2012		Hourly A	App Volume		Hourly Ped Volume
NB						EB					SE	3					WB												
Start					Арр					Арр						Арр							Interval						
Гime	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Lef	t Thru	Right	Ped	Tot	al Le	ft T	hru R	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Rigl	nt Pe	ed T	otal T	Total		NB	EB	SB	WB	NB
6:00 AM	1	1	0	0	2	2	0 15		0	0	15	1	0	1	0	2		1 18	3	2	1	21	40						
6:15 AM	1	1	1	0	3	3	0 29		6	1	35	5	0	4	1	9	-	1 16	5	1	0	18	65		18	160	29	110	3
6:30 AM	4	1	0	0	5	5	0 51		4	0	55	3	1	7	0	11	. (0 35	5	2	0	37	108		10	100	25	110	5
6:45 AM	4	1	3	0	8	3	0 49		6	0	55	3	0	4	0	7	· .	1 30)	3	0	34	104	317					
7:00 AM	5	0	3	0	8	3	1 45		7	0	53	4	1	1	0	6		1 26	5	4	0	31	98	375					
7:15 AM	3	3	2	0	8	3	4 62	1	2	0	78	6	3	4	0	13	(0 24	4	6	0	30	129	439	11	263	10	126	0
7:30 AM	2	5	3	0	10)	4 44		6	0	54	4	2	1	0	7		2 27	7	2	0	31	102	433	41	205	43	136	0
7:45 AM	7	3	5	0	15	5	1 59	1	8	0	78	10	3	4	0	17	-	1 35	5	8	0	44	154	483					
8:00 AM	5	3	6	0	14	1	3 55	1	2	0	70	13	5	0	0	18	(0 31	1	4	0	35	137	522					
8:15 AM	8	9	3	0	20)	1 57	1	0	0	68	7	2	1	0	10	3	3 41	1	7	0	51	149	542		272	50	200	<u>_</u>
8:30 AM	14	6	2	0	22	2	2 43		5	0	50	7	6	4	0	17	-	1 52	2	7	0	60	149	589	77	272	58	209	0
8:45 AM	9	6	6	0	21	L	2 73		9	0	84	7	3	3	0	13		2 50)	11	0	63	181	616					
9:00 AM	4	2	4	0	10)	7 45		4	0	56	10	3	2	0	15	ļ	5 43	3	5	0	53	134	613					
9:15 AM	3	3	4	0	10)	1 53		4	0	58	5	5	1	0	11		1 50	D	7	0	58	137	601	40	262	50	245	<u>^</u>
9:30 AM	5	3	2	0	10)	1 75	1	2	0	88	10	4	2	0	16		1 45	5	11	0	57	171	623	43	262	59	215	0
9:45 AM	6	2	5	0	13	3	1 55		4	0	60	11	4	2	0	17		1 39	Э	7	0	47	137	579					
10:00 AM	2	5	3	0	10)	1 67		2	0	70	9	4	2	0	15		2 52	2	6	0	60	155	600					
10:15 AM	2	1	5	0	8	3	1 55		7	0	63	10	4	3	0	17		1 38	3	9	0	48	136	599					-
10:30 AM	2	6	5	0	13	3	3 57		6	0	66	7	6	0	0	13	. (6 37	7	12	0	55	147	575	48	260	59	238	0
10:45 AM	8	5	4	0	17	7	5 49		7	0	61	9	3	2	0	14		2 56	5	17	0	75	167	605					
11:00 AM	1	2	0	0	3	3	1 53		3	0	57	5	4	3	0	12	. 4	4 49	Э	11	0	64	136	586					
11:15 AM	1	4	3	0	8	3	2 55		9	0	66	13	2	4	0	19		2 59	_	9	0	70	163	613					_
11:30 AM	2	2	3	0	7	7	6 50		5	0	61	11	0	3	0	14	. 4	4 59	Ð	13	0	76	158	624	29	244	66	274	0
11:45 AM	5	2	4	0			3 49		8		60	14	3	4	0	21		6 49	_	9	0	64	156	613					
Fotal	104			-			50 1245		-	-	61 1		68	62	-	314				73	1	1182							
			29.7				.4 85.2			- •			21.7		-			1 81.3											
Fotal %			2.4		8		.6 38.7			45				1.9		9.8		5 29.9				36.8							
HV %			1				2 5				-	2		10					5										

Peak Hour from 6:00 AM to 12:00 PM - 10:45 AM to 11:45 AM

INT ID		ROAD	1			ROAD	2 C			ROAD	3			ROA	D 4			COMN	NUNI	ΓY	TMC DA	TE
3020)2825	RICE I	N			VT-67	7A			SILK R	D			VT-6	7A			BENNI	NGTC	DN		7/10/2012
NB						EB					SB					WB						
Start					Арр					Арр					Арр					Арр	Interval	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Total	
10:45 AM	8	5	4	0	17	5	49	7	0	61	9	3		2 0	14	2	56	17	0	75	167	,
11:00 AM	1	2	0	0	3	1	53	3	0	57	5	4		3 0	12	4	49	11	0	64	136	i
11:15 AM	1	4	3	0	8	2	55	9	0	66	13	2	4	۰ ۱	19	2	59	9	0	70	163	5
11:30 AM	2	2	3	0	7	6	50	5	0	61	11	0	3	3 0	14	4	59	13	0	76	158	5
Total	12	13	10	0	35	14	207	24	0	245	38	9	12	2 0	59	12	223	50	0	285	624	-
APP %	34.3	37.1	28.6			5.7	84.5	9.8			64.4	15.3	20.3	3		4.2	78.2	17.5				
ΗV	0	1	0		1	0	11	0	1	11	0	0		2	2	0	10	1		11		
HV %	~	7.69	0		2.86	~	5.31	0		4.49	0	0	16.7	,	3.39	~	4.48	2		3.86		

Peak Hour from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM - 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM

INT ID		ROAD	1			I	ROAD	2			ROAD	3			ROA	D 4			CO	MMU	JNIT	Y	TMC DAT	E
3020	2825	RICE L	N			١	VT-67	'A			SILK RE)			VT-6	7A			BEI	NNING	gtoi	N		7/10/2012
NB						[EB					SB					WB							
Start					Ар	р					Арр					Арр						Арр	Interval	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Tot	tal I	Left	Thru	Right I	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	u Rig	ht P	ed ⁻	Total	Total	
8:00 AM	5	3	6	0		14	3	55	12	0	70	13	5	0	0	18	0	3	1	4	0	35	137	
8:15 AM	8	9	3	0		20	1	57	10	0	68	7	2	1	0	10	3	4	1	7	0	51	149	
8:30 AM	14	6	2	0		22	2	43	5	0	50	7	6	4	0	17	1	. 5	2	7	0	60	149	
8:45 AM	9	6	6	0		21	2	73	9	0	84	7	3	3	0	13	2	5	0	11	0	63	181	
Total	36	24	17	0		77	8	228	36	0	272	34	16	8	0	58	6	17	4	29	0	209	616	
APP %	103	68.6	48.6				3.3	93.1	14.7			57.6	27.1	13.6			2.1	61	1 1	0.2				
HV	0	0	C			0	1	9	0		10	0	0	1		1	0	1	.2	0		12	23	
HV %	0	0	C			0	13	3.95	0		3.68	0	0	12.5		1.72	0	6	9	0		5.74		

Turning Movement Count Report - Cars & Trucks

INT ID 3020)2825	ROAD RICE L				ROAD VT-67/				ROAD SILK R				ROAD VT-67					COMM BENNIN			TMC DAT	E 7/9/2012		
CARS											CD														
NB Start					Арр	EB				Арр	SB				Арр	WB					Арр	Interval		PEDS	
	Left	Thru	Right			Left	Thru	Right	Ped		Left	Thru	Right		Total	Left	Thru	1	Right I	Ped					
12:00 PM	5		5	0	16	4	49	-			7		4	0	15			70	19	1	96				3
12:15 PM	8		3	0	16	1	69		0		14		6	0	21			77	9	0	89				-
12:30 PM	6		12	0	23	2	56				11		3	0	19			60	17	1	80				
12:45 PM	5	2	7	0	14	6	59	9	1	74	9	3	1	0	13	3 2	2	60	16	0	78	179			
1:00 PM	7	9	4	0	20	0	55	8	0	63	7	2	1	0	10) 11	L	65	6	0	82	175			1
1:15 PM	4	2	2	0	8	5	61	9	0	75	8	3	1	0	12	2 5	5	44	11	0	60	155			
1:30 PM	5	1	3	0	9	2	40	3	0	45	11	6	5	0	22	2 4	1	55	21	0	80	156			
1:45 PM	6	3	4	0	13	0	67	2	C	69	14	1	4	0	19) 3	3	61	8	1	72	173			
2:00 PM	9	2	7	0	18	1	53	7	C	61	12	4	0	0	16	5 5	5	57	8	1	70	165			1
2:15 PM	2	5	4	0	11	5	62	4	C	71	6	3	3	0	12	3	3	49	9	0	61	155			
2:30 PM	5	8	2	0	15	3	80	12	C	95	5	6	3	0	14	. 3	3	77	16	0	96	220			
2:45 PM	7	2	3	0	12	4	50				7		4	0	15	6	5	65	20	0					
3:00 PM	4	-	6	0	13	6	54		C		5		4	0	14			71	12	0					2
3:15 PM	6		3	0	14	4	62		C		8		1	0	11			76	13	0	94				
3:30 PM	6		9	0	17	5	98		0		17		3	0	27		5	84	12	0	102				
3:45 PM	7	6	4	0	17	7	71		0		11		2		22			71	19	0	94				
4:00 PM	8	6	6	0	20	5	79				13		3		21			83	9	0	96				2
4:15 PM	12	-	9	0	27	3	73		0		12		2		18			69	24	1	96				
4:30 PM	8		12	0	25	3	59				11		2		17			74 76	19 12	0	101				
4:45 PM	5 13		16 2	1	<mark>26</mark> 21	2	<mark>49</mark> 68		0		10 13		2 7	0	16 22			<mark>76</mark> 84	13 17	0	100 105				
5:00 PM	13		2 5	0 0	18	3	58		0	68	13		-	0	16			84 83	17	0 0					1
5:15 PM 5:30 PM			5	0	18	2 1	58 55		0		10			0 0	21			83 73	21	0					
5:45 PM	10		4	0	18	3 0	55 55				9							73 62	11	0					
Total		106	135	1	, 398		1482			1708								.646	346		2114				
App %		26.6	33.9	Ŧ	350		86.8			1/00	59.5				407	5.8			16.4	J	2114	-1027			
Total %		2.3			8.6	1.6	32			36.9			1.5		8.8	3 2.6			7.5		45.7				

TRUCKS																	
NB					EB				SB				WB				
Start				Арр	Interval												
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Total												
12:00 PM	0	1	0	1	. 0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	() 1	. 0	1	5
12:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	() з	1	4	9
12:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	() з	1	4	9
12:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	() 3	0	3	5
1:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	1	0	0	1	() 2	0	4	11
1:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	0	1	0	1	() 2	0	2	7
1:30 PM	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	() 5	0	5	10
1:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	() 2	1	3	7
2:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	() 1	. 0	1	2
2:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	() 3	0	3	4
2:30 PM	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	() 2	0	4	6
2:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	() 2	- 1	5	9
3:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	() (0	0	3
3:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		1) 1	-	_	
3:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4		0	1	1	() 5	0	5	10
3:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	() 3	0	3	8
4:00 PM	0	0	-		0		-	2		-	0	1	() 1			-
4:15 PM	0		-	-	-		-	1			0	-) 2			
4:30 PM	0			-	-	_		1			0) 1		_	
4:45 PM	0			-	-	_	0	1	0		0) 3		-	
5:00 PM	0	_	-	_	-	_		2			0	_) (•	-	-
5:15 PM	0	-	-		-		-	3		-	0) (•	•	•
5:30 PM	0	_	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	-	0	-) 1	-	_	
5:45 PM	0							1			0) (-	
Total	0	2						62			3) 52			131
App %	0	100			3.2				63.6		27.3		-	92.9			
Total %	0	1.5	0	1.5	1.5	44.3	1.5	47.3	5.3	0.8	2.3	8.4	(39.7	3.1	42.7	

NOTES: 1. Peak hour data is shown in red or bold text. 2. 2012 data is used for signal warrant analysis.

Turning Movement Count Report - Cars + Trucks

INT ID 3020)2825	ROAD RICE LI				ROAD VT-67A				AD 3 K RD				ROAD /T-67/				CON BEN		NITY TON		MC DA1	TE 7/9/	2012		Но	ourly App	o Volume	2		Hourly Ped Volume
NB Start				Δ	pp	EB			Ар	SE	3			L	Арр	WB				Δr	n Ir	nterval									
	left [.]	Thru I	Right I			left T	hru R	ight P			ft Tł	nru Ri	øht [Left	Thru	Righ	t Pe	-	-				NB		EB	SB	W	/B	NB
12:00 PM		7	5	0	17	4	52	7	0	63	7	4	ب sinc 4	0	15		7: 7	-	19	1	97	192	,		ne.		20	50			
12:15 PM		, 5	3	0	16	1	73	, 6	0	80	, 15	1	6	0	22	, כ	_		10	0	93	211									
12:30 PM	6	5	12	0	23	2	60	6	0	68	12	5	3	0	20	. 3	63		18	1	84	195			70	2	287	70	35	55	3
12:45 PM	5	2	7	0	14	6	61	9	1	76	9	3	1	0	13	2	63	-	16	0	81	184		782							
1:00 PM	7	9	4	0	20	0	61	8	0	69	8	2	1	0	11	11	69		6	0	86	186		776							
1:15 PM	4	2	2	0	8	5	64	10	0	79	8	4	1	0	13	5	46		11	0	62	162		727							
1:30 PM	5	1	3	0	9	3	44	3	0	50	11	6	5	0	22	4	60		21	0	85	166		698	50	2	270	66	30	08	1
1:45 PM	6	3	4	0	13	0	70	2	0	72	15	1	4	0	20	3	63	3	9	1	75	180		694							
2:00 PM	9	2	7	0	18	1	54	7	0	62	12	4	0	0	16	5	58	8	8	1	71	167		675							
2:15 PM	2	5	4	0	11	5	63	4	0	72	6	3	3	0	12	3	52	2	9	0	64	159		672	5.6		205	- 7		24	4
2:30 PM	5	8	2	0	15	4	81	12	0	97	5	6	3	0	14	. 3	83	1 1	16	0	100	226	5	732	56	4	295	57	33	31	1
2:45 PM	7	2	3	0	12	4	53	7	0	64	7	4	4	0	15	6	69	92	21	0	96	187	7	739							
3:00 PM	4	3	6	0	13	6	55	7	0	68	6	5	5	0	16	4	72	1 1	12	0	87	184	1	756							
3:15 PM	6	5	3	0	14	4	63	5	0	72	8	2	2	0	12	5	7	7 1	13	0	95	193	3	790	61	-	345	78	38	oc.	n
3:30 PM	6	2	9	0	17	5	102	8	0 1	L15	17	7	4	0	28	6	89	91	12	0	107	267	7	831	01		545	70	50	50	2
3:45 PM	7	6	4	0	17	7	76	7	0	90	11	9	2	2	22	. 4	. 74	4 1	19	0	97	226	5	870							
4:00 PM	8	6	6	0	20	5	81	12	0	98	14	5	3	2	22	4	. 84	4	9	0	97	237	7	923							
4:15 PM	12	6	9	0	27	3	74	4	0	81	12	4	2	0	18	3	7:	1 2	24	1	98	224		954	98	-	297	73	40	ററ	4
4:30 PM	8	5	12	0	25	3	60	2	0	65	11	4	2	0	17	8	75	51	19	0	102	209		896	50	2	237	75		50	-
4:45 PM	5	5	16	1	26	2	50	1	0	53	10	4	2	0	16	11	. 79	91	13	0		198		868							
5:00 PM	13	7	2	0	22	3	70	6	0	79	14	2	7	0	23	4	84	4 1	17		105	229		860							
5:15 PM	8	5	5	0	18	1	61	9	1	71	10	4	2	0	16	8	83		16		107	212		848	65	5	276	74	39	90	1
5:30 PM		4	4	0	18	3	56	9	0	68	12	6	3	0	21				21	0	98	205		844		-					-
5:45 PM		3	3	0	7	0	56	2	0	58	9	3	2	0	14		62		11	0	80	159		805							
Total	157	108	135	1	400	77 1		153	2 17		249	98	71	4	418	122				52	170	4758	3								
App %	39.3					4.4		8.6	-		9.6 2		17					2 16													
Total %		2.3			8.4	1.6			3	1.2		2.1			8.8			77		2	45.6										
HV %	0	2	0			3	4	1			3	1	4			0		3	T												
Dook LL	r f.	com '	12.00	ע א ט	+~ (<u></u>		2.20		to 1.	20 ח																				
Peak Ho								5.50			50 P	IVI	_								_										
INT ID		ROAD	L			ROAD	2		RO	AD 3			F	ROAD	4			CON	IMU	NITY	T	MC DA1	IE								

302	02825	RICE L	.N			VT-6	7A			SILK R	D			VT-6	7A			BENN	INGTO	DN		7/10/2012
NB						EB					SB					WB						
Start					Арр					Арр					Арр					Арр	Interval	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Total	
3:30 PM	6	2	9	0	17	5	102	8	0	115	17	7	4	+ 0	28	6	89	12	0	107	267	267
3:45 PM	7	6	4	0	17	7	76	7	0	90	11	9	2	2 2	22	4	74	19	0	97	226	493
4:00 PM	8	6	6	0	20	5	81	12	0	98	14	5	3	3 2	22	4	84	. 9	0	97	237	730
4:15 PM	12	6	9	0	27	3	74	4	0	81	12	4	2	2 0	18	3	71	. 24	1	98	224	954
Total	33	20	28	0	81	20	333	31	0	384	54	25	11	. 4	90	17	318	64	1	399	954	
APP %	40.7	24.7	34.6			5.2	86.7	8.07			60	27.8	12.2	-		4.3	79.7	16				
HV	0	0	0		0	0	12	0		12	1	0	1	-	2	0	7	0		7	,	
HV %	0	0	0		0	0	3.6	0		3.13	1.85	0	9.09)	2.22	0	2.2	0		1.75	i	

Peak Hour from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM - 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM

INT ID		ROAD	1			ROA	D 2			ROAD	3			ROA	D 4			COMM	NUNI	ГҮ	TMC DAT	Έ
302	02825	RICE L	.N			VT-6	7A			SILK R	D			VT-6	7A			BENNI	NGTC	DN		7/10/2012
NB						EB					SB					WB						
Start					Арр					Арр					Арр					Арр	Interval	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Total	Total	
4:00 PM	8	6	6	0	20	5	81	12	0	98	14	5	3	2	22	4	84	9	0	97	237	237
4:15 PM	12	6	9	0	27	3	74	4	0	81	12	4	2	0	18	3	71	24	1	98	224	461
4:30 PM	8	5	12	0	25	3	60	2	0	65	11	4	2	0	17	8	75	19	0	102	209	670
4:45 PM	I 5	5	16	1	26	2	50	1	0	53	10	4	2	0	16	11	79	13	0	103	198	868
Total	33	22	43	1	98	13	265	19	0	297	47	17	9	2	. 73	26	309	65	1	400	868	
APP %	40.7	27.2	53.1			3.4	69	4.95			52.2	18.9	10			6.5	77.4	16.3				
HV	0	0	0		0	0	5	0		5	1	0	0		1	0	7	0		7	13	
HV %	0	0	0		0	0	1.89	0		1.68	2.13	0	0		1.37	0	2.27	0		1.75		

	-														ll vehicle:	S														
			College						RiceLane					Silk Road				Rt 67		ennington Roa	ad			Rt 6	7A/ N Benr		Road			
	-		South		-				outhbound					lorthbour			-			tbound			_		Eastbo		- I			
tart Time	Left	Thru	Right	Bike/Pe	e App. To	otal	Left	Thru	Right Bi	ke/Pe App.	Total	Left	Thru	Right	Bike/Pe	App. total	Left	Thru	Right	Right 2 Bik	e/Pe Ap	p. total	Left	Left 2	Thru F	Right	Bike/Pe App	o. total	Int. Total	Hourly Volu
8:00 AM	6	0		2	0	8	5	0	0	0	5	1	1	2	0	4	1	32	4	5	0	42	1	0	64	3	0	68	127	
8:15 AM	2	0		0	0	2	4	3	2	0	9	0	3	2	0	5	1	45	3	11	0	60	0	0	47	3	0	50	126	
8:30 AM	0	0		2	0	2	6	8	3	0	17	5	0	2	0	7	1	34	3	11	0	49	1	0	69	4	0	74	149	
8:45 AM	5	0		0	0	5	6	6	1	0	13	5	5	4	0	14	0	36	9	17	0	62	6	3	59	6	0	74	168	570
*BREAK*** 4:00 PM	10	0		1	0	11	8	5	4	0	17	7	8	7	0	22	5	71	12	3	0	91	5	6	62	2	0	75	216	
4:15 PM	15	0		0	0	15	8	3	3	0	14	4	9	6	2	19	4	77	20	4	0	105	2	2	77	4	0	85	238	
4:30 PM	16	0		1	0	17	17	6	2	0	25	10	7	6	0	23	2	84	14	4	0	104	0	4	74	2	0	80	249	
4:45 PM	15	0		2	0	17	6	4	2	0	12	8	7	2	0	17	5	92	21	8	0	126	1	1	78	9	0	89	261	964
5:00 PM	23	0		4	0	27	5	2	3	0	10	7	5	5	0	17	7	83	21	11	0	122	1	3	61	7	0	72	248	996
5:15 PM	14	0		1	0	15	10	3	0	0	13	3	2	5	0	10	4	94	12	6	0	116	2	1	75	6	0	84	238	996
5:30 PM	6	0		1	0	7	14	2	5	0	21	7	7	6	0	20	7	70	15	6	0	98	0	0	67	3	0	70	216	963
5:45 PM	11	0		1	0	12	11	5	1	0	17	4	3	2	0	9	4	82	19	5	0	110	0	2	66	2	0	70	218	920

Westbound Right - N Bennington Rd to Rice Ln

Westbound Right 2 - N Bennington Rd to College Dr

Eastbound Left - N Bennington Rd to College Dr

Eastbound Left 2 - N Bennington Rd to Rice Ln

NOTE: 2019 data is used for traffic operations analysis.

File Name : N Bennington Road (Rt 67A) at College Drive and Rice Lane/Silk Road Site Code : 00000 Start Date : 02/28/2019 Page No. : 1

		C	ollege Driv	'e				RiceLane	e				Silk Roa	d			Rt 6	67A/ N Be	nnington	Road			Rt 6	67A/ N Bei	nningtor	Road		
			Southeast				S	outhbou	nd			Ν	Northbou	nd				West	bound					East	bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right B	ike/Pe	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Bike/Pe	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Bike/Pe Ap	op. total	Left	Thru	Right	Right 2	Bike/Pe A	op. total	Left	Left 2	Thru	Right	Bike/Pe	App. total	Int. Tota
ik Hour For I	ntire Inters	ection Be	gins at 7:1	5 AM																								
8:00 AM	6	0	2	0	8	5	0	0	0	5	1	1	2	0	4	1	32	4	5	0	42	1	0	64	3	0	68	
8:15 AM	2	0	0	0	2	4	3	2	0	9	0	3	2	0	5	1	45	3	11	0	60	0	0	47	3	0	50	:
8:30 AM	0	0	2	0	2	6	8	3	0	17	5	0	2	0	7	1	34	3	11	0	49	1	0	69	4	0	74	-
8:45 AM	5	0	0	0	5	6	6	1	0	13	5	5	4	0	14	0	36	9	17	0	62	6	3	59	6	0	74	-
Total PHF	13 0.542	0.000	4 0.500	0.000	17 0.531	21 0.875	17 0.531	6 0.500	0.000	44 0.647	11 0.550	9 0.450	10 0.625	0.000	30 0.536	3 0.750	147 0.817	19 0.528	44 0.647	0.000	213 0.859	8 0.333	3 0.250	239 0.866	16 0.667	0.000	266 0.899	0.
*BREAK*** Ik Hour For I	ntire Inters	ection Be	gins at 4:3	0 PM																								
	ntire Inters	ection Be 0	gins at 4:3 1	0 PM 0	17	17	6	2	0	25	10	7	6	0	23	2	84	14	4	0	104	0	4	74	2	0	80	
(Hour For I 1:30 PM	I		gins at 4:3 1 2		17 17	17 6	6 4	2 2	0 0	25 12	10 8	7 7				2 5			4 8			0 1	4	74 78	2 9	0 0	80 89	
K Hour For I	16	0	gins at 4:3 1 2 4	0			6 4 2	2 2 3	-			7 7 5	6	0	23	2 5 7	84	14	4 8 11	0	104	0 1 1	4 1 3		2 9 7	-		
4 Hour For I 1:30 PM 1:45 PM 5:00 PM 5:15 PM	16 15 23 14	0 0 0 0	1 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	17 27 15	6 5 10	4 2 3	2 2 3 0	0 0 0	12 10 13	8 7 3	7 7 5 2	6 2 5 5	0 0 0 0	23 17 17 10	2 5 7 4	84 92 83 94	14 21 21 12	6	0 0 0 0	104 126 122 116	0 1 1 2	4 1 3 1	78 61 75	9 7 6	0 0 0	89 72 84	
: Hour For I ::30 PM ::45 PM ::00 PM	16 15 23	0 0 0	gins at 4:3 1 2 4 1 <u>8</u> 0.500	0 0 0	17 27	6 5	6 4 2 3 <u>15</u> 0.625	2 3	0	12 10	8 7	7 7 5 2 21 0.750	6	0 0 0	23 17	2 5 7 4 18 0.643	84 92 83	14 21 21	4 8 11 6 29 0.659	0 0 0	104 126 122	0 1 1	4 1 3 1 <u>9</u> 0.563	78 61	9 7	0	89 72	0.

eak Hour For E	ntire Inters	ection Be	gins at 4:	30 PM						
4:30 PM	16	0	1	0	17	17	6	2	0	
4:45 PM	15	0	2	0	17	6	4	2	0	:
5:00 PM	23	0	4	0	27	5	2	3	0	-
5:15 PM	14	0	1	0	15	10	3	0	0	-
Total	68	0	8	0	76	38	15	7	0	(
PHF	0.739	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.704	0.559	0.625	0.583	0.000	0.60

File Name : N Bennington Road (Rt 67A) at College Drive and Rice Lane/Silk Road Site Code : 00000 Start Date : 02/28/2019 Page No. : 2
























APPENDIX

A-2 TRAFFIC VOLUMES





AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION **Transportation Data Management System** List View All DIRs Record H ◄ 285 ► ы of 430 Goto Record go MPO ID Location ID B126 Type SPOT HPMS ID V067A001.062 On HPMS Yes On NHS No LRS ID V067A0202 LRS Loc Pt. 1.155 SF Group ۲ Route Type ۲ VT67A AF Group U4 Route GF Group ۲ Active Yes ۲ Class Dist Grp U456 Category Þ Seas Clss Grp U4 WIM Group QC Group Default Fnct'l Class Minor Arterial Milepost Located On North Bennington Rd Loc On Alias VT67A PR MP ΡТ Ŧ More Detail 🕨 STATION DATA Directions: 2-WAY NB SB AADT 🥹 Year AADT DHV-30 Κ% D % PA вс Src Grown 2018 7,099³ 10 58 6,686 (94%) 412 (6%) from 2017 2017 7,120 704 10 58 6,706 (94%) 413 (6%) Grown 2016 7,512³ from 2015

2015 7.408 6,856 (93%) 552 (7%) 711 10 57 2014 7,000 |<< < > | >>| 1-5 of 22 Travel Demand Model Model Model AM PHV AM PPV MD PPV PM PHV PM PPV MD PHV NT PHV NT PPV Year AADT VOLUME COUNT VOLUME TREND 🥝 Total Date Int Annual Growth Year 10 Tue 7/18/2017 15 7.817 2018 0% \$ Mon 7/17/2017 15 7,609 2017 -5% \$ Sun 7/16/2017 15 6,255 2016 1% 9 Sat 7/15/2017 15 6,739 2015 6% \$ Fri 7/14/2017 15 8,103 2014 -2% ۹ŋ, 15 Thu 7/13/2017 7.767 1% 2011 9 Mon 6/15/2015 15 7,307 2009 0% \$ Sun 6/14/2015 15 6,597 2005 -3% ġ Sat 6/13/2015 15 7,690 2002 -4% 9 Fri 6/12/2015 15 8,707 2000 4% |<< < > >>| 1-10 of 19 |<< < > >>| 1-10 of 21

			_						
SPE	ED					CLA	SSIFICATION		
	Date	Int	Pace	85th	Total		Date	Int	Total
÷,	Tue 7/18/2017	15	35 - 45	43	7,817	÷,	Tue 7/18/2017	15	7,817

To Date

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - Existing Conditions (Year 2019)

			Rice Lane			Silk Road		Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road	Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road
			Southbound			Northbound	4		Westbound			Eastbound	-
eak 9:00	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
AM P 8:00 -	8:00 AM	5	0	0	1	1	2	1	37	4	0	70	3
₹ ::	8:15 AM	4	3	2	0	3	2	1	56	3	0	49	3
	8:30 AM	6	8	3	5	0	2	1	45	3	0	69	4
	8:45 AM	6	6	1	5	5	4	0	53	9	3	64	6
	<u>т</u> т		Rice Lane			Silk Road		Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road	Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road
			Southbound			Northbound			Westbound			Eastbound	
¥ 8	Start Time	Loft	Thru	Dight	Loft	Thru	Dight	Loft	Thru	Dight	Loft	Thru	Dight

			outinoound			tor choodine						Lastooana	
Peak - 5:30	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
PM	8:00 AM	17	6	2	10	7	6	2	88	14	4	90	2
₫	8:15 AM	6	4	2	8	7	2	5	100	21	1	93	9
	8:30 AM	5	2	3	7	5	5	7	94	21	3	84	7
	8:45 AM	10	3	0	3	2	5	4	100	12	1	89	6

Γ			College	e Road	Rt 67A/ N I	Bennington	Rt 67A/ N E	Bennington
			South	bound	West	oound	Eastb	ound
	Peak - 9:00	Start Time	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
	AM I 8:00 -	8:00 AM	6	2	33	5	1	67
	₹ ::	8:15 AM	2	0	47	11	0	50
		8:30 AM	0	2	42	11	1	73
L		8:45 AM	5	0	42	17	6	68

		College	e Road	Rt 67A/ N I	Bennington	Rt 67A/ N 8	Bennington
		South	bound	West	oound	Eastb	ound
PM Peak 4:30 - 5:30	Start Time	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Σĝ	8:00 AM	16	1	96	4	0	80
P 4	8:15 AM	15	2	102	8	1	88
	8:30 AM	23	4	93	11	1	71
	8:45 AM	14	1	97	6	2	82

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - No-Build Conditions (Year 2040)

			Rice Lane			Silk Road		Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road	Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road
			Southbound			Northbound	ł		Westbound			Eastbound	
Peak - 9:00	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
AM F 8:00 -	8:00 AM	5	0	0	1	1	2	1	40	4	0	75	3
₹ 8	8:15 AM	4	3	2	0	3	2	1	61	3	0	53	3
	8:30 AM	6	9	3	5	0	2	1	49	3	0	75	4
	8:45 AM	6	6	1	5	5	4	0	57	10	3	69	6
	1		Rice Lane			Silk Road		Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road	Rt 67A/	N Benningt	on Road
	-	Southbound			Northbound			Rt 67A/ N Bennington Road Westbound			ILC OT Ay	Eastbound	on Rodu
~ 0			-									-	

Peak - 5:30	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right									
PM F 30.5	8:00 AM	18	6	2	11	8	6	2	95	15	4	97	2
₽ 4	8:15 AM	6	4	2	9	8	2	5	108	23	1	100	10
	8:30 AM	5	2	3	8	5	5	8	102	23	3	91	8
	8:45 AM	11	3	0	3	2	5	4	108	13	1	96	6

		College	Road	Rt 67A/ N I	Bennington	n Rt 67A/ N Bennington		
		South	oound	West	oound	Eastb	ound	
Peak - 9:00	Start Time	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
AM I 8:00 -	8:00 AM	6	2	36	5	1	72	
₹ 8	8:15 AM	2	0	51	12	0	54	
	8:30 AM	0	2	45	12	1	79	
	8:45 AM	5	0	45	18	6	73	

		College	e Road	Rt 67A/ N I	Bennington	Rt 67A/ N 8	Bennington
		South	bound	West	oound	Eastb	ound
Peak - 5:30	Start Time	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
PM F 4:30 -	8:00 AM	17	1	104	4	0	86
P 9	8:15 AM	16	2	110	9	1	95
	8:30 AM	25	4	101	12	1	77
	8:45 AM	15	1	105	6	2	88

		C	ollege Driv	ve		Rice Lane	1		Silk Road		Rt 6	7A/ N Ber	nnington I	Road	Rt 6	7A/ N Ber	inington F	Road
			Southeast	:	S	outhboun	nd	٩	lorthbour	d		West	bound			Eastb	ound	
	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Right 2	Left	Left 2	Thru	Right
eak 9:00	8:00 AM	6	0	2	5	0	0	1	1	2	1	35	4	5	1	0	69	3
AM P 8:00 -	8:15 AM	2	0	0	4	3	2	0	3	2	1	49	3	12	0	0	51	3
ω	8:30 AM	0	0	2	6	9	3	5	0	2	1	37	3	12	1	0	75	4
	8:45 AM	5	0	0	6	6	1	5	5	4	0	39	10	18	6	3	64	6

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - Build Conditions (Year 2040)

		C	ollege Driv	ve		Rice Lane			Silk Road		Rt 6	7A/ N Ber	nington F	Road	Rt 6	7A/ N Ber	nington F	Road
			Southeast	t	S	outhbour	nd	N	Iorthboun	d		West	bound			Eastb	ound	
	Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Right 2	Left	Left 2	Thru	Right
eak 5:30	4:30 PM	17	0	1	18	6	2	11	8	6	2	91	15	4	0	4	80	2
PM P 4:30 -	4:45 PM	16	0	2	6	4	2	9	8	2	5	99	23	9	1	1	84	10
7	5:00 PM	25	0	4	5	2	3	8	5	5	8	90	23	12	1	3	66	8
	5:15 PM	15	0	1	11	3	0	3	2	5	4	102	13	6	2	1	81	6

VT Ro	ute 67A		Rice	Lane
Project	ed AADT		Projecte	ed AADT
2018 2019 2020 2024 2040	7099 7124 7149 7250 7669		2013 2019 2020 2024 2040	1900 1940 1947 1975 2089
Annual Growth	0.35%			

Rate



B ALTERNATIVES

APPENDIX

B-1 NON-PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES











vsp

Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices

Table 4C-1. Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

	١	Worksheet f	for Signal Warrants	(Section 4	C)		Table 4C-		A-Minimum V			ime		
			for the 2009 Editio	•	•		of lanes for moving	Vehicles per	r hour on major st	reet Vehic	les per hour on l	higher-volume		
							n each approach reet Minor Stree		both approaches) % ^b 70% ^c 5	6% ^d 100% ^a		në direction only 70%° 56% ^d	4	
Intersection	: Route 67A(North	Bennington R	Road) at Rice Lane and	Silk Road		1	1			280 150	+ + +	105 84		
City/State:	Bennington, VT	J	,,	_		2 or mo	re 1	600 48	30 420	336 150	120	105 84]	
Date	2019		Performed by:	WSP USA		2 or mo	_		_	336 200		140 112	4	
						1	2 or more	500 40	0 350	280 200	160	140 112		
Warrant 1 -	- Eight-Hour Vehicu	ular Volume							Interruption of				_	
	Number of lanes of	of moving traffic	c for moving traffic on ea	ch approach.			of lanes for moving on each approach		r hour on major st both approaches)	minor-str	les per hour on l reet approach (o	higher-volume ne direction only	2	
	Major Street:	1 1	Minor Street:	1		Major St				6% ^d 100%*	+	70%° 56% ^d		
					_	2 or mo	1 re 1		_	420 75 504 75		53 42 53 42	1	
	•	on major stree	t (total of both approach	,		2 or mo	re 2 or more	900 72	20 630	504 100	80	70 56		
	12:00 - 1:00 AM	0	12:00 - 1:00 PM	656		1	2 or more	750 60	00 525	420 100	80	70 56		
1	1:00 - 2:00 AM	0	1:00 - 2:00 PM	592		^a Basic mini	mum hourly volum	e					_	
	2:00 - 3:00 AM	0	2:00 - 3:00 PM	639			ombination of Con ed when the major					coulation of loss	-	
	3:00 - 4:00 AM	0	3:00 - 4:00 PM	747		than 10,00	0						,	
	4:00 - 5:00 AM	0	4:00 - 5:00 PM	712			ed for combination at speed exceeds							
	5:00 - 6:00 AM	0	5:00 - 6:00 PM	681		Sect. 4C.02	-						Decembe	r 2009
	6:00 - 7:00 AM	275	6:00 - 7:00 PM	0										
	7:00 - 8:00 AM	408	7:00 - 8:00 PM	0					150	75	120) 60) 105	5
	8:00 - 9:00 AM	490	8:00 - 9:00 PM	0					500					
	9:00 - 10:00AM	486	9:00 - 10:00PM	0			Vo	lume		s 100%	7	ts 80%		s 70%
	10:00 - 11:00 AM	507	10:00 - 11:00 PM	0			Major Rd	Minor Rd	A (500,150)			-	A(350,105)	T
	11:00 - 12:00 PM	526	11:00 - 12:00 PM	0			Major Ru		77 (000,100)	B (100,10)	71(400,120)	D(000,00)	71(000,100)	D(020,00)
	11.00 - 12.00 1 10	520	11.00 - 12.00 1 10	0		12:00 - 1:00 AM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
	Vehicles per hour	on higher-volu	me minor street approad	h (one directi	on only):	1:00 - 2:00 AM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
	12:00 - 1:00 AM		12:00 - 1:00 PM	70	on only).	2:00 - 3:00 AM	0	0	N		N	N	N	N
	1:00 - 2:00 AM	0	1:00 - 2:00 PM			3:00 - 4:00 AM	0	0	N N	N		N	N	N
	2:00 - 2:00 AM	0	2:00 - 3:00 PM	66 57		4:00 - 5:00 AM	0	0		N		N		
		0		57			0				· · ·	1	N	N
	3:00 - 4:00 AM	0	3:00 - 4:00 PM	78		5:00 - 6:00 AM	0	0	N		N	N	N	N
	4:00 - 5:00 AM	0	4:00 - 5:00 PM	98		6:00 - 7:00 AM	275	29	N		N	N	N	N
	5:00 - 6:00 AM	0	5:00 - 6:00 PM	74		7:00 - 8:00 AM	408	43	N	N	N	N	N	N
	6:00 - 7:00 AM	29	6:00 - 7:00 PM	0		8:00 - 9:00 AM	490	77	N	N	N	N	N	N
	7:00 - 8:00 AM	43	7:00 - 8:00 PM	0		9:00 - 10:00 AM	486	59	N	N	N	N	N	N
	8:00 - 9:00 AM	77	8:00 - 9:00 PM	0		10:00 - 11:00 AM		59	N	N	N	N	N	N
	9:00 - 10:00AM	59	9:00 - 10:00PM	0		11:00 - 12:00 PM		66	N	N	N	N	N	Y
	10:00 - 11:00 AM	59	10:00 - 11:00 PM	0		12:00 - 1:00 PM	656	70	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
	11:00 - 12:00 PM	66	11:00 - 12:00 PM	0		1:00 - 2:00 PM	592	66	N	N	N	N	N	Y
						2:00 - 3:00 PM	639	57	N	N	N	N	N	Y
	Is the intersection	using the redu	ced volume criteria base	ed on speed		3:00 - 4:00 PM	747	78	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
	or population?	No				4:00 - 5:00 PM	712	98	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
						5:00 - 6:00 PM	681	74	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
	A. Is the Minin	num Vehicu	ılar Volume Warran	t Met?	No	6:00 - 7:00 PM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
						7:00 - 8:00 PM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
	B. Is the Interr	ruption of C	ontinuous Traffic N	/let?	No	8:00 - 9:00 PM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
	(35 mph speed	•				9:00 - 10:00 PM	0	0	N	N	N	N	N	N
	• • •		A and B Criteria M	et?	No	10:00 - 11:00 PM		0	N	N		N	N	N
			d B are both not satisifie			11:00 - 12:00 PM		0	N	N		N	N	N
		onunions A and	ם ם ב גיטנוז זוטנ אמנאוופי	u)		11.00 - 12.00 PW	U						IN IN	
									10	0%	8	0%	70	0%
								# Hrs	A	В	A	В	A	В
								MCR	0	0	0	4	0	7
								meets	č	Ũ	Ŭ	•	č	

threshold

meets

Warrant 2 (4 hour)



 ${}^{\bullet}$

Major	Minor
-------	-------

275	29			One La	ane and On	ne lane				
408	43	1	00%	90%		80%		70%		
490	77	400	310	360	280	320	250	280	220	
486	59	500	260	450	235	400	210	350	185	
507	59	600	220	540	200	480	180	420	155	





Major Volume (vph)

Intersection: Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road City/State: Bennington, VT

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

The peak hour volume warrant is also intended for application when traffic conditions are such that for one hour of the day minor street traffic suffers undue traffic delay in entering or crossing the main street.

The peak hour volume warrant is satisfied when the plotted point representing vehicles per hour on the higher volume minor street for one hour falls above the curve in Figure 4C-3.

Figure 4C-4 may be used if the 85th percentile speed of the major street exceeds 40 mph or when the intersection lies within a built-up area of an isolated community having a population less than 10,000.



Peak Hour Volume Warrant - Major and Minor Streets Warrant 3

	Work	sheet fo	niform Traffic Co or Signal Warrant for the 2009 Editi		UTCD
Intersection: City/State:	Route 67A(North Ber Bennington, VT	nnington R	oad) at Rice Lane an	d Silk Road	
Warrant 4 -	Pedestrian Volume				
		signal at a	an intersection or mid	block crossing shall be co	onsidered if:
	on the major street (te	otal of bot	n approaches) and the	otted points representing e corresponding pedestria he curve in Figure 4C-5.	
	representing the vehi	cles per h trians per	our on the major stree	s) of an average day, the et (total of both approache jor street (total of all cross	es) and the
	Number of lanes of m	noving traf	fic for moving traffic o	n each approach:	
	Major Street:	1	Minor Street:	1	
	Number of pedestriar	ns per hou	r crossing major stree	et:	
		r hour of a en the ped	estrian volume criteri	destrians to cross during	the
700		an Volume Warrant 4			
600				Pedestrian Four-Hour Volume Pedestrian Peak Hour	
500 ž					
ar Hour					



Intersection:	Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road
City/State:	Bennington, VT

Warrant 5 - School Crossing

The need for a traffic signal shall be considered when the frequency and adequacy of gaps in in the vehicular traffic stream as related to the number and size of groups of schoolchildren at an established school crossing across the major street shows that the number of adequate gaps in the traffic stream during the period when the schoolchildren are using the crossing is less than the number of minutes in the same period and there are a minimum of 20 schoolchildren during the highest crossing hour.

For purposes of this warrant, the word "schoolchildren" includes elementary through high school students.

Is there a school in the vicinity of the intersection?	No

Is there a signal within 300 feet of this intersection? No

Is School Crossing Warrant Met?

No

Intersection: Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road City/State: Bennington, VT

Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

The need for a traffic signal shall be considered if one of the following criteria is met:

A. On a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominantly in one direction, the adjacent traffic control signals are so far apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicular platooning.

B. On a two-way street, adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platonning and the propsed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.

Is Coordinated Signal System Warrant Met?

No

y/State:	Route 67A Benningtor			e 2009 Eu	-	tion 4C) he USDOT	MUTCD						
arrant 7 -		•	ington Road) a	at Rice Lane	and Silk Ro	bad							
	<u>Crash Experience</u> The Crash Experience warrant is satisfied when:												
		Has an adequate trial of less restrictive remedies with satisfactory observance and enforcement failed to reduce the crash frequency? Yes											
	Remedial measures have been taken at this intersection to reduce vehicle cr frequency, such as the installation of Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFI and a pedestrian refuge.												
		rithin a 12-m	hes, of types s onth period, ea Yes	•			al control,						
		in the 4-yea	ar analysis peri	od were due	to poor sig	ht distance.) crashes reported related to weather						
	percent col higher volu	lumns of Co ime minor st	ndition A and E	B in Table 40 , or the volur	C-1 exist on me of pedes	the major-stre strian traffic is	in both of the 80 eet and the not less than 80 <u>No</u>						
		-	ence warran Crash Analys		No ng study)								
	(If so, pleas	-	Crash Analys	is Engineerir	ng study)		-						
	(If so, pleas Crash	se attach an	Crash Analys	is Engineerir Total Crash	ng study) es	Total	7						
	(If so, pleas	-	Crash Analys	is Engineerir	ng study)	Total 8							
	(If so, pleas Crash Type	se attach an 2015	Crash Analys 2016 0	is Engineerir Total Crash 2017	es 2018 2		3						
	(If so, pleas Crash Type Angle	se attach an 2015	Crash Analys 2016 0	Total Crashe	es 2018 2								
	(If so, pleas Crash Type Angle Crash	se attach an 2015 1	Crash Analys 2016 0	is Engineerir Total Crash 2017 5	es 2018 2 es	8							
	(If so, pleased of the solution of the solutio	2015 1 2015	Crash Analysi 2016 0 2016	is Engineerir Total Crash 2017 5 Total Crash 2017	es 2018 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8 Total							

Intersection: Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road City/State: Bennington, VT

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

The need for a traffic signal is applicable when the common intersection of two or more major routes meets one or both of the following criteria:

A. Has a total of existing, or immediately projected, entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles during the peak hour and has five-year projected volumes, based on an engineering study, which meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday; or

B. Has a total existing or immediately projected entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles for each of any five hours of a non-normal business day (Saturday and/or Sunday).

Is the Roadway Network warrant met? No

Intersection: Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road City/State: Bennington, VT

Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

The need for a traffic signal shall be considered if an engineering study finds that both of the following criteria are met:

A. A grade crossing exists on an approach controlled by a STOP or YIELD sign and the center of the track nearest to the intersection is within 140 feet of the stop line or yield line on the approaches; and

B. During the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, the plotted points representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding vehicles per hour on the minor-street approach that crosses the track (one direction only, approaching the intersection) falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-9 or 4C-10 for the existing combination of approach lanes over the track and the distance D, which is the clear storage distance.

Is the Intersection Near Grade Crossing warrant met?

No

Intersection: Route 67A(North Bennington Road) at Rice Lane and Silk Road City/State: Bennington, VT

Warrant	Description	Warrant Met?	Justification
1	Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	No	The basic minimum hourly volume criteria is not met. The major-street speed limexceed 40 mph or the intersection is not in an isolated community with the popula 10,000.
2	Four-Hour Vehicular Volume	No	For any four hour, the combination of major-street and minor-street volumes per fall above the specified curve in figure 4C-1 MUTCD.
3	Peak Hour	No	For the same one hour, the volume on the minor-street approach does not equal 100 vph or 800 vph on the major-street. For any one hour, the combination of major-street and minor-street volumes doe above the specified cureve in figure 4C-3 MUTCD.
4	Pedestrian Volume	No	Pedestarin volumes per hour in any one hour volumes do not meet the criteria to traffic signal.
5	School Crossing	No	Schoolchildren are not using the intersection to cross the major-street.
6	Coordinated Signal System	No	There is no nearby signalised intersection on the west of the intersection on major part of the coordinated signal system.
7	Crash Experience	No	All criterias of the crash experience warrant are not met, however, the 80 percent the combination of the major-street and minor-street volumes for any 8 hours do volumes specified in Table 4C-1 MUTCD.
8	Roadway Network	No	The major street is important for the thourgh traffic in Bennington but the intersed major intersection serving high traffic volumes.
9	Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No	The approach lanes of the intersections are not near the rail track crossing.



APPENDIX





wsp





wsp



Bennington_Alternative 5.dgn Layout1 1/17/2020 12:38:29 PM



wsp





C COST ESTIMATES & BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

	Alternative	3 Conce	otua	l Plans	s Estir	nate (Eng	glish Units)	
Project:	Bennington STP 1	000(23)				Date:	4/2/2020	
Project #:	52741 TSK 03					By:	B.Bandar	
Earthworks								
- Common e	excavation	8,100.0	00 cy	\$ 1	2.00 /cy	\$ 97,20	0	
- Earth borro		1,700.0	-		5.00 /cy	\$ 25,50		
- Cold Planir	ng	3,400.0	00 sy	\$ 1	2.00 /sy	\$ 40,80	0	
Earthworks Cos	st					\$ 163,50	0	
Pavement Struc	sture							
- Bituminous	pavement	1000.00	ton	\$ 12	5.00 /ton	\$ 125,00	0	
- Gravel bas		1400.00	су		0.00 /cy	\$ 56,00		
- Sand subb	ase	1200.00	су	\$ 3	0.00 /cy	\$ 36,00	0	
Pavement Struc	cture Cost					\$ 217,00	0	
Earthworks and	l Pavement Cost							\$ 380,500
- Roadway F	actor							4.00
Roadway Cost								\$ 1,522,000
- "Special" r	oadway items							\$ 50,000
TOTAL ROADWA	Y COST							\$ 1,572,000
Traffic & Safety	Data							
- Project len	gth	2000	lf	\$ 25	/lf	\$ 50,00	0	
Traffic & Safety	Cost					\$ 50,00	0	
- T & S Fact	or							4.00
Factored Traffic	: & Safety Cost							\$ 200,000
- Special T &	& S items							\$ 50,000
TOTAL T & S C	ost							\$ 250,000
Miscellaneous	Special Items							\$ 3,000
TOTAL CONCE	PTUAL ESTIMATED CO	ST						\$ 1,825,000
	The total e	st cost does no	ot inclue	le nrelim e	naineerina	, ROW, or E&C		

	Alternative	5 Concep	otua	l Plans E	Estir	nate (Eng	lish Units)	
Project:	Bennington STP 1	000(23)				Date:	4/2/2020	
Project #:	52741 TSK 03					By:	B.Bandar	
Earthworks								
- Common ex	cavation	6,400.0	0 cy	\$ 12.00) /cy	\$ 76,800		
- Earth borrow		1,300.0	-	\$ 15.00	-	\$ 19,500		
- Cold Planing	I	4,500.0	0 sy	\$ 12.00) /sy	\$ 54,000		
Earthworks Cost						\$ 150,300]	
Pavement Struct	ure							
- Bituminous p	pavement	1300.00	ton	\$ 125.00) /ton	\$ 162,500		
- Gravel base		1200.00	су	\$ 40.00		\$ 48,000		
- Sand subbas	se	1100.00	су	\$ 30.00) /cy	\$ 33,000		
Pavement Struct	ure Cost					\$ 243,500]	
Earthworks and I	Pavement Cost						\$ 393,80	00
- Roadway Fa	ctor						4.0	00
Roadway Cost							\$ 1,575,20	00
- "Special" roa	dway items						\$ 50,00	00
TOTAL ROADWAY	COST						\$ 1,625,20	0
Traffic & Safety D	Data							
- Project lengt	h	2000	lf	\$ 25	/lf	\$ 50,000		
Traffic & Safety C	Cost					\$ 50,000]	
- T & S Factor							- 4.0	00
Factored Traffic	& Safety Cost						\$ 200,00	00
- Special T &	S items						\$ 50,00	00
TOTAL T & S CO	ST						\$ 250,00	0
Miscellaneous Sp	pecial Items						\$ 3,00	0
TOTAL CONCEP	TUAL ESTIMATED CO	ST					\$ 1,878,20	0
	The total e	st. cost does no	ot incluc	le prelim engi	neering	, ROW, or E&C		

Alter	native 6 Concep	tual	Plans Estin	nate (Eng	lish Units)	
Project: Benning	ton STP 1000(23)			Date:	4/2/2020	
Project #: 52741 TS	SK 03			By:	B.Bandar	
Earthworks						
- Common excavation	6,800.0	су	\$ 12.00 /cy	\$ 81,600)	
- Earth borrow	1,400.0	су	\$ 15.00 /cy	\$ 21,000)	
- Cold Planing	4,500.0) sy	\$ 12.00 /sy	\$ 54,000)	
Earthworks Cost				\$ 156,600		
Pavement Structure						
- Bituminous pavement	1300.00	ton	\$ 125.00 /ton	\$ 162,500)	
- Gravel base	1400.00	су	\$ 40.00 /cy	\$ 56,000		
- Sand subbase	1200.00	су	\$ 30.00 /cy	\$ 36,000)	
Pavement Structure Cost				\$ 254,500		
Earthworks and Pavement C	Cost				\$ 411,100)
- Roadway Factor					4.00)
Roadway Cost					\$ 1,644,400)
- "Special" roadway items					\$ 50,000)
TOTAL ROADWAY COST					\$ 1,694,400	
Traffic & Safety Data						
- Project length	2000	lf	\$ 25 /lf	\$ 50,000)	
Traffic & Safety Cost				\$ 50,000		
- T & S Factor					- 4.00)
Factored Traffic & Safety Co	ost				\$ 200,000)
- Special T & S items					\$ 50,000)
TOTAL T & S COST					\$ 250,000	
Miscellaneous Special Items	3				\$ 3,000	
TOTAL CONCEPTUAL ESTI	MATED COST				\$ 1,947,400	
	The total est. cost does no	t includ	e prelim, enaineering	a. ROW, or E&C		
Bennington STP 1000(23)

Alternative 3 Intersection Cost Comparison

Annual Costs	Roundabout			Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Predicted Annual Crashes	Safety Cost		Predicted Annual Crashes		Safety Cost	
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	0.12	\$	41,930	0.39	\$	143,132	
Predicted PDO Crashes	0.72	\$	5,465	0.63	\$	4,814	
	Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	47,394	Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	147,946	
Delay	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay C	Cost	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	
Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay	2008	\$	25,389	4485	\$	56,036	
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance	O&M C	ost	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming		\$	-	Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Power for Signal		\$	-	Power for Signal	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination	\$	750	Intersection Illumination	\$	750	
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs	\$	2,000	Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.)	\$	2,000	
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$	2,750	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$	2,750	
Initial Capital Costs Total Capital Costs		Cos	t	Total Capital Costs		Cost	
Preliminary Engineering		\$	-		\$	-	
Right-of-way and Utilities		\$	-		\$	-	
Construction		\$	1,825,000		\$	-	

*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.

Total Discounted Life Cycle Costs						
(2020 - 2040)	Roundabout		Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Total Predicted Crashes	Safety Cost	Total Predicted Crashes	S	Safety Cost	
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	2.31 \$	623,805	7.88	\$	2,129,444	
Predicted PDO Crashes	14.38 \$	81,300	12.67	\$	71,625	
	Total Costs of Predicted Crashes \$	705,105	Total Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	2,201,069	
Delay	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay Cost	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	
Total Person (in Vehicle) Delay	42161 \$	533,161	94183	\$	1,176,753	
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance	O&M Cost	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming	\$	-	Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Power for Signal	\$	-	Power for Signal	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination \$	11,158	Intersection Illumination	\$	11,158	
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs \$	29,755	Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.)	\$	29,755	
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs \$	40,913	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$	40,913	
Initial Capital Costs	Total Capital Costs	Cost	Total Capital Costs		Cost	
Preliminary Engineering	\$	-		\$	-	
Right-of-way and Utilities	\$	-		\$	-	
Construction	ş	1,825,000		\$	-	
	Total Initial Capital Costs \$	1,825,000	Total Initial Capital Costs	\$	-	
Total Life Cycle Costs (Opening Year \$)	Net Present Value	3,104,180	Net Present Value	\$	3,418,736	
*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.	R	oundabout		Two-V	Vay Stop Control	

Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio		
Safety Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 1,495,964	
Delay Reduction Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 643,592	
Total Benefits	\$ 2,139,556	
Added Operations&Maintenance Costs of a Roundabout	\$-	
Added Capital Costs of a Roundabout	\$ 1,825,000	
Total Costs	\$ 1,825,000	
Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.2	Roundabout Compared to Two-Way Stop Control
	Roundabout Preferred	

Notes: 1. Signal maintenance costs are the pedestrian signal maintenance costs. 2. Intersection illumination is the roadway lighting.

Bennington STP 1000(23)

Alternative 5 Intersection Cost Comparison

Annual Costs	Roundabout			Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Predicted Annual Crashes	Safety Cost		Predicted Annual Crashes		Safety Cost	
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	0.12	\$	41,930	0.39	\$	143,132	
Predicted PDO Crashes	0.72	\$	5,465	0.63	\$	4,814	
	Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$ 47,394		Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	147,946	
Delay	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	
Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay	2301	\$	29,102	4485		56,036	
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming		\$	-	Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Power for Signal		\$	-	Power for Signal	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination	\$	750	Intersection Illumination	\$	750	
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs	\$	2,000	Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.)	\$	2,000	
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	ts \$ 2,		Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs		2,750	
Initial Capital Costs Total Capital Costs			Cost	Total Capital Costs		Cost	
Preliminary Engineering		\$	-		\$	-	
Right-of-way and Utilities		\$	-		\$	-	
Construction		\$	1,878,200		\$	-	

*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.

Total Discounted Life Cycle Costs							
(2020 - 2040)	Roundabout		Two-Way Stop Control	Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Total Predicted Crashes	Safety Cost	Total Predicted Crashes	Safety Cost			
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	2.31	623,80		\$ 2,129,444			
Predicted PDO Crashes	14.38	\$ 81,30		\$ 71,625			
	Total Costs of Predicted Crashes	5 705,10	05 Total Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$ 2,201,069			
Delay	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay Cost	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay Cost			
Total Person (in Vehicle) Delay	48327	611,13	38 94183	\$ 1,176,753			
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance	O&M Cost	Operation and Maintenance	O&M Cost			
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming	9	-	Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$-			
Annual Cost of Power for Signal	5		Power for Signal	\$-			
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination	5 11,18		\$ 11,158			
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs	5 29,75		\$ 29,755			
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	6 40,9 [,]	13 Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$ 40,913			
Initial Capital Costs	Total Capital Costs	Cost	Total Capital Costs	Cost			
Preliminary Engineering				\$-			
Right-of-way and Utilities				\$-			
Construction		1,878,20		\$-			
	Total Initial Capital Costs	5 1,878,20	00 Total Initial Capital Costs	\$-			
Total Life Cycle Costs (Opening Year \$)	Net Present Value	\$ 3,235,35	7 Net Present Value	\$ 3,418,736			
*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.	R	oundabout		Two-Way Stop Control			

Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.1	Roundabout Compared to Two-Way Stop Control
Total Costs	. , , , ,	
Added Capital Costs of a Roundabout		
Added Operations&Maintenance Costs of a Roundabout	\$-	
Total Benefits	\$ 2,061,579	
Delay Reduction Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 565,615	
Safety Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 1,495,964	
Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio		

Notes: 1. Signal maintenance costs are the pedestrian signal maintenance costs. 2. Intersection illumination is the roadway lighting.

Bennington STP 1000(23)

Alternative 6 Intersection Cost Comparison

Annual Costs	Roundabout		Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Predicted Annual Crashes	Safety Cost	Predicted Annual Crashes	Safety Cost		
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	0.12	\$ 41	930 0.39	\$ 143,132		
Predicted PDO Crashes	0.72	\$ 5	465 0.63	\$ 4,814		
	Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$ 47,	394 Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$ 147,946		
Delay	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay Cost	Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs)	Delay Cost		
Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay	1705	\$ 21,	557 5485	\$ 68,536		
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance	O&M Cost	Operation and Maintenance	O&M Cost		
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming		\$	- Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$-		
Annual Cost of Power for Signal		\$	- Power for Signal	\$ -		
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination		750 Intersection Illumination	\$ 750		
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs		000 Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.)	\$ 2,000		
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$ 2,	750 Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$ 2,750		
Initial Capital Costs	Total Capital Costs	Cost	Total Capital Costs	Cost		
Preliminary Engineering		\$	-	\$-		
Right-of-way and Utilities		\$	-	\$ -		
Construction		\$ 1,947	400	\$ -		

*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.

Total Discounted Life Cycle Costs							
(2020 - 2040)	Roundabout			Two-Way Stop Control			
Safety	Total Predicted Crashes	:	Safety Cost	Total Predicted Crashes		Safety Cost	
Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes	2.31	\$	623,805	7.88	\$	2,129,444	
Predicted PDO Crashes	14.38	\$	81,300	12.67	\$	71,625	
	Total Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	705,105	Total Costs of Predicted Crashes	\$	2,201,069	
Delay	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	Total Intersection Delay (person-hrs)		Delay Cost	
Total Person (in Vehicle) Delay	35798	\$	452,700	115193	\$	1,439,260	
Operation and Maintenance	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	Operation and Maintenance		O&M Cost	
Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming		\$	-	Signal Retiming Every 3 Years	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Power for Signal		\$	-	Power for Signal	\$	-	
Annual Cost of Illumination	Intersection Illumination	\$	11,158	Intersection Illumination	\$	11,158	
Annual Cost of Maintenance	Landscaping Costs	\$	29,755	Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.)	\$	29,755	
	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$	40,913	Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	\$	40,913	
Initial Capital Costs	Total Capital Costs		Cost	Total Capital Costs		Cost	
Preliminary Engineering		\$	-		\$	-	
Right-of-way and Utilities		\$	-		\$	-	
Construction		\$	1,947,400		\$	-	
	Total Initial Capital Costs	\$	1,947,400	Total Initial Capital Costs	\$	-	
Total Life Cycle Costs (Opening Year \$)	Net Present Value	\$	3,146,118	Net Present Value	\$	3,681,242	
*Delay cost is based upon a 2 hour analysis period.	R	Round	dabout		Two-	Way Stop Control	

Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio		
Safety Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 1,495,964	
Delay Reduction Benefit of a Roundabout	\$ 986,560	
Total Benefits	\$ 2,482,524	
Added Operations&Maintenance Costs of a Roundabout	\$-	
Added Capital Costs of a Roundabout	\$ 1,947,400	
Total Costs	\$ 1,947,400	
Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.3	Roundabout Compared to Two-Way Stop Control
	Roundabout Preferred	

Notes: 1. Signal maintenance costs are the pedestrian signal maintenance costs. 2. Intersection illumination is the roadway lighting.



D EVALUATION MATRIX

VT ROUTE 67A AT SILK ROAD		Alternative 3	Alternative 5	Alternative 6		
AND RICE LANE PROJECT # 52741 TSK 03	No-Build 5 Leg Oval		1996 Study Modified - 5 Leg Circular	5 Leg Elliptical	Weight Multiplier	
CONSTRUCTION COST ¹	\$0	\$ 1,825,000	\$ 1,878,200	\$ 1,947,400	1	
LOS / QUEUE LENGTH (feet) ²	A / 95	B / 310	C / 405	A / 265	1	
POTENTIAL ROW IMPACTS	None	Greatest	Lowest	Moderate	1	
SIGHT DISTANCE IMPROVEMENT	None	Moderate	Lowest	Greatest	1	
CONSTRUCTION DURATION	None	18 months	18 months	18 months	1	
SAFETY / CRASH REDUCTION:						
APPROACH ROADWAY DEFLECTION	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	2	
ROUNDABOUT CIRCULATING SPEED	None	Greatest	Lowest	Moderate	1	
TRAFFIC CONFLICT POINTS	High	Lowest	Greatest	Lowest	2	
BICYCLE / PEDESTRIAN SAFETY	Low	Moderate	Lowest	Greatest	2	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:						
HISTORICAL/CULTURAL RESOURCES	No Impact	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	1	
WETLANDS	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	1	
FLOOD PLAIN	No Impact	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	1	
IMPERVIOUS AREA	No Impact	Lowest	Greatest	Lowest	1	
BENEFIT COST RATIO ³	0	1.2	1.1	1.3	2	
EVALUATION RATING ⁴	9	7	3	12	[

EVALUATION MATRIX

¹Costs are estimates only, used for comparison purposes.

²Level of Service and Queue Length are based on year 2040 forecast.

Level of Service for an unsignalized intersection is defined as: "A" \leq 10 sec delay; "B" > 10 sec delay \leq 15 sec; "C" > 15 sec delay \leq 25 sec.

³Benefit Cost Ratio is based on roundabout vs two-way stop control.

⁴Evaluation rating is based on total number of positive impacts (Highlighted in Green) multiplied by weight multiplier.

Legend

GoodPositive ImpactNeutralPoorNegative Impact



ERISK REGISTER

LEVEL 1 - RISK REGISTER

LEVEL	1 - RIS	SK REGISTE	ER	Project Name:	Bennington STP 1000(23)		Intersection of VT Route 67A (North Bennington Road) at Matteson Road, Silk Road and College Drive		Project Manager	Michael LaCroix		
				Risk	Identification			Risk Rating		Risk Response		
Status	ID #	Туре	Category	Title	Risk Statement	Current status/assumptions	Priority Rating	Rationale for Rating	Strategy	Response Actions	Risk Owner	Updated
Active	1	Threat	Design		Potential historic impact due to encroachment into the subject property	Intent is to minimize slope encroachment	Medium	•	Accept		Agency	
Active	2	Threat	Design	Historic gate at Bennington College Drive		Intent is to minimize impact. Reconstruction of a portion of the gate may be evaluated as concept is advanced	Medium		Accept		Agency	
Active	3	Threat	ROW	Bennington College property	Bennington college owns the majority of the abutting parcels.	College has stated (unofficially) that they will donate the land required to the State.	High		Accept		Agency	
Active	4	Threat	Construction	Work Zone Traffic Management	Maintain one lane open for travel in each direction.		High		Mitigate		Contractor	
Active	5	Opportunity	Construction	Utilities	If the project Contract Award is delayed, private utility companies would have additional time to relocate.		Medium		Accept		Agency	
Active	6	Threat	Construction	Utility Relocation	Utility relocation is required. Impacts will not be fully understood until coordination begins.		Medium		Accept		Agency	
Active	7	Threat	Construction	Night work	Worker safety and driver visibility.		Medium		Mitigate		Contractor	
Active	8	Threat	Construction		through out construction	Ninja path is an asset to both the Town and College and maintaining connection is important consideration.	Medium		Mitigate		Contractor	



SELECT BOARD MEETING MINUTES

1	BENNINGTON SELECT BOARD
2	BENNINGTON FIRE FACILITY
3	130 RIVER STREET
4	BENNINGTON, VERMONT 05201
5	JANUARY 27, 2020
6	MINUTES
7 8	SELECT BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Donald Campbell-Chair; Jeannie Jenkins-Vice Chair; Jeanne Conner; Jim Carroll; Bruce Lee-Clark; and Chad Gordon.
9	SELECT BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Bill Scully.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALSO PRESENT: Stuart Hurd-Town Manager; Daniel Monks-Assistant Town Manager and Planning Director; Shannon Barsotti-Community Development Director; Timothy Higginson and Richard Tetreault- WSP and Michael LaCroix-VTrans for the Vermont Agency of Transportation; Jason Dolmetsch-MSK Engineering & Design; Kevin Hoyt; Colleen Harrington; John Shannahan; Matt Willey; Jonah Spivak; Lynn Green; Matt Harrington; Sarah Paranvaseau; Ryan Hasslett; Eric Peterson; Betsy Greenawalt; Heather Hasslett; Nancy White; Rose Talbot; Police Officer; 10 citizens; CAT-TV; Jim Therrien-Bennington Banner and Nancy H. Lively-Secretary.
17	At 6:01pm, Chair Donald Campbell called the meeting to order.
18	1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
19	The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by all present.
20	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
33	

34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	
37 38 39 40 41 42	
37 38 39 40 41 42	
 38 39 40 41 42 	
 39 40 41 42 	
40 41 42	
41 42	
42	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
51 5. SILK ROAD INTERSECTION ALTERNATIVES	
52 Mr. Monks stated that the VT Route 67A at Matteson Road, Silk Road and College Drive 53 intersection has been a "high accident location" for many years, and the Town has urged the State or	
54 Vermont to improve that intersection.	
55 Timothy Higginson, Michael LaCroix, and Richard Tetreault did the following presentation:	
• We are, and have been for the past year, in the Scoping Process of the Project Development.	
• We are now at the end of the Scoping Process with the Project Definition, and can move into	he
58 Project Design within a month or two.	
 The high accident location was first assessed in 1985, revisited in 1989 and 1992, a Local Concerns Meeting was held on October 13, 1994, and a final scoping report was prepared by 	
61 Vermont Agency of Transportation Planning Division in February 1996.	ha
62 • The high accident location is now being revisited again and assessed with a Local Concerns	:he
63 Meeting held on February 7, 2019.	he:
64 • Non-Preferred Alternatives:	he:
65 Alternative 1 - 3 leg roundabout with relocated Bennington College access. This was not set the set of t	he:
66 preferred by Bennington College.	
 67 ✓ Alternative 2 - 4 leg roundabout with relocated Bennington College access. Also not 68 preferred by Bennington College. 	

69	✓ Alternative 4 - 5 leg roundabout shift west. Access issues, as well as, issues with the
70	College historic gate.
71	 ✓ Alternative 7 - Traditional stop control or signalized intersection. Not suitable for this
72	location because of the College entrance.
73	Shortlisted Alternatives:
74	✓ Alternative 3 - 5 leg oval roundabout. Single lane with separation between drives. Avoids
75	an historic house and minimizes the impact on the historic gate.
76	✓ Alternative 5 - 1996 Study Modified-5 leg circular roundabout. Has bypass lane with free
77	rights onto Route 67A from Silk Road and is a smaller circle at 120' in diameter. The
78	roundabout at Walmart is 130' in diameter. There is no deflection when entering the
79	roundabout from the East which will increase speed. This could be improved by shifting
80	the location of the circle to the North or West, however, is not as much of an
81	improvement as Alternative 6 would be.
82	✓ Alternative 6 - 5 leg elliptical roundabout. This measures 130' across the short access and
83	200' along the elongated access. This brings Matteson Road and College Drive in farther
84	apart with the biggest positive being a lot of deflection on Route 67A which will slow
85	down the traffic to make merging in from the yield signs easier. Bennington College
86	supports Alternative 6.
87	 Evaluation Matrix Comparing the Three Shortlisted Alternatives Shows:
88	\checkmark The cost of each of the three is very close.
89	Each would take 18 months to build with phased construction - one lane open at all
90	times.
91	 Queue length, potential row impacts, sight distance improvement, approach roadway
92	deflection, roundabout circulating speed traffic conflict points, historical/cultural
93	resources, wetlands, flood plain and impervious area comparisons show that Alternative
94	6 has the most positive impact.
95	Benefit cost ratio - a factor of capacity and safety compared to the cost - rates Alternative
96	6 the highest.
97	Next Steps:
98	✓ Accept Town Preferred Alternative
99	✓ VTrans Review and Final Decision
100	✓ Scoping Report Finalized
101	
101	✓ Project Programming
102	 Project Programming Board questions/comments:

that the connection to the Ninja Path would be maintained, further consideration will be given to see if there should be a separation between the bike traffic from the roundabout, itself, including a wider shoulder width. Pedestrians will use the Ninja Path and the "splitter islands" to navigate around the roundabout. Ms. Conner: Noted that future boards and town managers would appreciate any tips as to how we can move these projects along faster than 35 years pace, and Mr. Higginson stated that it was "squashed" and never actually became a project in the earlier years. It is now a priority for us, and if the College

follows through with giving the land to us, that could reduce the time by 2 years.

112 Mr. Carroll: Asked the definition of impervious area, and Mr. Higginson responded that is a pavement 113 area.

114 Ms. Jenkins: Was concerned about the safety of pedestrians on the splitter islands/refuge islands, and

- 115 Mr. Higginson stated that those exist now with traffic traveling at a higher rate of speed that they would
- 116 with the roundabout. The estimated speed on the roundabout would be 15mph much slower than
- 117 traffic is moving now with a better line of site for the motorists to the refuge islands than there is now.
- 118 Cyclists would have the option of just staying on the Ninja Path, riding in a bike lane on the roundabout
- (though that is uncommon), or comfortably using the roundabout with a traffic speed of 15mph.
- 120 Mr. Campbell: Prefers Alternative 5 1996 Modified with a circular roundabout and without the slip lane.

121 He feels this one would have the most traffic calming and not be compromising the pedestrian crossing.

122 Mr. Higginson noted their concerns with this Alternative as the deflection of traffic from Silk Road and

123 the maneuvering of truck traffic from Bennington College. Additional work would need to be done to

- address these issues, such as moving the roundabout, should we proceed further with this Alternative.
- 125 Mr. Campbell: Stated he is not familiar with an elliptical roundabout and is concerned with the turning 126 on it. Other board members indicated that he had probably been on one without realizing it. They are 127 common in Massachusetts and New Jersey.
- Mr. Lee-Clark: Noted that the roundabout circulating speed on Alternative 5 had a more positive impact that the speed on Alternative 6, yet it looks like if you were travelling north and wanted to go east, you would hardly have to slow down. Mr. Higginson agreed and would require further work to improve the deflection from that direction.
- Mr. Lee-Clark: Given the distance from the river, he would be in favor of Alternative 6 which utilizes agreater area to mitigate the water runoff with the lowest impervious area.
- Mr. Campbell: Our three primary concerns are pedestrian safety, calming of traffic, and a positivepartnership with Bennington College.

Bruce Lee-Clark moved and Jim Carroll seconded for the Vermont Agency of Transportation to go forward with Alternative 6 at the intersection of Vermont Route 67A (North Bennington Road) at Matteson Road, Silk Road and College Drive as presented. The motion carried with Bill Scully absent.

- 139
- 140
- _ , _
- 141
- 142



G VISSIM RESULTS

Volume Validation - Route 67A - Alternative 3

			-	Average			Run		Run		Run		Run		Run		Run		Run		Run		Run		Run	40
	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Simulated	GEH		Simulated	GEH																		
		L (College)	8	7	0.4	1	9	0.3	5	1.2	8	0.0	2	2.7	5	1.2	7	0.4	12	1.3	7	0.4	6	0.8	9	0.3
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	0.0	1	2	0.6	1	1.4	2	0.6	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	7	1.8	2	0.6	3	0.0	5	1.0
	EB Route 67A	Т	250	263	0.8	1	279	1.8	292	2.6	254	0.3	237	0.8	263	0.8	278	1.7	257	0.4	270	1.2	265	0.9	230	1.3
		R	16	18	0.5		23	1.6	24	1.8	15	0.3	16	0.0	18	0.5	18	0.5	15	0.3	17	0.2	18	0.5	15	0.3
		L	3	4	0.5		3	0.0	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	1	1.4	5	1.0	5	1.0	6	1.4	6	1.4	4	0.5
	WB Route 67A	T	153	162	0.7		175	1.7	156	0.2	153	0.0	157	0.3	166	1.0	166	1.0	193	3.0	167	1.1	153	0.0	138	1.2
	WD ROULE VIA	R (College)	45	46	0.1		59	1.9	48	0.4	57	1.7	46	0.1	39	0.9	37	1.2	48	0.4	53	1.1	32	2.1	42	0.5
2040 AM		R (Rice)	19	18	0.2		20	0.2	16	0.7	8	3.0	18	0.2	26	1.5	16	0.7	16	0.7	21	0.4	21	0.4	16	0.7
2010 00		L	11	12	0.3		8	1.0	8	1.0	8	1.0	17	1.6	10	0.3	14	0.8	9	0.6	16	1.4	14	0.8	13	0.6
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		T (Rice)	9	10	0.3		7	0.7	8	0.3	10	0.3	9	0.0	13	1.2	13	1.2	13	1.2	6	1.1	8	0.3	8	0.3
		R	10	10	0.0		7	1.0	6	1.4	17	1.9	8	0.7	12	0.6	6	1.4	10	0.0	12	0.6	17	1.9	7	1.0
		L	21	22	0.2		22	0.2	28	1.4	15	1.4	23	0.4	22	0.2	20	0.2	17	0.9	30	1.8	25	0.8	20	0.2
	SB Rice Lane	T	17	13	1.0		14	0.8	10	1.9	7	2.9	15	0.5	8	2.5	11	1.6	20	0.7	17	0.0	16	0.2	11	1.6
		R	6	6	0.0		7	0.4	3	1.4	6	0.0	8	0.8	/	0.4	6	0.0	6	0.0	7	0.4	7	0.4	5	0.4
		L	13	12	0.3		6	2.3	17	1.0	10	0.9	13	0.0	11	0.6	13	0.0	14	0.3	14	0.3	13	0.0	7	1.9
	SB College Road	T	0	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		R	4	4	0.0		4	0.0	2	1.2	4	0.0	3	0.5	7	1.3	8	1.6	7	1.3	2	1.2	1	1.9	3	0.5

					I		
	3		Run	4		Run	5
4	GEH	Si	mulated	GEH		Simulated	GEH
			2			4	
	1.2		5	1.5		7	0.7

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Average	GEH		Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach		Target volume	Simulated			Simulated	GEH																		
		L (College)	4	4	0.0	1	6	0.9	0	2.8	4	0.0	2	1.2	4	0.0	7	1.3	3	0.5	4	0.0	10	2.3	2	1.2
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	0.3		5	1.5	10	0.3	13	1.2	5	1.5	7	0.7	5	1.5	13	1.2	12	0.9	6	1.1	8	0.3
	ED ROULE 67A	T	300	314	0.8		342	2.3	342	2.3	307	0.4	286	0.8	306	0.3	334	1.9	318	1.0	313	0.7	305	0.3	282	1.1
		R	24	26	0.4		28	0.8	31	1.3	20	0.9	27	0.6	32	1.5	25	0.2	26	0.4	22	0.4	27	0.6	19	1.1
		L	18	20	0.5		17	0.2	18	0.0	20	0.5	23	1.1	29	2.3	16	0.5	15	0.7	24	1.3	23	1.1	18	0.0
	WB Route 67A	T	369	379	0.5		392	1.2	388	1.0	400	1.6	354	0.8	367	0.1	386	0.9	427	2.9	395	1.3	340	1.5	341	1.5
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	29	30	0.2	1	38	1.6	19	2.0	32	0.5	21	1.6	20	1.8	34	0.9	43	2.3	30	0.2	24	1.0	38	1.6
2040 PM		R (Rice)	72	74	0.2		71	0.1	70	0.2	69	0.4	88	1.8	83	1.2	85	1.5	70	0.2	76	0.5	73	0.1	56	2.0
2040 1 10		L	28	22	1.2		23	1.0	17	2.3	16	2.6	25	0.6	22	1.2	24	0.8	22	1.2	22	1.2	21	1.4	30	0.4
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		T (Rice)	21	22	0.2		27	1.2	25	0.8	23	0.4	22	0.2	19	0.4	18	0.7	23	0.4	19	0.4	26	1.0	15	1.4
		R	18	31	2.6		17	0.2	19	0.2	42	4.4	36	3.5	33	3.0	35	3.3	33	3.0	34	3.1	27	1.9	33	3.0
		L	39	31	1.4		37	0.3	40	0.2	22	3.1	31	1.4	30	1.5	25	2.5	27	2.1	36	0.5	38	0.2	27	2.1
	S8 Rice Lane	T	15	16	0.3		17	0.5	15	0.0	13	0.5	18	0.7	11	1.1	17	0.5	18	0.7	22	1.6	16	0.3	12	0.8
		R	7	10	1.0	1	11	1.3	6	0.4	7	0.0	12	1.6	9	0.7	13	1.9	13	1.9	6	0.4	10	1.0	8	0.4
		L	72	74	0.2	1	84	1.4	78	0.7	81	1.0	83	1.2	69	0.4	75	0.3	71	0.1	64	1.0	62	1.2	73	0.1
	SB College Road	T	0	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		R	8	7	0.4	1	6	0.8	10	0.7	5	1.2	8	0.0	6	0.8	4	1.6	10	0.7	12	1.3	6	0.8	3	2.1

Average Queue Length - Route 67A - Alternative 3 Vehicle size 25 ft

			Ave. Maximum			Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
		Location	Queue Length (ft)	Number of Vehicles		Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Lengt	h Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles
2040 AM		EB Route 67A	55	2		69	3	71	3	48	2	59	2	25	1	69	3	71	3	48	2	59	2	25	1
		WB Route 67A NB Silk Road	75	3	-	123	5	47	2	83	3	49	2	64	3	123	5	47	2	83	3	49	2	64	3
		SB Rice Lane	40	2	1	49	2	24	1	55	2	22	1	51	2	49	2	24	1	55	2	25	1	51	2
	[[SB College Road	25	1		20	1	26	1	22	1	21	1	26	1	20	1	26	1	22	1	21	1	26	1

			Ave. Maximum		Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
		Location	Queue Length (ft)	Number of Vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles
2040 PM	l F	EB Route 67A	210	8	171	7	370	15	205	8	188	8	116	5	171	7	370	15	205	8	188	8	116	5
	I F	WB Route 67A	310	12	331	13	222	9	417	17	376	15	211	8	331	13	222	9	417	17	376	15	211	8
	L F	NB Silk Road	55	2	44	2	44	2	71	3	62	2	47	2	44	2	44	2	71	3	62	2	47	2
	I F	S8 Rice Lane	65	3	55	2	62	2	69	3	62	2	77	3	55	2	62	2	69	3	62	2	77	3
	I E	SB College Road	70	3	65	3	50	2	68	3	71	3	92	4	65	3	50	2	68	3	71	3	92	4

Average Delay -Route 67A - Alternative 3

		Movement	Average Delay	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Run 6	Run 7	Run 8	Run 9	Run 10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)
		L (College)	3.6	2.8	3.3	4.8	62	1.3	0.9	2.7	7.7	3.1	3.5
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	22	19	0.2	3.2	1.7	2.8	3.4	2.9	1.8	1.1	3.2
	ED ROUTE 67A	T	3.8	35	4.5	2.5	3.6	3.1	3.2	5.0	5.7	3.8	2.8
		R	5.0	52	2.7	2.6	2.7	5.7	7.9	4.7	12.4	3.8	2.6
Г		L	72	4.8	42	10.1	10.6	1.1	12.9	15.7	2.7	5.4	42
	WB Route 67A	T	45	5.4	3.5	4.9	5.0	5.2	3.4	5.1	4.9	3.8	3.9
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	45	6.4	4.1	3.3	5.3	4.3	3.1	7.4	2.6	4.5	3.6
2040 AM		R (Rice)	6.8	7.4	3.5	22.5	3.0	5.5	5.3	6.9	3.7	3.1	6.8
2040 AM		L	5.6	11.1	1.4	4.9	6.7	6.2	5.4	3.3	7.8	6.0	3.1
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	IND SILK ROUD	T (Rice)	7.1	63	5.0	7.4	49	2.9	3.9	6.9	4.9	23.8	5.2
		R	6.4	6.0	3.1	6.2	3.3	3.3	14.0	5.0	8.6	8.4	5.7
		L	\$5	53	5.0	113	6.6	9.0	4.4	3.4	25	4.0	3.1
	S8 Rice Lane	T	4.5	11.6	2.9	2.8	4.4	3.1	6.2	2.6	5.1	2.5	3.3
		R	6.1	9.0	14.1	7.3	4.4	6.8	5.3	3.9	4.6	3.1	23
		L	42	27	42	8.1	19	7.6	1.5	6.8	22	1.7	5.1
	SB College Road	T	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		8	17	65	0.9	17	01	53	0.8	1.8	01	-0.5	0.1

	Aproach	Movement	Average Delay	Run	1	Run	2	Run 3		Run 4	Run	5	Run	6	Rus	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average 0	Velay (sec)	Average Delay (se	<)	Average Delay (sec)	Average D	Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Aver	ge Delay (sec)	Avera	ge Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average	e Delay (sec)
		L (College)	9.7	8	17	0	.0	12.1		19.4	7	.1		8.6		4.9		92		9.5		7.7
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	15.4	1	1.8	1	1.1	15.6		17.0	6	3		31.9		12.7		16.6	2	3.7		6.9
	LU NOULE VIA	T	12.1		5.9		9	11.7		10.6		0.1		142		11.1		12.4		0.0		10.3
		R	13.1		2.9		.7	12.9		163		13		11.5		9.7		10.1		4.6		63
		L	16.1		3.3		12	173		247		5.4		11.6		15.8		18.9		5.7		14.3
	WB Route 67A	T	16.8	1	3.5	1	1.0	17.6		17.0	16	5.4		17.8		20.0		30.0	1	2.9		11.2
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	18.6		\$.7		1.0	19.0		15.6	26			292		22.2		13.6		4.7		11.9
2040 PM		R (Rice)	183	1	2.1		i.5	20.0		16.9	13	3.6		23.0		18.4		38.4		1.7		125
2040718		L	11.4	5	16		0.0	10.3		85	4			11.9		8.3		40.4		4.5		65
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0		0.0		0	0.0		0.0	0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	THE SHIE READ	T (Rice)	8.9		19		9	6.4		62		9		13.7		15.8		9.7		5.7		5.4
		R	9.1		18		1.6	6.6		5.6		0		17.7		8.9		8.6		5.6		10.0
		L	123		0.7	7		16.8		63		3.5		182		15.4		13.1		0.8		10.9
	SB Rice Lane	Т	17.4		0.9		9	11.7		13.8		5.8		21.6		24.2		13.2		2.9		92
		R	12.7		3.2		14	12.2		125		2.7		143		14.8		8.9		1.5		14.1
		L	12.5		4.6	9		11.2		16.1	11			10.1		19.6		12.0		1.1		9.8
1	SB College Road	T	0.0		0.0	0		0.0		0.0	0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		R	11.7	S	k1	9	8	3.7		3.6	8	.0		36.6		19.9		12.9		5.1		7.8

Level of Service - Route 67 - Alternative 3

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)		Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue Length (ft)	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	8	7	3.6	A					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	2.2	A	55	3.8	А		
	LD Route 07A	Т	250	263	3.8	A	33	5.6	A		
		R	16	18	5.0	A					
		L	3	4	7.2	A					
	WB Route 67A	Т	153	162	4.5	Α	75	4.7	А		
2040 AM	WD Route OFA	R (College)	45	46	4.5	Α	,5	4.7	Ŷ		
Alternative 3		R (Rice)	19	18	6.8	А					
		L	11	12	5.6	Α				4.4	А
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	А	35	6.3	А	4.4	~
	ND SIK Kodu	T (Rice)	9	10	7.1	Α	35	0.5	Ŷ		
		R	10	10	6.4	A					
		L	21	22	5.5	Α					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	17	13	4.5	A	40	5.2	А		
		R	6	6	6.1	A					
		L	13	12	4.2	A					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	A	25	3.5	А		
		R	4	4	1.7	A					

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)	Simulated Delay	Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	4	4	9.7	A					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	15.4	С	210	12.2	в		
	ED Route OFA	Т	300	314	12.1	В	210	12.2	5		
		R	24	26	13.1	В					
		L	18	20	16.1	C					
2040 PM	WB Route 67A	Т	369	379	16.8	С	310	17.1	с		
Alternative 3	ND Route OFA	R (College)	29	30	18.6	С	510	17.1	c		
Alternative 5		R (Rice)	72	74	18.3	С					
		L	28	22	11.4	В				14.5	в
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	A	55	9.7	А	14.5	5
	ND SIK Kodu	T (Rice)	21	22	8.9	A	55	5.7	Ŷ		
		R	18	31	9.1	A					
		L	39	31	12.3	В					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	15	16	17.4	С	65	13.8	В		
		R	7	10	12.7	В					
		L	72	74	12.5	В					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	А	70	12.5	В		
		R	8	7	11.7	В					

Volume Validation - Route 67A - Alternative 5

				Average		r	Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Simulated	GEH		Simulated	GEH																		
		L (College)	8	7	0.4		9	0.3	5	1.2	9	0.3	2	2.7	5	1.2	7	0.4	12	1.3	7	0.4	6	0.8	9	0.3
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	0.0		2	0.6	1	1.4	2	0.6	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	7	1.8	2	0.6	3	0.0	6	1.4
	LU HOULE VIA	т	250	262	0.8		277	1.7	293	2.6	253	0.2	237	0.8	263	0.8	277	1.7	258	0.5	270	1.2	263	0.8	230	1.3
		R	16	18	0.5		23	1.6	24	1.8	15	0.3	16	0.0	18	0.5	18	0.5	15	0.3	17	0.2	18	0.5	15	0.3
		L	3	4	0.5		3	0.0	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	1	1.4	5	1.0	5	1.0	6	1.4	6	1.4	4	0.5
	WB Route 67A	T	153	162	0.7		175	1.7	156	0.2	152	0.1	157	0.3	166	1.0	166	1.0	195	3.2	167	1.1	152	0.1	138	12
	The foote of A	R (College)	45	46	0.1		59	1.9	48	0.4	57	1.7	46	0.1	39	0.9	38	1.1	49	0.6	53	1.1	32	2.1	42	0.5
2040 AM		R (Rice)	19	18	0.2		20	0.2	15	1.0	8	3.0	18	0.2	26	1.5	17	0.5	16	0.7	21	0.4	21	0.4	16	0.7
2040 844		L	11	10	0.3		7	1.3	6	1.7	17	1.6	8	1.0	12	0.3	6	1.7	10	0.3	12	0.3	17	1.6	7	13
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		T (Rice)	9	10	0.3		7	0.7	9	0.0	10	0.3	9	0.0	13	1.2	13	1.2	13	1.2	6	1.4	8	0.3	8	0.3
		R	10	12	0.6		8	0.7	7	1.0	8	0.7	17	1.9	10	0.0	14	1.2	9	0.3	16	1.7	14	1.2	13	0.9
		L	21	22	0.2		22	0.2	28	1.4	15	1.4	22	0.2	22	0.2	20	0.2	17	0.9	30	1.8	25	0.8	20	0.2
	SB Rice Lane	T	17	13	1.0		14	8.0	10	1.9	7	2.9	15	0.5	8	2.5	11	1.6	20	0.7	17	0.0	16	0.2	11	1.6
		R	6	6	0.0		7	0.4	3	1.4	6	0.0	8	0.8	7	0.4	6	0.0	6	0.0	7	0.4	7	0.4	5	0.4
		L	13	12	0.3		6	2.3	17	1.0	10	0.9	13	0.0	12	0.3	13	0.0	13	0.0	14	0.3	13	0.0	7	1.9
	SB College Road	Ť	0	0	0.0	J	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		R	4	4	0.0	1	4	0.0	2	1.2	4	0.0	3	0.5	7	1.3	8	1.6	7	1.3	2	1.2	1	1.9	3	0.5

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Average	GEH		Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	larget volume	Simulated	GEM		Simulated	GEH																		
		L (College)	4	4	0.0		6	0.9	0	2.8	4		2	1.2	4	0.0	7	1.3	3	0.5	4	0.0	10	2.3	2	1.2
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	0.3	1	5	1.5	10	0.3	13	1.2	5	1.5	7	0.7	5	1.5	13	1.2	12	0.9	6	1.1	8	0.3
	ED ROULE 67A	T	300	313	0.7	1	341	2.3	342	2.3	308	0.5	286	0.8	305	0.3	334	1.9	318	1.0	312	0.7	305	0.3	282	1.1
		R	24	26	0.4	1	29	1.0	31	1.3	20		26	0.4	32	1.5	25	0.2	26	0.4	22	0.4	27	0.6	19	1.1
		L	18	20	0.5	1	17	0.2	18	0.0	20	0.5	23	1.1	29	2.3	17	0.2	15	0.7	24	1.3	23	1.1	18	0.0
	WB Route 67A	T	369	378	0.5	1	389	1.0	386	0.9	403	1.7	354	0.8	367	0.1	385	0.8	429	3.0	395	1.3	340	1.5	336	1.8
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	29	30	0.2	1	38	1.6	19	2.0	32		21	1.6	20	1.8	34	0.9	43	2.3	30	0.2	23	1.2	38	1.6
2040 PM		R (Rice)	72	74	0.2	1	71	0.1	70	0.2	69	0.4	88	1.8	84	1.4	84	1.4	70	0.2	76	0.5	73	0.1	56	2.0
2040 PM		L	28	31	0.6	1	17	2.3	19	1.9	42	2.4	36	1.4	33	0.9	35	1.2	33	0.9	34	1.1	27	0.2	33	0.9
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	NB Silk Road	T (Rice)	21	22	0.2	1	27	1.2	25	0.8	22	0.2	22	0.2	19	0.4	18	0.7	23	0.4	19	0.4	26	1.0	15	1.4
		R	18	22	0.9	1	24	1.3	17	0.2	16	0.5	25	1.5	22	0.9	24	1.3	23	1.1	22	0.9	21	0.7	30	2.4
		L	39	31	1.4	1	37	0.3	40	0.2	22	3.1	31	1.4	31	1.4	25	2.5	27	2.1	36	0.5	38	0.2	27	2.1
	SB Rice Lane	т	15	16	0.3	1	17	0.5	15	0.0	13	0.5	18	0.7	10	1.4	17	0.5	18	0.7	22	1.6	16	0.3	12	0.8
		R	7	10	1.0	1	11	1.3	7	0.0	8	0.4	12	1.6	9	0.7	14	2.2	13	1.9	6	0.4	11	1.3	9	0.7
		L	72	74	0.2	1	85	1.5	78	0.7	81	1.0	83	1.2	69	0.4	75	0.3	71	0.1	64	1.0	62	1.2	75	0.3
	SB College Road	T	0	0	0.0	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		R	8	7	0.4	1	6	0.8	10	0.7	5	1.2	8	0.0	6	0.8	4	1.6	10	0.7	12	1.3	6	0.8	2	2.7

Average Queue Length - Route 67A - Alternative 5 Vehicle size 25 ft

			Ave, Maximum			Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
			Queue Length (ft)	Number of Vehicles		Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles						
	E	EB Route 67A	45	2		48	2	72	3	23	1	45	2	43	2	48	2	72	3	23	1	45	2	43	2
2040 AM	W	WB Route 67A	80	3		166	7	55	2	49	2	65	3	71	3	166	7	55	2	49	2	65	3	71	3
		NB Silk Road	25	1		20	1	21	1	46	2	25	1	24	1	20	1	21	1	46	2	25	1	24	1
	N	NBR Silk Road	30	1		47	2	23	1	21	1	21	1	48	2	47	2	23	1	21	1	21	1	48	2
		S8 Rice Lane	30	1	1	44	2	26	1	27	1	20	1	26	1	44	2	26	1	27	1	20	1	26	1
1	SB	B College Road	20	1	1	23	1	19	1	22	1	21	1	19	1	23	1	19	1	22	1	21	1	19	1
	SBR	R College Road	20	1	1	21	1	0	0	19	1	23	1	29	1	21	1	0	0	19	1	23	1	29	1

			Ave. Maximum			Run	1	Run	2	Run	8	Run	4	Run	5	Ru	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
		Location	Queue Length (ft)	Number of Vehicles		Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (fi	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (Number of t) vehicles	Que Length	(ft) vehicles	f Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Lengti (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles
2040 PM		EB Route 67A	235	9	1	267	11	394	16	140	6	218	9	159	6	263	11	394	16	140	6	218	9	159	6
2040 PM	I F	WB Route 67A	405	16	1	564	23	330	13	402	16	457	18	278	11	564	23	330	13	402	16	457	18	278	11
		NB Silk Road	70	3		51	2	56	2	109	4	79	3	49	2	51	2	56	2	109	4	79	3	49	2
		NBR Silk Road	55	2		50	2	25	1	103	4	74	3	27	1	50	2	25	1	103	4	74	3	27	1
		S8 Rice Lane	70	3		51	2	87	3	62	2	62	2	77	3	51	2	87	3	62	2	62	2	77	3
		SB College Road	65	3		94	4	49	2	53	2	92	4	47	2	94	4	49	2	53	2	92	4	47	2
		SBR College Road	90	4		126	5	81	3	85	ŝ	82	3	79	3	126	5	81	3	85	3	82	3	79	3

Average Delay -Route 67A - Alternative 5

	Aproach	Movement	Average Delay	Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Ru	m 6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average D	elay (sec)	Averag	ge Delay (sec)	Average D	Delay (sec)	Average I	Delay (sec)	Aver	rage Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average E	elay (sec)	Average De	elay (sec)	Average	e Delay (sec)
		L (College)	3.1		0	-0.	.7		2.8	-0	0.1	1	1.3		0.7		11	2	5	7.4			7.7
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	1.8	4	0	-0.	.5		1.6	0.	3	7	7.6		1.9		1.4	0	7	-0.1	1		13
	EB ROUTE 67A	T	2.6		A	2.	4		2.4	2	.0	2	2.2		2.1		2.9	3	1	2.8			3.1
	1	R	2.8		A	2.	2		1.3	3.	0	1	1.9		5.3		3.4	3	9	2.4			2.1
		L	6.4		0	3.1	7		0.8	7.	.8	1	1.1		12.5	1	4.2	6	7	5.7			6.5
	WB Route 67A	т	5.2	9	S	4.	4		5.0	3.	7	5	5.3		4.8		7.2	5	4	4.8	1		5.3
	WB ROULE 67A	R (College)	4.9	é	.6	5.	2		2.3	7.	2	4	4.6		4.0		5.1	3	7	3.9			5.0
2040 AM		R (Rice)	8.7	5	1.0	6.	1		12.0	7.	3		5.4		12.7		5.5	3	9	6.9			7.1
2010 41		L	10.3	8	3	7.	1		9.9	6	9	E	6.9		23.2	1	7.7	9	5	7.9			5.5
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0		0	0.	0		0.0	0		0	0.0		0.0	4	0.0	0)	0.0			0.0
	NO SIIK KONG	T (Rice)	8.6	5	19	21	8		4.7	13	3.7	e	6.1		14.8	4	3.8	6	9	12.8			4.8
	1	R	5.8	é	.8	81	0		5.8	5	.0	ş	5.4		3.3		5.8	3	3	10.2	2		42
		L	43		S	2.	1		7.5	1.	A	ş	5.1		4.0		5.7	3	9	5.0			53
	SB Rice Lane	T	3.1	4	0	0.	2		0.9	3.	9	4	4.6		4.0		3.3	4	5	1.3			3.9
	1	R	6.2		7	37			4.8	0.		2	2.6		5.1		2.0	2)	2.1			3.6
		L	22	6	2	2.	9		3.8	0:	9	3	3.3		-0.4		23	1	1	-0.1	1		2.1
1	SB College Road	T	0.0	0	٥	0.	0		0.0	0	0	0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0)	0.0			0.0
	1	R	3.4		A	0.1	7		2.7	4.	8	4	4.4		2.7	1	1.1	4	3	0.4			0.3

	Aproach	Movement	Average Delay		Run	1	Run	2	Run 3		Run 4	Run	5	Ru	m 6	Run	7	1	tun 8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)		Average D	elay (sec)	Average D	elay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)		Average Delay (sec)	Avera	e Delay (sec)	Aver	rage Delay (sec)	Averag	Delay (sec)	Av	erage Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)
		L (College)	8.4	T	7.		0.1		19.2	ΠГ	8.9		2.7		13.9		6.5		7.4		9.4		9.1
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	14.6		38	8	6.	7	8.3		16.0		2.3		15.7		12.9		21.1		15.2		8.9
	ED ROULE 67A	T	12.1		13	7	17		8.9		12.8		11.5		11.5		11.7		13.8		8.6		11.7
		R	16.0		15	1	23	A	10.0		11.4		12.1		14.7		28.1		19.4		11.3		14.8
		L	19.4	T	34	4	29	d	16.7	ΠГ	23.7		16.9		10.1		19.5		18.8		12.3		12.5
	WB Route 67A	Т	18.4	1	23		19		20.4		20.3		16.1		21.5		21.1		16.4		12.6		12.4
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	24.7		37	9	37	.7	18.2		15.9		19.5		25.7		44.4		12.7		14.8		20.0
2040 PM		R (Rice)	20.0		26		28		18.2		163		19.2		23.3		23.6		13.7		10.3		20.5
2040718		L	17.7	T	17	1	33	.6	14.9	ΠГ	15.5		15.3		18.4		21.2		19.8		8.7		12.5
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0		0.	0	0.1	0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	ND SIIK ROUD	T (Rice)	17.7		25	8	20	3	16.0		20.3		12.1		17.2		22.2		18.8		11.6		12.6
		R	9.7		12	5	12	.7	10.1		11.0		5.9		6.8		13.0		9.5		7.7		7.5
		L	12.7		7.		11		13.0		8.8		18.0		14.1		16.9		14.8		12.3		99
	S8 Rice Lane	т	12.6		13	7	18	4	13.6		17.1		8.5		16.1		11.8		10.9		11.8		3.9
		R	13.4		7.		6.		19.6		14.2		16.6		19.2		21.6		6.6		9.3		12.8
1		L	8.4	1	8:		6.	9	8.8	1 [12.2		4.8		6.5		11.6		11.8		5.3	1	7.9
	SB College Road	Т	0.0		0.	0	0.1	0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		R	98		5	1	43	6	5.8		122		4.4		8.4		5.6		17.9		0.5		33.4

Level of Service - Route 67 - Alternative 5

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)	Movement Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue Length (ft)	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	8	7	3.1	A					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	1.8	A	45	2.6	А		
		Т	250	262	2.6	A	15	2.0	~		
		R	16	18	2.8	A					
		L	3	4	6.4	A					
	WB Route 67A	Т	153	162	5.2	A	80	5.4	А		
2040 AM		R (College)	45	46	4.9	A	00	5.1	~		
Alternative 5		R (Rice)	19	18	8.7	A					
		L	11	10	10.3	В				4.1	А
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	A	30	8.1	А		
	ND SIR Roud	T (Rice)	9	10	8.6	A	50	0.1	<u>^</u>		
		R	10	12	5.8	A					
		L	21	22	4.3	A					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	17	13	3.1	A	30	4.2	A		
		R	6	6	6.2	A					
		L	13	12	2.2	A					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	A	25	2.5	А		
		R	4	4	3.4	А					

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)	Movement Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	4	4	8.4	A					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	14.6	В	235	12.4	в		
	LD Route 0/A	Т	300	313	12.1	В	235	12.4	в		
		R	24	26	16.0	C					
		L	18	20	19.4	C					
	WB Route 67A	Т	369	378	18.4	C	405	19.1	с		
2040 PM	WB Route 07A	R (College)	29	30	24.7	C	405	15.1	C		
Alternative 5		R (Rice)	72	74	20.0	C					
		L	28	31	17.7	C				15.5	с
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	A	70	15.3	с	15.5	c
	ND SIR Roud	T (Rice)	21	22	17.7	С	70	15.5	c		
		R	18	22	9.7	A					
		L	39	31	12.7	В					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	15	16	12.6	В	70	12.8	В		
		R	7	10	13.4	В					
		L	72	74	8.4	A					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	A	90	8.5	А		
		R	8	7	9.8	А					

Volume Validation - Route 67A - Alternative 6

				Average			Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Simulated	GEH		Simulated	GEH																		
ſ		L (College)	8	7	0.4	1	9	0.3	5	1.2	8	0.0	2	2.7	5	1.2	7	0.4	12	1.3	7	0.4	6	0.8	9	0.3
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	0.0	1	2	0.6	1	1.4	2	0.6	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	7	1.8	2	0.6	3	0.0	5	1.0
	ED ROULE 67A	T	250	262	0.8	1	278	1.7	292	2.6	254	0.3	237	0.8	263	0.8	277	1.7	258	0.5	270	1.2	264	0.9	230	13
		R	16	18	0.5	1	23	1.6	24	1.8	15	0.3	16	0.0	18	0.5	18	0.5	15	0.3	17	0.2	18	0.5	15	
[L	3	4	0.5	1	3	0.0	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	0.5	1	1.4	5	1.0	5	1.0	6	1.4	6	1.4	4	0.5
	WB Route 67A	T	153	163	0.8		175	1.7	156	0.2	153	0.0	157	0.3	166	1.0	166	1.0	194	3.1	167	1.1	153	0.0	138	12
	WD ROULE D/A	R (College)	45	46	0.1		59	1.9	48	0.4	57	1.7	45	0.0	39	0.9	37	1.2	49	0.6	53	1.1	32	2.1	42	0.5
2040 AM		R (Rice)	19	18	0.2	1	20	0.2	15	1.0	8	3.0	18	0.2	26	1.5	16	0.7	16	0.7	21	0.4	21	0.4	16	0.7
2040 AM		L	11	10	0.3	1	7	1.3	6	1.7	17	1.6	8	1.0	12	0.3	6	1.7	10	0.3	12	0.3	17	1.6	7	
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	ND SIIK KOND	T (Rice)	9	10	0.3		7	0.7	9	0.0	10	0.3	9	0.0	13	1.2	13	1.2	13	1.2	6	1.1	8	0.3	8	0.3
		R	10	12	0.6		8	0.7	8	0.7	8	0.7	17	1.9	10	0.0	14	1.2	9	0.3	16	1.7	14	1.2	13	0.9
ſ		L	21	22	0.2		22	0.2	28	1.4	15	1.4	23	0.4	22	0.2	20	0.2	17	0.9	30	1.8	25	0.8	20	0.2
	S8 Rice Lane	T	17	13	1.0		14	0.8	10	1.9	7	2.9	15	0.5	8	2.5	11	1.6	20	0.7	17	0.0	16	0.2	11	1.6
		R	6	6	0.0		7	0.4	3	1.4	6	0.0	8	0.8	7	0.4	6	0.0	6	0.0	7	0.4	7	0.4	5	0.4
ſ		L	13	12	0.3		6	2.3	17	1.0	10	0.9	13	0.0	11	0.6	13	0.0	13	0.0	14	0.3	13	0.0	7	1.9
	SB College Road	T	0	0	0.0	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

		-	1	Average		Run	1	Run		Run		Run	4	Run		Run	6	Run	7	Run	•	Run		Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume	Simulated	GEH																				
		L (College)	4	4	0.0	6	0.9	0	2.8	4	0.0	2	1.2	4	0.0	7	1.3	3	0.5	4	0.0	10	2.3	2	1.2
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	0.3	5	1.5	10	0.3	13	1.2	5	1.5	7	0.7	5	1.5	13	1.2	12	0.9	6	1.1	8	0.3
	EB Route 67A	Т	300	314	0.8	342	2.3	342	2.3	307	0.4	287	0.8	306	0.3	334	1.9	318	1.0	313	0.7	304	0.2	282	1.1
		R	24	26	0.4	29	1.0	31	1.3	20	0.9	26	0.4	32	1.5	25	0.2	26	0.4	22	0.4	27	0.6	19	1.1
		L	18	20	0.5	17	0.2	18	0.0	20	0.5	23	1.1	29	2.3	17	0.2	15	0.7	24	1.3	23	1.1	18	0.0
	WB Route 67A	T	369	380	0.6	391	1.1	388	1.0	405	1.8	354	0.8	366	0.2	388	1.0	429	3.0	396	1.4	340	1.5	346	1.2
	WD ROULE VIA	R (College)	29	30	0.2	39	1.7	19	2.0	32	0.5	21	1.6	20	1.8	34	0.9	43	2.3	30	0.2	24	1.0	38	1.6
2040 PM		R (Rice)	72	74	0.2	70	0.2	70	0.2	70	0.2	88	1.8	85	1.5	85	1.5	70	0.2	76	0.5	73	0.1	56	2.0
2040 7 181		L	28	31	0.6	17	2.3	19	1.9	42	2.4	36	1.4	33	0.9	35	1.2	33	0.9	34	1.1	27	0.2	33	0.9
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		T (Rice)	21	22	0.2	27	1.2	25	0.8	23	0.4	22	0.2	19	0.4	18	0.7	23	0.4	19	0.4	26	1.0	15	1.4
		R	18	22	0.9	23	1.1	17	0.2	16	0.5	25	1.5	22	0.9	24	1.3	23	1.1	22	0.9	21	0.7	30	2.4
		L	39	31	1.4	37	0.3	40	0.2	21	3.3	31	1.4	31	1.4	25	2.5	28	1.9	36	0.5	38	0.2	27	2.1
	SB Rice Lane	T	15	16	0.3	17	0.5	16	0.3	14	0.3	18	0.7	11	1.1	18	0.7	17	0.5	22	1.6	16	0.3	13	0.5
		R	7	10	1.0	11	1.3	6	0.4	7	0.0	12	1.6	9	0.7	13	1.9	13	1.9	6	0.4	11	1.3	8	0.4
		L	72	74	0.2	84	1.4	78	0.7	81	1.0	82	1.1	67	0.6	76	0.5	71	0.1	64	1.0	62	1.2	74	0.2
	SB College Road	T	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
1		R	8	7	0.4	6	0.8	10	0.7	5		8	0.0	6	0.8	4	1.6	10	0.7	12	13	6	0.8	2	2.7

Average Queue Length - Route 67A - Alternative 6 Vehicle size 25 ft

			Ave. Maximum		Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
			Queue Length	Number of Vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue	Number of	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles		Number of vehicles	Queue Length	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles
		EB Route 67A	50	2	44	2	60	2	22	1	24	1	104	4	44	2	60	2	22	1	24	1	104	4
2040 AM	1	W8 Route 67A	70	3	106	4	46	2	57	2	55	2	76	3	106	4	46	2	57	2	55	2	76	3
	1	NB Silk Road	20	1	45	2	21	1	17	1	24	1	0	0	45	2	21	1	17	1	24	1	0	0
	[[NBR Silk Road	10	0	47	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	[[S8 Rice Lane	25	1	26	1	23	1	21	1	20	1	26	1	26	1	23	1	21	1	20	1	26	1
		SB College Road	25	1	22	1	23	1	22	1	20	1	27	1	22	1	23	1	22	1	20	1	27	1

		Ave. Maximum			Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run	4	Run	5	Run	6	Run	7	Run	8	Run	9	Run	10
		Queue Length (ft)	Number of Vehicles		Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles	Queue Length (ft)	Number of vehicles
2040 PM	EB Route 67A	160	6	1	205	8	274	11	116	5	81	3	126	5	205	8	274	11	116	5	81	3	126	5
	WB Route 67A	265	11		152	6	263	11	468	19	251	10	198	8	152	6	263	11	468	19	251	10	198	8
	NB Silk Road	45	2	1	39	2	37	1	51	2	65	3	31	1	39	2	37	1	51	2	65	3	31	1
	NBR Silk Road	40	2		40	2	38	2	52	2	72	3	0	0	40	2	38	2	52	2	72	3	0	0
	S8 Rice Lane	55	2		63	3	26	1	60	2	61	2	75	3	63	3	26	1	60	2	61	2	75	3
	SB College Road	65	3	1	88	4	52	2	60	2	68	3	51	2	88	4	52	2	60	2	68	3	51	2

Average Delay -Route 67A - Alternative 6

	Aproach	Movement	Average Delay	Run	1	Run	2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Run 6	Run 7	Run 8	Run 9	Run 10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)	Average Di	elay (sec)	Average De	ilay (sec)	Average Delay (sec)							
		L (College)	1.9	0.7	7	1.2		0.7	4.8	0.3	-0.9	4.2	4.8	1.8	1.9
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	0.5	43	7	-2.3		0.8	-0.2	1.8	2.0	1.4	-1.4	-1.4	0.1
	ED ROUTE O/A	T	1.9	25		2.1		1.7	1.7	22	1.8	1.5	25	1.5	12
		R	2.6	2.4		3.0		0.8	3.7	3.3	0.8	3.9	3.5	2.3	1.9
		L	5.2	15	9	22		1.8	8.4	-0.2	5.7	19.4	2.6	7.4	3.1
	WB Route 67A	T	2.8	33		23		32	2.1	4.6	1.9	3.9	3.0	1.7	2.1
	WD ROULE 67A	R (College)	2.8	45		2.3		1.4	23	3.5	2.5	3.9	1.5	42	2.4
2040 AM		R (Rice)	6.0	6.5	5	3.0		16.3	10.5	5.1	3.5	7.6	1.8	3.2	2.8
2040 AM		L	6.1	3.1		4.7		3.3	5.8	18.4	3.4	33	11.4	5.0	2.4
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0	0.0	3	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	IND SILK ROAD	T (Rice)	5.6	82	2	5.4		3.6	7.4	2.5	9.6	5.8	3.5	7.1	3.1
		R	4.0	5.8		1.7		5.3	52	3.4	2.4	3.6	5.0	4.8	2.8
		L	3.7	2.2	2	6.2		3.4	3.5	4.7	2.2	6.8	3.2	2.3	2.7
	S8 Rice Lane	T	3.2	3.5	9	1.0		3.5	1.4	6.8	1.6	7.3	2.0	2.4	1.7
		R	2.1	0.6	5	3.2		2.7	1.8	1.7	5.0	0.1	2.2	2.4	1.1
		L	2.8	25		0.8		2.1	1.2	4.1	0.6	10.0	1.6	1.9	2.5
	SB College Road	T	0.0	20		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		ß	12	13	3	0.2		3.6	0.3	0.6	27	3.0	0.3	0.0	0.2

			Average Delay		Run	1	Run	2	Run	3	Run 4	Run	5	R	un i	5	Run 7	Rut	8	Run	9	Run	10
	Aproach	Movement	(sec)		Average De	lay (sec)	Average D	elay (sec)	Average Delay	(sec)	Average Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Ave	arage Delay (s	ec)	Average Delay (sec)	Avera	ge Delay (sec)	Average	Delay (sec)	Average	e Delay (sec)
		L (College)	4.6	Γ	3.1		0.		2.3		5.0	7	7.8		4.8		6.0		6.2		7.9		3.0
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	7.4		6.4		71		3.9		13.8	3	3.3		9.3		7.6		8.2		9.3		5.5
	ED ROUTE 67A	T	5.7		6.7		7.		5.1		4.8		1.8		5.0		4.8		7.0		4.8		65
		R	7.8	L L	7.8		11		3.6		9.1		5.6		8.0		5.0		15.0		9.5		3.1
		L	11.7		12.7		13		9.8		20.0		0.9		8.5		11.4		13.0		6.7		10.6
	WB Route 67A	T	11.0		94		11		12.0		10.4		9.3		10.6		16.6		14.0		82		7.8
	WD RDGGC V/A	R (College)	13.6		14.4		21		10.4		11.8	1	0.0		172		18.0		11.2		12.5		88
2040 PM		R (Rice)	12.0		9.6		18	2	9.9		9.8	s	9.1		13.0		18.1		15.0		8.2		9.5
2040 PM		L	98		4.8		14		6.8		11.1		5.9		13.8		11.1		85		6.7		13.2
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0.0		0.0		0.1	5	0.0		0.0	0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	IND SILK ROUD	T (Rice)	10.7		95		12		8.1		49		9.4		14.1		15.2		8.8		10.5		14.6
		R	9.1	L L	11.5		5.		7.5		12.0		0.3		8.9		9.4		14.4		5.1		60
		L	7.7		6.6		3.1		10.9		5.8		5.7		7.5		9.0		11.5		5.3		10.6
1	SB Rice Lane	Ť	10.5		143		8/		17.7		43		5.7		9.9		11,4		10.7		11.5		10.3
		R	10.2		8.4		0.4		10.2		6.3	5	5.6		14.6		30.7		3.8		7.3		14.7
		L	93		13.8		51		7.0		10.3		19		7.6		17.1		9.8		6.4		10.6
1	SB College Road	Ť	0.0		0.0		0)		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		R	11.2		19.9		63		7.8		7.4	1	1.9		11.4		15.0		16.6		0.9		24.5

Level of Service - Route 67A - Alternative 6

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)	Movement Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue Length (ft)	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	8	7	1.9	А					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	3	3	0.5	A	50	1.9	А		
	EB Route 07A	Т	250	262	1.9	А	50	1.5	~		
		R	16	18	2.6	А					
		L	3	4	5.2	A					
	WB Route 67A	Т	153	163	2.8	А	70	3.1	А		
2040 AM	ND Route OFA	R (College)	45	46	2.8	А	/0	5.1	Ŷ		
Alternative 6		R (Rice)	19	18	6.0	А					
		L	11	10	6.1	А				2.6	А
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	А	25	5.2	А	2.0	~
	ND SIN NOUL	T (Rice)	9	10	5.6	А	25	5.2	Ŷ		
		R	10	12	4.0	А					
		L	21	22	3.7	A					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	17	13	3.2	А	25	3.3	А		
		R	6	6	2.1	A					
		L	13	12	2.8	А					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	A	25	2.4	А		
		R	4	4	1.2	A					

	Aproach	Movement	Target Volume (veh)	Simulated Volume (veh)	Movement Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Movement Level of Service	Max Simulated Queue	Approach Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Approach Level of Service	Intersection Simulated Delay (s/veh)	Intersection Level of Service
		L (College)	4	4	4.6	A					
	EB Route 67A	L (Rice)	9	8	7.4	A	160	5.9	А		
	LD Route 0/A	Т	300	314	5.7	А	100	5.5	<u>^</u>		
		R	24	26	7.8	A					
		L	18	20	11.7	В					
	WB Route 67A	Т	369	380	11.0	В	265	11.4	в		
2040 PM		R (College)	29	30	13.6	В			_		
Alternative 6		R (Rice)	72	74	12.0	В					
		L	28	31	9.8	A				9.2	А
	NB Silk Road	T (College)	0	0	0.0	A	45	9.8	А		
		T (Rice)	21	22	10.7	В					
		R	18	22	9.1	A					
		L	39	31	7.7	A					
	SB Rice Lane	Т	15	16	10.5	В	55	8.9	А		
		R	7	10	10.2	В					
		L	72	74	9.3	A					
	SB College Road	Т	0	0	0.0	A	65	9.5	A		
		R	8	7	11.2	В					



SYNCHRO & SIMTRAFFIC RESULTS

1

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

, ,						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ب	et -		Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	258	164	44	13	4
Future Vol, veh/h	8	258	164	44	13	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	,# -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	33	88	87	65	50	54
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	2	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	24	293	189	68	26	7

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2	ľ	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	257	0	-	0	564	223
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	223	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	341	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1320	-	-	-	490	822
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	819	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	725	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	-	479	822
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	479	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	801	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	725	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.6		0		12.3	
HCM LOS			-		В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	
	IIL	1320		VVDI		528
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.018	-	-	-	0.063
HCM Control Delay (s	١	7.8	-	-	-	12.3
HCM Lane LOS)	7.0 A	A	-	-	12.3 B
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	.)	0.1	A	-	-	0.2
	1)	0.1	-	-	-	0.2

2.6

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	NWL	NWR
Lane Configurations		- 🗘			- 🗘		M		۰¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	3	252	16	3	191	19	17	6	11	9
Future Vol, veh/h	3	252	16	3	191	19	17	6	11	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	None	-	-
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	25	90	67	75	85	53	53	50	55	45
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	0	0	1	21	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	12	280	24	4	225	36	32	12	20	20

Major/Minor	Major1		Μ	lajor2		Ν	linor2	Ν	/linor1		
Conflicting Flow All	261	0	0	304	0	0	585	243	589	292	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	251	-	316	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	334	-	273	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.2	7.1	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	-	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	-	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1315	-	-	1268	-	-	425	801	423	752	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	758	-	699	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	684	-	737	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1315	-	-	1268	-	-	397	801	388	752	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	397	-	388	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	750	-	691	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	642	-	690	-	
Approach	EB			WB			SB		NW		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3			0.1			14.4		12.9		
HCM LOS							В		В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NWLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	494	1315	-	-	1268	-	-	450
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.073	0.009	-	-	0.003	-	-	0.151
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.9	7.8	0	-	7.8	0	-	14.4
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.5

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	2.2					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ب	et 👘		Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	321	388	29	68	8
Future Vol, veh/h	4	321	388	29	68	8
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	50	91	95	66	74	50
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	2	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	8	353	408	44	92	16

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2	I	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	452	0	-	0	799	430
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	430	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	369	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1119	-	-	-	357	629
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	660	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	704	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	-	354	629
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	354	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	654	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	704	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.2		0		18.2	
HCM LOS					С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1119	-	-	-	379
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.007	-	-	-	0.285
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.2	0	-	-	18.2
		А	А	-	-	С
HCM Lane LOS		~				0

5.1

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

NA		EDT					0.01	000	N IVA /I	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	NWL	NWR
Lane Configurations		- 44			- 4 >		M		۰¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	356	24	18	382	68	15	7	28	21
Future Vol, veh/h	9	356	24	18	382	68	15	7	28	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	None	-	-
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	56	96	67	64	96	81	63	58	70	75
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	1	0	6	8	3	4
Mvmt Flow	16	371	36	28	398	84	24	12	40	28

Major/Minor	Major1		М	ajor2		1	Vinor2		Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All	482	0	0	407	0	0	943	440	935	389	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	496	-	421	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	447	-	514	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.13	6.28	7.13	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.13	-	6.13	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.13	-	6.13	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.527	3.372	3.527	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1091	-	-	1163	-	-	242	605	245	664	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	554	-	608	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	589	-	541	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1091	-	-	1163	-	-	204	605	214	664	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	204	-	214	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	543	-	596	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	530	-	489	-	
Approach	EB			WB			SB		NW		

Арргоасн	ED	VVD	SD	INVV	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3	0.5	32.7	21.1	
HCM LOS			D	С	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NWLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	287	1091	-	-	1163	-	-	231
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.223	0.015	-	-	0.024	-	-	0.449
HCM Control Delay (s)	21.1	8.3	0	-	8.2	0	-	32.7
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	А	-	А	А	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	0	-	-	0.1	-	-	2.2

WSP

Intersection: 1: Route 67A & College Road

Movement	EB	SB
Directions Served	LT	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	50	61
Average Queue (ft)	6	22
95th Queue (ft)	28	52
Link Distance (ft)	1030	608
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 2: Silk Road & Route 67A & Rice Lane

Movement	EB	WB	SB	NW
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	<lr< td=""><td>LR></td></lr<>	LR>
Maximum Queue (ft)	46	9	53	47
Average Queue (ft)	5	0	27	20
95th Queue (ft)	26	5	47	39
Link Distance (ft)	72	883	607	688
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

Intersection: 1: Route 67A & College Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	46	3	92
Average Queue (ft)	4	0	45
95th Queue (ft)	24	3	77
Link Distance (ft)	1030	72	608
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 2: Silk Road & Route 67A & Rice Lane

Movement	EB	WB	SB	NW
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	<lr< td=""><td>LR></td></lr<>	LR>
Maximum Queue (ft)	76	75	132	81
Average Queue (ft)	11	9	45	33
95th Queue (ft)	46	40	93	64
Link Distance (ft)	72	883	607	688
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1

WSP