


# Town of Woodford Town Plan

Adopted August 15, 2012



1391 Vt. Route 9  
Woodford, VT 05201-9410  
(802) 442-4895

---

PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS:

SUSAN WRIGHT, CHAIRMAN

ED SHEA

JUNE BISHOP

CHARLES SUSS

LAURI WRIGHT

WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE SIGNIFICANT ASSISTANCE AND GUIDANCE OF THE BENNINGTON  
COUNTY REGIONAL COMMISSION

# Table of Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>SHORT HISTORY, TODAY, DEMOGRAPHICS</b>	<b>5</b>
	ORIGIN OF THE NAME OF WOODFORD	5
1.1	BEGINNINGS IN PLANNING	6
	<i>Updating the Plan</i>	6
1.2	SHORT HISTORY	7
	"THE NAME VERMONT"	7
	"HISTORY OF THE TOWN OF WOODFORD"	7
	<i>Brief Chronology</i>	9
1.3	DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	15
	<i>Household Characteristics</i>	16
2.0	WOODFORD -- THE PLACE	17
2.1	SETTING	17
2.2	HOW IS THE LAND USED?	17
	<i>Woodford Hollow</i>	17
	<i>Burgess Road</i>	17
	<i>Woodford City</i>	18
	<i>Red Mill Pond</i>	18
	<i>Green Mountain National Forest/Public Lands</i>	18
<b>3.0</b>	<b>LOCAL ECONOMY AND RESOURCES</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1	RESIDENT WORKFORCE	20
	<i>Resident Labor Force</i>	20
	<i>Employed Civilian population</i>	21
	<i>Employment by Industry</i>	21
	<i>Median Family Income</i>	22
	<i>Business/Gov. Establishments</i>	22
	<i>Journey to Work/Commuting Patterns</i>	23
3.2	DEVELOPMENT TRENDS	23
	<i>Future Development</i>	24
3.3	RECREATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES	24
3.4	ECONOMIC OUTLOOK	25
3.5	ECONOMIC RELATED POLICIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25
<b>4.0</b>	<b>VISION AND GOALS</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	SETTLEMENT AND USE PATTERN:	27
4.2	STRONG DIVERSE ECONOMY/REWARDING JOB OPPORTUNITIES	27
4.3	ACCESS TO EDUCATION AND VOCATIONAL TRAINING	28
4.4	SAFE, CONVENIENT, ECONOMIC AND ENERGY EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS:	28
4.5	IDENTIFY, PROTECT AND PRESERVE IMPORTANT NATURAL AND HISTORIC FEATURES	28
4.6	MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE QUALITY OF AIR, WATER, WILDLIFE, LAND RESOURCES	29
4.7	ENCOURAGE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY AND DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY.	29
4.8	MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES FOR VERMONT RESIDENTS/ VISITORS	29
4.10	WISE AND EFFICIENT USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES (EARTH, GROUNDWATER, ETC.)	30
4.11	AVAILABILITY OF SAFE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING	30
4.12	PLAN FOR FINANCE, EFFICIENT SYSTEM OF PUBLIC FACILITIES/SERVICES TO MEET NEEDS	30
4.13	AVAILABILITY OF SAFE AND AFFORDABLE CHILD CARE	31
<b>5.0</b>	<b>NATURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LAND</b>	<b>32</b>
5.1	PHYSICAL CONDITIONS	32
	<i>Elevation</i>	32
	<i>Soils</i>	32
	<i>Slope</i>	33

<i>Special Flood Hazard Areas SFHA</i> .....	33
<i>Fluvial Erosion Hazard Areas (FEH)</i> .....	33
5.2 SENSITIVE AREAS .....	34
<i>Lakes and Ponds</i> .....	34
<i>Wetlands</i> .....	35
<i>Rare Plant and Animal Species</i> .....	35
<i>Deer Yards</i> .....	35
<i>Woodford is Bear Country</i> .....	35
<i>Scenic Resources</i> .....	36
<i>Streams and Rivers</i> .....	36
<i>Forestry and Agricultural Lands</i> .....	37
<i>Earth Resources</i> .....	37
<i>Natural Resource Mapping</i> .....	37
5.3 POLICIES .....	38
<b>6.0 LAND USE ELEMENT .....</b>	<b>41</b>
6.1 GENERAL LAND USE GOALS AND POLICIES .....	42
6.2 LAND USE CLASSIFICATION AND POLICIES .....	43
6.21 RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS .....	43
6.22 ROADSIDE COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS .....	44
6.23 FOREST DISTRICTS .....	44
6.24 WOODFORD LAKE ESTATES WLE .....	44
<i>Background</i> .....	44
<i>New Classification</i> .....	45
6.25 RECREATION DISTRICT (ALSO SEE HISTORIC CONTEXT, PAGE ) .....	46
6.26 FLOOD HAZARD AREA .....	46
6.27 HISTORIC PRESERVATION .....	46
6.3 DEVELOPMENT POLICIES .....	49
<i>Residential</i> .....	49
<i>Commercial</i> .....	49
<i>Roadside Commercial/Rural Residential</i> .....	50
<i>Other</i> .....	50
<b>7.0 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES .....</b>	<b>51</b>
7.1 PUBLIC SERVICES .....	51
<i>Highways and Bridges</i> .....	51
<i>Schools</i> .....	52
<i>Emergency Services</i> .....	53
<i>Government Administrative Services</i> .....	53
<i>Solid Waste and Recycling</i> .....	54
<i>Bennington Water Supply and Treatment Plant</i> .....	54
7.2 PRIVATE SERVICES .....	54
<i>Private Roads</i> .....	55
<i>Water Supply</i> .....	55
<i>Sewage Disposal</i> .....	55
7.3 RECREATIONAL SERVICES .....	56
<i>State</i> .....	56
<i>Federal</i> .....	57
<i>Private</i> .....	57
7.4 POLICIES AND ACTIONS .....	58
<b>8.0 THE COST OF GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES .....</b>	<b>60</b>
8.1 EXPENDITURES .....	60
8.2 REVENUES .....	60
8.3 PROPERTY TAXES .....	61

<b>9.0 HOUSING</b> .....	<b>64</b>
9.1 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS .....	64
<i>Household Characteristics</i> .....	64
<i>Special Housing Needs and Affordability</i> .....	65
9.2 POLICIES AND ACTIONS .....	66
<b>10.0 ENERGY</b> .....	<b>67</b>
10.1 OVERVIEW .....	67
<i>Renewable Energy Resources</i> .....	67
10.2 ENERGY CONSERVATION.....	68
<i>Residential Buildings</i> .....	68
10.3 MUNICIPAL - SCHOOL BUILDINGS .....	69
<i>Other/Smart Meters/Grid</i> .....	69
10.4 POLICIES AND ACTIONS .....	69
<b>11.0 PUTTING THE PLAN TO WORK</b> .....	<b>71</b>
11.1 IMPLEMENTATION.....	71
<i>Town Plan</i> .....	71
<i>Bylaws</i> .....	71
<i>Sewage Regulations</i> .....	72
<i>Mapping - Geographic Information System (GIS)</i> .....	72
<i>Town Road-Bridge Standards</i> .....	72
<i>Grants</i> .....	73
<i>Citizen Participation</i> .....	73
<i>Vermont Act 250</i> .....	73
11.2 COORDINATION .....	73
<i>Municipal</i> .....	73
<i>Regional</i> .....	74
<i>State</i> .....	74
<i>Federal</i> .....	74
<i>Other</i> .....	75
11.3 MANAGEMENT .....	75
<b>APPENDIX A</b> .....	<b>76</b>
LIST OF MAPS .....	76
<i>Land Use</i> .....	76
<i>Water Resources</i> .....	76
<i>Natural Resources and Wildlife</i> .....	76
<i>Transportation Plan</i> .....	76
<i>Public Facilities and Utilities</i> .....	76
<i>Agricultural Soils</i> .....	76
<i>Woodford Lake Estates</i> .....	76
<i>FEMA Flood Hazard Area's (Included by reference upon adoption by the Town – map is currently under review)</i> .....	76
<b>APPENDIX B</b> .....	<b>84</b>
CONSISTENCY WITH STATE PLANNING GOALS AND OTHER PLANS.....	84

## 1.0 SHORT HISTORY, TODAY, DEMOGRAPHICS


### ORIGIN OF THE NAME OF WOODFORD

"WOODFORD was named in 1753 when granted by Governor Benning Wentworth of New Hampshire, at a time when our area was still part of Great Britain and Wentworth was a colonial governor. He named 128 grants of land in what later became Vermont, without explaining the names. He preferred names of places in the British Isles he visited personally on two or more trips there, although his exact route is not known. An exception is Bennington, which he named for himself.

"Woodford was a little village north-east of London, named for a ford in the edge of Epping Forest. Place names around our Woodford which he named, and also around Woodford in England, are Glastonbury, Somerset and Shaftsbury. For ages Woodford was outside London, then after Wentworth's time it became a suburb. Today it is part of London."

### BENNINGTON HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Joseph Parks  
August 5, 1994



# WOODFORD, VERMONT

## 1.1 BEGINNINGS IN PLANNING

In 1970, the Bennington County Regional Commission retained Technical Planning Associates, a consultant firm in New Haven, Connecticut, to work with the Commission and the towns to develop a regional as well as town plans. The first draft plan for Woodford is dated May 1970. The proposed land use plan at that time included several designations: Rural Residential - 2 acres or more per family, and one acre minimum on favorable soils; Roadside Commercial - near Big Pond; limited Industrial - on the Burgess Road near the town forest; and Forest and Recreation District - comprising the majority of the town. National Forest lands were approximately 50% of the land area (Approximately 90% today). One of the driving conditions of the Woodford Plan is the rugged mountain land with limited accessibility and the narrow valley on the Bennington side with little room for development potential.

A comparison of the plan adopted in December 1974 and the present plan several years later is essentially identical. They provided for three residential districts of 1, 5, and 10 acres (adjusted for builders' acreage). Roadside commercial area zones included areas of existing uses. The balance of the town was designated Forest. Changes since that time included a Recreation District (Prospect Mountain Ski Area), Flood Hazard designations, and a small amount of land rezoned for commercial and residential.

This 2012 updated plan brings into consistency the land use classification between the land use map in the plan and the zoning district classification in the zoning bylaws. For example prior plan land use maps showed districts as R 1,5, and 10 depicting minimum acreage requirements for building lots while the zoning districts used a 'Builders' lot size of even dimension such as R1 is 40,000 sq. ft. instead of (43,560 sq. ft.). This updated plan uses the original classification for residential districts with the following minimum standards: R-1 (1 Acre), R-5 (5 Acres), and R-10 (10 Acres).

### *Updating the Plan*

State law (Title 24 VSA, Chapter 117) is the enabling authority for the plan and implementing bylaws. The plan expires and must be re-adopted every five years by statute. During the meantime a community is encouraged to revisit and update the plan based on new information and changing conditions. The plan adopted under this Chapter must contain certain elements, e.g. objectives and policies, land use, transportation, education, maps, etc. The law also encourages a community to consider the statewide goals, and where appropriate to coordinate the plan with its neighbors and the region. In a nutshell, the purpose of the plan is to provide a comprehensive framework to guide the community for the benefit of the residents, the community as a whole, and with consideration to its neighbors. Additionally, the plan sets forth the policy framework for the implementing zoning bylaws which must be consistent with the plan.

The 'process' of preparing a plan or comprehensive update is important. Woodford's residents should have an opportunity early on in the process to participate in its development and its recommendations and policies. To that end, a survey was distributed to land owners to gauge opinion about current conditions and the future of the town. There was significant uniformity in opinion about maintaining the quality of the environment and its relationship to future development. The plan takes into consideration the results of the survey as it relates to various sections of the plan. A summary or full version of the survey can be reviewed at the Woodford Town Office. A public hearing process is required for adoption of the updated plan.

## **1.2 SHORT HISTORY**

Included in this section is researched information that is not necessarily accurate in substance or context. It is intended to provide a sense of some of the events and characteristics of Woodford as written in different sources. These include the Vermont Historical Gazetteer, Bennington Historical Society papers, The Shires of Bennington, among other sources.

### *The name "Vermont"*

"The first English settlement in Vermont dates from 1724 (Fort Dummer near present day Brattleboro). However, historians note no significant occupation until 1749 when Governor Wentworth made his first grant (Bennington). The first map to show English settlements in present-day Vermont is a plan of Williamstown and Adams, Massachusetts, along with several townships in Vermont (Bennington, Earlington, Glastonbury, Pownal, Sanford, Shaftsbury, Starton, Sunderland and **Woodford**). The plan was drawn by Nathaniel Dwight in November 1749."

### *History of the Town of Woodford*

"This town was chartered by Governor Wentworth on the 6th of March, 1753. It was the intention of the worthy Governor of the province to make no township of greater than thirty-six square miles, but for some reason Woodford was made an exception, it containing no less than forty-two square miles of land, and being six miles in north and south measurement and seven miles east and west.

Although Woodford was chartered in 1753, settlement did not actually commence until some twenty-five years later, and the town organization was not effected earlier than February, 1789. In the "Gazetteer of Vermont," Hayward, 1849, states: "The town began to be settled immediately after the revolutionary war."

The town of Woodford cannot be said to have made much of any history, in fact none at all, during the period of the controversy with New York, the War of the Revolution, and subsequent proceedings which preceded the admission of Vermont to the Union; but its history really commenced with the town organization, which event occurred in 1789."

The construction of the railroad that ran from Bennington to Glastenbury "gave to the denizens of the "Hollow" a dignity not previously theirs, and resulted in a change of name, at least, among residents there, of the locality from the "Hollow" to "Slab City," by which it was known for some time. One of the first to locate on Bolles Brook was Phineas Bolles about 1829-30. Jacob Harbour settled soon after on Harbour Road. Then by a subsequent change, brought about by the extensive industries operated by the HARBOUR Brothers, the place became known as Harbourville. But the reader who is not acquainted with this immediate locality must not for a moment imagine that Harbourville, formerly Slab City, formerly Woodford Hollow, is a snug little hamlet on Bolles Brook, for such is hardly the case. The "Hollow" begins as one enters the defile between the mountains and ends where the ravine becomes lost in the mountains, a distance of two miles or more. There was a time when Woodford Hollow, throughout its entire length, was an exceedingly busy community. About 1801-2 the first forge was built in Woodford Hollow, for the manufacture of bar iron. It was two or three years later when the furnace was erected just west of the town line, in Bennington.

The other and the principal manufacturing point of the township is (was) the hamlet known for at least three score of years by the name of "Woodford City." It is said that "a city set on a hill shall not be hid; "therefore, here must be a city, for it is on one of the highest elevations upon which a town could possibly be built up in the township, unless perhaps, the extreme heights of Mount Prospect were used for that purpose.

Woodford City, although never regularly laid out, and having no corporate existence apart from the balance of the township, is (was) a busy little hamlet. The population of the township of Woodford, according to the census of 1880, numbered four hundred and eighty-eight souls."

The town of Woodford has (had) two churches, the one (edifice built in 1783) a Union Church at Woodford City, at which all denominations are (were) entitled to hold services and the other church located in the Hollow, and is the denomination known as the Advent Christian Church. The society was organized in 1871 by elders of that faith, with twelve members. The church building was erected during the same year.

In the town are (were) three schools. The school-houses are (were) located - one at the Hollow, one at Woodford City, and the third in the extreme eastern part of the township." <sup>1</sup>

Woodford City encompasses an area on the Woodford Mountain plateau from the proximity of Mount Prospect to the eastern end of the lake known as "Big Pond." Reference to Big Pond: "Child's Gazetteer and Directory"

---

<sup>1</sup> Source: History of Bennington County, Vt. With illustrations and Biological Sketches of Some of its Prominent Men and Pioneers. edited by Lewis Cass Aldrich. Syracuse, N.Y., D Mason & Co., Publishers, 1889 Chapter XXX, Page 465 - 480 Transcribed by Karima, 2004, Material provided by Ray Brown.

## *Brief Chronology*

- 1749 Governor Benning Wentworth of New Hampshire, knowing of conflicting grants between New Hampshire and New York, decides to grant townships in the area starting with Bennington, named after himself.
- 1753 The Townships of Woodford and Stamford were chartered.
- 1779: The first inhabitant of Woodford was Caleb Moore, at about the same time Mathew and Zerah Scott and Benjamin Reed settled. 'Woodford City' is reported to have inhabitants prior to 1820 and Mr. Cutler as the first settler. There was quite a settlement in the Hollow before there were any inhabitants on the hill or "Woodford City," so called.
- 1789 US Constitution is adopted but Vermont is not accepted as a state because of a land grant problem. Woodford sets up municipal government about 15 years after the first settlement. It is reported that Woodford was organized on February 11, 1789
- 1799 A toll road is begun from Bennington to Brattleboro and finished in 1800.
- 1831-1832 The new road or so-called Searsburg Turnpike (through the center of Woodford) was built and opened for travel in '32. It commences at the Bennington line, by the stream leading to the outlet of Woodford Pond and follows the stream to the pond, thence east to Searsburg.
- 1872 Bennington & Glastenbury Railroad is built for logging.
- 1870's Industry flourishes with an abundance of saw mills.
- 1897 A trolley line is extended to Woodford from the Bennington/North Bennington lines.
- 1936 Alexander B.R. Drysdale opens a ski area at Prospect Mountain and introduces a rope tow in 1938 for two years until World War II. "Alex's Tow was alternately named Hedge Hog Hovel Ski Area, and the Woodford City Ski Center depending on the year."<sup>2</sup>
- 1932 The Green Mountain National Forest is established by presidential proclamation. Today approximately 90% of Woodford is in the Green Mt. National Forest.
- 1948 United Development Corporation prepares development plans for Big Pond with 916 lots (Woodford Lake Estates).
- 1960's W.H. Morse purchased the Woodford Ski Area since named Prospect Ski Area on June 1, 1961 from William Mundell, the original owner and developer. William H. Morse, well known as "Willie" Morse developed and operated Prospect as a small family/area alpine ski Mt. with a rope tow and two "T" bars for

---

<sup>2</sup> Source. Article with picture of "Alex's Tow in the 2010 publication of Lost Ski Areas of Southern Vermont.

approximately 20 years. The next two owners, Joseph Parks and his successor Tommy Trant tried operating Prospect for a number of years as a combined alpine and xc ski area.

- 1964 Woodford State park is developed. This 398-acre park has the highest elevation campground of any park in Vermont, at 2400 feet. Woodford SP is located on a mountain plateau and surrounds Adams Reservoir. It borders the Aiken Wilderness Area, which is in the Green Mountain National Forest. Adams saw mill was in the vicinity of Adams Reservoir.
- 1966 Mt. Anthony Union High School assumes responsibility for 7-12 Woodford students.
- 1970-74 Woodford develops its first land use plan and implementing bylaws.
- 1980's George D. Aiken Wilderness area is established in the National Wilderness pursuant to the Preservation Act of 1964.
- 1984 'Woodford Snobusters' snowmobile club is founded in true Vermont fashion; On the front porch of everyday townsfolk- in this case the McKenna family - who wanted to organize around a central interest. This passion was to share the surrounding woodlands with other snowmobiling aficionados. Today, it is the largest club in the country with over 5,000 members and 122 miles of groomed trails.
- 2012 The present owners, Steve Whitham and Andrea Amodeo purchased the Prospect Mt. ski area corp., from the Merchants Bank during 1992. They have since operated it primarily for xc skiing. When Joseph Parks was the owner, he invested a considerable sum to have the W.H. Morse Construction Company build a first class, high elevation extensive network of trails on Prospect and adjacent National Forest Property. Consequently, Prospect became home to two Olympians, Dave Jarecki, and Andy Newell from Bennington and Shaftsbury respectively. Bill Koch, another Olympian of renown from Brattleboro often practiced and skied at Prospect. He was the father of the "Bill Koch League". The original cross country trails were built by Bucky Broomhall and the MAUHS ski team to zig-zag up and across Mt. Prospect on the Greenwood Lodge side of the mountain where remnants of the Alexander B. Drysdale ski tow can still be found above the old sheep yard off Pent Road. The Greenwood Lodge side supports xc skiing, xc racing, snowshoeing, and a VAST snowmobile trail.

From the above one can begin to appreciate the nature of the change and use in Woodford. The historic references point to the importance of the timber industry and recreation. There were approximately eight sawmills in the late 1800s (Bugbee's, Knapp's, Pine Valley, Barton, J. Foot & Son, Mallory, Harbour Brothers) as well as several kilns. About 1801 the first forge was built in Woodford Hollow for the manufacture of bar iron, and another was built on the Bennington town line for the manufacture of anchors for gunboats. Fish and wildlife were bountiful with some claims of pickerel weighing in at a mill pond between 2 and 4 pounds, not to mention bear, elk, moose, and deer.

Perhaps the most important influence on the land use pattern was the new road (toll-pike) built in 1832, referred to by some sources as "The Revolution of 1832 in Woodford." This opened up the interior of Woodford where previously east-west travel was in the southern end of the town in the vicinity of Burgess Road. The new road caused a considerable rearrangement of the settlement pattern, which is essentially that of today. Absent the industry of earlier years, the residential and commercial patterns (accommodations for travelers) and some services continue. One other major event is the Green Mountain National Forest owning nearly 90% of the town land base, some of which is designated wilderness. In some respects some might say that parts of Woodford are returning to a natural wilderness state, as it was more than two hundred years ago. However, the nature of recreation use has changed with the advent of off-road motorized recreation.

This is merely a glimpse at a rich history, and justice to the many residents (past and present) is not possible here. Evidence and remnants/artifacts of the past are still evident in Woodford today and efforts should be continued to preserve and protect these special historic and cultural assets.

WOODFORD CITY (Historic context provided by Joe Parks) Until the late 1830s, there was no road through Woodford along the line of the Molly Stark Trail (misnamed in the 1930s as a tourism gimmick). Nothing but logging roads went through there previously. The way to go east from Bennington before that was on what is now called the Old Stage Coach Road, which was built as an emergency military road in 1777 as Burgoyne was approaching. It was a terrible road when built, the worst part being the steep face of the Harmon Hill ridge which the road probably climbed by switchbacks somewhere off of South Stream Road in the area of the fish hatchery. To correct that, a turnpike was built in the early 1800s which became Burgess Road, and then went diagonally south-east up the slope without switchbacks. That is the present road to Sucker Pond, except that at the elbow, it met the original military road and turned east to Heartwellville. That was an improvement over 1777, but it was never good enough. In the 1880s, however, the present road was built and the stage coach traffic immediately switched to it. At the summit a little community grew up, including some hotels which, after the Civil War, began serving vacationers.

It was one of those hotels, the Green Hotel, standing where the Prospect parking lot is now, which improved an old logging road to the summit so that vacationers could go up for the view. In those days a Prospect meant a place to get a view, as in Lookout Mountain. Starting in Mundell's time (1938/39) some of that road served the ski area as a downhill ski trail. A section of it may now be on the new cellular phone road.

For some reason, instead of calling the new little community at the summit just plain Woodford, it was called Woodford City. A little boosterism and ambitious, perhaps. The stream which the highway followed to get up there is called City Stream, which is short for Woodford City stream.

An excerpt from the Gazetteer and Business Directory of Bennington County, Vt.

for 1880-81, by Hamilton Child, reads as follows: Woodford p.o., known as Woodford City, located on the summit of the Green Mountain, is a straggling village a mile long, containing about 100 inhabitants, one church, (Union), a comfortable hotel, three saw mills, one grocery, one blacksmith shop, and one box factory.

PROSPECT SKI MOUNTAIN About 1937, Alexander Drysdale's ski slope was the first commercial ski activity in the area. It was located on what is now Ed and Ann Shea's Greenwood Lodge property to the north. It was on the lower slopes of the mountain, but not on the Prospect property as it was and is today. There was a single rope tow lift made from an automobile, which may have been called Drysdale's Ski Tow. The tow, which was powered by an old automobile, and the short ski slope near the mill pond, were fairly short lived.


About 1938 or 1939, Mundell of Newfane acquired the first parcel of the ski area as we know it today. It was a more ambitious expansion, but it only went up the mountain about one-third of the way. It also depended on an automobile, in that case a jacked-up truck, for power. The warming hut is still part of the base lodge. This operation may have been called the Woodford Ski Trails. It did not open for the 1941 season because the owner left for the war.

Following the war, the operation was run as a combination business and volunteer operation that tried to keep it afloat. W. Morse of Bennington, who got the contract to rebuild the highway up from Bennington, used material from that job to create the big parking lot. He then bought the mountain side and installed two T-bars, one of which reached the top. It continued to operate in the 1970s and 1980s without many major changes, except for the addition of the cross-country trails on the National Forest lands. In 1990, the base lodge and other buildings were refurbished, but there was no investment in snow-making, chair lifts, or grooming capacity, probably given the small market/weekend use and costly improvements. The development of a restaurant/bar was to complement downhill ski operations, but it did not work out. Today, the facility is serving the cross-country ski demand, and the restaurant follows the ski area usage and demand.

3

---


<sup>3</sup> Historic context by Joe Parks



BCRC 1975

Map of settlement patterns along the Walloomsac and neighboring tributaries from an actual survey done in 1856 by E. Rice & C.E. Harwood

Pre-1898 Bennington & Woodford Trolley run to Glastenbury. Conductor Ed Moore.



## 1.3 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

Population Trends Between 2000 and 2010, the population increased by 2.4% adding 14 residents - essentially a flat rate of growth compared to the 1990's with a 25.1% growth rate. The 2010 US Census reports a number of characteristics: median age 47.1, age 65 and older 15.2%, age 5-19 16.3%, male 49.8%, female 50.2%. Woodford is likely to maintain a relatively low rate of population increase similar to the Region and State. Housing costs in Woodford tend to be more affordable which may attract additional residents if there is a significant growth in the labor force.

**TABLE #1**

Population Growth 1970-2000<sup>4</sup>

1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	90-2000	2000-10
286	314	331	414	424	25.1%	2.4%

---

**TABLE #2**

Population 1930-2010<sup>5</sup>

<u>1930:</u>	138	<u>1960:</u>	207	<u>1990:</u>	331
<u>1940:</u>	170	<u>1970:</u>	286	<u>2000:</u>	414
<u>1950:</u>	198	<u>1980:</u>	314	<u>2010:</u>	424

---

<sup>4</sup> SOURCE: U.S. Census,

<sup>5</sup> SOURCE: U.S. Census

### *Household Characteristics*

There was a modest increase in households of 4.6% between 2000 and 2010 versus 42.1% which occurred between 1990 and 2000. Total family households remained relatively constant between 2000 and 2010 with single person households increasing by 26.3% and non-family households increasing by 10.5%. Households with school age children and elderly households age 65+ grew by 30 and 88% respectively (review and confirm census figures). The average persons per household and family remained constant during the decades of 1990's and 2000.

**TABLE #3**

Household Characteristics<sup>6</sup>

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change</u>
Total Population 414	424	2.4%	
Total # Households	172	180	4.6%
# Family Households	115	117	1.7%
# Non-family Households	57	63	10.5%
# Single Person Households	38	48	26.3%
# Households School Age Children (5-19)	53	69	30.0%
# Households Age 65+	34	64	88.2%
Median Age of Population	39.9	47.1	
Average Persons per Household	2.41	2.36	
Average Persons per Family	2.85	2.85	

Households: Include one or more persons living in separate living quarters as a single unit.

---

<sup>6</sup> SOURCE: U.S. Census

## **2.0 WOODFORD -- THE PLACE**

### **2.1 SETTING**

Woodford has 30,332 acres making it the largest of all towns in the Bennington Region. Much of the town is on the upper plateau of the Green Mountain range with typical elevations exceeding 2,000 feet. The town is nearly divided between the Hudson (Walloomsac) and Connecticut (West Branch - Deerfield) River Basins. The plateau supports a rich variety of aquatic environments including ponds and wetlands. Woodford Hollow on the western side of town is rugged with a narrow valley, and an extensive watershed including Bolles Brook, Bickford Hollow Brook, City Stream, and Stamford Stream. Much of the rural development is near Route 9, the principal means of access. The balance of the land is largely in the Green Mountain National Forest which includes a section of the Long Trail (Appalachian Trail system) and the George D. Aiken Wilderness Area. The town is also host to Woodford State Park.

### **2.2 HOW IS THE LAND USED?**

For purposes of this section, the settlement areas of the town are divided into five areas: Woodford Hollow, Burgess Road, Woodford City, Red Mill Pond, and the balance is in Green Mountain National Forest/public lands.

#### *Woodford Hollow*

Woodford Hollow starts at the Bennington town line, to the town office, and continues along Harbor Road of the Bolles Brook watershed. The area has mixed uses characterized mostly by single family homes on smaller lots (+/- 1 acre) along Route 9 and larger lots in the Bolles Brook area. Businesses and residents are scattered in this area and include a former motel and mini-mart (used for State assisted housing in 2012), antique shop and apartment complex, home occupation small engine repair and sales, used automotive sales and repair garage, Woodford Elementary School, mobile home park, Bennington water filtration plant, excavation, septic businesses, agricultural business.

#### *Burgess Road*

This area is at the foothills of Harmon Hill of the Green Mountain Range. The area is zoned Rural Residential and Forest. It has supported some farming in the past, and has about eight residences. There is a quarry and two residences in the Forest District. The area is accessed from the extension of Bennington town roads (Burgess and Gore). Both roads are Class 3 town highways in the Town of Woodford. The area is generally characterized by moderate to steep slopes and some prime agricultural soils (Pittsfield soil type). It is said that Harmon Hill was a large meadow and supported a sheep pasture in

1888.

### ***Woodford City***

For planning purposes, this is an area on the plateau which encompasses the largest area of private holdings outside the Green Mountain National Forest. This general area has a mix of uses including year-round and vacation homes, roadside commercial services, Woodford Union Church, and Woodford City. The church is considered to be the highest in elevation in Vermont. The largest concentration of seasonal-vacation homes is in Woodford Lake Estates along the northwest shoreline of Big Pond. Prospect Ski Mountain (see historic context, page 3), is a prominent use for cross country skiing. The facilities include a building for ski equipment rental and sales, and a restaurant including the sale of gifts and crafts. Greenwood Lodge, located immediately to the east of Prospect, was the original site for downhill skiing. The lodge and campsites provide accommodations and recreation for individuals and groups. Big Pond, Bugbee Pond, Beaver Pond, and the interconnecting streams and wetlands are an important natural system in this area. It is important that the quality of this upland aquatic environment habitat be protected. Chapter 5 classifies this area into different planning/zoning districts.

### ***Red Mill Pond***

This area generally includes the Woodford State Park and extends to the Searsburg town line. Red Mill Pond and Adams Reservoir contribute to the recreational emphasis of this area. Woodford State Park and the fish and game access at the Red Mill Pond, together with access to the Green Mountain National Forest, are the primary recreation uses. The George D. Aiken Wilderness Area in the Green Mountain National Forest forms the southerly edge of the state park. Woodford State Park, A to Z snowmobile sales and repair, Twin Brooks store “Woodford Mall”, Red Mill Gift Shop, McKenna machine shop, the currently closed Red Mill NF Campground, and the SnoBusters headquarters plus some scattered residences and camps are located along Route 9 in this area.

### ***Green Mountain National Forest/Public Lands***

Of Woodford's 30,332 acres, 26,618 acres (88%) are in the Green Mountain National Forest (GMNF) and another 398 acres comprises Woodford State Park (WSP). Nearly 20% of the town is in the George D. Aiken Wilderness Area located entirely within the Town of Woodford. How the Green Mountain National Forest is managed influences how the land is used. Emphasis has been on recreation and natural resource protection. The U.S. Forest Service Land and Resource Management Plan, 2006, provides the blueprint for managing the forest. Management Areas in Woodford include the following

#### Diverse Forest Use (3.1)

Emphasis on variety of use, high quality saw timber, mix of habitats and wildlife species, full range of activities motorized and non-motorized.

#### Diverse Backcountry(6.2)

Large landscapes, backcountry recreation foot trails & motorized, longer rotation for timber harvest, mix of wildlife habitat.

Wilderness Study Area WSA (5.1) (Glastenbury & Woodford)

Protect wilderness characteristics pending legislation as to their designation and existing compatible uses. If not designated it remains WSA until the Plan is amended Red Mill Pond

George D. Aiken Wilderness (5.1)

Maintenance of wilderness values without human intrusion and driven by ecological/natural processes. Prohibits motorized/mechanized transport. < emergency response protocol ?>

Eligible Wild, Scenic, or Recreation Rivers (or sections)

Stamford Stream-Sucker Pond, City Stream, Bolles Brook. Emphasis is to protect and enhance the “outstanding remarkable values” (ORVs) that led to potential for designation as provided in this category. Must be free of impoundments.

Long/Appalachian Trail (LT/AT)

Traverses entirety (north-south) through Woodford: Much of the AT trail in southern Vermont is shared with the LT. The management area is approximately 500' on either side of the trails. The trails traverse portions of the Wilderness Study Area which may require special treatment and management of the bordering areas.

Ecological Special Area (Sax)

Are characterized by physical or biological features of forest or regional significance. Examples include geological, botanical, zoological, and ecological values.

## 3.0 Local Economy and Resources

### 3.1 RESIDENT WORKFORCE

#### *Resident Labor Force*

According to the U.S. Census, Woodford's resident workforce in 2000 – including all town residents aged 16 and over who were working or actively seeking employment – numbered 220 , representing 53% of the town's total population compared to 67% for the County and 69% for the State. In 2005 and 2009 the percentages were nearly similar. Between 2000 and 2009 there was a slight decrease in employment by 10 employees (-4.5%) which may be due to demographic changes or the US economic slowdown. However, the workforce has been fairly consistent during the past decade, except for noted variations in the unemployment rate as noted in Table. The 2010 Census will provide a more accurate depiction to the State estimates.

#### Woodford Resident Labor Force (16+ yrs.) 2000 - 2009<sup>7</sup>

Employment 16+	Total	Employed	Unemployed	Unemployment .Rate>	Bennington County/VT
Aug.2010	230	210	20	4.3	
2009	250	210	40	16.6	
2005	250	210	40	15.9	
2000	230	220	10	2.7	4.6 / 4.2

---

<sup>7</sup> US. Census 2000, Vt. Dept. Labor

### ***Employed Civilian population***

The class of workers in 2000 include: Private wage and salary workers 156/72.9%; Government workers 40/18.7%; Self-employed workers in own not incorporated business 18/8.4% and no unpaid family workers. The workforce can be further divided into occupations and employment by industry. With the exception of farming, fishing and forestry there is a relatively even distribution among the occupation categories with Management, professional/related and Sales/Office making up more than 50% of the workforce.

### **Employed Civilian population 16 yrs. and over<sup>8</sup>** *Class and Occupation*

Occupation	#	%
Management, Professional, Related	57	26.6
Service Occupations	33	15.4
Sales/Office Occupations	55	25.7
Farm, Fish, Forestry Occupations	0	0
Construction, Extraction, Maintenance.	30	14.0
Production, Transportation, material moving	39	18.2
Total	214	100%

### ***Employment by Industry***

(In the year 2000, a majority of residents (56.5%) worked in and nearly equally distributed in) manufacturing; Education, Health, Social Services; and Retail Trade. It is interesting to note the higher percentage in manufacturing compared to VT and the US since manufacturing jobs tend to have high wages. Bennington is the primary source of manufacturing jobs and continues to show strength today (2010).

### **Civilian Employment by Industry<sup>9</sup>** (Selected Industries) US Census 2000

	Woodford		VT	US
	Number	Pct	Pct	Pct
Manufacturing	39	18.2	15.1	14.1
Construction	16	7.5		
Retail Trade	42	19.6	12.0	11.7
Education, Health, Social Services.	40	18.7		
Public Administration	17	7.9		
Art, Entertainment, Recreation, Food, Accommodations Public Admin.	23	10.7		

<sup>8</sup> US Census 2000

<sup>9</sup> US Census 2000

## ***Median Family Income***

According to the Vermont Department of Taxes, the median adjusted gross income for Woodford families in 2008 was \$50,096. This is a 35.4% increase from the reported 2000 US Census of \$36,994. Woodford's median gross family income is close to the County average. With the exception- of Stamford, it is greater than nearby towns. Much of its workforce works in Massachusetts where prevailing wages tend to be higher.

### **Median Adjusted Gross Family Income<sup>10</sup>** (VT Dept. of Taxes)

<u>Town</u>	<u>Area</u>
Woodford	\$50,096
Bennington	\$44,535
Stamford	\$59,736
Readsboro	\$44,471
Bennington County	\$52,191
Vermont	\$58,069

## ***Business/Gov. Establishments***

Not surprisingly, Woodford is host to a modest number of establishments which have remained relatively steady over the years. This can be attributed to a number of reasons including land base, land suitability, ownership, existing land uses, transportation and access, and infrastructure such as water/sewer. Those that do exist are fairly small and may be part of a residential use. Only about 10% (23) of Woodford's workforce actually work in-town according to the most recent 2000 US Census. The number of establishments corresponds closely to the numbers of the 2009 Grant List: Commercial 10; Industrial 2.

### **Number of Establishments<sup>11</sup>** (Woodford)

<u>Industry</u>	<u># Establishments</u>		
	<u>2000</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2009</u>
Manufacturing*	2	2	2
Construction	1	2	2
Trade, Transportation, Utilities	3	1	4
Leisure Hospitality	2	1	1
Other Services	1		
Gov/Schools	1	1	1
Total	10	7	9

\* machine shops

---

<sup>10</sup> Vt. Dept. Taxes

<sup>11</sup> US Census/VT Dept. of Labor

### *Journey to Work/Commuting Patterns*

Not surprisingly, the percentage of local commuters who work outside of Woodford is about 90 percent. As previously indicated there is a small number of business establishments with only a few employees. Larger employment centers such as Bennington and bordering counties attract workers which results in bedroom communities such as Woodford. Nevertheless, Woodford attracts significant transient and seasonal recreational users given the natural attractions of Woodford.

#### **Woodford Residents Place of Work<sup>12</sup>**

<u>Place</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>%</u>
Woodford	23	10.8
Bennington	122	57.5
Bennington Co.	19	9.0
Windham Co.	20	9.4
MA	13	6.1
NY	15	7.0

## **3.2 DEVELOPMENT TRENDS**

A review of municipal property listings in the Grand List shows an increase in the non-vacation residential 1 and 2 count of 118 (2002) to 136 (2009) for a total increase of 18 or 15.3% or slightly more than 2% annually for the period. Vacation units 1 and 2 dropped from 171(2002) to 160 (2009) or -6.4% during the same period which may be due to year-round conversions. In 2009, total residential units including mobile homes totaled 162 compared to those classified as vacation at 160, essentially an even distribution between the two categories. Commercial and industrial counts remained constant.

---

<sup>12</sup> US Census 2000

## *Future Development*

All but approximately 10% of Woodford's land base is in public ownership (USFS and State Park). The greatest density of development is in Woodford Hollow and Woodford Lake Estates. Much of the Hollow is built-out and borders the Green Mt. National Forest. This area is not likely to support any significant increases in growth and development. On Woodford Mountain there remain additional tracts of land that could be developed.

### **Grand List Trends, 2002-2009 Parcels/Count<sup>18</sup>**

	2002	2005	2009	%Change
Residential 1 (<6 ac)	100	112	116	
Residential 2 (6+ ac)	18	19	20	
Mobile Home	24	21	19	
Mobile Home/ land	8	7	7	
Vacation 1 (<6 ac)	148	142	142	
Vacation 2 (6+ ac)	23	18	18	
Commercial	11	10	10	
Industrial	2	2	2	
Utilities/Electric	1	1	1	
Utilities/Other	2	2	2	
Farm	0	0	0	
Woodland	11	12	13	
Miscellaneous	186	159	132	
Total				

However, the lack of infrastructure, land suitability, and the low density land use and zoning plan limit the degree of development in this area. Woodford Lake Estates which dates back before zoning is a compact and dense development surrounding Woodford Lake. Much of this area is built-out and is dependent upon on-site water supply and wastewater disposal. Because much of the Woodford Mountain lands have fragile environments, protecting important natural resources need to be carefully considered and integrated into the planning and site layout. Cluster design is one means of integrating development with on-site resources or limitations that are worthy of protection.

## **3.3 RECREATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

A predominant characteristic of the Woodford environment is the abundance of natural resources, rural and back-country recreational opportunities. Because of direct access from Route 9, Woodford serves as a hub in southern Vermont to a vast network of trails both north and south. Among the many visitors attracted to Woodford, there are a number of clubs and organizations that utilize facilities and recreation trails such as: Green Mt. Club, Mt. Bike/Cycle Club, Woodford Snobusters (largest snowmobile member organization in country), VT Trail Trotters (equestrian), among others.

---

<sup>18</sup> Source: Woodford Grand List - Form 411

Types of recreation attractions in Woodford include: AT/Long trail crossing and parking access (est. 7,700 hikers/yr.), Woodford State Park (Attendance visits 15,135 (2011), George Aiken Wilderness, Little Pond Parking area, Red Mill Pond Access, Adams Reservoir Access, Pine Valley Access, Prospect XC Ski Mountain, and Greenwood Lodge Hostel and Campsites. Hunting and fishing continue to be traditionally popular throughout Woodford including in its remote and rugged back country.

Route 9, traversing Woodford is a national scenic byway (Molly Stark Scenic Byway) which recognizes the special cultural, historic, scenic and natural resources throughout the corridor from the VT/NY border on the west to the NY/VT border on the east. The scenic byway management plan recommends proposed improvements particularly with respect to signage and vehicular/recreational safety. Some of this has been accomplished with a byways grant. The economic impact of and attraction to Vermont is quite profound and can be attributed to the quality of the environment for leisure and active recreation. Woodford, no doubt plays an important role and contribution to the area's economy.

### **3.4 ECONOMIC OUTLOOK**

It seems unlikely that US Census 2010, when released, will vary to any significant degree with the data presented herein, particularly with respect to economic development trends. Exceptions may be based more on technology and telecommunication advancements which make telecommuting and home based businesses or cottage industries more attractive to rural areas. And, given the wealth of natural resources the Town of Woodford has to offer makes it even more attractive albeit 'winter challenging' for the year-round resident. Given the lack of any significant infrastructure and challenging access particularly road safety Woodford is likely to continue as a bedroom community compared to the more densely developed Bennington area. Indeed, nearly 90% of the residents commute elsewhere for their primary employment.

### **3.5 ECONOMIC RELATED POLICIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. Provide opportunities for commercial business uses along Route 9, in suitable locations to support local needs and visitors to the area.
2. Promote high speed internet and cell services for the town's residents and transient population.
3. Provide opportunities for home business occupations with appropriate standards to assure compatibility with neighboring properties. Work from home (remote job sites) are expanding with rapid increases in Information Communication Technology (ICT).

4. Promote the effective management and utilization of the communities' natural resource base and associated economic benefits in concert with environmental quality. Support efficient use of energy resources and renewable resources.
5. Review the existing planning/zoning boundaries as part of this plan update to accommodate suitable business uses and potential expansions.
6. Encourage educational, research, and green/knowledge based enterprises and institutions that may benefit from the diversity of the Woodford natural environment.
7. Evaluate existing and future recreational uses for compatibility with each other and their relationship to the environment and habitat.

## **4.0 Vision and Goals**

The Goals serve as a beacon for direction of the plan, measuring progress of the planning process, and guiding implementation of the plan. They are listed in the same order as the State's planning goals in T.24 V.S.A. Chapter 117. As part of the following goals and the planning process, residents and property owners of the Town are encouraged to take an active role in the community for the benefit of all residents guided by the principle improving Woodford as a special place.

### **4.1 SETTLEMENT AND USE PATTERN:**

Maintain the historic settlement pattern of the town with a variety of land uses which will not detract from the rural, residential, scenic, natural and forested character of the Town. Assure the pattern of development is compact and in proximity to services to effectively manage growth and minimize public cost. Recognize the importance of maintaining and strengthening the existing development pattern by encouraging planned residential and commercial development in suitable locations and especially maintaining the natural qualities along the Route 9 corridor. Natural resources which contribute to the quality of this corridor (Woodford's main street) must be maintained for their values. Development and changes in land use must be carefully sited through planned and clustered techniques consistent with protection of natural values sought in this goal. Back country forested areas must be maintained and managed for their natural and resource value. The use of these backlands should not only be compatible with each other but support protection and wise use of the resource(s), as well. Access to open and forested areas should be reasonable and managed to accommodate multiple and reasonable use without conflict and compromise of safety of the residents and traveling public.

### **4.2 STRONG DIVERSE ECONOMY/REWARDING JOB OPPORTUNITIES**

Encourage businesses that provide satisfying and rewarding employment while maintaining high social and environmental standards. Provide for suitable locations along Route 9, to accommodate businesses and to assure their compatible with neighboring land uses. Natural resource and recreation based enterprises are also encouraged and must adhere to sound environmental standards, as well. Small cottage and home based business (work from home) are a growing trend in the workplace because of growing communication technologies. These provide an opportunity to more traditional travel to work places and are well suited to Woodford so long as they do not create an adverse effect to neighboring residential uses. High quality employment opportunities for Woodford residents is encouraged throughout the Region and bordering States which contributes substantially to the opportunities for the Town's residents.

### **4.3 ACCESS TO EDUCATION AND VOCATIONAL TRAINING**

Maintain a high quality of educational and vocational institutions to meet the needs of the communities' youth and residents. Provide local education opportunities that perform, provide value and are sustainable. Consider new models of delivery if educational opportunities can be enhanced to meet changing needs. The overall cost of education must be taken into account; especially in instances where community affordability is seriously challenged and residents take an active role to weigh the alternatives.

### **4.4 SAFE, CONVENIENT, ECONOMIC AND ENERGY EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS:**

Provide for safe, convenient, economic, and energy efficient transportation systems within the town. Route 9 at Woodford Mountain continues to experience serious accidents and fatalities and efforts must continue as a high priority to manage, enforce, and educate the users and public about the road's condition throughout the year. Encourage the state to report annually on efforts to manage safety and to monitor conditions, including DMV and State Police patrol. Direct access along Route 9 should be subject to road safety audits to assure adequate signage, sight distances and turning movements for entering together with through traffic movement. The high volume of pedestrian/recreational vehicle access via parking areas and along Route 9, must be carefully managed for safety, as well. Appropriate signage should be evaluated and upgraded as appropriate in high use areas.

### **4.5 IDENTIFY, PROTECT AND PRESERVE IMPORTANT NATURAL AND HISTORIC FEATURES**

Identify areas, sites, and buildings of special historic, prehistoric, cultural, natural and scientific value or significance. Encourage education and protection about such sites and features and avoid development or incompatible uses that would negatively impact on such resources. Several forges, mining, lumbering, and charcoal production gave rise to the early settlements in Woodford reported to be 423 in 1850. The first forge made anchors for gun-boats. Remnants of the past remain today. Encourage the protection of natural landscapes and features such as: significant natural and fragile areas, lakes, streams and rivers, aquifers, shorelands, wetlands, scenic areas, special and productive habitats.

## **4.6 MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE QUALITY OF AIR, WATER, WILDLIFE, LAND RESOURCES**

Protect and encourage the wise use of natural resources. Emphasis should be on the side of quality while protecting special resources and habitat from overuse or inappropriate use. Woodford has a vast rich natural environment which requires careful and thoughtful stewardship. Maintain a high quality of air, water (surface & groundwater), aquatic lands, and timber/vegetated and open land resources through protection and careful management, and improved habitat for wildlife.

## **4.7 ENCOURAGE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY AND DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY.**

Support efforts to develop renewable energy resources and generating facilities in areas where environmental and aesthetic concerns are minimized and do not cause undue adverse impacts. Seek funding to support energy conservation in municipal and other historic buildings of significance and importance to Woodford. Encourage the Town's residents to support and implement energy conservation initiatives to augment and reduce fossil fuel usage. Explore opportunities on public lands to utilize timber resources for local space heating. Avoid outdoor boiler type heating systems in areas close to residents and where air inversion limitations may not effectively dissipate boiler emissions and assure conformance to Vermont and EPA air emission standards.

## **4.8 MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES FOR VERMONT RESIDENTS/ VISITORS**

Provide for a variety of recreational resources in Woodford that emphasizes the quality of recreation experience(s) without compromising environmental quality or safety by the users. Recreation improvements and facilities on public lands should be part of an overall plan with public input from the Town's residents and municipal officials. Private recreation facilities should be in keeping with the small scale of the Woodford environment without creating undue impacts to the environment and providing appropriate facilities such as parking, sanitary provisions, etc. for the activities. Management plans and activities of the U.S. Forest Service and VT Department of Forest and Recreation should be communicated to the Town on a periodic and as-needed basis.

## **4.9 ENCOURAGE AND STRENGTHEN AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST INDUSTRIES (TIMBER)**

Encourage the maintenance and strengthening of the forest industry and related secondary industries as appropriate to forested lands in Woodford. Assure that timber resources and removal are undertaken with an effective management plan as guided or approved by the County Forester and in accordance with the GMNF Plan for publically owned lands. While Woodford does not have agricultural activities of any significance, significant agricultural soils so identified should be protected for potential use.

## **4.10 WISE AND EFFICIENT USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES (EARTH, GROUNDWATER, ETC.)**

Allow for the reasonable and efficient extraction of earth resources that are suitably located while avoiding undue impacts on adjoining lands or in public view in travel corridors. Upon completion of extraction, assure an effective and timely site reclamation and closure. In instances of removal over time assure an effective sequencing of activities/extraction which minimizes impacts to surrounding areas.

## **4.11 AVAILABILITY OF SAFE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING**

Provide for a variety of housing types to meet the broad needs of the community and for affordable and workforce housing commensurate with Woodford's needs. Allow for accessory apartments to facilitate the provision of such housing. Because Woodford does not have public water and sewer throughout the town housing must rely on on-site water and wastewater disposal systems that are maintained and function properly. Encourage efforts to provide housing suited to Woodford's needs in suitable locations that can be serviced efficiently. Seek practical solutions to the gap between house prices and housing affordability which may be projects like modest single family dwellings.

## **4.12 PLAN FOR FINANCE, EFFICIENT SYSTEM OF PUBLIC FACILITIES/SERVICES TO MEET NEEDS**

Fortunately, much of the development in Woodford is along Route 9, which provides direct access for services. This relative compact settlement pattern is promoted in the plan and as a result creates an efficient community to operate. The low density requirements in the upland mountain areas of Woodford along Route 9, should mitigate overdevelopment and sprawl. This area also contains a number of fragile landscapes that limit development potential. Nevertheless, Woodford is a small community with limited resources and vast holdings of public lands and thus the need to carefully budget and finance the services and improvements to meet the resident's needs. Consideration should

also be given to development projects relative to their financial benefit and/or impact on the community.

#### **4.13 AVAILABILITY OF SAFE AND AFFORDABLE CHILD CARE**

Provide opportunities in the community for safe and affordable child care. This may be accomplished in a home setting as provided by law or in specially designed facilities with structured programs more commonly found in the greater Bennington Area. Because Woodford's workforce largely commutes to work in other places, child care options and choice vary. Nonetheless, the provision of child care is very important and a reality to much of the workforce today dependent upon two wage earners.

## 5.0 NATURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LAND

This section is divided into two parts: Physical Conditions, and Sensitive Lands-Natural Resources. Physical conditions may present constraints to land development or may result in costly site improvements. Finding the right site(s) for development can minimize both financial and environmental costs. Sensitive areas-natural resources may also present a limitation for land use for a slightly different reason in that they may represent important attributes or values the community wishes to protect. Whatever the category, conditions will vary from site to site or area to area.

### 5.1 PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

#### *Elevation*

A high percentage of Woodford's land base is at higher elevations (2,000 feet plus). Although much is in the Green Mountain National Forest, it is important to note the conditions: Harmon Hill - 2,320 feet elevation and 2,549; Prospect Mountain - 2,767 feet elevation; Maple Hill - 2,690 feet elevation; Bald Mountain - 2,857 feet elevation; and Hagar Hill - 2,760 feet elevation, to mention a few. The significance of the higher elevations creates a more sensitive environment, and when damaged is not as easily recoverable. Conditions include lower temperatures, precipitation increases, soils become shallow with stone or outcroppings, and plant communities become more susceptible to disturbance. The plan needs to take these into consideration.

#### *Soils*

Early settlers of Woodford (1888) described their experience in the following quote:

*“Years ago at different times many men with steel muscles and iron wills have tried to wrest a scanty living from the inhospitable soils, but they finally gave up the fight.”*

Fundamental to the proper functioning of septic systems is the hydrologic condition of the soil. Properly functioning systems can protect water supplies as well as surface and groundwater. Woodford has certain soil types suitable for development, but generally when the slopes are steeper (+15%-20%) conditions become less favorable. In the Woodford Hollow and Harbour Road area some favorable soils include Berkshire (106) and Peru (108 and 115). This area also contains a soil type (#27) which has not been rated. In the Burgess Road area good soils include Pittsfield (93 and 94), Peru (115), and Berkshire (106 and 117). In the Prospect Mountain and Big Pond area suitable soils include Houghtonville-Monadnock (905) and Rawsonville-Mundal (905D - Marginal). A large section of Big Pond is Mundal-Wilmington (903C) which has limitations for septic disposal because of severe seasonal wetness. The Red Mill Pond area and east is similar to the Prospect-Big Pond area with some suitable and marginally suitable soils. Table #10,

### ***Slope***

The slope, or gradient, of the land is another important indicator of an area's suitability for development. Where prevailing slopes do not exceed 10%, topographic limitations to development tend to be minor. Moderate limitations are found when slopes fall in the 10%-15% range. In areas where slopes exceed 15%, very careful planning and design are required to overcome problems caused by thin soils, erosion hazards, and difficult road construction. Grades in excess of 20% pose particularly severe problems, and development in these areas should be avoided. The predominant areas of steep slopes tend to be in the eastern half of the town.

### ***Special Flood Hazard Areas SFHA***

The Federal Emergency Management Agency FEMA has updated the Flood Insurance Rate Map (12/16/2010). It was released for review in March, 2011. The map identifies flood hazard areas along the Roaring Branch, Barney Brook, Stamford Stream and some streams in the southeast corner of the Town flowing toward Stamford. The Roaring Branch study area limit ends approximately 2000 feet from the beginning of Harbour Road. The SFHA designated areas are classified as Zone A, without base flood elevations. These areas are also identified as the "Base Flood" which is a flood that has a 1% annual chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year - also the 100 year flood. The purpose of these designations is to promote public health, safety and welfare by preventing or minimizing hazards to life or property due to flooding. In addition to incorporating the final flood hazard map in the zoning bylaw the provisions themselves will need to be updated. Adoption of the current flood hazard regulations makes property owners eligible for the National Flood Insurance Program.

### ***Fluvial Erosion Hazard Areas (FEH)***

Fluvial erosion hazards include streams and bordering land that have the potential for fluvial erosion and can cause a rapid change of the stream channel size and location. There is a growing science that shows that rivers seek a natural equilibrium overtime and steps should be taken to allow this natural condition to occur except in life threatening instances. Development in these areas should be restricted to prevent damage from erosion in much the same way that development in flood hazard areas is restricted to prevent damage from inundation. A corridor management study was completed for the Roaring Branch in Bennington and Woodford (Gomez, Sullivan, Parish Geomorphic, 2007). An FEH area is mapped (section M06T3.04) along the Roaring Branch and takes into account highly erodible soils among other natural conditions. Mitigation measures for FEH management often involve multiple solutions and the corridor management plan recommends various treatments for different reaches of the river (Stabilization of banks, berms to allow flood and sediment attenuation, etc.)

## 5.2 SENSITIVE AREAS

### *Lakes and Ponds*

The surface area of lakes and ponds in Woodford ranks among the highest of all towns in the region. Big Pond is the largest at 31 acres, followed by Adams (21 acres) and Little Pond (16 acres). These surface waters serve many important functions, but all seem to be predicated upon maintaining water quality. Recreation, fish and wildlife, aesthetics, and aquatic plant species all come into play when characterizing surface waters. In some cases adjoining wetlands, owing sometimes to beaver activity, contribute to the aquatic environment. All of the water bodies are at elevations in excess of 2,000 feet. The fragile ecosystems associated with these upland lakes and ponds suggest the need for careful management. Impacts are not always local. It is felt that higher elevation water bodies are particularly susceptible to acid rain. Some are near the so-called orange and red zone, a designation reflecting negative impact on aquatic life. Additionally, it is important to recognize ponds simply for their natural beauty whether they are highly visible or are in a remote setting. It should be noted that Little Pond, which is undeveloped in a remote setting, is becoming a rarer occurrence and special precautions must be taken to protect these special natural attributes.

#### Lakes and Ponds

Lake Name	Lake Area (Acres)	Basin Area (Acres)	Elevation (Feet)
Adams Reservoir	21	817	2,320
Big Pond	31	715	2,265
Bugbee Pond	8	1,428	2,171
Little Pond	16	326	2,602
Mill Pond	7	988	2,040
Mud Pond	6	23	2,240
Red Mill Pond	7	1,258	2,260

Lake watersheds include surrounding land that drains into the lake. Sediments and nutrients which get to a lake in runoff from the land can fill in a lake/pond and lead to excessive algae and other plant growth. This increased plant growth can result in reduced water clarity, reduced pond oxygen levels, and an accelerated rate of eutrophication - an aging process by which a lake/pond gradually becomes a wetland. How land is cleared, developed, or supports septic disposal are important considerations. Big Pond (Woodford Lake), which has the greatest amount of development activity, should be considered for a lakeshore and watershed management project. This area's residents and the lake could benefit from such a planning/management project. Road maintenance and shoreline restoration and buffers among other treatments can reduce erosion and pollutants and improve overall water quality.

## ***Wetlands***

Woodford has an extensive wetland system, mostly at higher elevations in the eastern half of the town. The most extensive is in the George D. Aiken wilderness area including the West Branch of the Deerfield River. This area includes Beaver Meadows and Camp Meadows. Beavers have much to say about wetlands such as the Hell Hollow Beaver Ponds. Another wetland system is at Mill Pond, east of Little Pond. Several upland wetlands border Route 9 which contributes to the diversity of the landscape. Wetlands serve a variety of ecological functions, and some have a special classification under state law to protect their particular attributes. The natural resources map provides a good base of information for locating wetlands. The common definition of wetlands is those areas inundated by surface or groundwater with a frequency sufficient to support vegetation or aquatic life that depend on saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Such areas include but are not limited to marshes, swamps, sloughs, potholes, fens, river and lake overflows, mud flats, bogs and ponds, but exclude such areas that grow food or crops in connection with farming activities.

## ***Rare Plant and Animal Species***

The Vermont Natural Heritage Program, Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, maintains a registry of rare plants and animals. Two such plant communities are identified. They are located in the vicinity of Little Pond and Big Pond. These areas contain unique plants and wild-marsh flowers and some immersed plants. They also serve an important habitat function. The Heritage program also identifies a rare animal species at Woodford State Park. Any activities near these designations, whether public or private, need to consider these special designations.

## ***Deer Yards***

The Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife continuously monitors and updates Vermont's deer yards. These areas provide shelter for the deer herd during the winter months. The policy of the State is to leave these areas undisturbed. However, this may only be exercised in conjunction with an activity involving a State permit. Woodford presently has one deer yard designated on the natural resource map. Any activities in this area should consider the importance of this resource.

## ***Woodford is Bear Country***

With the exception of Woodford Hollow (Route 9 and Harbor Road), Big Pond, and the Red Mill Pond area, all of Woodford is designated as Bear Habitat Production. Uninhabited remote forestland is the general rule for such a designation together with beach stands, wetlands, and travel corridors. One travel corridor is identified crossing Route 9 in the vicinity of Stamford Stream. Fragmentation of habitat is not likely to be a significant concern in Woodford, since most development is or will be along Route 9. Land owners in more remote locations need to be cognizant of this designation which recognizes most land as a critical habitat for bear survival.

## *Scenic Resources*

Scenic resources in the town can mean a lot of different things depending on the observer and experience. There may be a special landscape feature such as a millpond, or the variety of the landscape. Scenic views may be especially apparent in some locations, or landscape variety may be particularly interesting in a travel corridor. Much of Woodford is at a higher elevation in the upland plateau with a variety of upland landscapes. Residents view the landscape (natural and man-made) from their homes and during travel. Others passing through will largely experience Woodford along the Route 9 corridor. Since this route is also Woodford's "main street," attention should be given to its appearance, whether from the perspective as an adjoining resident/property owner, or as a traveler. Route 9 is also a state highway with approximately 50% of the frontage in state and federal ownership. Their programs and actions can also bear on the appearance of the corridor. The treatment of signage, landscaping, pull-offs for parking, or encouraging recreation use can contribute or detract from the scenic qualities. Scenery from the Long Trail may mean a natural-wilderness setting, a view and solitude of an experience in the absence of noise. Whether actions are public or private, consideration should be given to the appearance of the Route 9 corridor or other areas in the town having scenic landscape attributes while taking into account safety.

The "Molly Stark Scenic Byway" (Route 9) through Woodford is one of a number of scenic byways in the state of Vermont designated by the Federal government because of its historic, cultural, natural, and scenic values. It follows Vermont Route 9 from the New Hampshire border in the east to the New York border in the west. Designation opens up funding eligibility for a variety of projects such as the interpretive kiosks that have been installed and pamphlets. Among the requirements for such a designation is a Corridor Management Plan which is currently being updated (every five years).

[www.mollystarkbyway.org](http://www.mollystarkbyway.org)

## *Streams and Rivers*

Streams and rivers in Woodford drain to the Hudson and Connecticut River basins. Many streams are at higher elevations and function as headwaters in the watershed. For some, gradients are steep and stream banks are susceptible to erosion. Some are important spawning streams and support smaller sized Brook Trout common to the streams of the Green Mountains. Streams provide other functions, such as the Bolles Brook which is a primary water supply for the Town of Bennington. Many of the streams also were sites of saw mills and industrial uses in the previous century. Principal streams of the Walloomsac watershed include: Bolles Brook, Bickford Hollow Brook, Hell Hollow Brook, Stamford Stream, City Stream, and the Roaring Branch. The Connecticut/Deerfield River watershed on the east side includes: Little Pond Brook, Mill Pond Brook, Redfield Brook, Red Mill Pond Brook, Yaw Pond Brook, Reservoir Brook, and the West Branch of the Deerfield River. Maintaining the integrity of the streams is an important goal of this plan. Streams on the west side of town are within the Basin 1 watershed management program. A draft basin plan (Basin 1, Batten Kill, Walloomsac River, Hoosic River Watershed management Plan, 2011) is a comprehensive assessment of conditions and overview of the watershed's health and steps to restore and

protect water quality. Much of the emphasis is on achieving a high standard of water quality whether influenced by land activities or air quality such as acid rain. The Basin Plan serves as an important reference and action document as part of the town's planning process.

### ***Forestry and Agricultural Lands***

Most of the managed forested land in Woodford is under Federal/U.S. Forest Service ownership. "The Green Mountain National Forest 2006 Land and Resource Management Plan," is the guiding document for management, use, and activities within the forest. Alternative "E modified" is the effective plan as a result of the EIS and decision process. The plan is a 10 to 15 year strategy developed in accordance with the National Forest Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1604, et seq.) It replaces 1987 Forest Plan for the GMNF. The 2006 Plan can be amended or revised and in response to changing conditions, new information or Congressional actions. The land use section in this Town Plan will provide further information about the land use categories in the GMNF Plan and more information may be viewed at [www.fs.fed.us/r9/gmfl](http://www.fs.fed.us/r9/gmfl).

Shallow soils, rough topography, steep grades, bedrock and boulders pretty much characterize the agricultural potential of Woodford. The Burgess Road area contains some prime agricultural soils. In the previous century this area provided pasture for sheep. Today there are still open fields for growing crops.

### ***Earth Resources***

Unlike colonial times with aggressive mining of iron ore, Woodford has limited earth resources with the exception of a quarry off the Burgess Road. Consequently, the Town relies on much of its aggregate needs from nearby towns. Nevertheless, should new earth resources be identified efforts should be made to assure the potential availability for future use. Additionally new or existing removal operations shall make provision for restoration and monitoring so that neighboring properties will not be unduly impacted as well as property values.

### ***Natural Resource Mapping***

Several natural resource maps are included in the plan and serve as a reference to augment policy and for use in project reviews, if applicable. Mapped data and updates are an on-going process and thus the natural resource related maps in this adopted plan may have new features or data added from time to time.

## 5.3 POLICIES

1. Maintain a low density of development in the higher elevations, especially above the 2,000 feet elevation due to the fragile nature of the upland environment.
2. The type and intensity of development on a given site should be compatible with soil conditions. Land use and density should be evaluated in light of prevailing soil conditions to ensure that development is being directed to appropriate areas and that important resource areas are protected. Contamination of surface or groundwater from poorly functioning septic systems must be avoided.
3. Development should be carefully planned in areas where the natural slopes are Greater than 15%. On slopes greater than 20%, development should not be permitted.
4. Development or filling in the floodplain should comply with accepted standards and not be allowed in a floodway. The storage of hazardous materials, waste products, or other materials that can contaminate surface and groundwater should be carefully managed and monitored and avoided in sensitive/hazardous areas. Land use must be carefully managed in fluvial erosion hazard areas to avoid erosion and to maintain the natural stream/river conditions.
5. Lake watersheds and shore lands need to be carefully managed for land use in order to maintain high quality natural values and water quality. The density and type of new development in shoreland areas need to avoid environmental impacts. The maintenance of vegetation and buffer strips, less disturbed areas for construction, avoiding erosion, shoreline stabilization and properly installed and maintained septic systems contribute to the quality of surface waters.
6. Recreation use of the upland and backwoods ponds and wetlands should be especially cautious in over-use of these fragile aquatic environments.
7. Maintain the natural condition and values of important wetlands: water storage, vegetation, habitat, water quality, etc.
8. Any activity or development in the vicinity of rare plant or animal species must be carefully planned to avoid adverse impacts. Additionally, special precautions are necessary to avoid impacts to any known deeryards.
9. Nearly all of Woodford is designated as bear habitat. Disturbances from people must be minimized. Remote forest lands, critical habitats (beech stands, wetlands), and travel corridors need to be carefully managed.
10. The natural landscape of Woodford's upland plateau is a special scenic resource. Whenever possible this should be enhanced by careful planning and siting of uses, facilities, or structures.

11. Protect the natural state of streams by maintaining vegetation and other measures to avoid streamside erosion. Upland tributaries and watersheds should be managed to ensure high water quality of upland and valley streams.
12. Encourage uses which are compatible with the primitive/semi-primitive characteristics of the backland forest. Multiple uses include timber management, and wildlife appreciation, recreation, solitude, hiking, etc. Any significant improvements or uses in the GMNF should be carefully evaluated for potential impact on Woodford and its residents and environmental compatibility.
13. Development on prime agricultural soils should be carefully sited to retain as much as possible this valuable resource.
14. Encourage the protection of earth resources for needed and potential future utilization. Extraction of existing and future earth resource operations must not have an undue/adverse impact on the environment, surrounding land use and viewing locations. Underutilized and spent sites should be restored to a natural condition or used as permitted the zoning bylaw.

**TABLE #4****Septic Suitability and Soil Ratings**

NOTE: The following soils are generally representative of each area.  
Steep slopes (15-20%, 20%+) will impact suitability.

<u>Soil #</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Septic Design</u>	<u>Class</u>
<u>Woodford Hollow</u>			
27	Udipsamments	Not Rated	--
28	Udifluvents	Not Rated	--
104	Colton	Conventional	1
105	Monadnock	Conventional	2
106	Berkshire	Conventional	2
108/115	Peru	Test, Mound	4
113	Cabot Silt	Not Suited	6
118	Tunbridge	Marginal	5
<u>Burgess Road</u>			
27	Udipsamments	Not Rated	--
69	Massena	Not Suited	6
93/94	Pittsfield	Conventional	2
106	Berkshire	Conventional	2
109	Tunbridge-Berkshire	Mound	3
117	Berkshire	Conventional	2
118	Tunbridge-Lyman	Not Suited	5/6
<u>Prospect - Big Pond</u>			
702	Rawson-Houghton	Not Suited	6
715	Houghton-Rawson	Not Suited	5
903	Mundal-Wilmington	Not Suited	6
905	Houghton-Monadnock	Conventional	2
923	Wilmington-Mundal	Not Suited	6
<u>Red Mill Pond</u>			
702	Rawson-Houghton	Not Suited	6
715	Houghton-Rawson	Not Suited	5
903	Mundal-Wilmington	Not Suited	6
905	Houghton-Monadnock	Conventional	2
923	Wilmington-Mundal	Not Suited	6

**CLASS DESCRIPTION**

1. Conventional - coarse, sandy, glacial outwash, rapid permeability.
2. Conventional - well drained glacial till.
3. Mound - slow permeability, seasonal high water table, bedrock.
4. Test Mound - seasonal high water table, curtain drains.
5. Marginal - generally unsuited, bedrock, other conditions.
6. Unsuitable - rock, wet, steep, or combination

## 6.0 LAND USE ELEMENT

Previous chapters have set the tone for the recommendations in this section of the land use plan. They addressed the beginnings in planning, goals for Woodford, and its physical setting and characteristics. All of these together form the basis for translation into the land use plan. Not to be overlooked is the fact that Woodford has had a plan for forty+ years and during this time there have been only modest changes. The approach taken by the Planning Commission in this update is to fine tune and build on the present plan in light of new information and improved mapping standards. A new comprehensive set of maps were prepared using the (GIS) - Geographic Information System. As new data is assembled it can be readily added to the system. The scale of mapped information can vary but typically a large scale map, available at the Town Office, is one inch = ¼ mile (1,320 feet). Mapped information includes roads, surface waters, natural resources, U.S. Forest Service boundaries, trails, existing land use, etc. This information was helpful in developing the land use plan. The USGS map was also used as a reference.

The land use classification which follows is a fundamental part of the plan. It identifies planning districts to guide the type, location, and density of land use and future growth. In doing so it takes into account present usage, physical conditions of the land, location of future growth, and the effect on municipal services. Much of Woodford's private land base (which is less than 10% of the town total) is along Vt. Route 9. Consequently, the type and character of use along this main street of the town bears considerably on the character and livability for the town's residents. With the exception of Woodford Lake Estates (WLE) which is a high density area with development approval on a minimum of 100' x 100' lots, this plan continues a policy of low density residential development ranging from one to ten acres per dwelling unit in the residential districts to 15 acres in the Recreation and Forest District and 50 acres for recreational use provided another 50 acres are available by lease or permit agreement. This plan reclassifies some lands that were previously forest to residential for consistency and area character. Boundaries were also refined over time to more accurately delineate between districts. Some lands that were zoned residential or forest were purchased by the U.S. Forest Service and the map has been adjusted accordingly.

The land use classification also designates roadside commercial areas. Historically, these designations included existing commercial areas and only two new areas were added during the past forty+ years. There is a rationale for this approach. Simply stated, residents wanted to protect the integrity and opportunity for the existing land use pattern. Since this pattern exists largely along Route 9 (Woodford's Main Street) it makes sense to establish the multi-commercial districting plan separate from the residential districts along Route 9. Woodford's limited tax base also suggested an approach which avoided placing commercial properties in a nonconforming status. One of the challenges of this plan is whether or not to take a different approach such as creating new commercial zones: dedicated zone, overlay zones, or mixed use (residential-commercial) zones. Some Residents expressed their concern about commercial impacts on their residential properties. Another primary concern is the adequacy and safety of Route 9, especially

when considering travel speed, sight distances, and turning movements. Any new roadside commercial districts or commercial overlays should be in appropriate locations and the minimum lot size should be no less than two acres. The roadside commercial/rural residential districts east of Woodford State Park should have a minimum lot size of no less than one acre. Additionally, performance standards should be part of the review for commercial projects.

Lands above 2,500 feet in elevation in either public or private ownership are deemed to be highly fragile and as such require careful attention in regard to future use. The Recreation District for Prospect Mountain is retained in its present classification, while recognizing the fragility of lands above 2,500' elevation, which are in the Forest District. The potential for other commercial uses in the Recreation District below the 2,500' elevation need to be evaluated in the existing zoning bylaw.

A new district for Woodford Lake Estates (WLE) is recommended for single year round or seasonal dwellings and accessory structures. The district includes the WLE land area laid out on the master development plan surrounding Woodford Lake, historically known as Big Pond, which was originated during the 1940s. This area is identified as a Planned Residential Development on the Town Plan map and is currently zoned Rural Residential 200.

The updated maps also identify physical conditions such as flood hazard areas, slopes and sensitive areas such as wetlands and special habitats. These need to be considered during site planning and development.

## **6.1 GENERAL LAND USE GOALS AND POLICIES**

The limited private land base in Woodford should be treated as a limited resource and used wisely. In addition to the planning and zoning designation for the area, development must be compatible with the environmental and resource characteristics of the site.

- a) Provide for low density residential uses in close proximity to public roads and avoid scattered or remote developments to minimize the need for municipal facilities or services. The rate of growth should not burden the community.
- b) Provide for commercial uses along appropriate sections of Route 9. Use either dedicated zones for individual parcels or an overlay designation for the location of such uses. Future commercial uses shall have a minimum lot area of two acres.
- c) Recreational uses, whether commercial or non-commercial, shall be compatible with environmental and natural resources values of the area. Such uses should also be suitably located for convenient and safe access and minimize impacts on adjoining residential areas.

- d) Provide for development of structures and uses such as private camps, residences, lodges and other structures or uses needed for the accessory use in addition to natural resource related businesses. These structures and uses shall not cause an undue adverse effect and meet required regulations and criteria for either permitted or conditional uses in remote areas as provided for in the bylaws.

## **6.2 LAND USE CLASSIFICATION AND POLICIES**

A keystone of the plan is the designation of land use districts which also forms the basis for the zoning designations. This classification is as follows

<u>Classification:</u>	Rural Residential (RR) 1Acre, 5A, 10A minimum
	Roadside Commercial/Residential (RC/R) 1 Acre minimum
	Forest District (F) 15 Acres minimum
	Woodford Lake Estates (WLE)
	Recreation (R) 50 Acres minimum
	Flood Hazard Overlay (FH)

### **6.21 RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS**

The Rural Residential designations provide a range of densities from one to ten acres per dwelling unit. While the minimum densities provide a minimum lot area per unit there may be particular circumstances of a site such as soil or topography or important natural resources which affect the suitability of a given parcel for development. The residential districts are depicted on the land use plan map. RR-1 (min. 1 acre) is designated along Route 9 in the Hollow and a portion along Harbour Road. On March 12, 2012 voters approved one acre zoning for Harbour Road in its entirety and will be colored ivory on the map. In the Burgess Road area the RR-5 designation is retained. On March 12, 2012 voters approved reclassification on the Upper Burgess Road (colored light green on the Town Plan Use Map) from Forest F-15 to Rural Residential RR-10. The upland plateau along Route 9 has both RR-5 and RR-10 designations. The latter are for more remote locations and have physical constraints for development. These low densities are considered to be more appropriate for the fragile environment of this upland plateau. Poor soil conditions for septic systems also points to lower densities to avoid pollution of surface or groundwater. Woodford Lake Estates is a pre-existing high density development. A new district is recommended for this area. All future development should be planned to avoid adversely impacting the environment.

## **6.22 ROADSIDE COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS**

The existing roadside commercial designations are included as in the present plan. They all front along Route 9 and may include individual parcels. This approach accommodates the existing uses while preserving the residential districting plan. Additional commercial designations will be considered in those areas where traffic and access can be safely accommodated and impacts on adjoining residential areas can be minimized. Any new designations must have a minimum of two acres. These areas can provide for low density commercial uses in keeping with the character of the rural setting. Excessive curb-cuts and strip-type development should be avoided. Additionally, the appearance of commercial uses should be sensitive to adjoining residential areas. This plan recommends expanding the existing commercial districts along Route 9 near the Red Mill Pond to accommodate both commercial and residential uses. This area has provided support services for snowmobile recreational uses and other related businesses which should be included among other commercial enterprises in this area. On March 12, 2012 voters approved reclassification of the Roadside Commercial RR-2 in the shaded pink district east of Woodford State Park to one acre Roadside Commercial/Rural Residential.

## **6.23 FOREST DISTRICTS**

Forest designations are provided in the Hollow and Burgess Road area, upland plateau, and in the Burgess Road area. They also include the public lands of the Green Mountain National Forest. These areas are mainly remote backlands, have physical constraints to development, and are best suited for forest and recreational uses. These rugged backlands also contain fragile environments as well as important habitats and as such the level of use needs to be commensurate with these conditions. They also serve an important watershed/aquifer function. Lands above the 2500' elevation are also included in the Forest District. These areas are very fragile/sensitive environments. Permanent improvements and structures for year-round use are inappropriate in these areas unless they serve an important public function under careful controls. Existing uses, while allowed to continue, need to consider the fragile nature of these upland environments.

## **6.24 WOODFORD LAKE ESTATES WLE**

### *Background*

Woodford lake Estates, Inc., a Non-Profit Corporation became a Uniform Common Interest Ownership Community on June 23, 2005. WLE was originally laid out by the United Development Corporation in 1948 and was subsequently sold in 1950 to the present owners. On September 9, 1952 those owners Incorporated certifying Articles of

Association and Bylaws with the State of Vermont for the Woodford Lake Estates, Inc. The original plan provided for 916 lots with the average lots ranging from 5000 – 6000 square feet to accommodate seasonal/cottage/camp use surrounding Woodford Lake, historically known as Big Pond. Since then, the National Forest Service reclaimed 24 lots, #'s 146-152 and #'s 900-916. As of 2011, there are 179 property owners who have purchased one or more (merged) lots with or without structural improvements. It is estimated there are 126 structures built within the community. It is estimated that 70 structures can be considered year round use and 56 structures are considered seasonal use. Thirty three structures are built on single lots while the remaining 93 structures are built on two or more lots. There are 58 landowners who own undeveloped land. There are 10 parcels of undeveloped land that consist of 3 or more lots adjoined. Woodford Lake Association Inc. (WLA) is a Landowners Association.

WLE land owners are stewards of the land they occupy within the development - watershed. According to the WLA Standing Rules of Procedure landowners help manage, protect and preserve the land and lake for future generations by abiding by all Vermont state laws. WLA is the designated “Development” management agency for the operation and maintenance of the development: a) Protect the lake and wetlands through proper stewardship, road stabilization, inlets and stream channels so as to prevent pollution, nutrient runoff and soil erosion that could diminish the water quality, fish and fish habitat or impair other recreational uses of Woodford Lake; b) Encourage better shore and land conservation and property management; c) Educate members to identify and prevent infestation of exotic species of nuisance aquatic plants; and d) Inform and cooperate with lot owners regarding the enforcement of such conditions, covenants and restrictions by majority vote of the members. And, to counsel with the Planning Commission, Zoning Board of Adjustment, and relevant state laws.

### ***New Classification***

A new district classification for Woodford Lake Estates (WLE) should be based on a careful assessment of existing and potential future conditions, existing standards for density, building coverage and setbacks together with the management objectives of the WLA as provided in a) through d) above. These may include best practices to minimize impacts and improve the quality of WLE including restoration of important natural features, Low Impact Development techniques (LID), and demonstration projects. Special buffers and treatments along the perimeter of the lake shoreline should also be considered. The assessment and subsequent new district classification could be accomplished through two grant sources: Two grants were awarded for 2012, a water quality grant for a culvert inventory (GIS), and a Municipal Planning Grant to develop a new zoning district for WLE. Both the Planning Commission and WLA should coordinate these projects.

A build-out analysis should be prepared for Woodford Lake Estates (WLE) including private and association owned parcels encompassing Woodford Lake. This would help to identify potential future conditions and areas of need (roads, drainage, sewage, water supply, vegetative buffers, utilities, recreation access, etc.) and factor into the new zoning classification.

## **6.25 RECREATION DISTRICT (ALSO SEE HISTORIC CONTEXT, PAGE )**

A Recreation District has been established for the Prospect Mountain Ski area. The current designation for this area provides for commercial alpine and cross country skiing and other associated facilities such as the base lodge/restaurant, convenience services, and some retail. Facilities for group meetings and other forms of recreation are allowed in the zoning bylaw. Cross country ski trails are also located on leased lands of the Green Mountain National Forest and adjacent Greenwood Lodge property. Future uses or expansions need to be consistent with an approved site plan and master plan for the tract if applicable. During the past several decades small alpine ski areas (some large) have found it difficult to continue operating due to high capital costs and insurance costs. This is unfortunate since Prospect Mountain also served an important area need. Continuation of the ski area for cross country skiing and supporting uses is encouraged in this plan.

## **6.26 FLOOD HAZARD AREA**

Flood Hazard Areas were designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency - FEMA in September 18, 1985. A draft updated map was prepared by FEMA in 2011 and circulated for review. New updated standards will also be required in the zoning bylaw. This serves as an overlay to the underlying districts along portions of the following brooks: Bolles, Walloomsac, Barney, Stamford, and West Branch of the Deerfield River. Minimum standards for construction and filling are established in the zoning bylaws. They also enable property owners to obtain flood insurance. This does not force a property owner to purchase insurance, but a subsequent buyer via bank mortgage may have to demonstrate coverage to satisfy a loan. Each year the Town is surveyed by FEMA to identify construction or improvements in this designated area.

## **6.27 HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

This section identifies a few of the historic sites identified in a 1977 survey, and consequently conditions may have changed. One should bear in mind that historic preservation used in this section is not just preserving historic structures but the concern for protecting prehistoric, archaeological, and cultural evidence of past settlement. It also means the importance of cellar holes, travel ways, former industrial sites of the charcoaling and iron industry, logging industry, recreation, etc. The plan for the Green Mountain National Forest places special emphasis on a continuing survey to document historic/archaeological sites. This plan encourages such an effort and the means by which the sensitivity of certain areas can be appreciated by the public. This could include building a model and visual display for use in the classroom.

Among the listings in the 1977 survey are: Woodford Hollow Elementary School (recently renovated), Woodford Hollow Church, Martin Residence, Woodford City Union Church, Felix Castellano Residence, and the Old Bugbee Sawmill.

Woodford Hollow Elementary School

The original name was District School Number 1. Built in 1890 and still functioning as a grade school on a site continuously in use for Woodford's school since 1809. Additional information and photos are available at [www.SVSU.net](http://www.SVSU.net)

### Woodford Hollow Church:

Former Advent Christian Church built in 1871 is used for town meetings. This is a simple rural vernacular church and the only one in the Hollow. A newer addition was constructed to serve as the town office.

### Martin Residence

This residence on Harbour Road was built in 1860. It is the only residence in Woodford Hollow that retains its original form. It is representative of the settlement in this area from 1850 until 1900.

### Woodford City Union Church

This structure is located on the east side of Vermont Route 9 and was built in 1873. Like the Advent Christian Church in Woodford Hollow, this was the only house of worship for the residents on top of Woodford Mountain as an expression of optimism in Woodford's economic or spiritual future, this church, which remains in almost the condition it was built, was organized by six members and designed to seat 140. Ten years after construction the congregation had increased to 7.

### Residence on North Side of Route 9

The old Gleason homestead/sawmill was built pre-1860. Its formal name is Windy Knoll and was also used as a rooming house. The house was owned by one of the Gleasons of Gleason lumbering and milling business, and once was used as housing for itinerant lumberjacks. There is also a barn with hand hewed beams.

### Old Bugbee Sawmill

This is (was) the last existing mill of Woodford's once extensive sawmill industry. It appears to be located on the site of the J. Bugbee sawmill built in 1866. There is no date for this structure. Bugbee owned 700 acres of woodland and his mill was capable of sawing 2500 feet of lumber a day. The Bugbee pond, dam, gatehouse and mill building remain in existence on the Greenwood Lodge Property. The Sawmill is currently used for equipment storage. The gates in the gate house on the dam remain functional.

### Cemeteries

The several cemeteries of Woodford are important links to the past. The oldest inscription reported was on a marble slab and read as follows: "In Memory of Mr. Otis Eddy, Who died Oct. 22d, 1794, In the 22d year of his age. Death, like an overthrowing stream, Sweeps us away, our life a dream."

## **6.3 DEVELOPMENT POLICIES**

### *Residential*

Development should maintain a level of density compatible with land suitability. While minimum densities are established in this plan, not all land has the same development potential.

Natural features of the land including terrain, natural ground cover, trees, wetland, natural or unique features should be integrated into site development plans.

Residential development (including cottage conversions to year-round uses) needs to be supported by adequate water supply and sewage disposal either on privately owned or commonly owned parcels, as needed, to avoid any adverse impact on the environment. On-site sewage systems must obtain required State permits to ensure adequate design and operation and to avoid contamination of surface or groundwater or water supplies.

Projects with several housing units should provide for adequate roads, utilities, and drainage facilities for the intended level or density of use.

Development should be consistent with the town's rate of growth and should avoid increased rates which cause a burden to the Town because of increased demands for facilities or services.

### *Commercial*

The intensity of commercial development should be compatible with the character of the surrounding area. The amount of noise, glare, and lighting shall be controlled to minimize impacts on surrounding properties.

Projects should be adequately landscaped and provide for safe and adequate provision for access and parking.

Property owners are encouraged to consider the appearance of their properties. Because Route 9 is the main street of Woodford and has a variety of uses, appearance takes on added significance.

The location of new commercial uses/zones should be avoided in areas with unsafe road conditions such as grade, sighting distances, speed, and multiple access points in the vicinity.

Avoid a proliferation of commercial uses along Route 9 which contribute to "strip" type development.

### ***Roadside Commercial/Rural Residential***

This is a blend of both Roadside Commercial and Rural Residential. Reference those sections for definitions.

### ***Other***

The policies for residential and commercial uses identified above may also be applicable to other uses and should be applied if applicable. This applies to both private and public uses.

Encourage uses in the Prospect Mountain Ski area which contribute to the viability of this area for cross country skiing.

## 7.0 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES

Woodford's population increased from 414 in the year 2000 to 424 in 2010 resulting in a modest rate of growth of 2.4% over the ten year period. Unless there is significant development, conversion of seasonal dwellings to year-round residency, or a significant expansion of the region's economy the growth rate should remain fairly low. Likewise the burden on municipal services should be modest, as well.

### 7.1 PUBLIC SERVICES

#### *Highways and Bridges*

Vt. Route 9 is a principal arterial state highway serving east-west traffic in southern Vermont through Woodford. It is also on the national highway system and serves as the "Main Street" for the town. For the most part it is a two lane road with limited shoulders with provision for climbing lanes and emergency turnoffs due to severe grades. In Woodford Hollow the Annual Average Daily Traffic count (AADT) on Rt.9 was 4,000 in 2010 compared to 4,100 in 2006. On the mountain in the vicinity of Prospect Mountain the count was 3,600 (AADT) in both 2010 and 2006. Approximately 10% of daytime traffic is trucks. Based on the State's rating system for the condition of highways, 66% is rated less than 60 points out of 100 through Woodford. The rating factors include several categories: physical condition, safety and service. Safety remains a critical concern given the high incidents of accidents. Between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2010 there were 77 accidents with a vast majority being single vehicles, four head-on, and two fatalities. Advanced warnings and patrolling along the steep grades and sharp curves of Woodford Mountain has stepped up in recent years. Nevertheless this section of road remains a hazard due to driving habits and weather conditions. Route 9 has many functions including access to property, through traffic, and access to destinations such as recreation. Approximately 50% of the frontage is in public ownership including the Green Mt. National Forest and Woodford State park. Access (curb cuts) for whatever purposes along Route 9 are required to be state permitted. Town road access needs to provide safe sighting distances and avoiding backing onto the roadway.

Route 9 was officially named "Molly Stark Trail" by the State of Vermont in 1967. It was designated as the Molly Stark Scenic Byway in 1995 given its historic cultural, natural, and scenic values. Interpretive kiosks and educational materials serve to enrich the experience about the road and area's history and attributes. Supporting and sustaining the designation as a scenic byway helps contribute to the local/regional economy.

[www.mollystarkbyway.org](http://www.mollystarkbyway.org)

There is a total of 2.5 miles of Class 3 town roads which are designated as follows: #1 - Harbour Road, 1.74 miles; #2 - Burgess Road, 0.30 miles; #3 - Gore Road, 0.08 miles; and Stamford Stream (Notch) Road, 0.38 miles. These local roads provide access to the town's residents and the National Forest. There are 6.57 miles of Class 4 roads and there are nine bridges/structures along town roads. Highway expenditures in 2010 were \$22,947 and state aid revenue was \$3,362. Damage was incurred for roads and bridges due to Hurricane Irene in September of 2011 and a declaration of disaster was proclaimed. Other access roads include those of the Green Mt. National Forest and the Woodford State Park. The USFS may participate in some projects which affect access to national forest service lands. Both the US Forest Service System roads, trails and snowmobile trails sustained moderate to severe damage from Hurricane Irene during 2011. Thankfully, restoration was accomplished to a great degree in an expedient manner because of the importance of these facilities for recreation and the local economy.

### *Schools*

Woodford is a member of the Southwest Vermont Supervisory Union. Elementary students, K-6, attend the Woodford Hollow School while grades 7-12 attend schools in Bennington. In 1992/1993, major renovations were made to the Woodford School. As a result, the facilities and grounds substantially improved the learning environment for Woodford students and thus contributed to the school's favorable position for public school approval. School enrollment has varied over the past several years with the highest level in the 1993/1994 school year at 69 students, and the lowest level in 1999/2000 school year at 27 students. Enrollment during the 2006/2007 school year was 34 students and 28 in the 2010-11 school year. Population growth between the years 2000 and 2010 is almost constant with 414 and 424 residents respectively.

TABLE #5

Comparison of School Enrollments

School Name	Grades	Length of Yr (Days)	Length of Day (Hrs)	Enrollment 1996-1997	Enrollment 1998-1999	Enrollment 1999-2000	Enrollment 2006-2007	Enrollment 2010-2011
Arlington Memorial	7-12	178	6.75	304	279	273	230	172
Fisher Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	270	234	218	134	169
Bennington Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	318	318	287	271	291
Catamount Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	416	413	398	283	Closed
Dorset Elementary	K-8	180	6.50	248	229	219	173	162
Manchester Elem/Midd	K-8	180	6.50	527	507	508	424	429
Molly Stark	K-6	178	6.50	410	397	387	308	381
Monument Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	172	168	162	141	136
Mt. Anthony Middle	7-8	178	6.25	668	656	625	554	618
Mt. Anthony Sr. HS #14	9-12	178	6.50	1,234	1,300	1,288	1144	1,038
North Benn. Graded	K-6	178	6.00	167	176	177	141	130
Pownal Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	349	325	324	237	264
Readsboro Elementary	K-8	N/A	N/A	N/A	104	N/A	65	69
Shaftsbury Elementary	K-6	178	6.50	321	303	276	183	195
Stamford Elementary	K-8	175	6.50	108	88	86	72	77
Sunderland Elementary	K-6	177	6.75	80	76	77	56	62
Woodford Hollow	K-6	177	6.50	34	37	27	34	28

***Emergency Services***

The Town of Woodford does not provide emergency services. It relies on the Bennington Rural Fire Department (Beech Street Station) and the Bennington Rescue Squad. Each year the town budgets funds as a contribution to the volunteer fire department and rescue squad. The contribution in 2010 was \$30,000 (Rural Fire Department) and \$220 (Rescue Squad). The availability of fire protection is factored into the homeowners insurance. The U.S. Forest Service also assumes responsibility for fire prevention, detection, and suppression in the Green Mountain National Forest. The Bennington Rescue Squad service area includes Woodford. Police protection is provided by the Vermont State Police and the County Sheriff who have jurisdiction throughout the Region. Additionally, the Town elects constables and Justices of the Peace as provided in State law.

***Government Administrative Services***

All of the local governmental services are provided at the Woodford Town Offices. This center is used to maintain all of the vital records of the Town and to conduct business by the various boards of the town. In 2010 the cost of general government administrative

services was \$34,980. (Selectboard, Legal, Town Clerk, Town Hall expenses). This is 43% of total non-highway expenditures. In addition to these basic government administrative services, stipends are also paid to various boards (Board of Civil Authority, Listers, Auditors, Planning and Zoning Board, Zoning Administrator, Tax Collector, etc.).

### ***Solid Waste and Recycling***

The Town of Woodford does not have any facilities for solid waste disposal or recycling. Homeowners/businesses assume their own responsibility for proper disposal and recycling or contract with private operators. The Town of Bennington operates a transfer station at the former landfill off of Houghton Lane which is the closest available to the Town. Disposal at this location is on a fee basis. Woodford is included in the Bennington Solid Waste Implementation Plan to meet state mandates, and works with Bennington on Household Hazardous Waste collections. Recreational use of the forest lands, parking areas, or use of remote locations have a tendency to attract rubbish disposal. This situation should be monitored by the Selectboard from time to time and management/educational responsibilities should be identified. Residents, businesses, organizations and the Woodford School could be encouraged to adopt a section of the Town for Green-up day. The US Forest Service which manages the Green Mountain National Forest could coordinate periodic clean-up events of various users of the forest (Green Mt. Club, Snobusters/VAST, ATV/Bike Clubs, Vermont Trotters, etc.) and provide educational materials.

### ***Bennington Water Supply and Treatment Plant***

The Town of Bennington operates a water supply treatment plant on Route 9, directly across from the Woodford town office. This system serves more than half of the Bennington population and is supplied by a vast watershed of Bolles Brook, Bickford Hollow Brook, Hell Hollow Brook and the surrounding land area. Some residents and businesses in the immediate area of the plant in the Hollow are connected, as well. The watershed is generally bounded by: Maple Hill, Hagar Hill, Little Pond, Glastenbury Mountain, East Mountain, Bald Mountain, and the ridgeline south. The water intake is in the vicinity of the Bolles and Hell Hollow brooks. There are some private properties above the intake. While the treatment plant can handle most of the water purification needs it is still preferable to avoid any contamination of the water source via onsite septic disposal. Consequently, this plan requires the proper design and management of on-site septic systems.

## **7.2 PRIVATE SERVICES**

Roads, sewage disposal, and water supply are for the most part provided by individual homeowners, project developers, or a homeowners association. The town's interests in the provision of private services is to advocate compliance with local or state standards as applicable. The town must also protect the interest of all the residents and act

to avoid situations which burden all the taxpayers.

### ***Private Roads***

Private roads consist of Erbs Way and Woodford Lake Estates. The latter consists of the following road network: Sycamore, Hickory, Birch, Beach Roads; Crescent, Simon, Honeysuckle, Hillside, Mountain, and Evergreen Lane. Erbs Way road is maintained by the roadway residents. As provided in its bylaws, the WLE Association is responsible for managing the WLE development and maintenance of its roads. A grant was used at WLE to evaluate sediment erosion along certain roads. A culvert inventory will be completed in 2021 by BCRC/GIS system with a water quality grant.

### ***Water Supply***

(This section will be updated if comparable information is reported in the 2010 USCensus.)

The source of water for housing units in Woodford as reported in the 1990 U.S. Census includes: Public system or private company - 49; Individual drilled well - 81; Individual dug well - 8; Some other source - 131. Some sources may include springs, surface waters, and carry-in. These figures indicate a variety of sources which may or may not comply with current State standards. The Town of Woodford does not have the financial or administrative capacity to aid property owners to upgrade their systems. Consequently, individuals need to take the initiative to ensure a safe and adequate supply. If grants or other sources of funds become available the town may help facilitate a proposal. Special assessment districts are sometimes formed to address a particular problem or serve a particular entity with a common need. However, the balance of the town residents have historically resisted sharing the cost of a capital project publically funded or subsidized if they do not receive a direct benefit. The largest public water system in Woodford (public or privately owned) is the Town of Bennington serving residents, businesses, and public facilities in the Hollow

### ***Sewage Disposal***

Sewage disposal in Woodford is the responsibility of all the residents since all systems are privately owned. The State Agency of Natural Resources (ANR) has universal authority for required standards and permitting of water supply and wastewater disposal. Of the total 269 ( housing units reported in the 1990 census , 234 have septic tank or cesspool and 35 are by other means (to be updated if comparable information is reported in the 2000 or 2010 US Census). The initial housing unit count in the 2010 census for Woodford is 363 (154 42.4% are seasonal, recreational or occasional use). In 2000, the total number of housing units was 355. Note the substantial increase in housing units between 1990 and 2010 is 34.9%. It is required of all residents, whether year-round or seasonal, to have properly functioning systems. This is to avoid surface or groundwater contamination from adversely affecting water supplies needed to ensure a high quality environment.

It is also the responsibility of residents, business owners and organization leaders to

obtain the required permits for septic system modifications, additions, or new installations. Wastewater disposal systems are now under the jurisdiction of the ANR. A copy of applications and permits must be filed with the Town for recording with land records. The Selectboard acts as the Board of Health for the Town and may require mitigation or removal of health risks.

## **7.3 RECREATIONAL SERVICES**

This category of services includes State, Federal, and Private facilities, which to some extent are described in previous sections. It serves as a reminder that Woodford is a significant destination for recreational use. Unlike more developed recreational areas, Woodford offers a unique quasi-primitive and backland experience. As travel and tourism continue to grow and be promoted in Vermont because of its natural beauty, there will be an increased demand for services. Herein lays the challenge. As the demand grows, resources become threatened from overuse and users begin to experience conflict. Foremost from the perspective of this plan is the retention of the quality of the resources. Also of concern to the town is the role of local officials in the decision making process particularly on public lands. There has been an effort at the federal and state levels to respond to local needs and strengthen the decision process at the local level. The Town Plan encourages this process.

### ***State***

The State of Vermont owns 415 acres in Woodford. First opened to the public in 1964, the 398 acre Woodford State Park (WSP) is the highest elevation campground in Vermont, at 2,400 feet. WSP is located on a mountain plateau and surrounds Adams Reservoir. This park borders the George Aiken Wilderness Area in the Green Mountain National Forest (GMNF). The campground has 103 camp sites, 20 lean-tos and four camping cabins were constructed in 2011. Camping cabins have quickly gained popularity during recent years. This facility provides water based recreation, day use, camping, and winter use. Naturalist programs are presented throughout the summer. There is a small beach and picnic area near the dam. Rowboats, canoes and kayaks are available for rent. There are several hiking trails, including a 2.7 mile trail around the lake. Use of the park is encouraged during the closed season at no fee. Cross country skiing is allowed anywhere a skier can travel, while snowmobiling is restricted to the Adams Reservoir. Permission from the Regional manager is required for winter camping. The Park has a capacity of 42,000 camping visitors per season. Attendance (visits) have been fairly constant in the past several years with 12,683 in 2005 compared with 12,686 in 2009 (average for this five year period is 12,565). Of the 12,686 visits in 2009, 1,782 were day use and 10,904 were overnight camping use. Of the 17,045 visits in 2010, 3619 were day use and 13,426 were overnight camping use. Of the 15,135 visits in 2011, 3074 were day use and 12,061 were camping use. Revenue from park use has been constant over the past five years averaging \$105,923 annually and \$106,606 in 2009. Any shifts of policies or usage including privatization of services should be communicated to local officials. Vermont also owns Fish & Wildlife access areas including: Red Mill Pond (0.1 acres), and Billings Pond (mostly in Searsburg,

14.8 acres).

### *Federal*

The Green Mountain National Forest is host to multiple recreational uses, both motorized and non-motorized. The blueprint for how the forest is managed is the Land and Resource Management Plan (2006) of which recreation is one component. The management plan map selected is Alternative E. The classification for Woodford includes: diverse forest, wilderness, wilderness study area, diverse backcountry, and recognition of the Long Trail, eligible wild, scenic, recreation rivers and ecologically special areas. If recreation and tourism continue to grow in the State so will usage on public lands. Access via trailheads, parking areas, forest roads, trails for hiking and snowmobiling will need to be carefully managed. Unless demand increases dramatically the need for new facilities is unlikely. The Red Mill Campground in the GMNF has been closed because of cost and lack of use. The GMNF has held public opinion meetings, after the closing, which did not produce any viable plan for re-opening the campground. Special uses such as snowmobiling, x-country skiing, snowshoeing, horseback riding, cycling, and 4 wheel motorized recreation will need to be carefully accommodated in a multiple use management system. Dispersed recreation such as camping, hunting, and fishing benefit from a quality environment. Wildlife habitat improvements and development of the fish program are important pieces to the management strategy. Management also means provision for fire management, law enforcement, sanitary facilities, garbage removal, and road/access management which can impact the community. These may not have the high visibility of other programs and projects but are equally important to the Town of Woodford.

### *Private:*

Other private recreation services include the Prospect Mountain XC Ski Area and adjacent Greenwood Lodge and Campsites. The Prospect Mountain XC Ski Area provides high elevation facilities for a snow dependent season of cross country skiing and snowshoeing. Its large base area ski lodge/restaurant is also used occasionally during other seasons for group use such as weddings, reunions and private parties. Prospect Ski Mountain Corporation, established in 1960 provided downhill skiing until its conversion to a popular XC Ski Center. Prospect continues to serve as an area resource as a first class XC Ski facility. As previously indicated Woodford should continue to encourage the viability of the Prospect Mountain XC Ski Area. While Prospect provides recreation facilities mainly during the winter season, adjacent Greenwood Lodge and Campsites provides overnight hostel and tent/rv camping unit accommodations including water and other recreational facilities for individuals, families, and groups from May through October. Greenwood Lodge, also established during 1960, is the longest continuously operated, privately owned hostel in Vermont affiliated with *Hosteling International*, a worldwide non-profit travel organization.

Snowmobiling in Vermont has been a favorite winter pastime for over 40 years, rivaling skiing and snowboarding as the reason tourists are coming to Vermont during the

winter months. Started in 1984, Woodford has the largest Vermont Association of Snow Travelers (VAST) snowmobile club in the state. The Woodford SnoBusters Inc., (SB) headquarters is located off Route 9 at the east end of Woodford in their large maintenance building. It is a non-profit, volunteer organization which maintains over 100 miles of groomed trails enjoyed by not only snowmobilers but cross country skiers and hikers. The SB growth is the result of the elevation of 2300' and the amount of snow neighboring towns don't get. Tropical storm Irene caused heavy damage to the Snowmobile trails in 2011. The future use of some trails in the network of trails severely damaged by the storm was considered uncertain after the storm due to funding and other factors. The Vermont State Police conduct snowmobile training courses at the SB headquarters. The SBs have also served as a community resource providing free events for special needs people young and old. Snowmobile sales, service and tours have been provided by private businesses in the SB headquarter vicinity.

## **7.4 POLICIES AND ACTIONS**

1. Maintain a modest growth rate to minimize the cost of government services.
2. Emphasis should be on the maintenance of the existing town roads and bridges rather than adding new road mileage. Woodford needs to establish minimum town road and bridge standards. Private roads or bridges should also meet established town standards to ensure safe passage of emergency vehicles. Forest Service roads should be properly managed and maintained for their intended purpose including provision for fire access and control.
3. Safety is a primary concern for the management and maintenance of Route 9. In addition to surface management (paving & shoulders), consideration should be given to improvements along the several sections having poor sufficiency ratings. Advance warning, patrolling and surface maintenance along Route 9 is especially important during inclement weather conditions.
4. Access nodes, parking areas, and safety pull-offs must be properly located and maintained.
5. Encourage the continued support and coordination of emergency services whether they be local volunteers, State, or federal officials.
6. Residents and businesses are encouraged to properly recycle or dispose of all hazardous or household wastes and to avoid any on-site disposal which causes a public nuisance, impacts adjoining landowners, or can pollute surface or ground waters. Promote use of hazardous waste collection through educational materials, participation in household hazardous waste collection events, and other means of communication to encourage proper disposal. Public lands that encourage transient users should ensure that there are adequate provisions for managing solid waste and not burden the residents of Woodford.

7. Land uses and activities in the Bolles Brook Watershed (Bennington Water Supply - Watershed also serving Woodford) must avoid contamination of this important resource. When applicable the input from Bennington and State officials need to be sought.
8. Sewage disposal systems must comply with new State standards. If existing systems fail they shall also be brought into compliance with the standards approved by the State.
9. Emphasis should be placed on the quality and management of existing facilities and services in the Woodford State Park and Green Mountain National Forest. Any new recreation facilities or changes in use must be weighed against the impact on the town's residents and other natural resource, land use, and municipal policies in this plan.
10. Other recreation uses such as at Prospect Mountain are encouraged to continue and prosper. Any significant changes in recreational use or adaptive reuse should avoid undue adverse impacts, such as noise on the community and adjoining residential or commercial areas. A growing trend is to develop facilities as year-round destination resorts for economic viability. This plan encourages compatible year-round uses consistent with uses allowed in the zoning bylaws.

## **8.0 THE COST OF GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES**

Woodford expenditures include schools, general government, roads, fire prevention, general and assessments/donations. Sources of income include local, State and federal sources. As in other communities, schools typically represent the largest percent of total municipal expenditures. In 2010 total municipal expenses (government and schools) was \$869,392 with schools amounting to 81.2% while municipal government was 18.8%. The Woodford's tax rate in 2010 is among the lowest in the State for both homestead (#3) and non-residential property taxes (#1). However, it should be pointed out that resident incomes and the value of residential properties also tend to be lower than other towns in the region. Overall, the town is in good financial shape and there are no major capital needs anticipated in the next several years. Notwithstanding this condition, unanticipated events such as tropical storm Irene can have a significant impact on small budgets such as Woodford's if State and federal reimbursements do not cover costs. The figures used herein attempt to avoid duplications in how data is reported in the town and school financial reports but there could be a discrepancy.

### **8.1 EXPENDITURES**

Total municipal and school expenditures increased by 76.9% from \$491,436 in 2000 to \$869,392 in 2010. Schools increased by 79.4% while the municipal expenses increased by 67% during this same period. The annual average increase for total expenditures between 2000 and 2010 is 7.69%. The total 2010 expenditures can be further broken out as a percentage of total as follows: schools (81.2%), roads (2.6%), fire prevention (3.5%), General Government/Other 8.8%, and assessment/donations (3.9%). The largest absolute increase in expenditures between 2000 and 2010 was schools (\$312,397) and General Government/Other at (\$32,569). In addition to the Woodford Elementary School assessments are paid to the Mount Anthony Union High School District and CDC, Middle School and SVSU. Fire protection services also increased considerably during the past decade but the added protection is reported to benefit home insurance policy rates.

### **8.2 REVENUES**

Between 2000 and 2010 revenue from all sources increased by 65.3% from \$532,298 to 880,192. Income growth was less than expenses for this same period (65.3% versus 76.9%). Income growth on the school side for this same period was 56.2% compared to 101.9% for municipal government. Other significant sources of revenue includes the Green Mt. National Forest and Payment in Lieu of Taxes. Shortfalls for both municipal and school budgets for a given year are made-up from fund balances in previous years and are either budgeted as such or reflected in financial statements. Property tax

revenues in 2010 were \$670,000 (76.1%) of total revenue generated in the amount of \$880,192.

### 8.3 PROPERTY TAXES

Property taxes increased from \$327,009 in 2000 to \$670,000 in 2010. This represents an increase of 105.0% for an average of 10.5% annually. The state tax system bears directly on the value of property (Grand Lists) for the redistribution of state aid for education. The Grand List shows that in 2010 the values were distributed as follows: Residential (44.5%), Mobile Homes (2.2%), Vacation Homes (34%), Commercial (6.5%), Industrial (0.8%), Utilities (4.0%), Woodland (2.4%) and Misc. (5.5%). In 2010 the average value of a residential home irrespective of lot size was \$186,752 with a property tax of \$1,704. The average value of a vacation (seasonal) home was \$123,103 with a property tax of \$1,573. Year round residences (Homesteads) and seasonal homes are taxed at Different rates in Vermont. Together, year round dwellings and seasonal homes make up 78.5% of the Property values on the grand list (2010). They also represent 298(63%) of the 475 total parcels in the Town. As a percentage of value of the grand list between 1980 to 2010, year round residential units have increased slightly while seasonal units have decreased slightly.

**TABLE 6**  
Woodford Expenditures 1990,2000,2010

SERVICE	1990	2000	2010
Schools	268,361	393,563	705,960
Sch. Debt Serv.	0	0	0
Roads	44,531	33,238	22,947
Fire Prev.	5,500	8,000	30,000
Gen. Gov/Other	49,108	44,344	76,913
Assess & Dona.	4,780	12,291	33,572
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>372,280</b>	<b>491,436</b>	<b>869,392</b>

Note: Because of differences in reporting (town/school) figures may need clarification and/or correction.

**TABLE 7**

**Total Municipal-School Income-Expenses<sup>14</sup>**

	2000	2010	2000-2010 % change
<u>School</u>			
Income	425,788(1)	665,117(1)	56.2
Expense	393,563(1a)	705,960(1a)	79.4
<u>Municipal</u>			
Income	106,510(3)	215,075(3a)	101.9
Expense	97,873(2)	163,432(2)	67.0
<u>Total</u>			
Income	532,298	880,192*	65.3
Expense	491,436	869,392	76.9

Notes

Figures may not account for special funds, accounts, and investments.

It may be helpful to have a combined income/expense statement prepared for both the town and school expenses to give an overall picture of the town.

(1) Property taxes and state payments included.

(1a) Based on School Director Orders for fiscal year ending 6/30/2010

(2) General Fund + Highway Fund.

(3) Less property taxes except for a small portion to support government & highways.

(3a) General Fund + Highway Fund + portion of property tax

\*Estimated property taxes raised: \$670,000

Note: Because of differences in reporting (town/school) the figures may need clarification and/or correction.

---

<sup>14</sup> Source: Town Reports - Estimated

**TABLE 8**

Woodford Grand List Values  
Percent of Total

Property	1980	1990	2000	2010
Residential	32.9	38.3	42.7	44.5
Mobile Homes	3.0	2.8	3.8	2.2
Vacation Homes	38.4	34.0	32.0	34.0
Commercial	11.5	10.5	8.1	6.5
Industrial	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8
Utilities	4.5	4.9	4.1	4.0
Woodland	-	-	2.0	2.4
Misc.*	-	-	5.3	5.5

\*Undeveloped land that is not mostly forested; shore lots, residential bldg. Lots, unimproved ag. land , etc.

**TABLE 9**

Woodford Grand List Parcels  
Number of Parcels

Property	1980	1990	2000	2010
Residential	87	104	122	138
M.H. (Units)	28	31	32	27
Vacation	179	181	165	160
Commercial	11	10	13	13
Industrial	1	2	2	2
Utilities	2	2	3	3
Woodland	-	-	11	12
Misc.			191	120
Total	467	525	539	475

## 9.0 HOUSING

### 9.1 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

In 2010 Woodford had a total of 363 housing units, an increase by 8.7% from 2000 to 2010. Approximately 180 (49.6%) were occupied year round while 183 (50.4%) were considered vacant for occasional use and for sale. Approximately 154 (42.4%) of the housing units are classified as vacation/seasonal. It is estimated that 68% are owner occupied while 32% are renter occupied. Single family homes dominate the type of housing with 308 single family detached units representing 84.8 % of the total housing stock. Mobile homes have remained fairly constant as a percent of total housing stock totaling 29 in 2010, representing 8% of total housing stock. Average housing values in 2010 as provided in the Grand List are as follows: Single Family (regardless of lot size) \$186,752; Mobile Homes (with and without land) \$46,948; and Seasonal Homes (regardless of lot size) \$123,103. The amount of seasonal/vacation homes in Woodford has remained fairly constant between 2000 and 2010 with 150 and 154 units respectively. Twenty-five percent of the housing units in Woodford were built since 1990.

The American Factfinder survey of the US Census reported that in 2005-2009, the median monthly housing cost for mortgaged owners was \$1,125, non-mortgaged owners \$388 and renters \$ 964. Forty-six percent of owners had mortgages, 35% without mortgages, and 72 percent of renters in Woodford spent 30% or more of household income on housing. A rule of thumb for affordable housing is not to spend more than 30 to 35% of household income for housing. With respect to affordability, housing costs/values in Woodford tend to be less than surrounding towns, the region, and the State thus providing a more affordable community for both family and non-family households.

In a survey of residents, five indicated that paying ongoing home ownership costs (rent, mortgage, heating, utilities) were a concern, four indicated finding an affordable house to buy and no one indicated finding affordable rental housing.

#### *Household Characteristics*

A modest increase in households (4.4%) occurred between 2000 and 2010. Families made up 65% of the households in Woodford. This includes both married couples and other families. The number of occupants in family household has remained fairly constant over the past several decades. Between 2000 and 2010, the number of non family households increased by 10.5% and the number of single person households increased by 26.3%. In most of the non-family households there were people living alone, but some households were comprised of people living together where no one was related to the householder. The average person per family has remained fairly constant at 2.85 while the average persons per household dropped to 2.36.

### ***Special Housing Needs and Affordability***

Woodford has one mobile home park which is perhaps one of the most affordable housing types to address affordability. It contains 16 lots/mobile homes and nine are leased lots. Also, some former motel units receive subsidies for eligible occupants. There has been no assessment of special housing needs most suited to Woodford but such an assessment would likely include accommodation on a small scale consistent with the existing housing stock. The Town has amended its zoning bylaw to allow for accessory dwelling, three family dwellings, group ‘residential care’ homes, and childcare services in dwellings. The bordering Town of Bennington has the largest supply of affordable and special needs housing in the Region. As such, it provides an option for Woodford residents should special housing be needed with the benefit of close proximity to services. Some of the more active housing assistance organizations in the region include: Regional Affordable Housing Corporation (RAHC), Bennington Rutland Opportunity Council (BROC), Habitat for Humanity, and Efficiency Vermont (energy conservation). Section 8, rent subsidies may be available to some households.

**TABLE 10**

Household Characteristics<sup>15</sup>

	1990	2000	2010	% Chg. 2000-10
Total Population	331	414	424	2.4%
Total # Households	121	172	180	4.4%
# Family Households	94	115	117	1.7%
# Nonfamily Households	27	57	63	10.5%
# Single Person Households	20	38	48	26.3%
Average Persons per Household	2.74	2.41	2.36	-
Average Persons per Family	3.03	2.85	2.85	-

Households: Include one or more persons living in separate living quarters as a single unit.

**TABLE 11<sup>16</sup>**

Housing Units 1980-2010

	1980	1990	2000	2010	% Chg. 90-2000	% Chg. 2000-10
Housing Units	247	267	334	363	25.1%	8.7%
Occupied Units	118	121	172	180	42.1%	4.6%
Vacation/Seasonal	129	130	150	154	0.8%	2.7%
Vacant				183 (50.4%)		

<sup>15</sup> Source: U.S. Census

<sup>16</sup> Source: US Census

**TABLE 12**

Housing Characteristics 2000-2010<sup>17</sup>

	2000	% Total	2010	% Total	% Change
1 Unit Detached	305	85.9%	308	84.8%	0.9%
1 Unit Attached	5	1/4%	8	2.2%	60.0%
2-4 Units	12	3.4%	7	1.9%	-41.6%
20 Units +			11	3.1%	--
Mobile Homes	25	7.0%	29	8.0%	.16%
Boat/RV/Van	8	--	0	--	--
	355	100%	363	100%	2.2%

Note: Census discrepancy in 2000 = 334 units = 8.7% increase to year 2010.

## **9.2 POLICIES AND ACTIONS**

1. Encourage the provision of safe, decent and affordable housing for Woodford's residents.
2. Determine the residents' interest in assistance programs such as favorable financing or grants for special hardships, housing rehabilitation including structural, water, sewer, energy efficiency, etc.
3. Help facilitate programs or projects which benefit the community and its residents.

---

<sup>17</sup> Source: US Census

## 10.0 ENERGY

### 10.1 OVERVIEW

The uncertain and dramatic fluctuations in the prices and a declining supply of fuel oil and gasoline bear directly on local economies, businesses and households. The uncertainty of Vermont Yankee as a continuing source of meeting a major portion of electrical needs in the State has forced utilities to seek reliable alternative energy sources including existing sources such as Hydro Quebec. The CVPS Southern Vermont Reliability Study “Southern Vermont Loop” demonstrated capacity and quantity issues in meeting electrical demand and the need for additional generation. The use of renewables (small scale energy generators) at a local level can help meet local demand and together with aggressive energy conservation programs can position the Region and municipalities such as Woodford to become more self-sufficient for an uncertain future.

The use of fossil fuels for home heating in Woodford is illustrated in the rolling US Census for between the years of 2005 and 2009 : Total occupied housing units 151: propane 31.1%, electric 4.6%, fuel oil/kerosene 47.0%, wood 17.2%. Compared to Bennington County and Vermont, Woodford ranks higher in propane, electricity, and wood use. Woodford ranks less in fuel oil use than the County and State respectively by 24% and 6.6%. The use of wood in owner occupied housing is 19.4% compared to the County at 11.6% and State 14.6%.

The land use pattern in Woodford is constrained to Route 9 and a few side roads. As such this linear pattern of development is energy efficient as opposed to a sprawling pattern over a broader area. This linear pattern will remain unchanged due to the terrain and public ownership of lands (GMNF).

#### *Renewable Energy Resources*

A recent survey of Woodford residents indicated support for renewable energy resources such as wind, small-scale hydro, solar photovoltaic panels, biomass (woodchip), and cordwood/pellet for home heating. Any generating facilities would need to be carefully planned to avoid adverse effects on the environment and air quality (a primary goal of the Town Plan). Some of the higher elevations that may be suited to commercial wind generation include: Bald Mt., Prospect Mt., Maple Hill, Hagar Hill, and ridgelines in the vicinity of Dunville Hollow. However, some of these areas have protected corridors for the Long/Appalachian Trail and would need to conform to the Green Mt. National Forest Plan. Woodford has a vast wood supply which if managed properly can provide a wood resource well into the future. A commercial scale biomass generation plant should not be ruled out if a location on private or public lands with suitable access and environmental suitability can support such a project. Access to and from such a facility may be more problematic on the mountain due to unsafe conditions of Route 9 with steep grades and

curves.

## **10.2 ENERGY CONSERVATION**

Of all the energy strategies that can be implemented locally is managing energy consumption (life style) and energy undertaking conservation measures in new construction and existing buildings. In the case of Woodford, residential homes are the predominant form of building and the greatest consumer of energy for space heating. Strategies for new construction and the retrofit of existing buildings will be the most beneficial reduction in energy consumption and the most cost-effective payback for a given improvement. Energy conservation in structures is just not structural but all of the energy using appliances, lighting, and equipment that are commonly used in a household. Life style is an understanding and appreciation about our daily behavior in how we choose to use or not use energy to promote conservation. The BCRC Regional Energy Plan has some helpful links for residential properties ([www.eere.energy.gov](http://www.eere.energy.gov) – see “Energy Savers Booklet”) and Efficiency Vermont ([www.encyvermont.com](http://www.encyvermont.com)) websites.

### ***Residential Buildings***

New buildings should be sited and designed to maximize opportunities for the use of renewable energy resources and fully insulated to reduce the consumption of energy for heating and cooling. New building design should seek to encourage Energy Star ratings at the highest level possible with consideration of cost and construction in order to achieve the Vermont Residential Building Energy Standards. This should be promoted through education and alerting applicants when applying for building permits. Programs to retrofit existing buildings with insulation, air sealing, weather-stripping should be aggressively promoted. Additionally, insulation of pipes and water heaters plus installation of the most efficient means of indoor and outdoor lighting can contribute significantly to reduce energy consumption. Encourage the use of support organizations to promote efficiency programs such as the Bennington Rutland Opportunity Council weatherization program for eligible households and Efficiency Vermont. The use of energy audits for the retrofit of existing homes is vitally important to maximize conservation measures cost/payback objectives.

The Vermont Residential Building Energy Standards Code was adopted in 2009 (Act 20, 1997 & Act 45, 2009). The law applies to residential and certain non-residential buildings including additions, alterations, renovations, and repairs to existing structures. The law recognizes the builder’s responsibility to understand and comply with the code through a reporting and certification requirement (one page report). No plan reviews or final inspections are involved. It is one of the few codes in the country in which the builder self-certifies compliance. The code identifies buildings and construction that are exempt from the standards. In instances of non-compliance, a homeowner may seek damages in court within six years of occupancy or the filing of the required certification. Certificates of compliance are to be filed with the Town Clerk and Vermont Department of Public Service who administers the Code.

## **10.3 MUNICIPAL - SCHOOL BUILDINGS**

The Woodford Town Office is annexed to a historic building, formerly a church, now a meeting place for community functions. It is currently insulated to 1970 standards. In 2009, a grant proposal sought to insulate the connected

buildings which could have reduced energy consumption by 30% but it was not funded. The estimated cost of the project at that time of \$11,000 would have a payback in slightly more than three years. It is suggested that a current energy audit be prepared by a qualified energy audit specialist with the guidance of Efficiency Vermont to update the cost and to determine the likely payback period given existing and potential fuel costs.

The Woodford Hollow Elementary School had a walk-through energy assessment by the Vermont School Energy Management Program (SEMP) in May, 2011. As a result new lighting supported by grant, new appliances, and roof/attic insulation were installed resulting in a payback of energy savings on investment of just over one year. No changes were made to the heating system.

### ***Other/Smart Meters/Grid***

Central Vermont Public Service Corporation (under new ownership to Green Mountain Power Corporation) is upgrading its system to more effectively manage supply and use of electricity. As part of the upgrade, smart meters will be offered to customers who can then manage their own use in real time down to the hour for electrical consuming devices. Customer use accounts can be monitored on a secure web portal. The current schedule for offering the new smart meters is the winter of 2011 through 2012. It is reported that there should be no change in cost for the new service. However, there may be the potential to reduce energy consumption at the household level. Residents of the Town should learn more about the use of this technology, security, and the cost in preparation of the companies offer of smart meters in the very near future.

## **10.4 POLICIES AND ACTIONS**

1. Encourage energy efficiency and conservation programs for occupied buildings in Woodford.
2. For new construction (especially occupied buildings) take into consideration siting and orientation to maximize renewables and encourage compliance to achieve state residential and commercial energy efficiency standards and Energy Star construction.
- 3.. Encourage the use of renewable resources such as wood, wind, and solar provided

that there are no significant impacts on the environment and the town's residents. Review the zoning requirements for application of these facilities. Commercial scale renewable energy projects are encouraged provided there is benefit to the Woodford residents and the quality of the environment (air, water, resources) are not diminished.

4. Encourage qualifying households to take advantage of programs such as **BROC's** weatherization program to improve energy efficiency. Similarly, promote the use of energy audits (Efficiency Vermont) to demonstrate the cost/benefit of improving structures to reduce energy consumption and cost.
5. When applicable and if available, participation in purchasing programs to reduce the cost of heating fuels at the school and town offices needs to be considered.
6. Promote and encourage residents to participate in energy efficiency workshops whether sponsored by the Town or other organizations and have helpful literature available at the Town Office.

## 11.0 PUTTING THE PLAN TO WORK

### 11.1 IMPLEMENTATION

#### *Town Plan*

The Town Plan establishes the overall comprehensive framework for the many facets of community growth, development, services, and environmental matters. As such it serves as a benchmark from which to discuss and evaluate actions which bear on the community and its residents. It also serves a legal function in that it precedes and lays the foundation for implementing the zoning bylaws. While the plan expires every five years, the Planning Commission should review the document annually to measure progress and to evaluate unanticipated issues or changing conditions in the community that should be addressed by an amendment to the plan.

Chapter 117 of Title 24 provides the policy framework for planning in the State. It encourages a coordinated effort among State and regional planning agencies and municipalities and requires citizen participation at all levels of a planning process. Effective community engagement requires an open, informed dialog among municipal officials and members of the community. A public engagement process is not only necessary under Vermont law - it also leads to buy-in by citizens and the recognition that the process and outcomes were designed by and for the community.

#### *Bylaws*

With a revised town plan in place the zoning bylaws will need to be reviewed for consistency and updated if necessary. Consideration will need to be given to the new land use classifications recommended in the plan for Woodford Lake Estates and updating the zoning map using the BCRC Geographic Information System (GIS). The bylaws will need to be updated with new flood hazard regulations including the current flood hazard maps prepared in 2011. A tentative deadline for the flood hazard zoning update is the Spring of 2012. The flood hazard bylaws are not optional and will need to be enforced in compliance with new standards approved by the State FEMA coordinator in the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources in order for the municipality to qualify for the national flood insurance program (NFIP). Woodford bylaws could also include subdivision regulations.

Unlike zoning which tends to look at individual parcels, subdivision review looks at an entire tract for future development. Since Woodford's private land base is relatively small due to public ownership, and zoning can provide some degree of comprehensive review, subdivision regulations do not appear necessary in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the Selectboard may adopt interim subdivision regulations if they are deemed necessary. Interim bylaws may also be applied to other situations which may present problems to the residents or the community. The Planning Commission and Zoning Board of Adjustment both have a role in carrying out the intent of the plan and the

bylaws.

Rules of procedure are now required by State law. Act 115 of the 2003 adjourned session requires local “appropriate municipal panels” including planning commissions performing development review, zoning boards of adjustment, and development review boards to adopt rules of procedure and rules of ethics with respect to conflicts of interest (24 V.S.A. 4461(a)). Without rules of procedure and conflict of interest policies, it is difficult to meet either the legal requirements for quasi-judicial proceedings, or the public’s expectation for orderly and consistent public proceedings. A simplified form of rules of procedure may be helpful for the planning commission and zoning board of adjustment due to the turnover of volunteer boards. This would also provide greater consistency in operating procedures and decision making.

### ***Sewage Regulations***

The Vermont Agency of Natural Resource has universal jurisdiction of water supplies and wastewater disposal permits and enforcement. Before any construction or development requiring a zoning permit proceeds, any required permits must be obtained pursuant to 10 V.S.A., Chapter 64, and Vermont’s Wastewater System and Potable Water Supply Rules. Copies of applications, permits, and supporting documentation need to be filed with the Town for recording with the land records pursuant to 24 V.S.A. sections 1154 and 1161. The town retains authority acting through the Woodford Board of Health to abate public nuisances or to abate or remove public health risks.

### ***Mapping - Geographic Information System (GIS)***

Updated maps in the plan were prepared using the GIS system at the BCRC. This system has an extensive data base which enables the display of various types of mapped information. Existing land use was digitized from the most recent orthophotos and 911 data base. New attribute data continues to be added to the system. Mapped boundaries can also be readily modified to reflect changed conditions. The system is also helpful for specialized analysis or enlarging a particular area. Consideration should be given to the development of official tax (parcel) maps. This would be beneficial to the landowners, local boards, and for the ability to relate parcels to other mapped information.

### ***Town Road-Bridge Standards***

This Town Plan proposes that Town road-bridge standards as required by State and Federal agencies for receiving grants, funding/reimbursement for flood hazard or other emergency events or their mitigation need to be established. These standards shall be reviewed when deemed necessary by the Selectboard to ensure they are updated to meet or exceed the current Road and Bridge Codes and Standards (Act 110 Sec.17 19VSA 996 (a)). This will help to provide for an appropriate level of service for the present conditions and to guide future development on town roads. Direct access to Town roads from driveways or private roads should also meet minimum standards to ensure proper drainage, sight distance, slope, or location to intersections. The authority for Town road standards is with

the Selectboard (19 VSA, 304 and 1111(b)). While Woodford has only a small amount of town road mileage, the standards are nevertheless important.

### *Grants*

The Town should be vigilant in taking advantage of grant opportunities that provide beneficial outcomes and minimize costs otherwise paid for through local taxes. Favorable low interest loans to municipalities may also be available in situations that require local action not budgeted for, thus requiring voter approval. During 2009, the Town submitted a grant application for stimulus funding to improve energy efficiency of the Town Office/Meeting hall but was not successful obtaining the competitive grant. Nevertheless, projects such as this should be pursued when opportunities arise.

### *Citizen Participation*

This plan encourages the citizens to stay involved with the planning process by attending meetings or communicating their thoughts to the Planning Commission and/or Selectboard. At the outset of updating this plan, an initiative was made to communicate the efforts of this planning process to the citizens and to other interests which may be affected by the plan; such an initiative needs to be part of the ongoing process. The Planning Commission and Selectboard, as required by statute, will hold information meetings and hearings so that the residents and others have an opportunity to evaluate the plan's updated policies and recommendations. Some individuals or organizations may have a particular interest, such as the history of Woodford, and they are encouraged to help advance this appreciation of the community. Others may have an idea or concept and are encouraged to participate.

### *Vermont Act 250*

Act 250 is a comprehensive land use permit process that reviews projects of a certain size/threshold. Because one of the criteria relates a project to the Town Plan, it is important that the Town be represented to present its views. The Planning Commission and Selectboard are the statutory parties to this process. Other laws such as the State wetlands, wastewater disposal and solid waste to mention a few also provide a place for input. The municipal boards should be aware of their requirements and advise the public as needed.

## **11.2 COORDINATION**

### *Municipal*

Coordination starts at home. While there are many outside influences that affect Woodford, it is critical that the local boards meet periodically to compare notes. The lack of good communication is often the culprit of unintentional results. Simply, people have to

work together to achieve a common good. That is not to say that there are differences of opinion or that solutions cannot be readily found. It is important that there is a process to find common ground. Roads, emergency services, fire protection, water supply, educational facilities, watershed issues, etc. can have municipal or inter-municipal implications. While this plan tries to take these into account in a comprehensive fashion, it is still necessary to coordinate the actions or programs, or at least their expectations. It is also necessary to maintain communication with other entities and programs that have a direct bearing on Woodford such as the Green Mountain National forest, State/Federal Agencies, or Green Mountain Power Corporation (formerly CVPS).

### *Regional*

The Bennington County Regional Commission (BCRC) updates and maintains a Regional Plan which provides a big picture of growth and change in the Region. Woodford is a member of the Commission. The Woodford Plan takes the Regional Plan into consideration, and it is felt that the two reinforce each other in the substantive policy areas. Woodford should take an active role in the BCRC via appointed representatives, not only to express the Woodford point of view but to have a say in other areas of region or statewide concern.

### *State*

The Vermont Municipal and Regional Planning and Development Act includes statewide goals for state agencies, regions, and municipalities. Each is encouraged to consider them in their planning process. Included with this plan in an appendix is a listing of each of the statewide goals and how they are addressed in various sections of the updated Woodford Town Plan. This not only demonstrates compliance with the goals but facilitates any review of the plan by others.

### *Federal*

The Green Mountain National Forest (U.S. Forest Service) owns a majority of the land in Woodford. The manner in which it is used and managed can affect certain policies of the plan as well as advancing the intent of the plan. Like Woodford, the Green Mountain National Forest is guided by a plan called the Land and Resource Management Plan, 2006. It may be that both plans have much in common, but the town should compare the two carefully and communicate the town plan recommendations to the Forest Service, if necessary, as the USFS plan is implemented. The Town should also take an active role in evaluating proposals/projects of the USFS and participate in any long range planning endeavors of the federal agency. Aside from planning are the day to day operations of the Forest Service. Access to lands, fire protection, use of leased lands, etc. may lend themselves to a memorandum of understanding (MOU) which prescribes responsibilities and procedures especially in emergency situations including motorized access to restricted Wilderness Areas.

## *Other*

Utility companies, Green Mountain Club, cable television, and emergency response teams undertake activities in Woodford. It is important to understand their function and programs. What if a runaway truck on Woodford Mountain resulted in a worse case for the driver, and in this case the spillage of hazardous materials? Is there a protocol for response in place, and for what types of events? Do area residents know about the procedures? Matters such as this seem improbable and too distant to think about until it happens. Whoever is assigned responsibility(ies) for emergency management coordination needs to be clearly identified for various situations such as rapid response or hazard mitigation plan (BCRC may provide assistance in these areas).

## **11.3 MANAGEMENT**

Management of Woodford doesn't just happen. Municipal officials, either elected or appointed, have much to do with the future of Woodford as do the residents. The Town Clerk plays a vital role in the administration of town affairs and responding to both citizen and non-citizen requests. Communication among local officials and boards is also a means of management, particularly with respect to implementing the plan. Another type of management is the administration of the zoning bylaws. Because of the relatively high turnover of the zoning administrator post, consideration should be given to some incentives for the job. Perhaps the fees should be compared to the actual cost of someone's time in carrying out the job (process application, attending meetings, travel, site reviews and inspections, telephone calls, etc.). To assure citizens are well served in zoning matters, an acting ZA should be appointed and available during absence of the ZA or when a conflict of interest exists. The Woodford caseload should not be so great as to burden the community. This plan is also mindful of the goal to minimize the tax burden on the residents and to maintain a sound financial position for the town.

## Appendix A

### LIST OF MAPS

*Land Use*

*Water Resources*

*Natural Resources and Wildlife*

*Transportation Plan*

*Public Facilities and Utilities*

*Agricultural Soils*



*Woodford Lake Estates*

***FEMA Flood Hazard Area's (Included by reference upon adoption by the Town – map is currently under review)***


Blank page for revised Land Use Map

# Town of Woodford, VT Water Resources

-  Town Road
-  Forest Road
-  Private Road
-  State Highway
-  Trails
-  Old Coach Road
-  Rivers and Streams
-  Ponds
-  Flood Hazard Areas
-  Wetlands
-  George D. Aiken Wilderness Boundary
-  Woodford State Park
-  Green Mountain National Forest





Map produced April 10, 2009 by  
Bennington County Regional Commission  
111 South Street, Suite 203  
Bennington, VT, 05201




# Town of Woodford, VT Important Wildlife Habitats

- Town Road
- Forest Road
- Private Road
- State Highway
- Trails
- Old Coach Road
- Rivers and Streams
- Rare or Endangered Species
- Animal
- Plant
- Natural Community
- Deeryards
- Ponds
- Wetlands
- George D. Aiken Wilderness Boundary
- Woodford State Park
- Green Mountain National Forest





Map produced April 10, 2009 by  
Bennington County Regional Commission  
111 South Street, Suite 203  
Bennington, VT, 05201



# Town of Woodford, VT Transportation Plan

- Bridges
- Roads
- Town Road
- Forest Road
- Private Road
- State Highway
- Trails
- Old Coach Road
- Rivers and Streams
- Ponds
- George D. Aiken Wilderness Boundary
- Woodford State Park
- Green Mountain National Forest




Map produced April 10, 2009 by  
Bennington County Regional Commission  
111 South Street, Suite 203  
Bennington, VT, 05201

# Town of Woodford, VT Public Facilities and Utilities














- Town Office
- School
- Water Plant
- Church
- Cemetery
- Phone
- Telecommunication Tower
- High Voltage Transmission Line
- Town Road
- Forest Road
- Private Road
- State Highway
- Trails
- Old Coach Road
- Rivers and Streams
- Ponds
- George D. Aiken Wilderness Boundary
- Woodford State Park
- Green Mountain National Forest






Map produced April 10, 2009 by  
Bennington County Regional Commission  
111 South Street, Suite 203  
Bennington, VT, 05201




# Town of Woodford, VT Agricultural Soils

-  Town Road
-  Forest Road
-  Private Road
-  State Highway
-  Trails
-  Old Coach Road
-  Rivers and Streams
-  George D. Aiken Wilderness Boundary
-  Ponds
-  Wetlands
-  Agricultural Soils
-  Woodford State Park
-  Green Mountain National Forest



Map produced April 10, 2009 by  
Bennington County Regional Commission  
111 South Street, Suite 203  
Bennington, VT, 05201



## Appendix B

### **CONSISTENCY WITH STATE PLANNING GOALS AND OTHER PLANS**

The Vermont Municipal and Regional Planning and Development Act Encourages towns to develop plans that are compatible with the plans of adjacent municipalities and with the regional plan, and which are consistent with the thirteen statewide planning goals in 24 V.S.A. Section 4302 (c). This section identifies and discusses the relevant sections of the Woodford Plan with the purposes of the State Act.

#### **Statewide Goals** (Responses to be completed.)

1. To Plan development so as to maintain the historic settlement pattern of compact village and urban centers separated by rural countryside.
2. To provide a strong and diverse economy that provides satisfying and rewarding job opportunities and that maintains high environmental standards, and to expand economic opportunities in areas with high unemployment or low per capita income.
3. To broaden access to educational and vocational training opportunities sufficient to ensure the realization of the abilities of all Vermonters.
4. To provide for safe, convenient, economic, and energy efficient transportation systems that respect the integrity of the natural environment, including public transit options and paths for pedestrians and bicycles.
5. To identify, protect, and preserve important natural and historic features of the Vermont landscape.
6. To maintain and improve the quality of air, water, wildlife, and land resources.
7. To encourage the efficient use of energy and the development of renewable energy resources.
8. To maintain and enhance opportunities for Vermont residents and visitors.
9. To encourage and strengthen agricultural and forest industries.
10. To provide for the wise and efficient use of Vermont's natural resources and to facilitate the appropriate extraction of earth resources and the

proper restoration and preservation of the aesthetic qualities of the area.

11. To ensure the availability of safe and affordable housing for all Vermonters.

12. To plan for, finance, and provide an efficient system of public facilities and services to meet future needs.

13. To ensure the availability of safe and affordable child care.

### **Relationship to Town and Regional Plans**